EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT



WORKING PARTY OF THE SECRETARIAT

TASK FORCE ON THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL CONFERENCE

THE SECRETARIAT JF/bo/249/96

Luxembourg, 30 September 1996

1

SUMMARY OF THE POSITIONS OF THE MEMBER STATES AND THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT ON THE 1996 INTERGOVERNMENTAL CONFERENCE*

Please find attached the fourth update of the tables summarizing the positions of the Member States on the various subjects on the agenda for the 1996 IGC. These tables are not exhaustive insofar as they represent only the official positions adopted to date by the governments of the Member States, by the European Parliament (in its resolutions of 17 May 1995 and 13 March 1996) and by the Commission (in its report of 28 February 1996). Nor are they definitive, given the current stage reached by the IGC. They have been drawn up by Parliament's Task Force on the 1996 IGC, exclusively on the basis of publicly available sources (memorandums, press reports, etc) which have already been utilized by the EP in its regular work (see Parliament's White Paper on the IGC, Vols. I and II, and the briefings on the IGC), and on the basis of the Parliamentary committee and plenary sitting hearings of the successive Presidents-in-Office of the Council.

Despite their provisional nature, these tables offer a reasonably reliable summary of the present situation as regards the IGC and should be of some value in improving understanding of the Conference.

The tables follow the order of subjects set out in the conclusions of the Presidency of the Turin European Council of 29 March 1996, supplemented by a number of other areas referred to in the resolutions of Parliament.

Task Force on the 1996 IGC

* This publication is not binding upon the European Parliament as an institution.

FR

IT

NL

PT

FI

JF/bo/249/96 (30.9.96) DOC_EN\DV\310\310047

FI

ΕN

ES

DE

ĩ

DA

\$

*

T,

• --

•

CONTENTS

1. A UNION CLOSER TO THE CITIZENS

.

•

1.1.	Citizenship and fundamental rights A. Negotiations
1.2.	The third pillar (CJHA)
1.3.	Employment
1.4.	The most remote regions and the overseas territories
1.5.	The environment
1.6.	Subsidiarity
1.7.	Transparency and simplification
6111 3	THEMIMICALE IN A HODE DEWOODANTS AND EFERSMINE INTONA

2. THE INSTITUTIONS IN A MORE DEMOCRATIC AND EFFECTIVE UNION: THE FIRST PILLAR (THE COMMUNITY PILLAR)

2.1.	The European Parliament A. Negotiations 10 B. Other demands of the European Parliament 13
2.2.	The national parliaments 14
2.3.	The Council
2.4.	The Commission
2.5.	The Court of Justice
2.6.	The Court of Auditors
2.7.	Action against fraud
2.8.	Differentiated integration 21
2.9.	Other organs: 1) The Committee of the Regions 2) The Economic and Social Committee
2.10.	Other matters:A.Hierarchy of legislation23B.Own resources and budgetary procedures23C.The budget24D.New policies24E.EMU25
REINFORCE	MENT OF THE UNION'S CAPACITY FOR EXTERNAL ACTION: D PILLAR

3.1.	The CFSP	26
3.2.	Defence policy and the WEU	29

JF/bo/249/96 (30.9.96) DOC_EN\DV\310\310047

3.

.

;

•

;

Page

-

					1.	A UNION	CLOSE	R TO TH	E CITIZE	NS							
Subject/Insts. + MS	сом	EP	В	DK	D	GR	Е	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	s	UK
					1.1. СП		IP AND F			IGHTS			-				
Treaty chapter on fundamental rights and human rights ¹	ycs	yes	yes	ycs	yes	yes	yes	yes		yes	ycs	yes	yes	no	ycs		no
accession to ECHR ²	yes	уся	ycs			yes	yes	no	R	уся	yes		yes	ycs	yes	ycs	no
equality of treatment and non- discrimination clause ¹	yes	yes	yes		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	усв	yes	yes	yes	ycs	ycs	ycs	no
add social/economic rights 3	yes	yes	yes			ycs	yes	yes		ycs	yes	yes		yes			no
direct effect for Article 8a and full implementation of free movement of persons		ycs				ycs		no	no				ycs			no	no
EU citizenship not to replace national citizenship ¹	yes	yes	ycs	yes		yes	yes	уся		усв	yes		ycs	ycs		ycs	
no unanimity for Article 8e (supplement citizens' rights) ⁴		yes	no		no	yes	no	no	no		no	no	ycs		no	no	no
Council meetings on legislative matters to be public		ycs	R	yes			no	no	ycs			ycs	no	ycs	yes	yes	no
clause on women's equality 1	yes	yes	yes				yes	ycs		уса	ycs	yes	yes		yes	yes	no
protection by ECJ ²	yes	yes	YC8		уся			yes		yes	yes	ycs		yes			

¹ Majority trend in favour.

- ² Following the ECJ's opinion, there is a general tendency to await developments (accession to ECHR) and another, alternative, tendency in favour of extending judicial control by the ECJ.
- ³ The social/economic rights concerned are fundamental social rights (see point 1.3); the right to public services; the right to a healthy environment; the right to cultural identity and the protection of religious traditions.

\$

⁴ General tendency to favour retention of the status guo.

Yes: affirmative; no:negative; R: reservations; blank: no position; poss.: possibly negotiable/matter to be discussed.

JF/bo/249/96 (30.9.96) DOC_EN\DV\310\310047

1

Subject/Insts. + MS	сом	EP	В	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	s	UK
					СГГІД		AND FUI			HTS							
political control: suspension of certain rights of Member States ¹		yes	ycs		yes	yes	ycs	ycs	ycs		yes	yes	yes			уся	no
political control: exclusion from EU meetings of Member States		no	no			no	no						no			no	
right to information on EU matters ²	ycs	yes	yes	ycs_	ycs	yes	ycs	ycs	ycs	yes	ycs	ycs	ycs		yes	уся	уся
develop political citizenship ³		yes				yes	yes	yes		yes	yes	yes	yes		yes	no	no
introduce list of fundamental rights	по	yes	yes		yes	ycs	yes	yes		ycs	no		ycs	ycs			no

.

