# **COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES**

# nuclear science and technology

## RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE MEASUREMENT OF IRRADIATION RECEIVED BY THE STRUCTURAL MATERIALS OF REACTORS

by

J.P. GENTHON, B.W. HASENCLEVER, P. MAS, W. SCHNEIDER, S.B. WRIGHT and W.L. ZIJP



EUR 5274 d, e, f, n

This document is published by the Commission of the European Communities.

Neither the Commission of the European Communities, its contractors nor any person acting on their behalf:

make any warranty or representation, express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this document, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method or process disclosed in this document may not infrige privately owned rights; or

assume any liability with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method or process disclosed in this document.

```
This publication is on sale at the addresses listed on page 3 of cover.
```

•

This report contains information disseminated by the Commission of the European Communities in application of the Treaty establishing the European Atomic Energy Community.

Published by the Commission of the European Communities Directorate General "Scientific and Technical Information and Information Management"

29, rue Aldringen Luxembourg (Grand-Duchy)

#### EUR 5274 d, e, f, n

RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE MEASUREMENT OF IRRADIATION RECEIVED BY THE STRUCTURAL MATERIALS OF REACTORS by J.P. GENTHON (1), B.W. HASENCLEVER (2), P. MAS (3) W. SCHNEIDER (2), S.B. WRIGHT (4) und W.L. ZIJP (5)

- Centre d'Études Nucléaires de Saclay France
   Kernforschungsanlage Jülich GmbH Deutschland
- (3) Centre d'Études Nucléaires de Grenoble France
- (4) Atomic Energy Research Establishment, Harwell England
- (5) Reactor Centrum Nederland, Petten Holland

Commission of the European Communities Joint Nuclear Research Centre — Geel Establishment (Belgium) Central Bureau for Nuclear Measurements — CBNM Working Group on the Dosimetry of Reactor Radiation Luxembourg, October 1975 — 30 Pages — 4 Figures — B.Fr. 100,-

#### EUR 5274 d, e, f, n

#### RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE MEASUREMENT OF IRRADIATION RECEIVED BY THE STRUCTURAL MATERIALS OF REACTORS by J.P. GENTHON (1), B.W. HASENCLEVER (2), P. MAS (3) W. SCHNEIDER (2), S.B. WRIGHT (4) und W.L. ZIJP (5)

- Centre d'Études Nucléaires de Saclay France
   Kernforschungsanlage Jülich GmbH Deutschland
- (3) Centre d'Études Nucléaires de Grenoble France
- (4) Atomic Energy Research Establishment, Harwell England
- (5) Reactor Centrum Nederland, Petten Holland

Commission of the European Communities Joint Nuclear Research Centre - Geel Establishment (Belgium) Central Bureau for Nuclear Measurements - CBNM Working Group on the Dosimetry of Reactor Radiation Luxembourg, October 1975 — 30 Pages — 4 Figures — B.Fr. 100,—

#### EUR 5274 d, e, f, n

RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE MEASUREMENT OF IRRADIATION RECEIVED BY THE STRUCTURAL MATERIALS OF REACTORS by J.P. GENTHON (1), B.W. HASENCLEVER (2), P. MAS (3) W. SCHNEIDER (2), S.B. WRIGHT (4) und W.L. ZIJP (5)

- Centre d'Études Nucléaires de Saclay France
   Kernforschungsanlage Jülich GmbH Deutschland

- (1) Centre d'Études Nucléaires de Grenoble France
   (4) Atomic Energy Research Establishment, Harwell England
- (5) Reactor Centrum Nederland, Petten Holland

Commission of the European Communities Joint Nuclear Research Centre — Geel Establishment (Belgium) Central Bureau for Nuclear Measurements - CBNM Working Group on the Dosimetry of Reactor Radiation Luxembourg, October 1975 — 30 Pages — 4 Figures — B.Fr. 100,— These recommendations have been compiled by a working group "Radiation Damage" which has been set up by the Euratom Working Group for Reactor Dosimetry. The parameters are indicated which must be defined for the characterisation of the neutron dose causing radiation-induced damage in construction materials important for reactor technique.

Following an explanation of some theoretical aspects, practical guidelines for neutron metrology on irradiation of graphite and of metals are given. A thorough knowledge of the spectrum of the incident neutrons is required for a proper interpretation of the results of irradiation experiments.

These recommendations have been compiled by a working group "Radiation Damage" which has been set up by the Euratom Working Group for Reactor Dosimetry. The parameters are indicated which must be defined for the characterisation of the neutron dose causing radiation-induced damage in construction materials important for reactor technique.

Following an explanation of some theoretical aspects, practical guidelines for neutron metrology on irradiation of graphite and of metals are given. A thorough knowledge of the spectrum of the incident neutrons is required for a proper interpretation of the results of irradiation experiments.

These recommendations have been compiled by a working group "Radiation Damage" which has been set up by the Euratom Working Group for Reactor Dosimetry. The parameters are indicated which must be defined for the characterisation of the neutron dose causing radiation-induced damage in construction materials important for reactor technique.

Following an explanation of some theoretical aspects, practical guidelines for neutron metrology on irradiation of graphite and of metals are given. A thorough knowledge of the spectrum of the incident neutrons is required for a proper interpretation of the results of irradiation experiments.

# nuclear science and technology

# **RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE MEASUREMENT OF IRRADIATION RECEIVED BY THE STRUCTURAL MATERIALS OF REACTORS**

by

J.P. GENTHON (Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires de Saclay – France) B.W. HASENCLEVER und W. SCHNEIDER (Kernforschungsanlage Jülich GmbH – Deutschland) P. MAS (Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires de Grenoble – France) S.B. WRIGHT (Atomic Energy Research Establishment, Harwell – England) W.L. ZIJP (Reactor Centrum Nederland, Petten – Holland)

> Joint Nuclear Research Centre Geel Establishment – Belgium Central Bureau for Nuclear Measurements – CBNM Working Group on the Dosimetry of Reactor Radiation

#### SUMMARY

These recommendations have been compiled by a working group "Radiation Damage" which has been set up by the Euratom Working Group for Reactor Dosimetry. The parameters are indicated which must be defined for the characterisation of the neutron dose causing radiation-induced damage in construction materials important for reactor technique.

Following an explanation of some theoretical aspects, practical guidelines for neutron metrology on irradiation of graphite and of metals are given. A thorough knowledge of the spectrum of the incident neutrons is required for a proper interpretation of the results of irradiation experiments.

