

# Annual report 2003

Community Plant Variety Office



# Annual report 2003

Community Plant Variety Office

*Europe Direct is a service to help you find answers  
to your questions about the European Union*

**Freephone number:**

**00 800 6 7 8 9 10 11**

A great deal of additional information on the European Union is available on the Internet. It can be accessed through the Europa server (<http://europa.eu.int>).

Cataloguing data can be found at the end of this publication.

Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 2004

ISBN 92-9152-119-1

© Community Plant Variety Office, 2004

Reproduction is authorised provided the source is acknowledged.

*Printed in Italy*

PRINTED ON WHITE CHLORINE-FREE PAPER

# CONTENTS

1. Introduction by Bart Kiewiet, President of the CPVO . . . . .	5
2. Foreword by Carlos Godinho, Chairman of the Administrative Council . . . . .	9
3. The Community plant variety rights system . . . . .	11
4. The Administrative Council . . . . .	13
5. Staff of the CPVO . . . . .	17
6. Public access to documents held by the CPVO . . . . .	21
7. Finance and budget . . . . .	22
8. Developments in the Community system . . . . .	24
Statistics . . . . .	24
Relations with examination offices . . . . .	28
9. Contacts with external organisations . . . . .	33
10. Relationship with Directorate-General for Health and Consumer Protection . . . . .	36
11. Decisions of the Board of Appeal in 2003 . . . . .	38
12. Examination offices entrusted by the Administrative Council to undertake technical examination on behalf of the CPVO . . . . .	41
13. Annex (Board of Appeal) . . . . .	65

# 1. INTRODUCTION BY BART KIEWIET, PRESIDENT OF THE CPVO



## • The state of the Community plant variety rights system

It starts to become a repetitive story. In 2003 the Community plant variety rights system saw another increase in the number of applications as well as the number of titles granted. The Office received more than 2 500 applications and granted 1 910 Community rights. The total number of varieties protected under the Community system on 31 December of the report year was 8 875, an important increase compared with 7 798, the number reached at the end of the previous year. As these statistics show, an equilibrium fortunately has still not been reached by far, let alone a deficit, between titles granted and titles surrendered. This means that a further growth of the total number of Community rights in force is to be expected in the coming years.

As from 1 April 2003 new levels have applied in respect of the examination and the annual fees. These fees are, for a period of three years, fixed at a lower level than necessary to cover the costs to be financed out of these fees. As a result the CPVO will eat into its financial reserve. In 2003, with only nine months of new fees, the accounts of the CPVO showed a deficit of around EUR 1 million. For the coming two budget years deficits in the order of EUR 3 million are forecasted. As a consequence the reserve will have reached a level of around EUR 6 million at the end of the transitional period. A structural reserve of that order is considered by the CPVO Administrative Council as a sufficient financial safety belt for the CPVO, with its annual turnover of around EUR 10 million.

The conclusion is that the Community system is in good shape and the perspectives for the future are positive.

I would like to highlight the following subjects and developments.

## • EU enlargement

Although accession of the new Member States to the EU will only become a reality on 1 May 2004, representatives of the countries in question participated in an observer status for the first time in a regular meeting of the Administrative Council held on 5 and 6 November of the report year. To underline the importance of this event, the Office had organised a seminar attended by, apart from the members of the Administrative Council, representatives of the new Member States, local and regional authorities, representatives of the breeders' organisations and experts from the examination offices, that form the technical infrastructure of the CPVO testing system. At the seminar different aspects of the Community system were highlighted from different angles.

In order to prepare the new Member States for participation in the management of the system, delegations of the CPVO have visited most of the new Member States twice. On the occasion of these visits the state of the technical infrastructure as concerns DUS





*The 'Hôtel Bordeaux-Montrieux',  
premises of the CPVO in Angers*

testing was evaluated. Furthermore, information was given about the functioning of the CPVO and its Administrative Council.

The extension of the Community system to the territory of the new Member States adds to its attractiveness for breeders. The growth of the number of applications in 2003 can be explained partly as a reflection of the added value that the coming EU enlargement will render to Community plant variety rights.

- **Community jurisdiction.**

Under Community law, there is no common legal system in place as regards infringement procedures for plant variety rights. The procedures are governed by national law. The rules of procedure of the relevant State applicable to the same type of action relating to corresponding national property rights have to be followed. This implies that 15, and after enlargement 25, procedures apply in respect of the enforcement of one and the same right. This is an unfortunate situation.

To maximise the benefits of a Community plant variety right, the jurisdiction in respect of infringements should become the competence of a Community court under the ultimate jurisdiction of the European Court of Justice. Taking into account the size of the system it seems logical that such a court would be linked to, or integrated in, the Community Patent Court, the creation of which has been proposed by the European Commission.

- **Subsidies**

In 2003, the CPVO granted subsidies for three R & D projects considered to be relevant for the improvement of the quality and the efficiency of DUS testing performed on behalf of the CPVO. One project is related to DUS testing of varieties of a species, *Calluna vulgaris*, in respect of which the testing has been centralised. The examination office in question is responsible for this project. The other two projects concern (groups of) species, vegetables and maize respectively, in respect of which different examination offices are competent. These projects are the object of cooperation of the offices in question.

Furthermore a subsidy has been granted for the co-financing of the reference collection of chrysanthemum and dahlia in the United Kingdom.

The total amount of the subsidies is EUR 140 000.

I consider the CPVO subsidy scheme an important tool to stimulate examination offices to upgrade the methods of DUS testing and to rationalise the management of reference collections.



*Bunch of carnations*



*Lagerstroemia in CPVO's garden*

### • **Internal audit**

As an element of the reform process of the European Union, the Basic Regulation of the CPVO has been amended. The amendments relate to access to documents and to the creation of an internal audit function in the Office. The first subject is being dealt with in a separate chapter of this report. I will comment on the second subject only.

The internal auditor is appointed by the President of the CPVO to whom he reports. Contrary to the Community agencies (partly) financed out of the general budget of the European Communities, the internal auditor of the Commission does not fulfill this function in respect of the CPVO.

As a result of a call for tender organised by the Office the accountancy firm Price Waterhouse Coopers has been selected as the CPVO internal auditor. The internal auditor shall advise the Office on dealing with risks, by issuing independent opinions on the quality of management, and control systems and by issuing recommendations to promote sound financial management.

It is my intention to report to the Administrative Council each year the number and type of internal audits conducted, the recommendations made and the actions taken on these recommendations.

### • **Cooperation with**

#### — **national authorities**

- Especially on a technical level the cooperation with the national authorities responsible for the implementation of national plant variety protection schemes is frequent. The institute of the 'technical liaison officer' makes it possible to consult in an efficient way national technical experts on issues relevant for the Community system. The inclusion of experts of the acceding countries in the CPVO network of technical liaison officers will facilitate the integration of these countries in this system.

#### — **the Commission**

- The contacts with the Commission services, more in particular with Health and Consumer Protection DG (SanCo) and Personnel and Administration DG (Admin), have been frequent as well. Subjects of cooperation and consultation were amongst other things the drafting of technical guidelines, legislation on denominations, modification of the Basic Regulation in respect of compulsory licences (SanCo); the modification of the financial regulation and the modification of the Staff Regulations (Admin).





— UPOV

- Although the EU is not yet a member of UPOV, the relations with this organisation are very close. Members of the CPVO staff attend meetings of most of the organs of UPOV and have contributed to the drafting of the general introduction, technical guidelines, rules in respect of denominations and other UPOV documents or position papers. The Vice Secretary General of UPOV attends in an observer status the AC meetings, while the technical director of UPOV participates in the annual meeting with the examination offices. A new element of the cooperation between CPVO and UPOV is the creation of a variety denominations database.

— Breeders organisations

- Representatives of ESA and Ciopora have participated in a meeting with the members of the Administrative Council. The meeting took place before the meeting of the Administrative Council in March of the report year and items on the agenda of that meeting were discussed.

The members of the CPVO staff have regular contacts with the secretariats of ESA and Ciopora about issues of mutual interest. Representatives of these organisations participate in meetings of technical experts organised by the Office and the annual meeting with the examination offices. Representatives of these organisations play an advisory role as regards R & D projects, which are the subject of a subsidy request.

I would like to thank all those who have contributed to the success of the Community plant variety protection system. The year 2004 will, with the coming enlargement and the tenth anniversary of the Community system, be a historical year for the European Union. A Union of 25 States offers new challenges and opportunities for the CPVO. Our staff is ready to meet them.

**Bart Kiewiet**  
*President of the CPVO*



## 2. FOREWORD BY CARLOS GODINHO, CHAIRMAN OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE COUNCIL



I would like to start this foreword by expressing my acknowledgement and appreciation for the excellent work done in the framework of the Community plant variety protection system by the CPVO President and his team. The increase in the number of applications in 2003 illustrates once again that the Community system is appreciated by breeders who seek protection for their new varieties on a European scale.

The forthcoming accession of 10 States to the European Community will have a major impact on the Community system. Community plant variety rights will, as from 1 May 2004, cover the territory of 25 European States. Examination offices of the new Member States will be integrated in the technical network of the CPVO.

Also for the Administrative Council the enlargement of the Community will have important implications. Ten new members and their alternates will be involved in the work of this steering organ of the Office. The Administrative Council has decided to invite representatives of the new Member States to participate in its meetings organised after 1 May 2003 in an observer status. It was a pleasure to start the cooperation with our new colleagues in this fashion. I am convinced that an enlargement of the Administrative Council will not affect its effectiveness. On the contrary, I expect that the contributions of our new colleagues will have added value for our decisions.

It was a pleasure for me to participate in a CPVO delegation, alternately with Peter Zach, the Vice-Chairman of the Administrative Council, that has visited all the new Member States. These visits have proven to be a useful tool in making our new colleagues acquainted with the specificities of the Community system.

We said goodbye to some (alternate) members of the Administrative Council: Mr Andersen from Denmark, Mr Rutz from Germany, Mr de Gorostiza from Spain, Mrs Morelli-Gradi from Italy, Mr Coleman and last but not least Mr Obst, both from the Commission. I would like to thank them all for their valuable contributions to the work of the Office.

For the first time my contribution to the annual report contains the analysis and the assessment of 'The authorising officer's report' for 2003. This document was adopted, as prescribed by the new Financial Regulation, by the Administrative Council at its meeting of 24 and 25 March 2004.

### • **Analysis and assessment of the authorising officer's report**

The President of the Community Plant Variety Office presented to the Administrative Council at its meeting in Angers on 24 and 25 March 2004, the annual activity report for the year 2003.

The Administrative Council analysed and assessed the report and came to the following conclusions.





1. The continued growth of the system makes reliable forecasts rather difficult and the reduction of the reserve needs to be carried out in a prudent way. The deficit obtained in 2003 is a step in the right direction and confirms the strategy followed.
2. The high rate of budget execution confirmed the quality of the budget forecast. Wages and technical examinations expenditures almost fully used the budgetary possibilities.
3. The Administrative Council welcomes the good start made with regard to the formalisation of internal control and the risk assessment and is interested in learning about the results of the internal audit once these become available.
4. The Administrative Council takes note of the information on ex post verifications, negotiated procedures and the confirmation of instructions.
5. The Administrative Council takes note of the declaration of the authorising officer that his report gives a true view and that he has reasonable assurance that the resources assigned to the activities described in his report have been used for their intended purpose and in accordance with the principles of sound financial management, and that the control procedures put in place give the necessary guarantees concerning the legality and regularity of the underlying transactions. The Administrative Council is satisfied that the President of the CPVO is unaware of any matter not reported which could harm the interests of the CPVO.

**Carlos Godinho**  
*Chairman of the  
Administrative Council*

### 3. THE COMMUNITY PLANT VARIETY RIGHTS SYSTEM


The introduction of a Community plant variety system in 1995 proved to be a successful initiative that has been welcomed by the business community seeking intellectual property protection for new plant varieties. As has been mentioned in the introduction of this annual report, the number of applications has increased every year and the Community Plant Variety Office ('the Office') has adapted its size and organisation to meet the increased demand.