¹ Strong support from Member States; details of implementation to be decided. Nonetheless, the decision to suspend should be taken by the European Council (and not simply by the Council).

² cf. point 1.7.

• •

F/bo/249/96 (30.9.96) OC_EN\DV\310\310047

³ Debate has focused on strenthening European political parties and the right to vote; few hard facts as yet. The status of European associations and the extension of the right of petition to the EP have also been mentioned.

Subject/Insts. + MS	СОМ	EP	в	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	Р	SF	s	UK
					В.		EMANDS O	OF THE EU	ROPEAN	PARLIAMI				.		<u></u>	
outlawing the death penalty and/or racist or xenophobic acts	yes	yes	ycs		ycs	уся	yes			уся	yes	уся	уся	ycs	ycs		no
political promotions for young people		ycs														ycs	no
recognition of cultural and linguistic diversity and protection of national minorities		yes	yes							ycs	ycs	ycs		ycs			no
promotion of the cultural dimension		ycs	ycs					no			ycs	yes		yes			no
specific status and non- discrimination for resident third-country nationals	yes	yes	ycs							yes							no
voluntary European peace corps *		yes				ycs	yes	yes									R

* General trend is favourable. Discussion continues on budget and tasks.

ŧ

**

•

بمردع والتقدير بمبدد والأشاعات والمواد والأك																	
Subject Unsts. + MS	сом	EP	В	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	1	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	s	UK
							<u>1.2. THI</u>	RD PILLAR	(CJHA)								
total communitarization ¹	ycs	yes	ycs	no	yes					yes	yes	yes	yes				no
partial communitarization ¹						yes	yes	yes	ycs				yes	yes	yes	yes	
no communitarization ¹				yes													yes
improve third pillar instruments ¹	ycs	ycs	yes	ycs	ycs	ycs	ycs	yes	ycs	yes	ycs	ycs	ycs	уса	ycs	ycs	yes
improve Art. K.9 procedure ('passerelle') ¹	yes	yes	yes	yes		ycs	yes			yes	yes	yes	yes	yes		yes	ycs
communitarization of visa policy ²	ycs	ycs	ycs		yes	ycs	yes	ycs/R	ycs	yes	yes	yes	yes	усз	усз	yes	
communitarization of asylum policy ² (K.1,1)	ycs	ycs	yes	yes poss.	ycs	yes	yes	ycs/R	ycs	ycs	ycs	ycs	ycs	уся	усз	ycs	
communitarization of immigration policy (K.1,3) ²	ycs	yes	yes	yes poss.	ycs	yes	yes	yes/R	ycs	усз	yes	yes	yes	уся	уся	уся	no
communitarization of rules on crossing of external frontiers (K.1,2) ²	ycs	yes					yes				yes		yes	yes			
communitarization of action against international fraud (K.1,5)	ycs	yes									ycs		yes				
communitarization of anti- drugs action (K.1,4)	ycs	yes	yes	yes				yes/R	ycs		yes		yes	no			no
communitarization of legal cooperation in civil matters (K.1,6)	yes	yes			ycs						yes		ycs	no			

1

1

.

٠

¹ The possible transfer of certain CJHA responsibilities to the Community pillar rises questions about the remaining areas of Third Pillar responsibility. Proposals under discussion involve the role of the EP; a multiannual programme; sharing initiatives with the Commission; QMV within Council for implementating decisions; use of instruments such as directives; establishing deadlines for the ratification of agreements by the Member States, etc.

² Majority trend in favour of communitarization.

		ľ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Ī	Ī	1	Ī		<u> </u>	ſ	l		<u> </u>		<u> </u>
Subject\Insts. + MS	СОМ	EP	B	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	1	LUX	NL	A	Р	SF	S	UK
						1	.2. THIRD	PILLAR (CJ	HA) (cont.)								
Community institutions and procedures for police cooperation (K.1,9)	no	yes			усз								yes	no			no
idem customs cooperation (K.1,8)	yes	yes	yes		yes						yes		yes				
idem cooperation in legal and criminal matters (K.1,7)	no	yes			ycs								no	no			
reinforce anti-terrorist measures	yes	ycs			ycs		yes	ycs					ycs				yes
QMV (СЈНА)	yes	ycs	ycs	yes	yes	yes	yes	ycs	уся	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes		no
extend Commission's right of initiative	yes	ycs	ycs		yes	yes	yes	ycs	уся	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	уся	no
adoption of directives	yes	yes	yes				yes			yes	yes	yes	yes	уся			no
reinforce role of EP (codecision) ¹		yes										-					no
reinforce role of EP (consultation) ¹	ycs		ycs	yes	yes	yes	ycs		ycs	ycs	ycs	ycs	ycs	yes			no
reinforce role of ECJ	yes	yes	yes		yes	yes	yes			yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes		no
simplify 5-level structure	yes	yes	yes		yes	ycs	ycs	ycs		yes	yes	yes	ycs	yes		yes	yes
incorporate Schengen ²	yes	yes	yes				ycs		yes	yes	yes	ycs **	yes	ycs		ycs	
involve national parliaments	yes		yes	yes		yes	yes	yes *	ycs	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	ycs

1 See in connection with point 3.1(10). For certain Member States, the agreements obtained on CFSP could also serve as a basis for funding CJHA.

- 2 cf. point 2.8, 'general flexibility clause'. Certain Member States fear that incorporation via this clause could destroy or reduce the Schengen acquis. Others (the UK) want an opt-out.
- Condition for communitarization of policies on visas, asylum, immigration and drugs. *
- ** This incorporation could take place in three stages.