### LIST OF CONTENTS

			Page
I	-	INTRODUCTION	5
II	-	PRINCIPLE OF DEFINITION OF FLUENCES	6
III	-	QUANTITIES USED	14
IV	-	APPLICATION TO METALS	18
V	-	APPLICATION TO GRAPHITE	20
VI	-	APPENDIX - Practical quantities (graphite)	22

.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

During its meeting at Petten on 9.3.71, under the chairmanship of Prof. U. Farinelli (Casaccia), the Euratom Working Party on Dosimetry of Reactor Radiations decided to tackle the problem of measuring irradiation received by structural materials.

Approved at a plenary meeting of the Euratom Working Party on Dosimetry on 15.3.74 at Brussels, this recommendation replaces those already issued ([9] and [10]). It is an updated and completed synthesis of them.

It must be made clear that our primary concern was to finalise a number of standards on which there could be general agreement now, even if at the cost of updating later on, having regard to the development of knowledge on radiation-induced damage in materials. Certain choices of model, or of a constant may very well be quasi-arbitrary, when there does not appear, at this time, to be any major physical reason to choose one rather than the other. We therefore used that which was most suited, in practice, to general agreement.

As a general rule, the choice made was the measurement of irradiation received in accordance with the number of displacements induced in the solid under consideration.

The Dosimetry Group of the I.A.E.A. adopted [11] a recommendation which is compatible with this one in almost every case.

#### II. PRINCIPLE OF DEFINITION OF FLUENCES

#### II.1 GENERAL PRINCIPLES

It is known that the effect of irradiation of a solid in a reactor is to modify its physical properties.

At the origin of these changes in physical properties, there are:

- on the one hand, <u>neutron collision reactions</u> which, by the transfer of kinetic energy, displace atoms directly or indirectly, and thus alter the <u>structure</u> of the solid. These atom displacements can occur in various groups, and there will accordingly be several possible changes of structure;

- on the other hand, <u>nuclear neutron reactions</u> which, by transmutation, change the actual nature of the nuclides which are subjected to the reaction; foreign atoms are thus introduced. Furthermore, when these new nuclides are formed, they are usually endowed with enough kinetic energy to displace atoms and thus bring about structural changes as previously.

Various changes of <u>crystal structure</u> and modification of <u>atomic nature are</u> <u>likewise events</u> making up what we shall call the <u>Source</u> of changes<sup>(1)</sup>. This Source <u>s</u> is distributed according to the various types i of events, whose number is  $s_i$ , per unit time and volume.

A <u>neutron flux density</u>  $\phi$  - with a spectrum distribution  $\phi(E)$  - is thus the cause of a source of changes, <u>s</u>.

The accumulation of events from the <u>Source</u>, and their regrouping of annihilation etc., lead to a state of microscopic equilibrium <u>d</u> made up of new modifications j in the solid, more complex and usually of greater size, whose number is d, per unit volume.

New physical properties of the solid correspond to its new microscopic state, and these constitute radiation-induced damage.

The various types of event i from the Source have, for a given variation of physical property D, an incidence which varies from one type of event to another. To simplify this here, an approximation will be made according to which only one (or two) types of event, k, have an influence on the given variation D.

It is thus the appropriate <u>Source</u>  $s_k$  which well characterises the irradiation received as far as D is concerned, and not the neutron flux. The origin of  $s_k$  matters little, whether it is a question of reactor neutrons of some energy or

another, or even of ions in an accelerator, etc., once  $s_k$  is well defined.

For this reason the fluences received on irradiation will be defined on the following principles, with regard to a given physical effect D:

a) choice of type (or types) of event k of prime importance as regard the effect D;

b) calculation of number of events  $\int s_{\mu} dt = S_{\mu}$ ;

c) choice of a practical scale of fluence, expressing a fluence proportional to the quantity  $S_k$ . If two types of event, i and k have had to be considered (e.g. helium produced and induced atom displacements), two fluences must be determined, proportional - even equal, according to the unit chosen - to  $S_i$  and  $S_k$ .

II.2 VARIOUS TYPES OF EVENT CONSTITUTING THE TERM "SOURCE"

#### II.2 a) Modification of the nature of atoms (nuclear reactions):

Creation of gas by (n, a) or (n, p) reactions, introduction of chemical impurities etc. The calculation of these foreign atoms is a pure neutron physics problem; it is a problem of knowledge of the cross sections of the appropriate reactions; we shall not mention them any more here.

#### II.2 b) Structural modifications of the solid:

A neutron collision reaction, whether elastic or inelastic, imparts a kinetic energy of greater or less significance to the atom collided with. A nuclear reaction leads to one or more new nuclei which are themselves given kinetic energy; moreover, the excited nuclei which will be formed will be endowed with kinetic energy on their return to the stable state. Changes in the structure of the solid are induced by the collision of these initial atoms, called primaries, and the transformation of their kinetic energy.

Definition of the kinetic energy  $E_p$  of the primary atom is again a neutron physics problem of knowledge of the cross sections of the appropriate reactions.

Change in the structure of a solid by the action and transformation of the kinetic energy of the primary is no longer a neutron physics problem of <u>nuclear</u> collision, but one of <u>atomic</u> collision.

In more detail, it is known that a neutron with energy  $E_n$  can collide with a nucleus in the irradiated solid, and impart to it a kinetic energy  $E_p$ ; if for example  $E_n = 1$  MeV, for a nucleus of middle atomic number we shall have  $E_p \sim 40$  keV; this value  $E_p$  is much higher than the energy  $E_d$  needed to strip an atom away from its crystal structure ( $E_d \sim \text{some tens of eV}$ ); the first atom (<u>primary atom</u>) is therefore set in motion in the solid. It is an atom and no longer a neutron; its slowing down will be caused by electrostatic (and quantum) interaction with the higher or lower charged particles which make up the solid (electrons and ions).

Various mechanisms tend to appear: distribution between electron and atom collisions; cascade of atomic collisions; channelling, focussing, creation of vacancy-interstitial pairs, and creation of various defect zones:

b.1) <u>Slowing down by electrons and atoms</u>: Excitation of electrons by collisions with the incident primary atom does not effect the structure of the solid; consequently the associated events take no part in the constitution of the term Source defined earlier. A first problem, therefore, is to take into consideration the energy transferred in electron collisions  $E_i$  which does not concern us, and that transferred in atom collisions  $E_r$ .

A fairly high energy can put in question the integrity of an atom by stripping away some of its orbiting electrons (electron collisions). On the other hand, atomic interactions will be proportionately greater as the energies transferred are lower, and insufficient for such electron stripping.