The prospect of 10 new Member States of the EU within the immediate future is welcomed and the Office believes that this will increase the attractiveness of the Community plant variety system even more. Subsequent to the enlargement, breeders may be granted protection guaranteeing exclusive exploitation rights for a variety throughout 25 countries on the basis of a single application to the Office. The coming enlargement has raised a number of questions of practical and legal nature. For this reason, the Office has published a document, FAQ, on its website giving the Office's views on these questions.

The Community plant variety system is not intended to replace or even harmonise national systems but rather to exist alongside them as an alternative; indeed, it is not possible for the owner of a variety simultaneously to exploit a Community plant variety right (CPVR) and a national right or patent in relation to that variety. Where a CPVR already exists in relation to a variety, any national right or patent granted for that variety will be ineffective. Where a CPVR is granted in relation to a variety for which a national right or patent has already been granted, the national right or patent is rendered ineffective for the duration of the CPVR.

The legal basis for the Community plant variety system is found in Council Regulation 2100/94 (hereunder 'the Basic Regulation'). On receipt of an application for a CPVR, the Office must establish that the variety is novel and that it satisfies the criteria of distinctness, uniformity and stability (DUS). The Office may arrange for a technical examination to determine DUS, to be carried out by the competent offices in Member States or by other appropriate agencies outside the European Community. In order to avoid unnecessary duplication of work, where such a technical examination is being, or has already been, carried out in relation to a variety for official purposes, the Office may, subject to certain conditions, accept the results of that examination.

Anyone may lodge an objection to the grant of a CPVR with the Office, in writing and within specified time limits. The grounds for objection are restricted to allegations either that the conditions laid down in Articles 7 to 11 of the Basic Regulation are not met (distinctness, uniformity, stability, novelty, or entitlement), or that the proposed variety denomination is unsuitable due to one of the impediments listed in Article 63. Objectors become parties to the application proceedings and are entitled to access to relevant documents.



*Pelargonium* DUS trials*Phalaenopsis* DUS trials

Except in two specific instances where a direct action against a decision of the Office may be brought before the European Court of Justice, a right of appeal against such a decision lies with a Board of Appeal consisting of a chairman, appointed by the Council and two other members selected by the chairman from a list compiled by the Administrative Council. The addressee of a decision, or another person to whom its content is of direct and individual concern, may appeal against it. After examining the appeal, the Board may exercise any power within the competence of the Office or remit the case to the Office which is bound by the Board's decision. Actions may be brought before the European Court of Justice against decisions of the Board.

The table in Annex on page 65 gives, since the beginning, the number of notice(s) of appeal lodged with the CPVO and the decisions reached by the Board of Appeal.

Once granted, the duration of a CPVR is 25 years, or 30 years in the case of potato, vine and tree varieties. These periods may be extended by legislation for a further five years in relation to specific genera or species. The effect of a CPVR is that certain specified activities in relation to variety constituents or harvested material of the newly protected variety require the prior authorisation of the holder of the right, whose authorisation may be made subject to conditions and limitations. Infringement of a CPVR entitles the holder of the right to commence civil proceedings against the perpetrator of the infringement.

Registers, open to public inspection, contain details of all applications received, and all CPVRs granted, by the Office. Every two months, the Office publishes its *Gazette of the Community Plant Variety Office* which also provides this information, as well as other material.



## 4. THE ADMINISTRATIVE COUNCIL

The Office is supervised by an Administrative Council comprising a representative from each Member State and one from the European Commission, and their alternates.

The Administrative Council monitors the activities of the Office. In particular, it is responsible for examining the management report of the President, adopting the budget of the Office, and granting discharge to the President in respect of its implementation. In addition, it may provide advice, establish rules on working methods within the Office and issue guidelines on technical examinations, committees of the Office and general matters.

The Administrative Council met three times in 2003, on 26 and 27 March, 16 September and on 5 and 6 November.


At the **meeting held on 26 and 27 March**, the accounts for the financial year 2002 were presented along with the preliminary draft budget for 2004.

The members of the Administrative Council granted discharge to the President of the CPVO for the implementation of the budget for 2001. They also adopted:

- the supplementary and amending budget for 2003;
- a new remuneration scale for the chairman and members of the Board of Appeal;
- a limitation of the payment of travel and subsistence expenses for members of the Administrative Council attending meetings of the Administrative Council to one representative per delegation;
- new rules for managing charge accounts;
- 14 technical protocols for the following ornamental and fruit species: CPVO-TP/86/1 Draft 3 (*Anthurium*), CPVO-TP/158/1 Draft 4 (*Bouvardia*), CPVO-TP/188/1 Draft 4 (*Celosia*), CPVO-TP/27/1 Draft 5 (*Freesia*), CPVO-TP/182/1 Draft 4 (*Guzmania*), CPVO-TP/135/1 Draft 3 (*Spathiphyllum*), CPVO-TP/177/1 Draft 3 (*Zandeteschia*), CPVO-TP/Fuchsia/1 Draft 4 (*Fuchsia*), CPVO-TP/196/1 Draft 4 (*New Guinea Impatiens*), CPVO-TP/14/1 Draft 5 (*Apple*), CPVO-TP/53/1 Draft 5 (*Peach/Nectarine*), CPVO-TP/15/1 Draft 5 (*Pear*), CPVO-TP/22/1 Draft 5 (*Strawberry*), CPVO-TP/78/2 Draft 3 (*Kalanchoe*);
- general technical questionnaires for ornamental species of pot plants, perennials, trees, shrubs and climbing shrubs, as well as cut flowers.

Finally, several important matters were discussed at the meeting, including:

- the project involving a centralised database for variety denominations. The first interim report on the project was presented to the members of the Administrative Council;
- the organisation of internal control and internal audit;





Meeting of the Administrative Council

- the first meeting of phytoplasma experts;
- postponement of the testing of a number of varieties, in accordance with Article 56(6) of the Basic Regulation.

Finally, the members of the Administrative Council agreed to hold a colloquium when the acceding States first attend the Administrative Council in November 2003.

At the **meeting held in Brussels on 16 September**, those members of the Administrative Council who were present and entitled to vote, and those voting by proxy, unanimously adopted the following items:

- the second supplementary and amending budget for 2003;
- the CPVO's financial regulation and its implementing rules.

Moreover, the Administrative Council voted in favour of introducing a cross-reference between Commission Regulation (EC) No 930/2000 and the CPVO's guidelines on variety denominations, and of adopting a flexible approach to geographical indications as variety denominations.

Finally, the Administrative Council appointed the new examination centres put forward by the CPVO.

At the **meeting held on 5 and 6 November**, those members of the Administrative Council who were present and entitled to vote, and those voting by proxy, unanimously adopted the following items:

- the draft budget for 2004;
- the following CPVO technical protocols: CPVO-TP/98/1 Draft 4 (*Actinidia Lindl.*), CPVO-TP/20/1 Draft 6 (*Avena sativa L.*), CPVO-TP/CALIBR/1 Draft 3 (*Calibrachoa Llave & Lex*), CPVO-TP/94/1 Draft 5 (*Calluna vulgaris L. Hull*), CPVO-TP/171/1 Draft 5 (*Ficus benjamina L.*), CPVO-TP/133/1 Draft 6 (*Hydrangea L.*), CPVO-TP/PETUNIA/1 Draft 3 (*Petunia Juss.*), CPVO-TP/PHALAE/1 Draft 4 (*Phalaenopsis Blume*), CPVO-TP/07/1 Draft 7 (*Pisum sativum L. sensu lato*), CPVO-TP/35/1 Draft 4 (*Prunus avium (L.) L., Prunus cerasus L.*), CPVO-TP/41/1 Draft 4 (*Prunus domestica L.*), CPVO-TP/84/1 Draft 4 (*Prunus salicina Lindl. & other diploid plums*), CPVO-TP/43/1 Draft 4 (*Rubus idaeus L.*), CPVO-TP/101/1 Draft 5 (*Schlumbergera Lem* (including *Zygocactus K. Schum*)), CPVO-TP/50/1 Draft 5 (*Vitis L.*), CPVO-TP/121/1 Draft 7 (*X Triticosecale Witt.*), CPVO-TP/19/2 Draft 1 (*Hordeum vulgare L. sensu lato.*), CPVO-TP/03/3 Draft 1 (*Triticum aestivum L. emend Fiori et Paol*), CPVO-TP/120/2 Draft 1 (*Triticum durum Desf.*).

The members of the Administrative Council also agreed to develop the second phase of the project involving a centralised database for variety denominations and approved the necessary financial resources. They appointed the new technical liaison officers




from the acceding States and approved the redistribution of DUS trials for fruit species, which will no longer be conducted by the United Kingdom as of 2005.

The Administrative Council also took note of the following items:

- the financial situation in 2003;
- the CPVO's intention to cancel the EUR 150 000 in unpaid invoices which it cannot collect;
- amendments to the Basic Regulation with regard to 'access to documents' and 'audit and control';
- the selection of Price Waterhouse Coopers, as part of the administrative reform and the introduction of an internal audit function, to audit the CPVO's accounts as of January 2004;
- the approval by the President of the CPVO of four of the seven projects involving research and development and the maintenance of reference collections presented in 2003. Two of the three projects rejected may be eligible for co-financing following adaptation and re-evaluation.

Finally, the members of the Administrative Council were informed of the forthcoming review of contracts with the examination offices. They will be offered new contracts in September 2004. The review will include a quality assessment and an analysis of the cost of examinations.






CPVO seminar

## CHAIRMAN OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE COUNCIL

**Mr C. Pereira Godinho** (Portugal)

## MEMBERS OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE COUNCIL

<b>Belgium</b>	Ms C. Vanslebrouck Vacant
<b>Denmark</b>	Mr H-J. Andersen Mrs M. Buus (alternate)
<b>Germany</b>	Mr U. Von Kroecher Mr J. Habben (alternate)
<b>Greece</b>	Mr M. Gavras Mr E. Zagillis (alternate)
<b>Spain</b>	Mr R. Lopez de Haro y Wood Mr L. Salaices (alternate)
<b>France</b>	Mr B. Mathon Ms N. Bustin (alternate)
<b>Ireland</b>	Mr J. Carvill Mr G. Rennick (alternate)
<b>Italy</b>	Mr G. Teti Vacant
<b>Luxembourg</b>	Mr C. Conter Mr M. Weyland (alternate)
<b>The Netherlands</b>	Mr G. van der Lely Mr C. van Winden (alternate)
<b>Austria</b>	Mr H.-P. Zach (Vice-chairman) Vacant
<b>Portugal</b>	Mr C. Pereira Godinho (Chairman) Ms M.-T. Carrilho (alternate)
<b>Finland</b>	Mr A. Vuori Vacant
<b>Sweden</b>	Mr G. Karltorp Mrs M. Sjöblom (alternate)
<b>United Kingdom</b>	Mrs H. Peck Mr J. Dix (alternate)
<b>European Commission</b>	Mr R. Coleman (until September 2003, thereafter Mrs Husu Kallio) Mr J. Gennatas





## 5. STAFF OF THE CPVO

In December 2003, the Office comprised 11 officials, 23 temporary agents and one auxiliary agent. Eleven nationalities from the European Union's Member States were represented.


### • Organisation of the Office

Under the general direction of its President, assisted by the Vice-President, the Office is organised internally in two units and three support services dealing with legal, personnel and IT matters.

- **The Technical Unit**, the principal tasks of which are the following: general co-ordination of the various technical sectors of the Community plant variety rights system; reception and checking of applications for protection; organisation of technical examinations and technical reports; organisation of variety denomination examinations; preparation for granting of rights; production of official technical publications; relations with applicants, national offices, stakeholders and international organisations; active participation in international committees of technical experts; and cooperation in the development of technical analyses and studies intended to improve the system.
- **The Finance and Administrative Unit** is active in two areas:
  - *administrative section*: awarding of contracts for goods, services or works in compliance with the CPVO Financial Regulation procedures; conclusion, administration and management of contracts; organisation of the Office's publications; administration, management and monitoring of the Office's inventory of movable property and buildings; administration or requirements in logistical, office automation, computing and operational resources with a view to ensuring the smooth functioning of the Office;
  - *financial section*: management of the financial workflow of the Office (commitment, validation, authorisation and payment of expenditure, in particular that relating to technical matters); recovery of revenue, reimbursement of undue sums; reserve funds and cash, maintenance of the budgetary and general accounting and preparation of budgets and financial documents; management of the fees system.
- **The legal support service** furnishes legal advice to the President and other members of the Office staff in the first place on matters related to the Community plant variety rights system, but also on questions of an administrative nature; it provides legal interpretations and opinions and also draws up draft legislation; it participates in various CPVO committees, thus ensuring that Community procedures and legislation are respected; it manages objections to applications for




# Organisational



\* The above organisational chart comprises those members of the CPVO employed as officials, temporary or auxiliary agents on 31 December 2003

# W UfhcZH Y7 DJC†





*Staff of the CPVO*

CPVR's. Managing the secretariat of the Office's Board of Appeals is also one of its tasks.