٠

				<u> </u>	l in the second s		1			l	T T						r
Subject/Insts. + MS	СОМ	EP	B	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	Α	Р	SF	S	UK
						1	.3 EMPL	OYMEN	Г								
employment as a 'guiding principle' of EMU ¹		yes	yes	ycs		yes	yes				yes				ycs		no
reinforce the objectives of the EU ²	yes	yes	ycs	ycs		усз	yes		yes	уся	yes	уся	уся	ycs	уся	уся	no
coordinate efforts of governments and social partners	yes	yes	ycs	yes		yes	yes			yes	ycs	ycs	ycs	yes	ycs	yes	no
include social protocol in Treaty ³	yes	yes	yes	yes	уся	yes	yes	усз	ycs	ycs	ycs	ycs	yes	уся	ycs	ycs	no
new Treaty chapter - 'a Union for employment' ²	ycs	ycs	ycs	уся	no	yes	ycs*	no	yes	ycs	yes	ycs	уся		ycs	уса	no**
inclusion in Treaty of 'conclusions of Essen, Cannes and Madrid summits' ⁴	ycs	ycs	ycs				yes		ycs	ycs	ycs	уся		ycs			no
Commission measures and timetable for 'social Union'	ycs	ycs	ycs	no							усз	ycs					no
creation of a Committee for Employment	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	ycs	- yes	yes	yes	уся	yes	no
incorporate principle of 'improvement of living and working conditions'	ycs	yes	ycs			ycs					yes	уся	ycs	yes	ycs	ycs	no
incorporate principles of Charter of Fundamental Social Rights	ycs	ycs				yes							ycs				no

- ¹ There is common agreement that any action on employment must not interfere with the provisions for EMU. At the same time, there would appear to be a certain balance between these two major areas.
- ² Majority trend in favour, with reservations and even refusals on the part of certain 'large countries' (D, F and UK). The chapter on employment should provide for its inclusion amongst the objectives of the EU Treaty, and contain a multi-annual programme. It should have no budgetary repercussions nor give the Union binding powers.
- 3 Virtual unanimity (except UK).
- ⁴ In particular, the new chapter should explicitly set out the primary responsibility of the Member States regarding employment, and confirm the procedures for coordinating employment policies, as decided at Essen.
- * Spain would not accept the introduction of articles which could directly and/or indirectly allow employment to be taken into account as an EMU criterion.

**

** Maintain status quo.

JF/bo/249/96 (30.9.96) DOC_EN\DV\310\310047

N.

Subject/Insts. + MS	сом	EP	В	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	S	ик
1.4 THE MOST REMOTE REGIONS AND THE OVERSEAS TERRITORIES																	
differentiated and specific treatment		yes				yes	yes	ycs						yes			

								Г						1			
Subject/Insts. + MS	СОМ	EP	В	DK	D	GR	E.	F	IRL	1	LUX	NL	A	Р	SF	s	UK
							1.5 TH	E ENVIRO	NMENT								
include among the EU's objectives *	yes	yes	yes	yes	ycs		yes		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes		yes	ycs	
reinforce sustainable development *	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	уся	уса	yes	yes	yes	ycs
possibility of stricter national rules (Art. 100a, 4)	yes	ycs		ycs		no	no	no	no	no	no		ycs	no	ycs	yes	no
abandon unanimity Art. 130s (QMV)	yes	ycs	ycs	yes	no/R	no	no			ycs	no	ýes	ycs/R**	no	усз	yes	no
apply codecision procedure	yes	yes	no	yes	yes		yes		yes	yes	no	no	yes			ycs	no
participation in implementation of common policies (Arts. 130u (1); 130a et 130b)*	ycs	yes	yes	ycs	ycs	ycs	yes	yes	yes	ycs	ycs	усз	ycs	yes	ycs	ycs	
integral part of all EU policies *	ycs	yes	ycs	ycs	ycs	yes			yes	yes	yes	yes	yes		yes	yes	
inclusion of title on animal welfare		yes						no					yes			ycs	ycs***

General tendency in favour.
 cf. point 2.3 Council.
 Add protocol to Treaty, without however extending Community powers.

Hild

....

Subject/Insts. + MS	сом	EP	В	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	s	UK
		_					1.6	SUBSIDIA	RITY								
modify Art. 3b*	no	no	no	no	yes*	no	по	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	no	по	yes*
incorporate Edinburgh declaration as protocol*	no	no	R	no	ycs	R	no	no	poss.	ycs	yes	yes	yes	R	ycs	no	ycs
control of principle with COSAC	no	no		R	no	no	no	ycs	no		no	no	no	no		no	no
control of principle by national parliaments		no		R	no	no	R	ycs	no		ycs		yes			no	ycs
retain Art. 235		yes	ycs	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	ycs	yes	yes	yes	yes			ycs
catalogue of powers in Treaty		no	no		yes	R	no				no		no	no	R		
regional rights in Treaty		no	yes			R	no						yes				
'sunset clauses' ***	no	no	no	no	ycs	no	no		no	no	no	no	no	no	уся	poss.	ycs

* These two countries have proposed protocols adding amendments to the Birmingham Declaration and the Edinburgh Conclusions. However there is unanimity (except Austria) that Article 3B should not be changed, and a majority in favour of additing to the Treaty a protocol in the Birmingham and Edinburgh terms (exclusively in order to clarify implementation).

** For Commission proposals not adopted after 'x' years and/or certain types of legislation 'x' years after adoption

.



1 la

\$

Subject/Insts. + MS	СОМ	EP	в	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	S	ик
						1.7 TR	ANSPARE	NCY AND	SIMPLIFIC	ATION							
transparency as a principle of the EU*	yes	ycs	ycs	ycs		ycs	ycs		ycs	yes	уся	yes	yes	yes	уся	ycs	уся
opening up and reform of Council procedures *		yes	R	yes		ycs	ycs	no	ycs	ycs	ycs	уся	yes	ycs	уся	yes	no
simplification of the Treaties	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	R	ycs	ycs	yes	yes	yes	yes	ycs	yes	ycs
access to Council documents**	yes	yes	yes	yes			R	no	no	yes	ycs	yes	ycs	yes	yes	ycs	yes
consolidation of the Treatics***	ycs	yes	yes	ycs	R	ycs	ycs	R	уся	уся	ycs	ycs	ycs	R	ycs		no

A number of Member States would limit application of transparency exclusively to the First Pillar. However there is a general trend in favour of introducing transparency and openness as EU principles.