Moreover, at a given neutron energy  $E_n$ , the energy  $E_p$  of the primary is proportionally smaller as the mass number A of the irradiated solid is greater; we have, for example, in the case of a simple elastic collision, as a mean:

$$E_{p} = \frac{2A}{(A+1)^2} E_{n}$$

 $E_n = energy of the initial neutron;$ 

 $E_p = energy of the atom collided with by the neutron (the primary atom);$  $<math>E_p$  varies as  $E_n$  and as  $A^{-1}$  where A is the mass number of the atom.

E<sub>i</sub> = energy transferred in electron collision (ionisation);

$$E_r/E_p$$
 increases as  $E_r/E_i$  increases  
i.e. as  $E_p^{-1}$ ,  
i.e. as A.

It will suffice to conclude this very simplified scheme on this essential fact:

the part of the energy transferred to the lattice,  $E_r$  (atomic collision) is proportionately greater than the ionisation part  $E_i$  (electron collision), as the atomic number of the irradiated solid is greater, and as the neutron energy is lower.

At present, the universal Lindhard model [1] provides the best theoretical treatment for most cases.

It is expressed according to: (see [2])

$$E_{r} = \frac{E_{p}}{1 + kg(\varepsilon)}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a reduced expression of energies E :  $\varepsilon$  = E/e

with 
$$e = 86.93 Z^{7/3} eV$$
  
 $g(\varepsilon) = 3.4008 \varepsilon^{1/6} + 0.40244 \varepsilon^{3/4} + \varepsilon$   
 $k = 0.1337 Z^{2/3} A^{-1/2}$   
 $Z = \text{atomic number}$   $A = \text{mass number}$ 

(N.B. In the case of mixtures or alloys of nuclides with adjacent atomic numbers (steel), mean values of Z and A can be used; the approximation is very good. On the other hand, in the case of mixtures of nuclides with greatly differing atomic numbers, e.g. metal oxides, these relations are excluded. The full calculation must be undertaken, using the Lindhard slow-down powers [1].

As will be seen in the case of graphite, a more empirical model was preferred, which, on the one hand was that generally used for graphite, and on the other hand appeared to be better proved by experiment.

#### b.2) Collision cascade:

The primary atom set in motion in the solid can collide with another atom, giving it sufficient energy to be itself set in motion; then these two moving atoms can each collide with another one and set it in motion; in the same way those 4 moving atoms displace 4 others, then the 8 will displace others, and so on. There is cascade multiplication of displaced atoms until, following successive bipartitions of energy at each multiplication - and losses through electron collisions accompanying this atomic collision mechanism - the energy per moving atom becomes close to the minimum displacement energy  $E_{d}$ .

Figure 1 shows this collision cascade. Each atomic position left empty by the expulsion of its atom is a vacancy; each excess atom, at the end of a cascade, is an interstitial.

Actually, the situation at the end of the cascade is not so simple as the above description may leave one to think.

A more precise description calls for a quick consideration of the laws of electrostatic interaction: a charged particle in motion can approach the objective charged particle more closely as its kinetic energy increases; in particular the minimum approach distance can be defined; it is, in a head on collision, the minimum approach following which the incident particle rebounds by electrostatic repulsion. The "hard spheres" approximation describes the collision by considering the interaction of two hard spheres of radius equal to the minimum approach distance. The continuously variable repulsive electrostatic potential is then replaced by a jump potential, varying from 0 to infinity at the minimum approach distance.

It can be seen that the hard spheres approximation considers the interaction of particles as the collision of 2 spheres whose radius increases as the energy decreases. This approximation is sufficient to bring out here some phenomena which complicate the slowing-down cascade.

#### b.3) Displacement zones [3]:

The sphere equivalent to the particle sees its radius grow progressively as it slows down until it attains dimensions comparable to interatomic distances, from and below a kinetic energy limit  $E_s$ . Collisions then occur involving atoms which are very close to each other, too close to be considered separately.

Their grouping delineates a zone in the solid which we shall call the <u>displacement zone</u>: it is no longer possible to speak of a cascade of independent collisions within this zone.

Many characteristics must be considered with regard to the <u>displacement</u> <u>zone</u>:

- an energy limit higher than the primary  $E_s^{(2)}$ , below which the collisions most probably induce a zone;  $E_s$  increases when the atomic number of the nuclide under consideration increases. For graphite, for example,  $E_s \sim 1 \text{ keV}$ ; for iron,  $E_s \sim 75 \text{ keV}$ ; for gold,  $E_s = 1 \text{ MeV}$  (see [3]).

<sup>(2)</sup>marked as  $E_0$  in [3].

- a lower energy limit  $E_m$ , below which the zone created is unstable. We have, for iron  $E_m \sim 3 \text{ keV}$  (see [4]). A value formerly used was  $E_m = 0.4 \text{ keV}$  (see [5]); it is no longer used to-day.

- recombination in the zone: an interstitial atom, created by a branch of the cascade, can end up, inside a zone, in the vicinity of a vacancy left by another branch. There is, then, reciprocal annihilation by recombination of these two defects.

In fact, within the zone, the rate of such combinations will be significant; it is above all the interstitials projected into a periphery distant from the vacancies, especially by <u>focussing</u> (see below), which escape these recombinations, which reduce the number of defects remaining by a factor of about 2.

- The zone accordingly consists of a central zone, essentially of vacancies, surrounded by a peripheral interstitial zone. The number of vacancy-interstitial pairs is appreciably less there (a factor of 2?) than if the slowing down were the result of a cascade of collisions which are separate from each other and independent (i.e. without recombination).

#### b.4) Focussing and channelling [6]:

Channelling is a high energy phenomenon, where the particle, of small radius, follows a path almost parallel to the atom lines in the crystal, equidistant from these lines and channelled by them. As the particle has a small radius, there are few interactions.

In focussing, on the other hand, it is a question of a large radius low energy particle, which hits a line of atoms in their direction of alignment. Here there can be step by step substitution of atoms, and/or step by step energy propagation along this line in quasi-adiabatic conditions. Channelling and focussing imply a large distance covered by the particle without apparent displacement of atoms, either because there may not actually have been any displacements induced (channelling), or because all the collisions were of replacement type, where there is atom substitution, that is to say, as regards results, apparently no displacement (focussing).

A primary cannot be found at the start on a channelable path; it is at the outset a crystal atom, i.e. an atom situated on a line. It is only after collision that its path will merge into a channel. It will then already have lost energy, and grown larger (radius of the equivalent sphere) and will therefore be less subject to channelling. Channelling is therefore a phenomenon of small importance so far as radiation damage by neutrons is concerned.

As to focussing, it is liable to play a significant part in the analysis of radiation-induced damage in steels. It permits long distance transfer of atoms - the crowdion - and, through this mechanism, leads to interferences between zones.