- **The personnel support service** deals with the administration and management of the Office's human resources.
- **The IT team** ensures that the Office runs smoothly in computing terms. Its tasks include: analysis of the Office's hardware and software requirements; design, development and installation of new programmes specific to the Office; installation of standard programmes; maintenance of the computer installation and its administration; the computer system's security; the helpdesk and inter-institutional cooperation in computing.



## 6. PUBLIC ACCESS TO DOCUMENTS HELD BY THE CPVO

The Office has always had a policy of transparency providing breeders and the public access to documents whilst at the same time safeguarding interest where confidentiality must be taken into account. This policy was formalised in 1999, when the Administrative Council adopted rules on working methods in relation to public access to documents of the Office. This policy is in-line with the policy within the institutions of the EU.


However, in 2001 specific rules on public access to documents held by the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission were introduced by the adoption of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 <sup>(1)</sup>. In order for these rules to apply also to documents held by the Office, a new article, Article 33(a), was introduced to the Basic Regulation in 2003 by the adoption of Council Regulation (EC) No 1650/2003 <sup>(2)</sup>.

Article 33(a) contains the following elements.

- Regulation 1049/2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents shall also apply to documents held by the Office. This provision entered into force on 1 October 2003.
- The Administrative Council shall adopt practical arrangements for implementing Regulation 1049/2001 before 1 April 2004.
- Decisions taken by the Office on public access to documents may form the subject of a complaint to the Ombudsman or of an action before the Court of Justice.

Regulation 1049/2001, as well as the rules to be adopted by the Administrative Council, will be made available on the website of the Office. Information on these rules and forms to use when requesting access to a document will also be published on the website of the Office.

The Office will follow up the implementation and application of the rules on public access to documents by reporting annually on information such as the number of cases in which the Office refused to grant access to documents and the reasons for such refusals. The report will be published in the annual report of the Office.



<sup>(1)</sup> Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents, OJ L 145, 31.5.2001, p. 43.

<sup>(2)</sup> Council Regulation (EC) No 1650/2003 of 18 June 2003 amending Regulation (EC) No 2100/94 on Community plant variety rights, OJ L 245, 29.9.2003, p. 28.



## 7. FINANCE AND BUDGET

### Revenue

The Office's revenue basically comprises various fees paid by applicants for and holders of Community plant variety rights and revenue from interest on bank accounts. The total revenue collected in 2003 was EUR 8.8 million.

The principal types of revenue collected in 2003 are broken down as follows:

- **Fees**

Fees received in 2003 totalled EUR 8.20 million. This amount was composed of:

EUR 2.29 million in application fees, EUR 1.87 million in examination fees, EUR 0.16 million in report fees, EUR 3.77 million in annual fees and EUR 0.11 million in miscellaneous fees.

- **Interest on bank accounts**

EUR 0.40 million was collected in interest on the Office's current accounts.

- **Other revenue**

EUR 0.14 million was recorded mainly corresponding to proceeds from the sale of the Office's Official Gazette.

### Expenditure

The total amount for recorded expenditure and commitments carried over was EUR 10.2 million.

- **Staff expenditure**

The total amount of staff expenditure was EUR 3.3 million. Some 98 % of the appropriations for wages were used.

- **Expenditure on buildings and movable property and miscellaneous administrative expenditure**

The total amount for this expenditure committed was EUR 0.8 million, comprising EUR 0.6 million disbursed during the year and EUR 0.2 million to be disbursed in the following years.



Meeting at the CPVO



Alstroemeria at the 'Salon du végétal', 2004


- **Operational expenditure**

The total amount for this expenditure committed was EUR 6.0 million, comprising EUR 1.2 million disbursed during the year and EUR 4.8 million to be disbursed over the following years.

### **Out-turn for the financial year and accumulated reserve fund**

The net out-turn for the year is the difference between revenue and expenditure, including carryovers of commitments to subsequent years and commitments carried over from the previous year that were not used and therefore were cancelled.

		<i>(million EUR)</i>
Budget out-turn for the year 2003	- 1.41	<b>Total</b>
Cancelled commitments carried over from the previous year	0.25	<b>- 1.16</b>
Out-turn for the previous year	13.98	
<b>Out-turn to be brought forward (reserve fund)</b>		<b>12.82</b>




## 8. DEVELOPMENTS IN THE **COMMUNITY SYSTEM**

### Statistics

#### Applications for Community plant variety protection

In 2003, the Office received 2 516 applications for Community plant variety protection. As illustrated in Table 1, this represents another increase (+ 13.1 %) compared to the previous year 2002.



GRAPH 1: EVOLUTION OF THE ANNUAL NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS FOR COMMUNITY PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION (YEARS 1996 –2003)

Vegetable species as illustrated in Graph 2 are on the highest increase compared to all the rest of the species, with + 35.6 %.

The sectors of agricultural species together with fruit species, are also increasing, respectively with + 18.1 % and + 13.6 %. The year 2003 saw however also the increase of ornamental species, + 9.1 %.






Variability of pepper varieties




DUS trials of Impatiens New Guinée



GRAPH 2: EVOLUTION OF RECEIVED APPLICATIONS PER CROP SECTOR 1996–2003

The shares of the main crop sectors show that applications for ornamental varieties represented 65.2 % of all applications.



GRAPH 3: PERCENTAGE OF APPLICATIONS RECEIVED ACCORDING TO EACH CROP SECTOR IN 2003



Candidate variety of strawberry with big fruit

The main species (in number of applications) for each crop sector are indicated in the following table:

<b>Ornamental species</b>	
<i>Rosa</i> L.	191
<i>Chrysanthemum</i>	187
<i>Gerbera</i> L.	79
<i>Lilium</i> L.	65
<i>Impatiens</i> -New Guinea-Hybrids	55
<b>Agricultural species</b>	
<i>Zea mays</i> L.	184
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L.	66
<i>Hordeum vulgare</i> L. <i>sensu lato</i>	52
<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L. emend. Fiori et Paol.	42
<i>Brassica napus</i> L.	40
<b>Vegetable species</b>	
<i>Lactuca sativa</i> L.	80
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.	26
<i>Pisum sativum</i> L. <i>sensu lato</i>	25
<i>Lycopersicon lycopersic.</i> (L.) Karst.ex.Farw.	18
<b>Fruit species</b>	
<i>Prunus persica</i> (L.) Batsch	36
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i> Duch.	30
<i>Malus</i> Mill.	26
<i>Prunus armeniaca</i> L.	11
<b>Miscellaneous</b>	
<i>Agaricus bisporus</i>	1
<i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i> L.	1





*Hortensia DUS trials*




*Variability of eggplant varieties*

The total number of different botanical species for which the Office has received applications since 1995 rose to 884 in 2003.

The origin of the applications received in 2003 is indicated in the following table. More than 45 % of EU applications originate in the Netherlands, followed by Germany (16.7 %), and France (14.9 %). It should also be mentioned that applicants based in non-EU countries filed almost 24 % of the total number of applications.

<b>European Union</b>	
the Netherlands	866
Germany	320
France	287
Denmark	177
United Kingdom	70
Italy	67
Belgium	63
Spain	52
Sweden	9
Ireland	2
Greece	1
Austria	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>1 915</b>

<b>Non-EU States</b>	
United States	378
Switzerland	49
Japan	43
New Zealand	36
Others	33
Australia	23
Israel	22
Costa Rica	17
<b>Total</b>	<b>601</b>



### Grants of protection

In 2003, the Office granted 1 869 titles for Community protection. A detailed list of all protected varieties (status at 31 December 2003) is published in the separate annex to this annual report.


On 31 December 2003, almost 8 800 Community protections were in force. The table below shows the number of Community titles granted for each year from 1996 to 2003 and illustrates the continuous increase of varieties under protection within the Community system.



Visitors of a Thai delegation

### Technical examinations

In 2003, the CPVO initiated 1 929 technical examinations which were carried out by the different examination offices working on behalf of the Office. A detailed list of examination offices working on behalf of the Office is to be found under the chapter 'Examination offices'.



GRAPH 4: COMMUNITY TITLES GRANTED PER YEAR 1996–2003

### Relations with examination offices

#### • Seventh annual meeting with the examination offices

The 2003 meeting of the CPVO with its examination offices was attended by representatives of 14 EU Member States, 10 acceding countries, representatives from Bulgaria, Romania, Switzerland, the EU Commission, the UPOV office, as well as the breeders organisations ESA and Ciopora.

The main subjects of discussion were:

- the CPVO project for an EU centralised database for variety denominations;
- the integration of examination offices of the acceding countries into the CPVO DUS testing network;



Cactus

Cyclamens varieties

- questions related to reference collections;
- access to DUS trials;
- timing, layout and language regime of DUS reports provided by examination offices;
- the CPVO procedure in case of objections;
- technical information provided by applicants through the technical questionnaire.

#### • Preparation of CPVO protocols

In 2003, experts from Member States' examination offices were invited to collaborate in the drafting of texts within the ongoing process of the elaboration of CPVO technical protocols for DUS testing, which would subsequently be approved by the Administrative Council (please see Chapter 4). The following meetings were held:

- agricultural experts: draft protocols were discussed for seven crops (including revisions to three existing protocols);
- vegetable experts: draft protocols were discussed for 11 crops;
- fruit experts: draft protocols were discussed for six crops;
- ornamental experts: draft protocols were discussed for 11 crops.

#### • Project on an EU centralised database for proposed variety denominations

In October 2002, the Administrative Council recommended that the Office develops a centralised database for the purpose of checking proposed variety denominations for similarity. A three-step approach was foreseen, the first one consisting of a technical definition of the project in consultation with denomination and IT experts, before its development and implementation.

After a first meeting in December 2002, two other expert meetings followed in the first half of 2003. Experts of the Office also visited various national institutions, the EU trade mark office in Alicante and the UPOV Office in Geneva. A report on specifications that could be directly used for programming the software and designing the database was presented to the Administrative Council in November 2003, including detailed IT, technical, labour, and costs aspects. It was decided to implement the project in 2004, which should become operational in the beginning of 2005. The Administrative Council recommended that the Office seek cooperation with UPOV, which was also developing databases including data on variety denominations.

The centralised database will be developed together with a tool to search similar denominations, both available in a website with restricted access. The database will be





*Seminar with the 10 new acceding countries*

updated periodically. National offices of the European Union Member States will provide their data regularly and in electronic format. Other data from Official registers of non-EU countries and from commercial registers will also be included in the database and maintained up to date.

In a first instance, access to the database will be granted to all organisations providing data. At their own wish, national authorities will be able to import the whole database and updates regularly in order to carry out their own checks with their own software.

• **CPVO co-financing of research and development projects and maintenance of reference collections**

Following the rules established by the Administrative Council in 2002 for the co-financing of projects in the area of interest of Community plant variety rights, the Office received by the 2003 deadline various expressions of interest for projects within this sphere. Following the advice of an Advisory Committee, the Office decided to co-finance the following four proposals.