** A possibility of including the principle of access to institutional documents in the Treaty appears to be inevitable; implementing measures would be left up to the internal rules of the institutions and bodies themselves.

*** Discussions are concentrating on amalgamating the three Community Treaties with or without the TEU. A possibile amalgamation of the three Community Treaties with the TEU (minus the CFSP and CJHA provisions) has been abandoned. Specific details of the integration of the ECSC and Euratom Treaties and the distinction between general/material provisions have still to be worked out.

1

**

.

2. THE INSTITUTIONS IN A MORE DEMOCRATIC AND EFFECTIVE UNION FIRST PILLAR (COMMUNITY PILLAR)

Subject/Insts. + MS	СОМ	EP	B	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	S	UK
						2		PEAN PARI									
reduce procedures to three ¹	yes	yes	ycs	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes/R	yes	yes	ycs	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no
number of MEPs: 700	yes	yes	ycs		yes	yes	yes	ycs	yes	уся	yes	yes	ycs	yes	R		
distribution of scats: present formula				ycs		yes		yes			yes		yes	ycs	ycs	ycs	
distribution of scats: in line with population			yes		yes		ycs	yes	ycs	yes		ycs					
uniform electoral procedure: fix deadline		ycs	yes	no			yes	no	no	ycs	ycs					no	no
uniform electoral procedure: strengthened majority voting			yes	no		no	yes	no	no	no	no			no		по	no
uniform electoral procedure: enshrine principle in Treaty	ycs					yes	усз	no	no	no				no	ycs	no	no
uniform electoral procedure: national procedures						no	no	no	no	no	no			no		no	ycs
extend codecision: case-by-case				ycs				R*							yes	ycs	no
extend codecision to cases of: QMV by Council			yes		yes			no	[ycs	ycs	yes			yes/R		no

¹ Unanimous tendency (except UK) in favour of reducing procedures to three, which would involve abolishing the cooperation procedure, with the probable exception of EMU and the Social Protocol.

* France appears to be in favour of maintaining the cooperation procedure (especially for EMU and the CAP) and of a very slight extension of the scope of co-decision in a few specific instances only.

1 le

.

	T		P	1	T	r	r=	T T	r	7	r=			r=====		1	7
Subject/Insts. + MS	сом	EP	В	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	1	LUX	NL	A	Р	SF	s	UK
						2		PEAN PARI									
extend codecision: existing cases covered by cooperation procedure	ycs **						ycs	no*	уся				yes	ycs			no
extend codecision: all legislative acts	ycs **	yes				yes		no									no
codecision: retain status quo								yes									yes
codecision: adoption of joint texts approved at 2nd reading ¹	yes	ycs	no		no	ycs	no		no	no	no	no	no	_		yes	no
codecision: suppression of stage of EP's 'intention to reject' ²	ycs	yes	ycs		yes	уся	yes		усз	ycs	усз	yes	yes	ycs		ycs	
eliminate 3rd reading if no agreement ³	yes	yes	no		no	yes	no	no	уса	ycs	no	yes		no		no	no
çonsultation: minimum time limit				no		yes		no		yes							
consultation: maximum time limit				no		yes		ycs		ycs			yes	уся	yes		
consultation: obligation to reconsult EP										уся	no		yes	ycs	yes		

¹ Majority tendency favours simplifying second reading (with strong German opposition).

² There is already agreement to suppress the 'intention to reject' stage.

³ Majority tendency in favour of retaining third reading.

⁴ Unanimous agreement that precise deadlines should be imposed on the EP.

- * France appears to support retaining the cooperation procedure (especially for EMU and the CAP) and a very limited enlargement of co-decision in a few specific instances only.
- ** General tendency in favour of extending co-decision (except UK) but differences as to scope. Nonetheless, the Commission proposals with regard to co-decision appear to satisfy many Member States as a point of departure (except UK and France).

-

.

JF/bo/249/96 (30.9.96) DOC_EN\DV\310\31,0047

Pile

Subject\Insts. + MS	сом	EP	в	DK	D	GR	Е	F	IRL	1	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	s	UK
					·		.1. EURO	PEAN PARI	LAMENT	a						<u></u>	
assent procedure: status quo ¹				ycs			yes	yes							ycs		yes
assent procedure: own resources	yes	yes		no	no	yes			yes	yes	no	по	no	no	no	no	no
assent procedure: for international agreements (Art. 228) ²	ycs	ycs	yes			ycs					ycs	уся	yes	усз		ycs	no
assent procedure: revision of Treaties	yes	ycs	yes		ycs	yes			ycs	yes	no		no	по			no
assent procedure for Art. 235		yes				yes							усв				no
genuine EP right of initiative	nO	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no						
commitology: modus vivendi for role of EP and insertion in Treaty	ycs	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	ycs		уся	ycs	yes	yes		уса	yes	уся	
commitology: right of a posteriori control for EP	ycs	yes				yes		no	усз	yes	уся	ŕ				уся	

¹ Majority tendency in favour at this stage.

A number of Member States are already in favour of assent. If the agreements are provisionally applied, the prerogatives of the EP and the national parliaments would be preserved, and the EP would have to be consulted before a decision were taken on the suspension of an agreement because of failure to respect human rights.

JF/bo/249/96 (30.9.96) DOC_EN\DV\310\310047

11 de

٠.

.