A law of <u>saturation</u> of effect could be **established** from zones and crowdions [7].

#### b.5 Independent displacements. Independent vacancy-interstitial pairs

An interstitial is very clearly associated somewhere with each vacancy. In the case where the collision cascade does not cause the occurrence of displacement zone phenomena, i.e. in the case where the distance between collisions is large by comparison with the atomic distance, there is no interaction between defects created; it can be said that they are independent.

At the start of slowing down ( $E_p > E_s$ ), the primary could either induce such independent vacancy-interstitial pairs (low energy transfer), or induce zone generating secondaries (high energy transfer). Actually, it is fairly difficult and probably illusory to wish to make an exact count of independent defects produced by neutron irradiation. They are undoubtedly a minor phenomenon in relation to the zone phenomenon.

Nevertheless, it can be said qualitatively that independent defects are especially related to the  $E_p > E_s$  area, i.e. a region of high energy and/or a low atomic number of the particle.

Figure 4 summarises all these phenomena.

II.2 - c) Conclusion

#### Types of event concerned in the constitution of the term Source:

We have seen that it may be useful to consider focussing and the number of crowdions.

It may be useful to consider the number of stable zones created. In particular, as regards mechanical properties of metals, it can be said that the "impurity" which constitutes a zone plays a part analogous to that played by a carbon impurity in iron converted into steel.

If we ignore the possible effect of zone size, and assume that each primary having energy higher than  $E_m$  forms one zone, and one only (which is very debatable!), then it is sufficient to consider the number of a primary whose energy is  $E_p > E_m$ ; we have seen, for iron:  $E_m = 3 \text{ keV}$  (and formerly 0.4 keV).

If, on the other hand, we wish to consider, on the grounds of fairness, all the zones which may be created (and not just one alone, by the primary), and if we make the hypothesis, certainly more arguable, of a zone effect more or less proportinal to its size, it is then sufficient to consider the total size of the zones created, i.e. the total number of displacements induced by a primary as zone phenomena.

As a general rule, the simplest and undoubtedly the fairest way in the present state of knowledge, is to consider the total number of displacements assumed to be induced in a cascade of defects which are all independent.

We are not looking here for an absolute number of displacements; a relative value, containing an unknown constant coefficient k is sufficient. Focussing may occur at the end of a cascade to stop chain multiplication of atoms; in this case it is taken into account in k.

If the quasi-totality of the cascade then produces the zone mechanism, there is a reduced number of displacements, by a factor which can again, as a first approximation, be assumed to be constant; it is taken into account in k.

On the other hand, it must be said that too high a proportion (though unlikely) of truly independent displacements, with high primary energy, could lead to a variation of the k factor with the energies.

Channelling can be ignored. Ionisation must certainly be considered (see above).

It is atom displacements, assumed to be all independent, which, as a general rule will constitute the retention component  $s_k$  of the term "Modification Source". It is the number of these displacements (or any proportional quantity) which will define the irradiation fluence. Along with this main fluence, other fluences may be expressed according to the case, proportional to a number of transmutations, to a number of zones of such and such a size, to a number of crowdions, etc.

We shall find, particularly in  $[\delta]$ , a detailed description of displacements assumed to be independent, for various bodies.

Finally, and to allow for the possible use of other models (a more sophisticated model in the future, for example), it is necessary to retain, alongside the fluences defined above, all possible information on the neutron spectrum, and on the total flux and neutron fluence relating to the irradiation.

#### III. QUANTITIES USED

The following quantities and their corresponding notations are defined:

#### III.1 DAMAGE FUNCTION AND DAMAGE CROSS SECTION

<u>The damage function w(E) expresses</u>, by definition, a quantity of radiationinduced effects (energy transferred, number of atoms displaced, etc.) per unit of fluence at an energy E.

<u>The damage cross section</u>  $\sigma_{W}(E)$  is the cross section for a given quantity of radiation-induced effect. If for example we call this conventional quantity q, we have:

$$w(E) \equiv q \sigma(E).$$

#### III.2 FISSION FLUENCE AND RAPID FLUENCE

<u>The fission fluence</u>  $\Phi_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{f}}$  (or in brief,  $\Phi_{\mathbf{x}}$ ) is the <u>equivalent fission fluence</u> as far as it concerns a given reaction governed by a cross section  $\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{E})$  (or  $\sigma_{\mathbf{wx}}(\mathbf{E})$ , or a damage function  $w_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{E})$ )

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{f}} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{f}}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{E}) \Phi_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{E}) d\mathbf{E} = \Phi_{\mathbf{x}} \text{ (in brief)}$$

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{f}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{E}) \chi_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{E}) d\mathbf{E}$$

 $\chi_{E}^{(E)}$  = normalised fission spectrum:  $\int_{0}^{\infty} \chi_{E}^{(E)} dE \equiv 1$ 

The same definition can be made from the functions  $\sigma_{w}(E)$  or w(E), used in place of  $\sigma(E)$  (the notation  $\sigma_{w}^{f}$  or w(E) then takes the place of  $\sigma^{f}$ ).

N.B. Two representations of  $\chi_{E}(E)$  will possibly be used later, either  $\chi_{E}(E) = 0.77 \ E^{0.5} \exp(-0.775 \ E)$  (the Leachman formula), or  $\chi_{E}(E) = 0.484 \ \exp(-E) \sinh \sqrt{2E}$  (the Watt formula) where E is expressed in MeV.

The rapid fluence  $\Phi^{\mathbf{r}}$  is the fluence of neutrons with an energy above a certain threshold  $\mathbf{E}_{\min}$ , whatever the reaction x or any other means used to determine it may be.

$$\Phi^{\mathbf{r}} = \int_{\mathbf{E}}^{\infty} \Phi_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{E}) d\mathbf{E}$$

$$E_{\min}$$

(in English, we shall speak of "rapid fluence" and not "fast fluence", which would lead to the notation  $\phi^{f}$ , already used for "fission fluence".)

The value chosen for  $E_{min}$  must be specified in each case; present values are 1 MeV and, even better, 100 keV.

The systematic use of the notations  $\Phi^{f}$  and  $\Phi^{r}$  is recommended to avoid any ambiguity between fission fluence and rapid fluence (their ratio varies in proportions which may be large, and confusion is frequent).