- Harmonisation of resistance tests for diseases of vegetable crops in the European Union: a three year-long collaborative project between GEVES in France (Coordinator), the Oficina Española de Variedades vegetales in Spain, and Naktuinbouw in the Netherlands, which seeks to evaluate and harmonise disease resistance tests for two model species — tomato and French bean — using a set of nine prescribed host/pathogen pairings. An exchange of strain/differential host couples will be made between the three institutions in order to compare the results according to their own protocol and define reliable procedures.
- Study of the possibility of using isoenzyme markers for assessment of distinctness, uniformity and stability of *Calluna vulgaris* L.: a two year-long investigation by the German Bundessortenamt on the possibility to shorten the testing period of *Calluna* varieties to one year by the use of isoenzyme markers additional to the traditional characteristics, as well as their suitability in aiding the determination of uniformity, and whether they could be used as a supplementary tool to optimise the set up of the technical examination.
- Creation of a common maize database for DUS studies through a partnership between Spain, Germany, Germany, France and the CPVO: a two year-long collaborative database project will contain administrative data as well as morphological and biochemical data from the reference collections of the participating institutions, and will be able to be consulted for the selection of comparable varieties for the DUS test of new maize varieties. Following an initial meeting in November 2003 between all the parties concerned, focus shall be given on the set-up of the database and the relevant definitions of the tables and their content.



- Co-financing of reference collections of ornamental species (Chrysanthemums and Dahlias): a lump sum will be paid to the Plant Variety Rights Office (UK) as assistance for this purpose.

- **New species procedure**

During the course of the year the CPVO received applications for varieties in several botanical taxa which it had never handled before. In order to entrust examination offices with an adequate level of expertise for the DUS testing of each of these, four new species procedures were initiated in 2003 by the Office encompassing 63 different botanical taxa, resulting in the successful attribution of 54 of these.


### Species

<i>Allium fistulosum</i> L.	<i>Ulmus</i> L. (Tree)	<i>Holarrhena pubescens</i> Wall ex G. Don
<i>Campsis radicans</i> (L.) Seem. Ex Bureau	<i>Leptospermum</i> J.R. Forst. et G. Forst	<i>Juncus</i> L.
<i>Cyperus papyrus</i> L.	<i>Boronia heterophylla</i> F. Muell	<i>Microsorium musifolium</i> (Blume) Ching
<i>Euphorbia x martinii</i>	<i>Aubrieta</i> Adans.	<i>Microsorium punctatum</i> (L.) Copel.
<i>Gaillardia</i> Foug.	<i>Euphorbia lactea</i> Hort.	<i>Muscari macrocarpum</i> Sweet
<i>Gazania</i> Gaertn	<i>Helichrysum</i> Mill	<i>Papaver</i> L.
<i>Hibiscus acetosella</i> Welw. Ex Hiern	<i>Convolvulus cneorum</i> L.	<i>Solanum pseudocapsicum</i> L.
<i>Hibiscus schizopetalus</i> (Mast.) Hook. f.	<i>Crossandra infundibuliformis</i> (L.) Nees	<i>Tritelleia</i> Douglas ex Linl.
<i>Homalonema</i> Schott	<i>Helianthus annuus</i> L. (ornamental)	<i>Zanthoxylum piperitum</i> DC.
<i>Secale montanum x Secale cereale</i>	<i>Helichrysum petiolare</i> Hilliard et B.L. Buartt.	<i>Zelkova serrata</i> (Thunb. Ex Murray) Makino
<i>Arctotis</i> L.	<i>Ipomoea batatas</i> (L.) Lam.	<i>Platanus x acerifolia</i> (Aiton) Willd
<i>Juglans nigra</i> L. (ornamental)	<i>Capsicum annum</i> L. (ornamental)	<i>Juncus inflexus</i> L.
<i>Asparagus</i> L. (ornamental)	<i>Libertia</i> Spreng	<i>Calendula maritima</i> Guss.
<i>Lobelia richardii</i>	<i>Quercus cerris</i>	<i>Peperomia caperata</i> Yunck.
<i>Brighamia insignis</i> Gray	<i>Phormium</i> J.R. Forst. et G. Forst.	<i>Cymbidium</i> SW
<i>Podophyllum</i> L.	<i>Chlorophytum</i> Ker Gawl	<i>Russelia</i> Jacq.
<i>Lychnis floscuculi</i> L.	<i>Bromus valdivianus</i>	<i>Panicum</i> L. (ornamental)
<i>Cyperus alternifolius</i> L.	<i>Dicentra</i> Borkh. Ex. Bernh	<i>X Doritaenopsis</i> Hort.





The following chart illustrates the evolution of the number of genera and botanical taxa for which the CPVO did receive applications. At the end of 2003, applications for varieties belonging to 884 different botanical taxa reached the Office.



GRAPH 5: EVOLUTION OF THE NUMBER OF GENERA AND BOTANICAL TAXA FOR WHICH THE CPVO RECEIVED APPLICATIONS

#### • Other developments

The Office held a number of meetings during 2003 with the Dutch authorities (Ministry of Agriculture, Raad voor het Kwekersrecht, Naktuinbouw), in order to study the proposal that for vegetable varieties applied for Community plant variety rights and tested in the Netherlands, the testing undertaken under the applicant's responsibility for one growing period — the so-called 'breeder's parallel trial' — could be taken into account for the granting of Community rights. Experts from examination offices undertaking vegetable testing for the CPVO were subsequently invited to analyse this method of DUS testing, as well as to make suggestions for the elaboration of procedures for the eventual implementation of such a system.



## 9. CONTACTS WITH EXTERNAL ORGANISATIONS

### Meetings with professional organisations

---

In accordance with its policy of maintaining frequent contact with stakeholders, the CPVO organised several meetings with breeders' professional organisations.

- **ESA and Ciopora**

Frequent contacts were maintained between representatives of the Board of both organisations and the management of the Office.

In addition, breeders' representatives participated in part of the meeting of the Administrative Council in March 2003 and in the seminar organised in November 2003 on the occasion of the first attendance of representatives of the acceding countries at a meeting of the CPVO Administrative Council.

### Contacts with UPOV

---

The CPVO has been participating in UPOV activities since 1996. The European Community has an observer status in this organisation.

CPVO officials regularly attend and actively participate in the meetings of the bodies and committees of the Union:

- UPOV Council,
- Legal and Administrative Committee,
- Technical Committee,
- Technical working parties (agricultural crops, vegetables, fruit crops, ornamental plants and forest trees),
- Working Group on Biochemical and Molecular Techniques (BMT) and its specialised subgroups,
- Enlarged Editorial Committee,
- Working groups on variety denominations and publication of variety descriptions.

The Vice-Secretary General of UPOV participates in most of the meetings of the CPVO Administrative Council. Senior officials of the UPOV office also attend on a regular basis experts meetings or working groups organised by the CPVO dealing with technical and legal aspects of common interest.

In several regions of the world where countries are members of UPOV, like Asia, Africa and Latin America, there is an emergent interest to know the details, cumulated expe-



*Ornamental sunflower**Vineyard*

rience and results relating to plant variety rights systems with a regional scope. The CPVO frequently provides speakers for seminars organised by UPOV.

### **Contacts with the African Intellectual Property Organisation – OAPI**

---

OAPI, an intergovernmental organisation based in Yaoundé (Cameroon), works on the implementation of the Bangui Convention that has established a regional plant breeders' right system. Consequently it is particularly interested in the experience gained by the CPVO running the Community system.

The President of the Office has signed, with the Director-General of OAPI, a Memorandum of Understanding setting up the framework for future cooperation.

A regular exchange of publications is maintained.

### **Participation in international fairs**

---

The CPVO attended international fairs and similar events in order to inform participants about the Community system. By visiting applicants, who often tend a stand at exhibitions, the Office is given feedback on the Community system and developments on the market. The Office is present either with a stand on its own or it shares a stand with the national Examination Office where the fair takes place.

In 2003, the following fairs and similar events were attended.

- **The Hortifair/International Flowershow, RAI Amsterdam** (ornamentals, trees and shrubs) in a joint stand with the Raad voor het Kwekersrecht and CGN.
- **Salon du végétal** at Angers (mainly ornamentals, vegetables, trees, shrubs) in a joint stand with GEVES.
- **Open day of the plant variety at CGN, Wageningen.** The aim of this open day at CGN was to inform breeders and growers about plant breeders' rights and technical examinations of varieties. The CPVO took the opportunity to inform visitors of the Community system in general and particularly on the CPVO technical protocols.




## **Other contacts**

The CPVO maintains regular external contacts by participating in meetings organised by:

- the Secretariat-General of the European Commission: EU agencies coordination,
- the Directorate-General for Personnel and Administration: Staff Regulation matters,
- the Directorate-General for the Budget: implementation of the new financial regulation and the internal audit function.

In addition, other fields of activity can be mentioned, such as:

- the Translation Centre Administrative Council,
- the Steering Group of the SI2 Common Support Service,
- coordination of the EU agencies at management level,
- annual coordination meeting of the EUR-OP (Publications Office) with the EU agencies,
- OECD International Seed Schemes,
- pan-American seed seminars.



## 10. RELATIONSHIP WITH DIRECTORATE-GENERAL FOR HEALTH AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

### Standing Committee on Seeds and Propagating Material for Agriculture, Horticulture and Forestry

---

The above Standing Committee meets regularly in Brussels and the Office attended these meetings when the subject was of relevance for the Community plant variety rights system.

Of particular interest for the CPVO throughout the year 2003, were the following items:

- the inclusion of varieties from acceding countries into the common catalogues;
- preparatory work in view of Commission Directives 2003/90 and 2003/91, setting out implementing measures as regards the characteristics to be covered as a minimum for DUS examination of candidate varieties of certain agricultural and vegetable plant species. It was of particular interest for the CPVO to ensure **consistency** between CPVO test guidelines and the conditions for the varieties with a view to their acceptance into national catalogues of the Member States. Such consistency was achieved by introducing a cross reference in aforesaid directives to the existing CPVO technical protocols. For species where no CPVO protocols exist, the directives refer to the relevant UPOV test guidelines;
- the Commission activities under Commission Regulation 930/2000 establishing rules as to the suitability of variety denominations

### Community comparative trials

---

The CPVO attended several comparative trials in 2003.

- Winter oilseed rape: this trial was of particular interest for the Office also in view of the future CPVO protocol for DUS testing of oilseed rape. The main focus of the 2003 trial was laid on the varietal purity standards for hybrid varieties.
- Shallots: two separate visits were held which, beside examining the usual rational of conformity of plant material in the marketplace to the varieties listed in the common catalogue, also discussed the divergent opinion on the status of seed propagated material of shallots and its differentiation to onions. This discussion has direct influence on the work the CPVO has undertaken in view of the elaboration of a technical protocol for DUS testing of onion and shallot varieties.
- Seed propagated ornamentals: samples of Lobelia, Petunia and Lathyrus originating in seven EU Member States were tested. Attention was given in particular to uniformity aspects, variety descriptions, variety denominations and the composition of mixed samples.



*Nertera varieties**Gerbera*

## **Enlargement**

The Office joined the advisory missions organised by TAIEX (Technical Assistance Information Exchange Office) to the candidate countries. These visits started already in winter 2002. The aim was to study the national lists with a view to the possible integration of these varieties into the common catalogue after accession. The intention of the Office's participation was to collect information and evaluate DUS testing systems in order to consider a possible future cooperation of the official national organisations of the candidate countries as examination offices in the CPVR system. In 2003 the CPVO visited Cyprus, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland and Slovenia. The visits to the remaining acceding countries were carried out already in 2002.

## **Variety denominations**

On request of the Administrative Council, the Office, together with DG SANCO, investigated the possibility to adopt common implementing rules on Article 63 of Council Regulation (EC) No 2100/94 relating to the suitability of variety denominations. The Office applies guidelines on the suitability of variety denominations adopted by the Administrative Council. The Commission has adopted Regulation (EC) No 930/2000 implementing the mentioned Article 63. The Office and DG SANCO decided to draft the texts in the two provisions as similar as possible. It is thus expected that amendments will be introduced to the guidelines as well as Regulation (EC) No 930/2000 during 2004.

In order to avoid possible conflicts between denominations of protected varieties and the protection of geographical indications and designations of origin, a working group was set up consisting of staff of the Office, DG SANCO and DG AGRI. It was agreed to propose amendments to the guidelines and Regulation (EC) No 930/2000 mentioned above clarifying potential impacts and to initiate a system of exchanging information between the services involved.