Subject/Insts. + MS	сом	EP	B	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	S	UK
					B. O	THER D	EMANDS (OF THE EU	ROPEAN I	PARLIAMI	ENT						
reinforce role of EP in EU appointments (ECJ and Court of Auditors)		ycs				yes											no
reinforce position of EP vis-à- vis ECJ*		ycs			ycs	yes											no
participation of EP in decision on its seat		уся						no									
Commission response to EP's own-initiative proposals (Art. 138b)		ycs				yes			yes			1					

-

35

e

•

* Cf. point 2.5 (extending conditions for bringing actions).

i le

	1						r and a second second	T		r							i
Subject Unsts. + MS	СОМ	EP	B	DK	D	GR	Е	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	Р	SF	s	UK
							2.2. NATIO	DNAL PAR	LIAMENT	5							
reinforce role of national parliaments*	yes	yes		yes	no	ycs	no	ycs**	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	ycs	ycs	ycs
Commission white papers /proposals to be forwarded systematically*	усз	ycs	усз	ycs	yes	ycs	усз	ycs	yes	ycs	yes	уся	yes	yes	yes	ycs	уся
national parliaments' deadlines before Council decision*								yes		yes							ycs
Commissioners to be heard by national parliaments	ycs	ycs	ycs	ycs	ycs	ycs	ycs	yes	yes	yes	ycs	yes	yes	yes	усв	ycs	уся
prior information on Council meetings*	ycs	ycs	ycs	ycs	уся		ycs	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	ycs	ycs	ycs	ycs	ycs
enhance role of COSAC***	по	no	yes	yes/R	yes		no	yes	no		no		усв	yes			
institutionalize COSAC in Treaty***	no	no	no	no	no		no	ycs	no	no	no	no	no				no
second chamber of national parliaments**	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
high consultative council of national MPs	no	no	по	no	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
insert role of 'assizes' in Treaty***			по	no			no	ycs		no	no	no	no				no

* Unanimous trend in favour of keeping national parliaments better informed.

.

-

** Virtually unanimous opposition (except France) to setting up new institutions and bodies.

*** General agreement on the appropriateness of the EP and the national parliaments deciding on improving an informal COSAC, without setting up a new body.

 $i^{\dagger}l_{q}$

\$

	T					1											
Subject/Insts. + MS	СОМ	EP	В	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	Р	SF	s	UK
								2.3. Counc	u								
retain six-month presidencies: status quo	ycs	по	yes	no	yes	yes		no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	ycs	yes	ycs
extension of QMV 1	ves	yes	yes	yes	yes	ycs	уся	ycs	yes	ycs	no**						
extension QMV for codecision ¹	yes	yes	yes	ħo	no	yes		no	по	yes	yes	yes	no	ycs	yes/R	yes	no
transition from unanimity to QMV: en bloc ¹			ycs					ycs		ycs	yes						no
idem: establish certain criteria 1					ycs	ycs		R	ycs			yes		уся			no
idem: case by case 1				ves		yes	ycs						yes_		ycs	yes	no"
revision of Treaty: status quo				yes		yes	yes		yes		yes		ycs	yes	yes	yes	
adoption of Treaty by qualified majority				no		no	no		no	R	no		no	no	no	no	
entry into force of Treaty: Member States minus 1 or 2					ycs							no					

- A political agreement at the highest level (European Council), probably at the last minute, appears to be inevitable. This political agreement will probably form part of a package, alongside other particularly delicate institutional issues (make-up of the institutions/weighting of votes/QMV threshold/ etc.).
- The idea of 'burden of proof' proposed by the Commission (each Member State would have to justify upholding unanimity) has been well received.

subject to reweighting of votes Status quo

1

2

*

il.

JF/bo/249/96 (30.9.96) DOC_EN\DV\310\310047 .

•

•

**

Subject\Insts. + MS	сом	EP	В	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	s	UK
							2.3	Council (c	ont.)								
unanimity for sensitive areas	ycs	yes"	yes (1)	ycs (2)	ycs (3)	ycs (4)	yes (5)	yes (6)	yes (7)	ycs (8)	ycs (9)	yes (10)	ycs (11)	yes (12)	уса (13)	ycs (14)	ycs (15)
double majority Member States/population***	ycs	no		ycs	yes	no	yes	yes	no	y c s	no	ycs	no	no	R	no	ycs
revision of weighting of votes	ycs	ycs	по		ycs	no	yes	ycs	no/ poss.	ycs	no	no	no	no/ poss	no	no	ycs
lower QMV threshold (71%)***	no	yes	yes/R			no	no	no	no		по	no	no	no	no	no	no
introduce 'super-qualified' majorities (higher QMV threshold)	yes	ycs	yes		no					ycs	ycs	ýci	усз	yes			

(1) Amendment of Treaty; language regime and accessions to the EU.

(2) CFSP; EMU; defence policy; CJHA.

(3) Defence; own resources; military action taken by WEU

(4) 'Constitutional' matters; accession; defence; vital interests.; Art. 235

(5) Article N; Article O; own resources; Article 235; taxation; environment; Structural Funds; international agreements; vital interests in CFSP area; defence.

(6) CFSP (guidelines); vital interests; changes to institutions..

(7) CFSP (guidelines).

(8) 'Constitutional' provisions; CFSP guidelines.

(9) Treaty revisions; European citizenship; accessions; taxation; own resources.

(10) Vital interests in CFSP area; taxation; own resources; reform of Treaties; language regime; accession.

(11) Water resources and soil use; regional planning; choice of energy sources; own resources; vital interests; defence.; revision of the Treaties.

(12) Treaty revisions; structure of the EU; own resources; taxation; CFSP.

(13) Vital interests; defence.

٠

r le

(14) Vital interests in CFSP area and defence; in general, only supports introducing QMV for certain areas.

(15) The UK opposes any extension of QMV.

(Commission): 'double qualified' majority for sensitive areas and unanimity only for 'constitutional' areas (preamble; fundamental principles; objectives of the EU and operation of the institutions).

" (EP): amendment of Treaty; Art. 235; enlargement; own resources; uniform electoral system

" no: status quo. These subjects have hardly been discussed.