#### III.3 DENSITY OF FISSION FLUX AND OF RAPID FLUX

Their definition is analogous to that of fluences:

fission flux 
$$\phi_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{f}}$$
 (or  $\phi_{\mathbf{x}}$  in brief)

$$\phi_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{f}} = \phi_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{f}}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^{(\mathbf{E})} \phi_{\mathbf{E}}^{(\mathbf{E})} d\mathbf{E}$$

<u>rapid flux</u>  $\phi^{\mathbf{r}}$ 

$$\phi^{\mathbf{r}} = \int_{E_{\min}}^{\infty} \phi_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{E}) d\mathbf{E}$$

#### III.4 SPECTRUM INDICES

If i is a reaction governed by a cross section  $\sigma_i(E)$ , or a damage function  $w_i(E)$ , and if j is another reaction governed by  $\sigma_j(E)$  or  $w_i(E)$ , the index can be defined:

$$\rho_{ij} = \Phi_{i}^{f} / \Phi_{j}^{f} = \Phi_{i}^{f} / \Phi_{j}^{f}$$

If i is a damage reaction and j is an activation reaction, we can define a more explicit notation DA of  $\rho_{\rm ij}$ 

$$DA = \frac{Damage}{Activation} = \Phi_{Damage}^{f} Activation$$

by detailing in the text the nature of the damage and activation reactions considered.

We can also write:  $\Phi_{\text{Damage}} = DA \cdot \Phi_{\text{Activation}}$ 

III.5 QUANTITIES RELATIVE TO EFFECTS (Source terms)

Energy transferred to lattice, ER

$$E_R = \int w(E) \Phi_E(E) dE$$

with w(E) = 
$$c \sum_{i=1}^{\Sigma} \sigma_i(E) \int_{r} E_r(E_p) P_i(E,E_p) dE_p$$
  
i Epmin

where the summation  $\Sigma_{i}$  is extended to all neutron reactions liable to lead to transference of energy (elastic and inelastic collisions, etc.) and where:

 $E_p$  = energy transmitted during the reaction i;  $E_p \max \operatorname{and} E_p \min =$  upper and lower limits of possible values of  $E_p$ during the reaction i;  $P_i(E,E_p)$  = probability of a given value  $E_p$  per unit energy;  $E_r$  = energy transferred to lattice by a primary having energy  $E_p$ 

c = number of target atoms considered.

Number of displacements, d, or Nd:

(§II.2 - b.1);

We assume (see [2]):

$$d = E_R / E_O = N_d$$

where  $E_0$  is a conventional value<sup>(3)</sup> ( $E_0 = 100 \text{ eV}$ , except for graphite, as will be seen later).

Furthermore, d will always be given as the number of displacements per target atom (i.e. c will always be taken as equal to 1).

#### III.6 PRACTICAL QUANTITIES

Practical quantities exist, especially for graphite, established by usage

 $(3)_{E_0} = 2 E_0 / 0.8$  where  $E_0$ , the displacement energy, is taken as equal to 40 eV and where 0.8 is an effectiveness factor.

and/or by the practical selection of a reference material taken as a standard.

This question will be dealt with further in the appendix, for the case of graphite (Para. VI).

#### IV. APPLICATION TO METALS

Quantities used to express irradiation fluences.

IV.1 We recall  $(\hat{S}II.2 - c)$  the value of providing, as often as possible, all information regarding spectra, flux and neutron fluences.

## IV.2 BASIC QUANTITY: energy $E_R$ and displacements d.

The quantity recommended for use is the <u>energy</u>  $E_R$  <u>transferred to the</u> <u>lattice</u>, or even, according to applications, the <u>number d of displacements</u> <u>induced</u>.

<u>The energy</u>  $E_R$  <u>transferred to the lattice</u> constitutes the basic measurement of the fluence received. It leads directly to the <u>number d of displacements</u> <u>induced</u>, which is itself strictly proportional within the framework of selections made here (SIII.5).

It is, then, a question of an energy fluences (or of a displacement fluence), and no longer of an equivalent neutron fluence as in the case of graphite. It did not seem realistic to wish to alter the practices simply for the sake of uniformity. In any case, it is always possible to talk about energy or displacement fluence in the case of graphite ( $\S$ V.3) or of equivalent neutron fluence in the case of metals (\$IV.3); these quantities are, by definition, strictly proportional.

The calculation of energy  $E_R$  is that from **S**III.5, using the Lindhard model (SII.2 - b.1). The number of displacements, d, is deduced from it (SIII.5).

$$E_R = \int w(E) \Phi_E(E) dE$$
  
d =  $E_R E_O$  with, by agreement  $E_O = 100 \text{ eV}$ 

The use of  $E_R$  and/or d is recommended for <u>all</u> applications to irradiation of metals. It should be noted that the I.A.E.A. recommendation [11] suggests <u>empirical</u> damage functions in so far as the mechanical properties of a <u>USA</u> <u>steel</u> are concerned. The results obtained are in practice very similar to those from the present recommendation.

IV.3 OTHER QUANTITIES: EQUIVALENT FLUENCE  $\Phi_Z^f$ :

if 
$$w_Z^f = \int_0^\infty w_Z(E) \chi_E(E) dE$$
 (see §III.2)

we can define  $\Phi_Z^f$ , the equivalent fission fluence for a metal Z (according to SIII.2)

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{f}} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{w}^{\mathbf{f}}} \int_{\mathbf{o}}^{\infty} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{E}) \Phi_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{E}) d\mathbf{E}$$

IV.4 NUMERICAL VALUES

Table 2 shows:

- a distribution w(E) to be used when there is no special reason to use a given library of neutron cross sections<sup>(4)</sup>;

- corresponding values for  $w^{f}$ ; for the forms of  $\chi_{E}(E)$  set out in §III.2;

- the values w corresponding to thermal neutron nuclear reactions  $(n, \gamma)$ , and, in brackets, the corresponding recoil energies;

- the number  $P_{\overline{Z}}$  of atoms per gram.

The values of w are given in keV per gram for  $1 \text{ n.cm}^2$  from which

$$E_{R} = \int w(E) \Phi_{E}(E) dE \quad \text{is obtained in keV.g}^{-1}$$

$$d = \frac{1}{E_{O}} P_{Z} E_{R} \quad \text{is obtained in atom displacements}$$

$$\Phi_{Z} = \frac{1}{w^{f}} E_{R} \quad \text{is obtained in n.cm}^{-2}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(4)</sup>If necessary, fuller and more detailed information can be found in [8], which is consistent with that given here.

#### V. APPLICATION TO GRAPHITE

Quantities used to express irradiation fluences.

V.1 We repeat the necessity (\$II.2.c) of providing, whenever possible, all information on spectra, flux and neutron fluences.