## 11. DECISIONS OF THE BOARD OF APPEAL IN 2003

In 2003, the Board of Appeal of the CPVO met during four sessions in April, May, October and December in Angers and reached 15 decisions, some of which were combined decisions.

### April session

#### (a) Combined decision for appeal cases 001/2002, 002/2002 and 003/2002

On 3 December 2002, by decisions R222, R223 and R224, the Office rejected the applications for 'Maribelle Red', 'Maribelle Mauve' and 'Maribelle Bronze', *chrysanthemums* L. species, filed by Joseph en Luc Pieters BVBA. The negative decisions were taken on the basis of the reports of the DUS examination conducted by the Examination Office NIAB in Cambridge.

In its decision on 1 April 2003, the **Board of Appeal** rejected the appeal. The Board found that the reason to refuse the varieties is already clear from the fact that the candidate varieties were not new.


#### (b) Appeal case 017/2002

On 6 May 2002, a Community plant variety right for the variety 'BR9' of the *Brassica oleracea* L. convar. botrytis L. species (broccoli) was granted by the CPVO by decision EU 9331 to SVS Holland BV. An appeal was lodged by a third party, Sakata Seed Corporation pursuant to Article 67 BR seeking the annulment of this decision.

In its decision on 3 April 2003, the Board of Appeal concluded that Sakata had not shown sufficient evidence that the variety applied for in the US was the same as BR9. The Board further concluded that the concept of 'breeding' does not necessarily imply inventing something totally new but includes the planting, selection and growing of pre-existing material and its development into a finished variety. Accordingly, the Board rejected the appeal and ordered Sakata to bear the cost incurred by the holder as a result of the proceedings.

#### (c) Appeal case 005/2002

On 21 January 2002, the Office refused an application for a variety of the *Sanvitalia* Lam. Species 'Santis 99', filed by Syngenta Seeds BV. The ground for the refusal was that the variety was not clearly distinguishable by reference to the expression of the characteristics observed from the variety Aztekengold, sold by the company Grünwald, and of common knowledge at the date of application (5 November 1999), Article 7 BR.





*Poinsettias DUS trials*



*Hydrangea DUS trials*

In its decision on 2 April 2003 the **Board of Appeal** rejected the appeal. As regards the statement made by the appellant, that Santis 99 is an illegal copy, the Board concluded that this was no more than an insufficiently founded suspicion and that the arguments presented were not sufficient to question the contested decision.

## May session

### **(a) Combined decision for appeal cases 008/2002, 009/2002, 010/2002, 011/2002, 012/2002 and 013/2002**

On 30 June 2002, six appeals against the six decisions of 15 April 2002 to cancel CPVRs Nos C33, C343, C36, C52, C53 and C55 for 6 *Gerbera* L. varieties 'Terexotic', 'Terwish', 'Tereros', 'Terseries', 'Tersanne' and 'Tervirgin' were lodged by Terra Nigra Holding BV. The ground to cancel the rights was that the holder had not paid annual fees due for payment.

In its decision on 15 May 2003, the **Board of Appeal** concluded that even if account was taken to some payments made, this did not cover the titles cancelled concerned, and rejected the appeal.

### **(b) Appeal case 018/2002**

By Decision R 310 of 17 June 2002, the Office rejected an application for a variety of the species *Sutera diffusus* with the denomination 'Inuit' on the basis that it was not distinct according to Article 7 BR from the variety 'Novasnow' of common knowledge (EU 2000/2095) at the date of application (15 December 2000). The applicant, Hubert Brandkamp, appealed the decision on 22 July 2002.

In its decision on 14 May 2003, the **Board of Appeal** concluded that the arguments presented by the appellant were not sufficient to question the contested decision and rejected the appeal

## October session

### **(a) Appeal case 023/2002**

By Decision R301 of 3 June 2002, the Office rejected an application for a variety of the species *Begonia* L. REX Putz with the breeder's reference 'bct9916begt' on the basis that it was not distinct according to Article 7 BR from the variety 'Comtesse Louise



*Hydrangea DUS trials**Nepenthes*

Erdody', a variety held in the University Botanical garden in Heidelberg. The applicant, Genplant BV, appealed the decision on 15 August 2002.

In its decision on 8 October 2003, the **Board of Appeal** rejected the appeal and held that the existence of a reference variety in a botanical garden, as well as the prior marketing of the variety made it common knowledge. Moreover, the Board was not convinced by the arguments raised by the appellant that the variety 'bct9901beg' was distinct from 'Comtesse Louise Erdody'

## **December session**

### **(a) Appeal case 021/2002**

On 30 July 2002, an appeal against the decision C83 of 17 June 2002 to cancel the CPVR for the *Helianthus annuus* variety 'V209R' was lodged by Limagrain Verneuil Holding. The ground to cancel the right was that the holder had not paid the second annual fee due for payment.


In its decision on 9 December 2003, the **Board of Appeal** concluded that since there had not been any misuse of power from the President of the CPVO in taking the cancellation decision and since the appellant had not taken all due care in the particular circumstances, (already the first annual fee had been paid with a delay), the appeal was rejected.

### **(b) Appeal case 031/2002**

By Decision R330 of 12 August 2002, the Office rejected an application for a variety of the species *Malus Mill.* with the denomination 'Jonabel' on the basis that it was not distinct according to Article 7 BR from the variety 'Jored' of common knowledge (registered in Belgium in 1992 and by CPVR in 1996) at the date of application. The applicant, G. van Gelder BV, appealed the decision on 18 September 2002.

In its decision on 8 December 2003, the **Board of Appeal** rejected the appeal since the variety 'Jonabel' was not clearly distinguished from the 'Jored' variety.

The complete decisions are available in English on the CPVO website or upon request in writing to the CPVO.





## 12. EXAMINATION OFFICES ENTRUSTED BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE COUNCIL TO UNDERTAKE TECHNICAL EXAMINATION ON BEHALF OF THE CPVO

Botanical taxon	Country	Examination office	Testing station
<i>Abelia x grandiflora</i> (Rovelli ex André) Rehd.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Abies</i> Mill.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Acer</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Acer shirasawanum</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Aconitum carmichaelii</i> Debeaux	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Aconitum</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Actinidia</i> Lindl.	IT	Istituto Sperimentale per la Frutticoltura	Ciampino
<i>Adenium obesum</i> (Forssk.) Roem. et Schult.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Adenium</i> Roem. et Schult	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Adonis aestivalis</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Aechmea</i> Ruiz et Pav.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<b>A</b> <i>Aeschynanthus</i> Jack	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Agapanthus</i> L'Herit	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Agastache mexicana</i> (H.B.K.) Lint. et Epling	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Ageratum</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Ageratum</i> L. <i>houstonianum</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Aglaonema</i> Schott.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Agrostis capillaris</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Agrostis stolonifera</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Agrostis tenuis</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Ajania pacifica</i> Bremer et Humphries	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Albizia julibrissin</i> Durazz.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Allamanda catharica</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Allamanda</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Allium cepa</i> L. <i>var. cepa</i> L.	ES	OEVI	INIA, Valencia
<i>Allium cepa</i> L. <i>var. cepa</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon

Botanical taxon	Country	Examination office	Testing station
<i>Allium cepa</i> L. var. <i>cepa</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Allium cepa</i> L. var. <i>ascalonicum</i>	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Allium cepa</i> L. var. <i>ascalonicum</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Allium cepa</i> L. var. <i>ascalonicum</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Allium fistulosum</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon
<i>Allium fistulosum</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Allium porrum</i> L.	ES	OEV	INIA, Valencia
<i>Allium porrum</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon
<i>Allium porrum</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Allium porrum</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Allium porrum</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	Scottish Agricultural Science Agency, Edinburgh
<i>Allium sativum</i> L.	ES	OEV	INIA, Aranjuez Madrid
<i>Allium sativum</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon
<i>Alstroemeria</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Amaranthus</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Amaryllis</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Amelanchier</i> Medik.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Angelica keiskei koidzumi</i>	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Angelonia</i> Humb. et Bonpl.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Anigozanthos</i> Labill.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Anisodonthea capensis</i> (L.) D.M. Bates	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Anthemis</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Anthemis tinctoria</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Anthurium scherzerianum</i> Schott	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Anthurium</i> Schott.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Anthurium-Andreanum-Hybrids</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Antirrhinum</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Antirrhinum majus</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Apium graveolens</i> L. var. <i>dulce</i> (Mill.) Pers	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Apium graveolens</i> L. var. <i>dulce</i> (Mill.) Pers	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Apium graveolens</i> L. var. <i>rapaceum</i> (Mill.) Gaud	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Arctium lappa</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Arctotis breviscapa</i> Thunb.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Arctotis</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Ardisia crenata</i> Sims	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Argyranthemum frutescens</i> (L.) Schultz Bip.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Artemisia vulgaris</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge

Botanical taxon	Country	Examination office	Testing station
<i>Asclepias curassavica</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Asclepias</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Asclepias</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Asclepias tuberosa</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Asimina triloba</i> (L.) Dunal	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Marquardt
<i>Asparagus</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Asparagus madagascariensis</i> Bak.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Asparagus officinalis</i> L.	ES	OEUV	INIA, Madrid
<i>Asparagus officinalis</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Asparagus officinalis</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Asparagus officinalis</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Aster</i> L.	IL	Israel Plant Breeders Rights Testing Unit	Bet Dagan
<i>Aster novi-belgii</i> L.	IL	Israel Plant Breeders Rights Testing Unit	Bet Dagan
<i>Astilbe Arendsii</i> -Hybrids	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Astilbe Buch.-Ham.</i> ex G. Don	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Astilbe chinensis</i> (Maxim.) Franch. et Sav.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Astrantia</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Athyrium niponicum</i> (Mett.) Hance	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Avena sativa</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Avena sativa</i> L.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Sortsafprovnng Tystofte
<i>Avena sativa</i> L.	ES	OEUV	INIA, Aranjuez Madrid
<i>Avena sativa</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Avena sativa</i> L.	SE	Statens Utsadeskontroll	SUK, Svalöv
<i>Avena sativa</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Baccharis halimifolia</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Beaucarnea Lem.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Begonia imperialis</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Begonia</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Begonia</i> L. rex Putz	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Begonia -Semperflorens-</i> Hybrids	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Begonia soli-mutata.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Begonia-Elatior</i> -Hybrids	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Bellis</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Berberis</i> L	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Bergenia Moench</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Beta vulgaris</i> L. ssp. <i>vulgaris</i> var. <i>altissima</i> Döll	SE	Statens Utsadeskontroll	SUK, Svalöv

Botanical taxon	Country	Examination office	Testing station
<i>Beta vulgaris</i> L. ssp. <i>vulgaris</i> <i>var. crassa</i> (Alef.) Wittm	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Beta vulgaris</i> L. <i>var. conditiva</i> Alef.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Betula pendula</i> Roth	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Bidens ferulifolia</i> (Jacq.) DC	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Bidens</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Blechnum</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Boronia heterophylla</i> F. Muell.	NZ	New Zealand Plant Variety Rights Office	Christchurch
<i>Bougainvillea</i> Comm.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Bougainvillea glabra</i> Choisy	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Bouvardia Salisb.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Brachyglottis</i> J.R. et G. Forst.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Brachyscome</i> Cass.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Brachyscome melanocárpa</i> F.Muell. et Sond.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Brachyscome multifida</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Bracteantha bracteata</i> Anderb. and Haegi	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Brassica carinata</i> A. Braun	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Brassica napus</i> L. emend. Metzg.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Scharnhorst
<i>Brassica napus</i> L. emend. Metzg.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Sortsafprovning, Tystofte
<i>Brassica napus</i> L. emend. Metzg.	ES	OEVV	INIA, Sevilla
<i>Brassica napus</i> L. emend. Metzg.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Brassica napus</i> L. emend. Metzg.	SE	Statens Utsadeskontroll	SUK, Svalöv
<i>Brassica napus</i> L. emend. Metzg.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. convar. <i>botrytis</i> (L.) Alef. var. <i>botrytis</i>	ES	OEVV	INIA, Valencia
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. convar. <i>botrytis</i> (L.) Alef. var. <i>botrytis</i>	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. convar. <i>botrytis</i> (L.) Alef. var. <i>botrytis</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. convar. <i>botrytis</i> (L.) Alef. var. <i>botrytis</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. convar. <i>botrytis</i> (L.) Alef. var. <i>cymosa</i> Duch.	ES	OEVV	INIA, Valencia
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. convar. <i>botrytis</i> (L.) Alef. var. <i>cymosa</i> Duch.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavailon

Botanical taxon	Country	Examination office	Testing station
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. <i>convar. botrytis</i> (L.) Alef. <i>var. cymosa</i> Duch.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. <i>convar. botrytis</i> (L.) Alef. <i>var. cymosa</i> Duch.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	Scottish Agricultural Science Agency Edinburgh
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. <i>convar. oleracea var. gemmifera</i> DC	ES	OEW	INIA, Valencia
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. <i>convar. oleracea var. gemmifera</i> DC	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. <i>convar. oleracea var. gemmifera</i> DC	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. <i>convar. oleracea var. gemmifera</i> DC	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	Scottish Agricultural Science Agency Edinburgh
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. <i>var. sabellica</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. <i>var. capitata</i> L. <i>f. alba</i> DC	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. <i>var. capitata</i> L. <i>f. alba</i> DC	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. <i>var. capitata</i> L. <i>f. alba</i> DC	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	Scottish Agricultural Science Agency Edinburgh
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. <i>var. capitata</i> L. <i>f. rubra</i> (L.) Thell.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. <i>var. gongyloides</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. <i>var. sabauda</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	Scottish Agricultural Science Agency Edinburgh
<i>Brassica pekinensis</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon
<i>Brassica pekinensis</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Brighamia insignis</i> Gray	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Bromus valdivianus</i>	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Brunnera macrophylla</i> (Adams) Johnst.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Buddleja</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Buxus microphylla</i> Siebold et Zucc.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Calathea</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Calendula maritima</i> Guss.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Calendula officinalis</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Bamberg
<i>Calibrachoa</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Marquardt
<i>Callisia</i> Loeffl.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Callistemon</i>	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon
<i>Callistemon salignus</i> (Sm.) DC.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon
<i>Callistephus chinensis</i> (L.) Nees	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Marquardt

<b>Botanical taxon</b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Examination office</b>	<b>Testing station</b>
<i>Calluna vulgaris</i> (L.) Hull	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Calochortus Pursh</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Camellia</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Campanula carpatica</i> Jacq.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Campanula</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Campanula persicifolia</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Campanula portenschlagiana</i> Schult	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Campanula x haylodgensis hort.</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Campsis radicans</i> (L.)	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Campsis x tagliabuana</i> (Vis.) Rehder	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Canna indica</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Cannabis sativa</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Cannabis sativa</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Capparis spinosa</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Capsicum annuum</i> L.	ES	OEVV	INIA, Valencia
<i>Capsicum annuum</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Capsicum annuum</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon
<i>Capsicum annuum</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Capsicum annuum</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Carex brunnea</i> Thunb.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Carex comans</i> Berggr.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Carex siderosticha</i> Hance	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Carum</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Caryopteris x clandonensis</i> N.W. Simmonds ex Rehder	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Catharanthus roseus</i> (L.) G. Don	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Ceanothus</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Celosia argentea</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Celosia argentea var. cristata</i> (L.) Kuntze	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Celosia</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Celosia</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Centradenia</i> G. Don	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Centranthus ruber</i> (L.) DC	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Centratherum</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Ceratostigma willmottianum</i> Stapf	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Chamaerops</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Cheiranthus cheiri</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Chlorophytum comosum</i> Ker-Gawl.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Chlorophytum Ker-Gawl.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom

Botanical taxon	Country	Examination office	Testing station
<i>Chlorophytum orchidanthroides</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Choisya ternata</i> H.B.K.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Christia vespertilionis</i> (L.f.) Backh.f.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Chrysanthemum</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.	ES	OEVI	INIA, Sevilla
<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon
<i>Cichorium endivia</i> L.	ES	OEVI	INIA, Valencia
<i>Cichorium endivia</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Cichorium endivia</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Cichorium intybus</i> L. partim	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Cichorium intybus</i> L. partim	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Cissus adenopoda</i> Sprague	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Cistus x corbariensis</i> Pourr.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Citrullus lanatus</i> (Thunb.) Matsum et. Nakai	ES	OEVI	INIA, Valencia
<i>Citrullus lanatus</i> (Thunb.) Matsum et. Nakai	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon
<i>Citrullus lanatus</i> (Thunb.) Matsum et. Nakai	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Citrus</i> L.	ES	OEVI	IVIA, Valencia Moncada
<i>Clematis</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Clematis montana</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Clerodendrum bungei</i> Steud.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Clerodendrum</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Clusia rosea</i> Jacq.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Cnidioscolus Pohl</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Codiaeum variegatum</i> (L.) Bl.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Convolvulus cneorum</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Convolvulus sabatius</i> Viv.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Coprosma</i> J.R. et G. Forst.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Cordyline Comm.ex Juss</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Coreopsis grandiflora</i> T. Hogg ex Sweet	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Coreopsis rosea</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Cornus alba</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Cortaderia selloana</i> (Schult. et Schult.f.) Asch. et Graebn.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Corydalis flexuosa</i> Franch.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Corylus avellana</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Corynocarpus</i> J.R. et G. Forst.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom

<i>Cosmos atrosanguineus</i> (Hook.) Voss	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Costus pulverulentus</i> C. Presl.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Cotinus coggygria</i> Scop.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Cotinus</i> Mill.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Cotoneaster</i> (B.Ehm) Medik	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Crambe abyssinica</i> Hochst ex. R.E. Fr.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Crocoshia</i> Planch.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Crossandra infundibuliformis</i> (L.) Nees	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Cryptocoryne wendtii</i> de Wit	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Ctenanthe oppenheimiana</i> (E. Morr.) K. Schum	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Cucumis melo</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavailon
<i>Cucumis melo</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Cucumis sativus</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Bamberg
<i>Cucumis sativus</i> L.	ES	OEV	INIA, Valencia
<i>Cucumis sativus</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Cucumis sativus</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavailon
<i>Cucumis sativus</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Cucumis sativus</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Cucurbita pepo</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavailon
<i>Cucurbita pepo</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Cuphea hyssopifolia</i> Humb, Bonpl. et Kunth	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Cuphea ignea</i> A.DC.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Cupressocyparis leylandii</i> (Jacq. et Dallim.) Dallim.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Cupressus</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Curcuma</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Cyclamen persicum</i> Mill.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Cydonia oblonga</i> Mill.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Wurzen
<i>Cymbidium</i> Sw.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Cynara scolymus</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavailon
<i>Cyperus alternifolius</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Cyperus papyrus</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Cyrtanthus</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Daboecia cantabrica</i> (Huds.) K. Koch	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Dactylis glomerata</i> L.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Sortsafprovning, Tystofte
<i>Dactylis glomerata</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Dahlia</i> Cav.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Dalechampia</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Daphne</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge



Botanical taxon	Country	Examination office	Testing station
<i>Daucus carota</i> L.	ES	OEVI	INIA, Valencia
<i>Daucus carota</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Daucus carota</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Daucus carota</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	Scottish Agricultural Science Agency Edinburgh
<b>D</b> <i>Delphinium elatum</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Delphinium</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Dendrobium</i> Sw.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Dianthus caryophyllus</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Dianthus</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Diascia barberae</i> Hook.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Diascia Link et Otto</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Dicentra Borkh. ex Bernh.</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Dicentra spectabilis</i> (L.) Lem.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Dieffenbachia</i> Schott.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Digitalis purpurea</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Marquardt
<i>Dodecatheon</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Dracaena deremensis</i> Engl.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Dracaena fragrans</i> (L.) Ker-Gawl.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Dracaena</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Dracaena reflexa</i> Lam.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Echinacea Moench</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Echinacea purpurea</i> (L.)	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Elaeagnus</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Epipremnum pinnatum</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Episcia cupreata</i> (Hook) Hanst.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<b>E</b> <i>Erica</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Eruca sativa</i> Mill.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavailon
<i>Eryngium</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Erysimum</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Marquardt
<i>Euonymus fortunei</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Euonymus japonicus</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Euphorbia characias</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Euphorbia fulgens</i> Karw. ex Klotsch	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Euphorbia</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Euphorbia lactea</i> hort.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Euphorbia lophogona</i> Lam.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Euphorbia milii</i> Des Moul.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Euphorbia pulcherrima</i> Willd. ex Klotzsch.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Euphorbia x martinii</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge

Botanical taxon	Country	Examination office	Testing station
<i>Euryops athenasiae</i> Less.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Eustoma grandiflora</i> (Ref.) Shinnars	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Marquardt
<i>Evolvulus glomeratus</i> Nees et Mart	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Exacum</i> L.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Fagopyrum esculentum</i> Moench	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Festuca arundinacea</i> Schreb.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Festuca ovina</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Scharnhorst
<i>Festuca rubra</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Scharnhorst
<i>Festuca rubra</i> L.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Sortsafproving, Tystofte
<b>F</b> <i>Festuca rubra</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Ficus benjamina</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Ficus binnendijkii</i> Miq.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Ficus elastica</i> Roxb.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Ficus</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Ficus lyrata</i> Warb.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Ficus microcarpa</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Ficus pumila</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Ficus religiosa</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Ficus sagittata</i> Vahl	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Ficus spec.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Ficus stricta</i> Miq.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Ficus triangularis</i> Warb.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i> P. Mill.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Bamberg
<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i> P. Mill.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavailon
<i>Forsythia</i> Vahl	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Forsythia x intermedia</i> Zabel	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i> Duch.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Wurzen
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i> Duch.	ES	OEVI	INIA, Malaga
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i> Duch.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i> Duch.	P	Instituto de proteccao da producao agro-alimentar	CNPPA-Cenarve, Escaroupin
<i>Freesia Eckl. ex. Klatt</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Fritillaria</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Fuchsia</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Gaillardia aristata</i> Pursh	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Gaillardia Foug.</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Gaillardia pulchella</i> Foug.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Gaillardia x grandiflora</i> Van Houtte	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Galega officinalis</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge

Botanical taxon	Country	Examination office	Testing station
<i>Gardenia jasminoides</i> Ellis	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Gaura lindheimeri</i> Engelm. et A.Gray	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Gazania</i> Gaertn.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Gazania rigens</i> (L.) Gaertn.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Geranium cinereum</i> Cav.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<b>G</b> <i>Geranium</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Geranium</i> L. <i>Phaeum</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Gerbera</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Geum</i> L. <i>rivale</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Ginkgo biloba</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Gladiolus</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Globba winitii</i> C.H.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merril	ES	OEVV	INIA, Sevilla
<i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merril	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Gomphrena globosa</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> L.	ES	OEVV	INIA, Sevilla
<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> L.	EL	Variety Research Institute of Cultivated Plants	Sindos Thessaloniki
<i>Gossypium</i> L.	EL	Variety Research Institute of Cultivated Plants	Sindos Thessaloniki
<i>Graptophyllum</i> Nees	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Guzmania</i> Ruiz et Pav.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Gypsophila</i> L.	IL	Israel Plant Breeders Rights Testing Unit	Bet Dagan
<i>Hardenbergia violacea</i> (Schneev.) Stearn	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Hebe Comm ex. Juss.</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Hedera hibernica</i> (Kirchn.) Bean	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Hedera</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Helenium autumnale</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<b>H</b> <i>Helianthus annuus</i> L.	ES	OEVV	INIA, Sevilla
<i>Helianthus annuus</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Helichrysum apiculatum</i> (Labill.) DC.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Helichrysum</i> Mill. <i>corr. Pers.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Helichrysum petiolare</i> Hilliard et B.L. Burt	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Heliopsis helianthoides</i> (L.) Sweet	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Heliotropium arborescens</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Heliotropium</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Helipterum</i> DC.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Helleborus</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom

Botanical taxon	Country	Examination office	Testing station
<i>Helleborus</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Helleborus orientalis</i> Lam.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Hemerocallis</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Hemigraphis repanda</i> (L.) Hallier f.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Heuchera</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Heucherella</i> Wehrh.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Hibiscus acetosella</i> Welw.Ex Hiern	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Hibiscus</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Hibiscus rosa-sinensis</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Hibiscus schizopetalus</i> (Mast.) Hook.f.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Hippeastrum</i> Herb.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Holarrhena pubescens</i> Wall. ex G.Don	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Homalocladium platycladum</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Homalonema</i> Schott	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Hordeum vulgare</i> L. sensu lato	AT	Bundesamt für Ernährungssicherheit	AGES, Wien
<i>Hordeum vulgare</i> L. sensu lato	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Hordeum vulgare</i> L. sensu lato	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Sortsafprovning, Tystofte
<i>Hordeum vulgare</i> L. sensu lato	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Hordeum vulgare</i> L. sensu lato	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Hordeum vulgare</i> L. sensu lato	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Hosta sieboldiana</i> Engl.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Hosta Tratt.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Humulus lupulus</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	BLBP, Wolnzach
<i>Hyacinthus orientalis</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Hydrangea aspera</i> D.Don.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Hydrangea</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Hydrangea macrophylla</i> (Thunb. ex Murr.)	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Hydrangea paniculata</i> Siebold	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Hydrocotyle</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Hypericum androsaemum</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Hypericum</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Hypericum x inodorum</i> Willd.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Iberis sempervirens</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Ilex</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Ilex x meserveae</i> S.-Y. Hu	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Impatiens</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Impatiens walleriana</i> Hook.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover

Botanical taxon	Country	Examination office	Testing station
<i>Impatiens-New-Guinea-Hybrids</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Ipomoea batatas</i> (L.) Lam.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Iris</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Itea virginica</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Ixodia archilleoides</i>	IL	Israel Plant Breeders Rights Testing Unit	Bet Dagan
<i>Ixora</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Jasminum officinale</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Jasminum polyanthum</i> Franch.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Juglans regia</i> L.	FR	GEVES	INRA Bordeaux-Aquitaine
<b>J</b> <i>Juncus effusus</i> L.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Juncus inflexus</i> L.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Juncus</i> L.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Juniperus</i> L.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Justicia candicans</i> (Nees) L. Benson	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Justicia nodosa</i> Hook.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Kalanchoë Adans.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Kalanchoë blossfeldiana</i> v. Poelln	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<b>K</b> <i>Kalanchoë interspec. hybrids with at least one parent of group 13A</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Kalanchoë manginii</i> Hamet et Perr. de la Bâthie	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Kalanchoë thyrsiflora</i> Harv.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Koeleria</i> Pers.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Kohleria</i> Regel	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Lachenalia</i> Jacq.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Lactuca sativa</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Bamberg
<i>Lactuca sativa</i> L.	ES	OEVV	INIA, Valencia
<i>Lactuca sativa</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<b>L</b> <i>Lactuca sativa</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Lamium</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Lantana camara</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Lantana</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Laurus</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Laurus Nobilis</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Lavandula angustifolia</i> Mill.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon
<i>Lavandula</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon

Botanical taxon	Country	Examination office	Testing station
<i>Lavandula stoechas</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavailon
<i>Lavatera</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Leea D. Royen ex L.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Leptospermum J.R. et G. Forst.</i>	AU	Plant Breeders Rights Australia	Canberra
<i>Leptospermum J.R. et G. Forst.</i>	NZ	New Zealand Plant Variety Rights Office	Christchurch
<i>Leucanthemum Mill.</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Leucanthemum x superbum (J.W.Ingram) Bergmans ex Kent</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Leucothoë axillaris (Lam.) D. Don</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Leycesteria Wall.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Libertia Spreng.</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Ligularia Cass.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Ligustrum japonicum Thunb.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Lilium</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Limonium gmelinii (Willd.) Kuntze</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Limonium</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Limonium Mill. Statice L.p.p.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Limonium sinense (Girard) O.Kuntze</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Limonium sinuatum (L.) Mill.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Linaria Mill.</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Linum usitatissimum</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Linum usitatissimum</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Linum usitatissimum</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Lithodora diffusa (Lag.) Johnst</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Lobelia erinus</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Lobelia</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Lobelia Richardii</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Lolium multiflorum Lam.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Scharnhorst
<i>Lolium multiflorum Lam.</i>	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Sortsafprovning, Tystofte
<i>Lolium multiflorum Lam.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Lolium multiflorum Lam.</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Lolium perenne</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Scharnhorst
<i>Lolium perenne</i> L.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Sortsafprovning, Tystofte
<i>Lolium perenne</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Lolium x boucheanum Kunth</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Scharnhorst
<i>Lolium x boucheanum Kunth</i>	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Sortsafprovning, Tystofte
<i>Lonicera brownii</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge

Botanical taxon	Country	Examination office	Testing station
<i>Lonicera caerulea</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Marquardt
<i>Lonicera</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Lonicera nitida</i> E.H. Wilson	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Lupinus albus</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Scharnhorst
<i>Lupinus angustifolius</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Scharnhorst
<i>Lupinus luteus</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Scharnhorst
<i>Lychnis flos-cuculi</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Lycopersicon lycopersicum</i> (L.) Karst. ex. Farw.	ES	OEW	INIA, Valencia
<i>Lycopersicon lycopersicum</i> (L.) Karst. ex. Farw.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon
<i>Lycopersicon lycopersicum</i> (L.) Karst. ex. Farw.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Lycopersicon lycopersicum</i> (L.) Karst. ex. Farw.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Lycopersicon lycopersicum</i> (L.) Karst. ex. Farw. <i>x Lycopersicon hirsutum</i> L.	ES	OEW	INIA, Valencia
<i>Lycopersicon lycopersicum</i> (L.) Karst. ex. Farw. <i>x Lycopersicon hirsutum</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon
<i>Lycopersicon lycopersicum</i> (L.) Karst. ex. Farw. <i>x Lycopersicon hirsutum</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Lysimachia fortunei</i> Maxim.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Lysimachia clethroides</i> Duby	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Lysimachia</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Lysimachia punctata</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Mahonia aquifolium</i> (Pursh) Nutt.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Malpighia</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Malus</i> Mill.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Wurzen
<i>Malus</i> Mill.	FR	GEVES	INRA Angers
<i>Malus</i> Mill.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Malus sieboldii</i> (Regel) Rehd.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Malva</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Mandevilla</i> Lindl.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Medicago sativa</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Melittis</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Microsorium musifolium</i> (Blume) Ching	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Microsorium punctatum</i> (L.) Copel.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Microsorium scolopendrium</i> (Burm.f.) Copel	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Monarda</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge

M

Botanical taxon	Country	Examination office	Testing station
<i>Monopsis</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Monopsis unidentata</i> (Ait.f.) F.E. Kimmer	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Muscari macrocarpum</i> Sweet	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Myosotis</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Narcissus</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Nemesia caerulea</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Nemesia fruticosa</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Nemesia</i> Vent.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<b>N</b> <i>Neoregelia</i> L.B.Sm.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Nepenthes</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Nephrolepis cordifolia</i> (L.) C. Presl	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Nephrolepis exaltata</i> (L.) Schott	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Nephrolepis</i> Schott	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Nerium oleander</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavailon
<i>Nierembergia Ruiz et Pav.</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Nigella</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Nolana</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Bamberg
<i>Oenothera</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Oenothera</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<b>O</b> <i>Olea europaea</i> L.	ES	OEVV - INIA	Universidad Cordoba
<i>Ophiopogon japonicus</i> (L.f.) Ker-Gawl.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Origanum</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Ornithogalum</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Ornithogalum thyrsoides</i> Jacq.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.	IT	Ente Nazionale Sementi Elette	ENSE, Milano
<i>Osteospermum ecklonis</i> (DC.) Norl.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Otacanthus caeruleus</i> Lindl.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Oxalis bowiei</i> Lindl.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Oxalis</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Paeonia</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Panicum</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Papaver</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Papaver orientale</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<b>P</b> <i>Passiflora violacea</i> Vell.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Pastinaca sativa</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	Scottish Agricultural Science Agency Edinburgh
<i>Pelargonium L'Hérit. ex Ait.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover



<b>Botanical taxon</b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Examination office</b>	<b>Testing station</b>
<i>Pelargonium grandiflorum</i> Willd.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Pelargonium peltatum</i> (L.) L'Herit. ex.Ait.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Pelargonium peltatum x</i> <i>pelargonium zonale</i> hybrids	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Pelargonium zonale</i> (L.) L'Herit.ex Ait.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Pennisetum orientale</i> L. C. Rich	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Penstemon heterophyllus</i> Lindl.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Penstemon Schmidel</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Pentas Benth.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Pentas Benth.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Peperomia caperata</i> Yunck.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Pereskia saccharosa</i> Griseb.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Perovskia Karel.</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Persicaria</i> (L.) Mill.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Petasites</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Petroselinum crispum</i> (Mill.) Nyman ex A.W. Hill	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Bamberg
<i>Petroselinum crispum</i> (Mill.) Nyman ex A.W. Hill	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Petunia</i> Juss.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Marquardt
<i>Phalaenopsis</i> Bl.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Phaseolus coccineus</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.	ES	OEWS	INIA, Aranjuez Madrid
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Philodendron</i> (Schott) corr.Schott.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Philodendron domesticum</i> Bunting	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Philodendron scandens</i> K.Koch et Sello	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Phleum pratense</i> L.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Sortsafprovning, Tystofte
<i>Phleum pratense</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Phlox drummondii</i> Hook.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Phlox</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Phlox-Paniculata</i> -Hybrids	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Phormium tenax</i> J.R.Forst.et G.Forst.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Photinia</i> Lindl.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Photinia glabra</i> (Thunb.)	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge

Botanical taxon	Country	Examination office	Testing station
<i>Phygelius aequalis</i> Harv. <i>ex Hiern</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Phygelius E. Mey. ex Benth.</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Physalis alkekengi</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Marquardt
<i>Physocarpus opulifolius</i> (L.) <i>maxim</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Picea glauca</i> (Moench) <i>Voss</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Scharnhorst
<i>Pinus</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Pisum sativum</i> L. <i>sensu lato</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Pisum sativum</i> L. <i>sensu lato</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Scharnhorst
<i>Pisum sativum</i> L. <i>sensu lato</i>	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Sortsafprovning, <i>Tystofte</i>
<i>Pisum sativum</i> L. <i>sensu lato</i>	ES	OEUV	INIA, Aranjuez Madrid
<i>Pisum sativum</i> L. <i>sensu lato</i>	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Pisum sativum</i> L. <i>sensu lato</i>	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Pisum sativum</i> L. <i>sensu lato</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Pisum sativum</i> L. <i>sensu lato</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Pisum sativum</i> L. <i>sensu lato</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	Scottish Agricultural Science Agency Edinburgh
<i>Pittosporum tenuifolium</i> <i>Soland. ex Gaertn.</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Platanus x acerifolia</i> (Aiton) <i>Willd.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Platycodon grandiflorus</i> (Jacq.) <i>A. DC.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Platycodon grandiflorus</i> (Jacq.) <i>A. DC.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Plectranthus L'Hérit.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Plectranthus oertendahlii</i> <i>Th.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Plumbago indica</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Poa annua</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Scharnhorst
<i>Poa arachnifera</i> <i>Torr.</i> <i>X Poa pratensis</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Poa pratensis</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Podophyllum</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Pogonatherum paniceum</i> ( <i>P. Beauv.</i> ) <i>Hach.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Polemonium</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Polemonium reptans</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Populus deltoides</i> <i>Bartr.</i> <i>ex Marsh.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Populus</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Portulaca grandiflora</i> <i>Hook.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Portulaca grandiflora</i> <i>Hook.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Potentilla fruticosa</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge

Botanical taxon	Country	Examination office	Testing station
<i>Primula auricula</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Primula</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Prostanthera Labill.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Protea cynaroides</i> (L.) L.	AU	Plant Breeders Rights Australia	Canberra
<i>Prunus amygdalus</i> Batsch <i>x Prunus persica</i> Batsch	ES	OEWS	DGA, Zaragoza
<i>Prunus armeniaca</i> L.	FR	GEVES	INRA Avignon
<i>Prunus avium</i> (L.) L.	FR	GEVES	INRA Bordeaux-Aquitaine
<i>Prunus cerasifera</i> Ehrh.	ES	OEWS	DGA, Zaragoza
<i>Prunus cerasus</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Marquardt
<i>Prunus domestica</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Marquardt
<i>Prunus insititia</i> L.	ES	OEWS	DGA, Zaragoza
<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Prunus persica</i> (L.) Batsch	ES	OEWS	DGA, Zaragoza
<i>Prunus persica</i> (L.) Batsch	FR	GEVES	INRA Avignon
<i>Prunus persica</i> (L.) Batsch	IT	Istituto Sperimentale per la Frutticoltura	Ciampino
<i>Prunus pumila</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Marquardt
<i>Prunus salicina</i> Lindl.	IT	Istituto Sperimentale per la Frutticoltura	Palazzo SME, Forli
<i>Prunus salicina</i> Lindl. <i>x Prunus armeniaca</i> L.	IT	Istituto Sperimentale per la Frutticoltura	Palazzo SME, Forli
<i>Prunus tomentosa</i> Thunb.ex. <i>Murr. x Prunus cerasifera</i> Ehrh	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Marquardt
<i>Pteris</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Pulmonaria</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Pyrus communis</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Wurzen
<i>Pyrus communis</i> L.	FR	GEVES	INRA Angers
<i>Pyrus communis</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	Imperial College at Wye
<i>Pyrus pyrifolia</i> (Burm f.) <i>Nakai var. culta</i> (Mak.) Nakai.	FR	GEVES	INRA Angers
<i>Quercus cerris</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Ranunculus asiaticus</i> L.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Ranunculus</i> L.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Raphanus sativus</i> L. <i>var. radicola</i> Pers.	ES	OEWS	INIA, Valencia
<b>R</b> <i>Raphanus sativus</i> L. <i>var. radicola</i> Pers.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Raphanus sativus</i> L. <i>var. radicola</i> Pers.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Rhododendron</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Ribes nigrum</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Wurzen
<i>Ribes rubrum</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Wurzen

Botanical taxon	Country	Examination office	Testing station
<i>Ribes sanguineum</i> Push.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Wurzten
<i>Ribes sylvestre</i> (Lam.) Mert. & W. Koch	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Wurzten
<i>Ribes uva-crispa</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Wurzten
<i>Ribes x nidigrolaria</i> <i>R. et A. Bauer</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Wurzten
<i>Robinia</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Rosa</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Rosa</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Rosa</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Rubus idaeus</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Wurzten
<i>Rubus</i> subgenus <i>Eubatus</i> Sect. <i>Moriferi &amp; Ursini</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Wurzten
<i>Rudbeckia</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Ruellia macrantha</i> Mart.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Rumohra adiantiformis</i> (G.Frost) Ching	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Ruscus aculeatus</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Saintpaulia</i> H. Wendl.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Salix</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Scharnhorst
<i>Salix matsudana</i> Koidz.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Scharnhorst
<i>Salvia</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Salvia leucantha</i> Cav.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Salvia nemorosa</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Salvia officinalis</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Sambucus nigra</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Sanvitalia</i> Lam.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Sanvitalia procumbens</i> Lam.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Satureja douglasii</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Saxifraga</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Scabiosa caucasica</i> M.Bieb.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Scabiosa japonica</i> <i>var. alpina</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Scabiosa ochroleuca</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Scaevola</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Scaevola saligna</i> G. Forst.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Schefflera arboricola</i> (Hayata) Hayata	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Schefflera heptaphylla</i> (L.) Frodin	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Schefflera J.R. et G. Forst</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Schlumbergera</i> Hybrids	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev

<b>Botanical taxon</b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Examination office</b>	<b>Testing station</b>
<i>Schlumbergera Lem.</i>	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarsley
<i>Scutellaria L.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Secale cereale L.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Secale montanum x Secale cereale</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Sedum L.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Sedum telephium L.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Senecio L.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Setaria P. Beauv.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Sidalcea A.Gray</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Silene L.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Sinapis alba L.</i>	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Sortsafprovnig, Tystofte
<i>Sinapis alba L.</i>	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Sinningia Nees</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Skimmia japonica Thunb.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Skimmia Thunb.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Solanum diflorum Vell.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Solanum melongena L.</i>	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon
<i>Solanum melongena L.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Solanum rantonettii Carr. ex Lescuy</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Solanum tuberosum L.</i>	AT	Bundesamt für Ernährungssicherheit	AGES, Wien
<i>Solanum tuberosum L.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Olvenstedt
<i>Solanum tuberosum L.</i>	ES	OEUV	JCL, Albillos (Burgos)
<i>Solanum tuberosum L.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Solanum tuberosum L.</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	Scottish Agricultural Science Agency Edinburgh
<i>Solidago L.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Sophora L.</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Sorbaria sorbifolia (L.) A. Braun</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Sorghum Moench</i>	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Spathiphyllum Schott.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Spinacia oleracea L.</i>	ES	OEUV	INIA, Valencia
<i>Spinacia oleracea L.</i>	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon
<i>Spinacia oleracea L.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, Roelofarendsveen
<i>Spiraea L.</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Stephanandra Sieb et Zucc.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Streptocarpus Lindl.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Stromanthe sanguinea (Hook) Sond.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Sutera Roth</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Symphoricarpos Duham.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom

Botanical taxon	Country	Examination office	Testing station
<i>Syngonanthus Ruhland</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Syngonium Schott.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Tacca chantrieri André</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Tacca chantrieri André x Tacca integrifolia Ker-Gawl.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Tagetes L.</i>	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<b>T</b> <i>Tanacetum parthenium (L.) Schultz Bip.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Thuja L.</i>	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Thunbergia Retz.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Thymus L.</i>	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon
<i>Tiarella L.</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Tibouchina urvilleana (DC) Cogn.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Tillandsia cyanea Linden ex K. Koch</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Torenia L.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Trachelium L.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Tradescantia L.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Tradescantia spathacea Sw.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Tradescantia x andersonia W. Ludw. et Rohweder</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Tricyrtis formosana Baker</i>	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Trifolium incarnatum L.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Scharnhorst
<i>Trifolium pratense L.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Scharnhorst
<i>Trifolium repens L.</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Triteleia Douglas ex Lindl.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Triticum aestivum L. emend. Fiori et Paol.</i>	AT	Bundesamt für Ernährungssicherheit	AGES, Wien
<i>Triticum aestivum L. emend. Fiori et Paol.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Triticum aestivum L. emend. Fiori et Paol.</i>	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Sortsafproving, Tystofte
<i>Triticum aestivum L. emend. Fiori et Paol.</i>	ES	OEVV	INIA, Aranjuez Madrid
<i>Triticum aestivum L. emend. Fiori et Paol.</i>	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Triticum aestivum L. emend. Fiori et Paol.</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Triticum aestivum L. emend. Fiori et Paol.</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Triticum durum Desf.</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Triticum durum Desf.</i>	ES	OEVV	INIA, Aranjuez Madrid
<i>Triticum durum Desf.</i>	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud

Botanical taxon	Country	Examination office	Testing station
<i>Triticum spelta</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Tropaeolum majus</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Tulipa</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Ulmus</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Valerianella locusta</i> L. & <i>V. eriocarpa</i> Desv.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Verbascum</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<b>V</b> <i>Verbena</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Verbena</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Veronica</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Veronica peduncularis</i> M. B.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Veronica prostrata</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Veronica spicata</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Viburnum</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Vicia faba</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>Vicia faba</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Scharnhorst
<i>Vicia faba</i> L.	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Sortsafprovning, Tystofte
<i>Vicia faba</i> L.	ES	OEVV	INIA, Aranjuez Madrid
<i>Vicia faba</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Cavaillon
<i>Vicia faba</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Vicia faba</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Wageningen
<i>Vicia faba</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	NAKT, <i>Roelofarendsveen</i>
<i>Vicia faba</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Vicia sativa</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Scharnhorst
<i>Vicia sativa</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Vinca</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Viola</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>Vitis</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Vitis</i> L.	ES	OEVV	ETSIA, Madrid
<i>Vitis</i> L.	FR	GEVES	ENSAM, Montpellier
<i>Vitis</i> L.	IT	Istituto Sperimentale per la Viticoltura	Conegliano Veneto
<i>Vriesea</i> Lindl.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Weigela</i> Thunb.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<b>W</b> <i>Weigela florida</i> (Bunge) A. DC.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Brion
<i>Weinmannia</i>	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Wisteria frutescens</i>	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>X Doritaenopsis</i> Hort.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>X Halimocistus sahucii</i>	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge
<i>X Solidaster</i> Wehrh.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<b>X</b> <i>X Triticosecale</i> W.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Rethmar
<i>X Triticosecale</i> W.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>X Triticosecale</i> W.	SE	Statens Utsadeskontroll	SUK, Svalöv

<b>Botanical taxon</b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Examination office</b>	<b>Testing station</b>
<i>Xanthosoma robustum</i> Schott	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Yucca</i> L.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<i>Zantedeschia</i> Spreng.	NL	Raad v/h Kwekersrecht	CGN, Bennekom
<b>Z</b> <i>Zea mays</i> L.	DE	Bundessortenamt	Bundessortenamt, Hannover
<i>Zea mays</i> L.	ES	OEV	INIA, Sevilla
<i>Zea mays</i> L.	FR	GEVES	GEVES, Le Magneraud
<i>Zelkova serrata</i> ( <i>Thunb. ex Murray</i> ) Makino	DK	Danish Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	AFD. for Prydplanter, Aarslev
<i>Zinnia</i> L.	UK	Plant Variety Rights Office	NIAB, Cambridge



### 13. ANNEX (BOARD OF APPEAL)

NOTICES OF APPEAL RECEIVED AT THE CPVO AND DECISIONS REACHED BY THE BOARD OF APPEAL SINCE THE START OF ITS ACTIVITIES

Number of notices of appeal received at the CPVO	Reasons to appeal	Number of decisions reached by the Board of Appeal	Decision number and date	Publication date in the Official Gazette of the CPVO
<b>1996</b>				
0	not applicable	0	not applicable	not applicable
<b>1997</b>				
2	Variety denomination and novelty	0	not applicable	not applicable
<b>1998</b>				
2	Variety denomination and novelty	0	not applicable	not applicable
<b>1999</b>				
2	Distinctness and novelty	1	A 2/98 of 14.9.1999	15.4.2000
<b>2000</b>				
8	Distinctness (1), Article 55(4) of the Basic Regulation (BR) (3), non-payment of annual fees (4)	2	A 1/99 of 25.1.2000  A 2/99 of 19.5.2000	15.4.2000  15.8.2000
<b>2001</b>				
1	Article 8 of the Basic Regulation (1)	2	A 2/00 of 27.3.2001  A 4/00 of 6.12.2001	15.6.2001  15.4.2002
<b>2002</b>				
35	Non-payment of annual fees (25), distinctness (8), Article 11 of B.R. (1), Article 55 of B.R. (1)	1	A5/00 of 28.5.2002	15.8.2002

Number of notices of appeal received at the CPVO	Reasons to appeal	Number of decisions reached by the Board of Appeal	Decision number and date	Publication date in the Official Gazette of the CPVO
<b>2003</b>				
6	Article 7 of B.R. (3), Article 8 of B.R. (2), Article 55 of B.R. (1)	15	A 5/02 of 2.4.2003	15.6.2003
			A 1/02 - 2/02 - 3/02 of 1.4.2003	15.6.2003
			A018/2002 of 14.5.2003	15.8.2003
			A 8/02-9/ 02-10/02-11/ 02-12/02-13/02 of 15.5.2003	15.8.2003
			A017/2002 of 3.4.2003	15.6.2003
			A023/2002 of 8.10.2003	15.12.2003
			A031/2002 of 8.12.2003	15.2.2004
			A021/2002 of 9.12.2003	15.2.2004

Community Plant Variety Office

**Annual report 2003**

Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities

2004 — 66 pp. — 21 x 29.7 cm

ISBN 92-9152-119-1