*

Subject Insts. + MS	СОМ	EP	В	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	1	LUX	NL	A	Р	SF	s	UK
							2.4.	COMMIS	SION					_			
reduce number of Commissioners	ycs	yes	yes	no	ycs	yes	ycs	yes	no	ycs	no	уся	poss.	no	по	no	уся
at least one Commissioner per Member State	yes	ycs	ycs	yes		yes	yes		ycs	no	ycs	ycs	ycs	ycs	ycs	yes	no
only one Commissioner per Member State			yes	yes	no	yes	no	no	yes	no	yes		усз	yes	уса	уся	no
number of Commissioners different from number of Member States					ycs		yes	yes		yes							
Commissioners appointed by Commission President with agreement of Member States	yes	yes	yes	no		no			ycs	yes		yes	yes	no	no	no	
Commission President elected by EP from list drawn up by European Council	yes	yes	no	no	ycs	ycs	no	no	уся	yes	no	ycs	уся	yes	no	no	no
status quo: approval of Commissioners by EP		yes			yes		ycs		yes	ycs	ycs	yes					
right of EP to censure individual Commissioners		ycs	no	no	no			no	no	no	no	yes		no	no	no	no
maintenance of right of initiative	yes	yes	yes		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	ycs	yes	yes	ycs	no
strengthening of Commission's executive powers	yes	yes	no		no		no	no		no	no	no		no	по	по	no
commitology: simplification	yes	уся	yes	ycs		yes	уся		уся	yes	yes		yes	yes	ycs	yes	
commitology: status quo					ves				_								ves

. Pile **

7

*

Subject/Insts. + MS	сом	EP	в	DK	D	GR	Е	F	IRL		LUX	NL	Δ	P	SF	s	UK
			<u> </u>					2.5. ECJ		<u> </u>				J			
number of judges = number of Member States			yes		R	ycs	no		no	ycs	ycs	ycs	yes	yes	yes		yes
creation of two separate chambers			no		yes		no		no		yes	ycs	уся				ycs
longer term of office (9 years)	yes	yes			yes	yes	yes			ycs			уся	yes			yes
strengthen role on CFSP, CJHA, Schengen matters	yes	ycs	yes			yes	усз			ycs	ycs	ycs	ycs	yes	no		no
more flexible internal procedures	yes	yes					ycs			ycs		ycs				ycs	уся
extend conditions for bringing actions **		yes	R		уся	ycs	ycs				no	ycs		no	no		no
limit retrospective effects of judgments***	пö	no	no		ycs		по	ycs	no		no			no	R		ycs
limit liability of Member States	по	no	no		R		no		no		no			no	R		ycs
possibility of internal appeal against ECJ decisions	no	no			no										no		ycs
control of ECJ by Council	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	ycs

* The Court of Justice, for its part, would like to leave most of the amendments concerning it (number of chambers, possible internal appeals, etc.) to internal regulation, rather than introduce a whole series of revisions to the Treaty. In general, the Member States are divided with regard to the make-up, the powers, and the operation of the Court.

** There is a certain trend in favour with regard to the EP and the Court of Auditors, but against, as far as the ESC and Committee of the Regions are concerned.

*** Opposition to this hypothesis is extremely strong.

-

.

1

• •

Subject \Insts. + MS	сом	EP	B	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	1	LUX	NL	A	Р	SF	S	UK
							2.6. CO	URT OF A	DITORS								
increase number of members		no	no		yes		yes		no		no	no	no	no	no		no
extend powers to all political bodies					yes		ycs					ycs/R		yes/R			
extend powers to EDF/CFSP/CJAH		уся															
judicial powers and/or right of appeal to ECJ*		yes					yes		no	no	yes	уся	ycs				no
obligation of cooperation between national administrations and audit boards with Court of Auditors	yes	yes	yes		усз		ycs				ycs	yes	уся	no	ycs	ycs	ycs

.

.

*

-

* Cf. point 2.5. (extend conditions for bringing actions)

٠

ti la

Subject\Insts. + MS	СОМ	EP	в	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	s	ик
		-					2.7. ACTI	ON AGAIN	ST FRAUD	l							
Community sanctions imposed by a democratic procedure (codecision + QMV)		ycs	ycs														
revision of Article 209a: sanctions by Member States and legal basis	ycs	ycs	yes				усз										
strengthened controls by Court of Auditors		ycs	ycs				ycs			ycs	ycs		ycs	yes	yes	усз	
EP's remarks attached to discharge decisions to be binding		yes															
EP involvement in anti-fraud administrative controls		yes	no	ycs	ycs	ycs	ycs		ycs	ycs	no	ycs	yes	yes	no	ycs	уся
direct anti-fraud powers for Commission		yes	yes		no				yes		yes	yes		ycs	no		

*

**

٠

il la

2

-

Subject/Insts. + MS	сом	EP	В	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	1	LUX	NL	Α	P	SF	S	ик
						2.8	. DIFFERE	NTIATED	INTEGRAT	TON							
Europe à la carte	по	no	no			no	no		no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes
preservation of acquis communautaire	yes	yes	yes		yes	yes	ycs		уся	уся	yes	ycs	ycs	уся	ycs	ycs	
single institutional framework	усз	yes	уся		yes	yes	уся		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	
differentiated integration as a last resource and subject to the Reflection Group's (and/or similar) conditions	ycs	yes	yes		yes	no	ycs	yes	yes	уся	ycs	усз	ycs	ycs	ycs	ycs	
general flexibility clause	poss.	R'.	yes	yes"	yes	no	no	yes	no	R	yes	усв	no		R	R	

no = for first pillar and qualified majority decisions / yes = for 2nd and 3rd pillars, with conditions. yes = for first pillar + transitional measures for enlargement.

...

yes = for first pillar (exceptionally) and with conditions for the 2nd and 3rd pillars..