#### V.2 BASIC QUANTITY

#### V.2 a) Principle:

The damage function recommended is that from the Thompson-Wright model [12]. More empirical, and undoubtedly less satisfactory on the theoretical plane than the universal model suggested in II.2 - b.1, for graphite it is shown to be better confirmed by experiment than the latter (see [13] especially). The Thompson-Wright function expresses a number of atoms displaced per target atom in graphite; if w(E) is this number of displaced atoms, we can write:

$$w(E) = \sigma_{z}(E)d(E)$$

 $\sigma_{s}(E)$  being the scattering cross section of graphite and d(E) the number of atoms displaced as a result of a neutron collision. We recommend the use of the <u>equivalent fission fluence</u>  $\Phi_{G}^{f}$  (SIII.2):

$$\Phi_{G}^{f} = \frac{1}{w} \int_{0}^{\infty} w(E) \Phi_{E}(E) dE = \Phi_{G} \text{ in brief}$$

where 
$$w^{f} = \int_{0}^{\infty} w(E) \chi_{E}(E) dE$$

We also recommend the use of the equivalent fission flux density  $\phi_G^f$  (SIII.3):

$$\phi_{\rm G}^{\rm f} = \frac{1}{{\bf w}^{\rm f}} \int_{0}^{\infty} {\bf w}({\rm E}) \phi_{\rm E}({\rm E}) d{\rm E} = \phi_{\rm G}$$
 in brief

#### V.2 b) Numerical values

Table 3 gives the distribution d(E) to be used. Table 4 suggests in addition a relative distribution of  $w_{G}(E)$ , to be used in the case where there is no special rason to use a given library of the cross section  $\sigma_{s}(E)$  of graphite. The cross section for atom displacement  $\sigma_{w}(E)$  is numerically

confused here with w(E). (w and  $\sigma_{\mu}$  are defined in **§III.1**).

It seems useless, for graphite, to specify  $\chi_E(E)$  among the possible forms of fission spectra; the distribution w(E) is here such that w<sup>f</sup> is insensitive to the choice of representation of  $\chi_E(E)$ .

At better than 1%,we have:  $w_G^f = 720 \times 10^{-24}$  displacements per target atom for 1 neutron per cm<sup>2</sup>;  $\sigma_w^f = 720$  barns, for graphite.

#### V.3 OTHER THEORETICAL QUANTITIES

#### Energy transferred $E_p$ and number of displacements d (SIII.5)

The conventional energy  $E_0$  is taken as equal to 120 eV in the Thompson-Wright usage [12]. It was not considered worthwhile to modify this value.  $\Phi_G$ , d and  $E_B$  are linked by the relations (SIII).

$$E_{R} / E_{O} = d = w_{G}^{f} \Phi_{G}$$

with, here,  $E_0 = 120 \text{ eV}$  and  $w_G^f = 720 \times 10^{-24}$  displacements per atom for 1 n.cm<sup>-2</sup>. V.4 PRACTICAL QUANTITIES

Various practical quantities are used with regard to graphite: equivalent fluence for nickel, equivalent fluence for High Temperature Reactor, response of a graphite standard characteristic of any reactor position, etc.

These quantities are set out in the Appendix which follows (Para. VI).

#### VI. APPENDIX - Practical quantities - Graphite

#### VI.1 Principles

Every practical quantity must be defined by its theoretical value and/or by its practical reference:

its theoretical value: the value, in atoms displaced, of the quantity considered. Let  $\phi^{\text{practical}}$  be this quantity; which is, in other terms, the number of atoms displaced w<sup>practical</sup> such that:

$$d = w^{\text{pract.}} \Phi^{\text{pract.}}$$

its practical reference: according to one, at least, of the two following references:

- full definition of the location taken as standard;

- definition of measuring equipment (standard graphite) and of its response as a function of the quantity considered.

VI.2 Equivalent fluence for nickel, DIDO:  $\Phi_{G}^{DNE}$  or  $\Phi_{G}^{DNE}$ 

A <u>theoretical</u> definition of  $\Phi^{DNE}$  was adopted, and the use of the value  $w^{DNE}$  is recommended as the most generally used, that is to say:

 $w^{\text{DNE}} = 1300 \times 10^{-24} \text{ displacements per atom for 1 } n \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ 

(i.e.,  $\sigma_{w}^{DNE} = 1300 \text{ barns}$ )

$$d = w \Phi^{DNE}$$

(N.B. we have seen, above,  $w^{f} = 720 \times 10^{-24}$  displ. for 1 n.cm<sup>-2</sup>). VI.3 Equivalent fluence for High Temperature Reactor:  $\phi^{0.18}$  or  $\phi^{HTR}$ 

This is the fluence equivalent to the fluence of a neutron having energy higher than 0.18 MeV in an HTR (i.e. in a spectrum characteristic of HTR.)

We suggest the theoretical definition:

 $w^{0.18} = w^{HTR} = 900 \times 10^{-24}$  displacements per atom for 1 n.cm<sup>-2</sup>

$$d = w^{HTR} \Phi^{HTR}$$

(N.B. We have seen above,  $w^{f} = 720 \times 10^{-24}$  displ. for 1 n.cm<sup>-2</sup>). VI.4 Practical reference (standard graphite)

The use [13] of a well defined standard graphite permits very accurate measurement of an experimental index r

### r = damage response of standard graphite activity response of nickel

More precisely  $r = 10^{-1} \delta/A$  where  $\delta$  and A are the effects induced by the simultaneous irradiation at a very low fluence of a standard graphite sample and a nickel sample.

 $\delta$  is the relative variation of graphite  $\Delta R/R,$  corrected (for saturation, temperature, etc.).

A is the reaction number Ni<sup>58</sup> (n,p) per nickel target nucleus.

Measurement of the index r under the physical conditions of the standard location of a given practical quantity, constitutes a definition of this quantity.

Table 5 shows measurements made.

The values in Table 5 are typical values; r can vary according to the measuring position and the local loading. We find, for example: r = 3.95 in PLUTO with a loading of graphite and aluminium, and r = 4.0 in FRJ2 with a loading of heavy water and aluminium among others; and we calculate that r would be 3.3 without any load (void).

In RAPSODIE, r may vary by a factor of 2 according to the position of measurement within the core-reflector boundary. The presence of beryllium, in the  $H_2O$  reactor, can cause r to increase by more than 20%, and so on. (For more details, see, among others, [13]).

The value  $r^{f}$  = 2.0 in Table 5 explains the standardisation of measurement, resulting from a great many comparisons between calculations of  $\Phi_{G}/\Phi_{Ni}$  and measurements of r.