٠

1 kg

11

• •

Subject\Insts. + MS	СОМ	EP	В	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	s	ик
						1		OTHER BO TEE OF T	DIES: HE REGIOI	NS							
status: as at present									yes	yes							
administrative and budgetary independence from ESC		ycs	ycs	yes			yes				уса	yes	ycs	ycs	ycs		
status of Institution		-	yes					no					yes				
purely consultative role		yes			yes		ycs	ycs					ycs	yes	yes		
consultation by EP and ESC on same basis as by Council and Commission		ycs	yes				yes						yes	yes			
reinforced role in policies concerning its sphere		yes	yes					yes			ycs		yes				
access to ECJ in general*			yes				yes		no	no		no	yes	no			
access to ECJ on subsidiarity matters**			no				no			no		no	yes				

Subject Insts. + MS	сом	EP	в	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	Р	SF	s	ик
						2) THE	ECONOMI	C AND SO	CIAL COM	MITTEE							
status: as at present			yes		yes				yes	yes		yes					
status; greater autonomy							ycs							ycs	ycs		ycs
status of Institution													yes				
access to ECJ*							no		no	no	no	yes	ycs	по			
greater consultative role		R					yes		R		R		yes				

.

Cf. point 2.5. (extend conditions for bringing action) The ECJ has reservations as to the appropriateness of a Protocol on Subsidiarity **

1 le

..

Subject/Insts. + MS	сом	EP	В	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	S	UK
						A		THER MA		DN_							
need for a new classification*		yes	yes			yes	no					yes	no		no	ycs	no
greater powers for Commission, subject to controls		yes	ycs				yes										no
role for EP in administrative control of implementation of Community law		yes	no	усз		уся	yes				no	yes	ycs	no	no	yes	no

* Discussions in this connection tend to focus on the quality of legislation; however, France has even mentioned depriving directives of any direct effect, with UK support.

Subject/Insts. + MS	сом	EP	в	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	s	UK
				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	B. O	WN RES	DURCES A	ND BUDGI	ETARY PR	OCEDURE	S*		••••••				
fifth resource		yes															
multiannual programmes in Treaty		yes															
carry over budgetary matters		no	yes		yes	no	ycs				ycs	yes	yes		yes		yes
simplification of budgetary procedures*	ycs	ycs			yes	ycs	y c s			ycs	yes		yes		yes		
codecision of EP on budgetary matters*	yes	ycs	yes	no	no		no	по		yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
greater role for EP*	ycs	yes	yes	no	no	yes	no	no	yes	ycs	no	no	no	yes	no	ycs	no
assent of EP for budget revenue		yes		no	no	yes		no	yes		no	no	no		no	no	no

* Majority tendency in favour of the status quo for the time being. With regard to budgetary questions concerning the CFSP and the CJAH cf. points 3.1.(10) and 1.2.(1).

,

i le

11

~

۰.

Subject/Insts. + MS	СОМ	EP	В	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	1	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	s	UK
							<u>C</u> .	THE BUD	GET								
eliminate distinction between compulsory/non-compulsory expenditure; unified budget*		уся	yes	no	no	yes			no	уса	yes		no			no	
greater budgetary discipline		yes	yes		yes		ycs			yes	ycs	уся				уся	yes

* Majority trend in favour of the status quo for the time being.

مر

 ω^{i}

Subject\Insts. + MS	сом	EP	B	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	1	LUX	NL	Α	P	SF	S	UK
							D.	NEW POLI	CIES								
energy	yes	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no		yes	no	no	no
tourism	R	ves	no	no	no	yes	no	no	yes	yes	no	no		VCS	no	no	no
civil protection	R	VCS	no	no	ves	ves	no	no	yes	ycs	no	no		ves	no	no	no
maintain unanimity for Art. 235		yes	yes	yes	yes	ycs	уся	yes	усв	уся	уся						
enlarged role for EP		yes						no	yes				yes	yes	no		
Treaty provisions on sport		yes	yes								yes						
reinforce consumer protection		yes		yes	no		ycs	no		yes	yes		yes		yes	yes	
separate title on fisheries		уся															yes
harmonize certain forms of taxation (QMV)		yes	ycs				no				no	no					
European public service charter in Treaty	ycs	ycs	ycs				yes	yes									
reinforce role of economic and social cohesion		yes				yes	yes	ycs*	ycs					ycs			

* By introducing a European Charter of Public Services' in the Treaty.

i la

`•

Subject/Insts. + MS	сом	EP	В	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	S	UK
								E. EMU									
discuss at IGC*	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
reinforce economic coordination		yes		ycs										ycs			

* With regard to procedure, with the exception of France (cf. 2.1.) a certain number of countries are in favour of retaining the cooperation procedure. Moreover, there is unanimous agreement on leaving EMU entirely untouched.

.

**

J

.

1 le

3. REINFORCEMENT OF THE UNION'S CAPACITY FOR EXTERNAL ACTION: THE SECOND PILLAR

Subject\Insts. + MS	сом	EP	в	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	S	UK
							3.1.	THE CFSP									
move towards integration into Community pillar ¹	ycs	yes	ycs	по	ycs	yes	ycs	no	no	уся	yes	уса	ycs	no			no
power of initiative for Commission ²	ycs	yes	ycs		ycs	yes		yes		y c s	yes	ycs	ycs	ycs	no		no
central planning and proposal unit ³	yes	yes	ycs	ycs	yes	yes	ycs	yes	ycs	yes	ycs	ycs	уся	ycs	ycs	yes	ycs
QMV [*] as general rule on CFSP matters ⁴	ycs	ycs	уся	no	ycs	no	no	no	no	ycs	yes	уся	уса	no	no	no	no
unanimity as general rule for CFSP guidelines ⁵				yes		ycs	ycs	ycs	yes					ycs	yes	yes	усв
QMV (CFSP implementation) ⁶	усз	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	ycs	ycs	yes	ycs	ycs		ycs		

¹ Progressive development with regard to the institutions and procedures on the basis of the political will of the Member States is widely accepted, which indicates the lack of any real ambitions on the part of several Member States in this connection.

² Cf. 7.

³ General support, with various formulae: CFSP Commissioner/Council Secretary-General for CFSP; Commission/Member States; Council Secretary-General for CFSP; a new troika-presidency/Mr CFSP/Commission; political committee, etc.

⁴ Support specifying the advantage of not making distinctions between basic decisions and decisions to implement.

⁵ While questioning their usefulness, formulae involving 'constructive abstention' have a large number of supporters, as does the limiting of appeals to 'vital interests'.