The measurement  $r^{i}$  in a given location in a neutron spectrum i permits the determination of the index DA =  $\tilde{\Phi}_{G}^{f}/\tilde{\Phi}_{Ni}^{f}$  (§III 4) relating to this location, and if required, determination of the equivalent nickel flux characteristic of the spectrum i,  $\Phi^{ENi}$ :

$$r^{i}/r^{f} = DA = \rho_{G,Ni} = \Phi_{g}^{f}/\Phi_{Ni}^{f}$$
  
and  $r^{i}/r^{f} = w^{ENi}/w^{f} = \Phi_{G}^{f}/\Phi^{ENi}$ 

We obtain, with  $r^{\text{DIDO}} = 3.6$  the value  $w^{\text{DNE}}$  seenabove which corresponds to DA = 3.6/2 = 1.8.

If, on the other hand, we consider by way of example, an OSIRIS type location in which r = 3.41, we can calculate:

$$(DA)OSI = 1.71$$
  
 $w^{ENOSI} = 1230 \times 10^{-24} \text{ displ. per atom cm}^2$   
 $\Phi^{ENOSI} = 0.61 \Phi_{G}^{f}$ 

REFERENCES

[1] LINDHARD, J. et al. Mat. Fys. Medd. Dan. Vid. Selsk. 33, No.10 and 14, 1963 "Notes on atomic collisions II and III." [2] NORGETT, M. J., ROBINSON, M. T., TORRENS, I. A method of calculating the number of atom displacements in irradiated CEA. R. 4389 and AERE TP/494. 1972 metals. [3] GENTHON, J. P. Irradiation-induced damage, displacement zone. CEA. R. 3712. 1969. [4] BEELER, J. R. Computer experiments to predict radiation effects IAEA. SM-120/E-1 1969 in reactor materials [5] BRINKMANN, J. A. Production of atomic displacements Amer. Journal of Physics 24, 246, 1956 by high energy particles. [6] QUERE, Y. Point defects in metals. MASSON 1967 THOMPSON, M. W. Defects and radiation damage in metals Cambridge University Press. 1969 [7] DULIEU, P., RASTOIN, J. Use of response functions.....Nucl. Eng. & Design 5, 277-284, 1967. [8] GENTHORN, J. P., GERVAISE, F. et al. Damage-producing cross sections. I.A.E.A. SM-170/65 1973 [9] Recommendations on measurement ..... Part 1. GRAPHITE. adopted by the European Dosimetry Group. 24/2/72 at Geesthacht. EUR 4867f. 1972. [10] Recommendations on measurement ..... Part 2. METALS. adopted by the E.D.G. 21.9.72 in Rome. EUR 5035f 1973. [11] Recommendations .... on radiation damage units ..... adopted by the I.A.E.A. Dosimetry Group on 2.11.72 in Seattle. To appear in Nucl. Eng. and Design. 1974 [12] THOMPSON, M. W. & WRIGHT, S.B. A new damage function for predicting the effect of reactor irradiation on graphite in different neutron spectra. Jour. of Nucl. Mat. 16, 146 - 154, 1965. [13] CANCE, M., GENTHON, J. and anor. The neutron detector for graphite - Studies and applications. To appear, 1974. (These are all in French, except for [1], [4], [5] and [12], which are in English).

Physical phenomenon	Physical quantity	Dimension
Neutron flux $\phi$	Number of neutrons per unit area per unit time	L <sup>-2</sup> T <sup>-1</sup>
Modifications source s	Modifications per unit volūme per unit time	L <sup>-3</sup> T <sup>-1</sup>
Action of $\phi$ , then of <u>s</u> during a given period		
Microscopic equilibrium <u>d</u>	Modifications per unit volume	L <sup>-3</sup>
Variation of physical properties D	Property studied	?

TABLE 1 - Synoptic of damage produced by neutrons.

•

Group	Energy	∆u	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	w (ke	.v.g <sup>-1</sup> .	cm <sup>2</sup> )					
-	Lower limit of group		Fe	Ni	Zr	Мо	Cr	Al			
0 1 2 3 4 5	14 MeV 10 MeV 7,788 MeV 6,0653 MeV 4,7237 MeV 3,6788 MeV 2,8650 MeV	0,338 0,25 0,25 0,25 0,25 0,25 0,25	2,400 2,091 1,896 1,807 1,608 1,501	1,973 1,659 1,518 1,385 1,324 1,195	1,990 1,422 1,165 1,006 0,885 0,731	1,720 1,280 1,094 0,978 0,867 0,750	2,650 2,272 2,139 1,938 1,764 1,611	3,199 2,912 3,082 2,964 3,045 2,994			
6	2,2313 MeV	0,25	1,270	1,139	0,625	0,649	1,482	2,950			
7	1,7377 MeV	0,25	1,048	0,986	0,565	0,549	1,351	2,669			
8	1,3533 MeV	0,25	0,798	0,843	0,506	0,468	0,860	2,279			
9	1,0540 MeV	0,25	0,588	0,820	0,470	0,441	0,830	2,255			
10	0,8208 MeV	0,25	0,395	0,570	0,471	0,383	0,646	1,660			
11	0,6393 MeV	0,25	0,504	0,485	0,452	0,352	0,586	1,963			
12	0,4979 MeV	0,25	0,280	0,384	0,411	0,310	0,413	1,509			
13	0,3877 MeV	0,25	0,386	0,394	0,373	0,276	0,365	1,451			
14	0,3020 MeV	0,25	0,252	0,355	0,287	0,237	0,278	1,083			
15	0,2352 MeV	0,25	0,187	0,347	0,237	0,197	0,183	0,884			
16	0,1832 MeV	0,25	0,229	0,278	0,190	0,166	0,188	0,934			
17	0,1426 MeV	0,25	0,157	0,289	0,152	0,138	0,318	1,399			
18	0,1111 MeV	0,25	0,119	0,166	0,122	0,109	0,224	0,441			
19	86,52 keV	0,25	0,116	0,154	0,099	0,083	0,260	0,954			
20	67,38 keV	0,25	0,169	0,201	0,079	0,062	0,051	0,469			
21	40,87 keV	0,50	0,071	0,109	0,057	0,043	0,097	0,159			
22	24,79 keV	0,50	0,180	0,100	0,035	0,026	0,038	0,346			
23	15,03 keV	0,50	0,008	0,198	0,022	0,015	0,021	0,018			
24	9,11 keV	0,50	0,015	0,109	0,013	0,008	0,026	0,016			
25	5,53 keV	0,50	0,029	0,024	0,008	0,007	0,044	0,014			
26 27 28 29 30 31	3,53 keV 2,04 keV 1,235 keV 0,724 keV 0,455 keV 0,276 keV	0,50 0,50 0,50 0,50 0,50 0,50	0,009 0,006 0,004 0,002 0 0	0,032 0,013 0,007 0,003 0 0	0,007 0,004 0,002 0,001 0 0	0,003 0,001 0,001 0,001 0 0	0,030 0,006 0,003 0,001 0 0	0,008 0,005 0,003 0,001			
thermal neutrons (0,025eV)		0,011	0,027	0,00025	0,025	0,014	0,004				
<u>NB</u> : energy per collision (eV)		(389,2)	(567)	(201)	(148,5 <b>)</b>	(389,1)	(771)				
$w_Z^f$ (ke 10 <sup>-20</sup> .	eV.g <sup>-1</sup> . cm <sup>2</sup> ) Leachman Watt		0,879 0,905 108	0,843 0,859 103	0,555 0,564 66	0,518 0,529 63	1,036 1,063 115	)2,255 223			