\$\$

6 Cf. 4.

i le

* Cf. 1.3 (CJHA); 2.3 (Council); and 3.2 (defence policy).

~

	T	1							r	T T	[T The second		
Subject\Insts. + MS	СОМ	EP	B	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	S	UK
							3.1 TH	E CFSP (con	t.)								
representation of Commission in cooperation with Council ¹	ycs	yes	yes								ycs	уся	yes				
representation of Council ¹					yes	ycs	yes		yes					ycs	уся	yes	ycs*
representation by 'Mr X'/senior representative ²	no	no	no	ycs	no	no	yes	ycs	no	yes	no	no	no	усз	no		yes*
'new specific function' ('face and voice' of EU)'	R	ycs			усв			ycs		yes			poss.	yes		ycs	ycs
CFSP to be funded from EC budget ⁴	ycs	yes	yes		no	yes	yes	no		ycs	yes	уся	yes	ycs	yes	ycs	no
international legal personality for the EU ³	yes	yes	R**	R**		yes	yes	R**		ycs		R**	уся		уся		R**
regrouping of the various aspects of the external policies ⁶	yes	усз					yes			yes			усз		ycs		

- ¹ Clear-cut division between those in favour of extending the Commission's role (including the EP) and the supporters of leaving CFSP management and responsibility to the Council (majority of Member States).
- ² Very strong opposition to this proposal on the part of numerous Member States.
- ³ Tendaecy to strengthen the role of the Troika.
- Majority support for CFSP operational funding from the Community budget on the basis of the three following conditions: funding by the Member States in exceptional cases; preservation of the Council's prerogatives in the CFSP arena (adoption of positions); respect for the financial perspective. For certain Member States, CFSP costs ought to form part of compulsory expenditure.
- ⁵ At present, the pragmatic approach seems to be inevitable, while the political and technical debate continues on this EP request.
- ⁶ A certain inconsistency exists between the desire for a common EU voice for CFSP and the rejection of a similar approach to external economic relations.
- * Member of Council staff, of the same rank as Secretary-General and answerable only to the Council.
- ** Uphold the status quo and develop a pragmatic approach.

JF/bo/249/96 (30.9.96) DOC_EN\DV\310\310047

r la

Subject/Insts. + MS	Сом	EP	В	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	1	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	s	UK
							3.1. TH	IE CFSP (con	it.)								
introduction of codecision (esp. Art. 113 - common commercial policy)*	ycs	ycs	R		no		no	no			R	R					no
communitarization of EDF		yes															
diplomatic representation of the EU*	ycs	yes					yes							ycs			
parliamentary control by EP and national parliaments*		yes	yes	yes	yes	ycs	yes	ycs***		yes	уся	ycs					
EP to be consulted on joint positions and joint actions		yes	ycs	ycs	yes	yes	yes			ycs	уся	ýcs		ycs			no

÷ .

.,

Cf. point 2.1. for assent on international agreements (Art. 228). Other questions such as non-consultation of the EP (Art. 113) and the rights of the EP when an international agreement is suspended (consultation) are also under discussion. The extension to Article 113 proposed by the Commission is rejected by several Member States, which prefer the 'code of conduct' system. In general, the majority tendency is opposed to extending Community powers in the field of external economic relations.

** In a different connection, several Member States appear to be in favour of allowing the Union to speak with a single voice within international economic organizations, even in areas which do not come under exclusive Community powers. The final position will be agreed within the Council on a Commission initiative.

*** The EP will be informed of the progress of the negotiations by the High Representative, Mr CFSP.

**** The EP, while preserving its budgetary powers, is not asking for co-decision on these matters.

4

.

.

i le

	T			·													
Subject\Insts. + MS	сом	EP	В	DK	D	GR	E	F	IRL	I	LUX	NL	A	P	SF	S	U7K
						<u>3.2.</u> D	EFENCE I	POLICY ANI	THE WEU	J						_	
gradual integration into the EU ¹	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	ycs	yes	ycs	no	no	no	по	no
incorporation of Petersberg missions' ²	yes	yes	yes	yes	ycs	yes	yes	ycs	R	ycs	ycs	уся	ycs	ycs	ycs	ycs	no
military actions to be agreed by a majority of EU Member States ³	ycs	yes	yes	ycs	уся	no	y c s	yes	no	yes	ycs	ycs	no		no	no	no
political and financial solidarity 4	yes	yes	yes	ycs	yes	yes	yes	yes		yes	yes	ycs	no				
deletion of Art. 223 and introduction of common policy on armaments ³	R	ycs	yes		yes			ycs		yes	ycs	ycs			no	no	
common defence policy for the protection of the EU's and the Member States' frontiers and the Member States' territorial integrity ⁶	ycs	ycs	yes		ycs	yes	ycs	yes	no	ycs	ycs	ycs		no	no	no	no
the WEU as the European pillar of NATO '	yes	ycs	ycs		ycs	yes	ycs	yes		ycs	ycs	ycs		yes			ycs

¹ Majority trend in favour of integration but with no agreement as to timetable. Possible 'opting-in' protocol under discussion.

- ² Principle accepted almost unanimously. The 'crisis management' which would involve the use of combat forces is being contested by certain neutral countries.
- ³ No agreement on the decision-making process (Cf. 3.1.(4) and (5)).
- ⁴ Discussions are concentating on the advantage of introducing this clause into the CFSP provisions or as a general clause within the EU Treaty. Cf. 2.8.
- ⁵ General trend is to leave Art. 223 unamended; there is debate between the adavocates of arms control and those supporting building up the European armaments industry.
- ⁶ The idea of a joint defence policy is making progress, even among the neutral and non-aligned states, with the exception of Art. 5 of the WEU Treaty (opinions are divided as to the guarantee on territorial integrity).
- ⁷ Issues such as EU/WEU/NATO and the use of NATO infrastructure by the WEU remain open.

\$

JF/bo/249/96 (30.9.96) DOC_EN\DV\310\310047 .

1 to