TABLE 2 - WZ functions

Energy (MeV)	d(E)	Energy (MeV)	d(E)	Energy (keV)	d(E)
14,00	550,3	1,169	316,4	94,02	73,0
12,88	540,4	1,036	304,0	83,39	66,0
11,42	531,4	0,9192	291,0	73,96	60,0
10,13	521,4	0,8152	279,0	65,59	53,8
8,987	510,2	0,7231	265,0	58,18	49,0
7,970	500,2	0,6413	250,6	51,60	43,6
7,069	490 <b>,</b> 1	0,5688	236,4	45,76	39,2
6,270	480,4	0,5045	2 <b>22,</b> 4	40,59	35,0
5,561	468,6	0,4474	209,8	36,00	32,0
4,932	459,6	0,3968	197,0	31,93	28,6
4,374	448,0	0,3519	185,0	28,32	26,0
3,880	437,6	0,3121	174,2	25,12	23,2
3,441	427,0	0,2769	162,0	22,28	21,0
3,052	<sup>4</sup> 15,0	0,2455	515,0	19,76	18,8
2,707	404,4	0,2178	139,2	17,52	17,0
2,401	392,6	0,1932	129,4	15,54	15,2
2,129	384,0	0,1713	118,2	13,78	13,4
1,888	366,5	0,1519	108,0	12,23	12,2
1,675	354,0	0,1348	96,60	10,84	10,8
1,485	341,0	0,1195	88,40	10,01	10,0
1,318	328,8	0,106	80,60	<10	$\sim$ E KeV
		1	1	1	

TABLE 3

Function d (E) as number of displacements per neutron collision.

Group	E	Ine	ergy		∆u	w/w <sub>f</sub>
0	14	-	10	MeV	0,338	0,853
1	10		7,88	MeV	0,25	0,905
2	7,788	-	6,0653	MeV	0,25	0,830
3	6,0653	-	4,7237	MeV	0,25	0,787
4	4,7237	-	3,6788	MeV	0,25	1,155
5	3,6788	-	2,8650	MeV	0,25	1,231
6	2,8650	-	2,2313	MeV	0,25	0,917
7	2,2313	-	1,7377	MeV	0,25	0,941
8	1,7377	-	1,3533	MeV	0,25	0,973
9	1,3533	-	1,0540	MeV	0,25	1,038
10	1,0540	-	0,8208	MeV	0,25	1,086
11	0,8208	-	0,6393	MeV	0,25	1,096
12	0,6393	-	0,4979	MeV	0,25	1.071
13	0,4979	-	0,3877	MeV	0,25	1,021
14	0,3877	-	0,3020	MeV	0,25	0,961
15	0,3020	-	0,2352	MeV	0,25	0,884
<b>1</b> 6	0,2352	-	0,1832	MeV	0,25	0,789
17	0,1832	-	0,1426	MeV	0,25	0,684
18	0,1426	-	0,1111	MeV	0,25	0,571
19	111,1	-	86,52	keV	0,25	0,473
20	86,52	-	67,38	keV	0,25	0,390
21	67,38	-	40,87	keV	0,50	0,287
22	40,87	-	24,79	keV	0,50	0,187
23	24,79	-	15,03	keV	0,50	0,121
24	15,03	-	9,11	keV	0,50	0,075
25	9,11	-	5,53	keV	0,50	0,046
26	5,53	-	3,35	keV	0,50	0,027
27	3,35	-	2,04	ke V	0,50	0,019
28	2,04	-	1,235	keV	0,50	0,007
29	1,235	-	0,742	keV	0,50	0,006
30	0,742	-	0,455	keV	0,50	0,004

720 x 
$$10^{-24}$$
 w/w<sub>f</sub> = w displacements for 1 n. cm<sup>-2</sup>  
720 w/w<sub>f</sub> =  $\sigma_w$  barns

<u>TABLE 4</u> - Damage function w for graphite

	Reactor	r				
D <sub>2</sub> 0	Type DIDO : PLUTO (Harwell), FRJ2 (Jülich)	3.60*				
G	" BR1 - moderator - core (Mol)	7.25				
	" DRAGON (HTR) - fuel - core (Winfrith)	5,65				
	" BEPO (TE 10)	8.20				
н <sub>2</sub> 0	" HFR - core (Pretten)	3.62				
	" MELUSINE - core (Grenoble)	2.93				
	" OSIRIS & ISIS - core (Saclay)	3.41				
	" BR2 - core (Mol)	3.42				
	" PEGASE - reflector loop (Cadarache)	3.54				
	" TRITON - reflector (Fontenay aux Roses)	2.46				
Fast Reactor	" RAPSODIE - core-reflector boundary (Cadarache)	12**				
Fission spectrum	Interpretations calculated	2.0				
<ul> <li>* measurements corrected and reduced to the definition here recommended of the \$\Phi\$ END (w<sup>END</sup> = 1300 x 10<sup>-24</sup> displacements for 1 n. cm<sup>-2</sup></li> <li>** very variable according to position.</li> </ul>						

TABLE 5 - Characteristic values of r, measured graphite/nickel index.



## SALES OFFICES

#### ne Office for Official Publications sells all documents published by the Commission of le European Communities at the addresses below and at the price listed on the back cover. Ihen ordering, specify clearly the exact reference and the title of the document.

#### BELGIQUE --- BELGIE

Moniteur belge — Belgisch Staatsblad Rue de Louvain, 40-42 — Leuvenseweg 40-42 1000 Bruxelles — 1000 Brussel. — Tél. 512 00 26 CCP 000-2005502-27 — Postrekening 000-2005502-27 Sous-dépôt — Agentschap Librairie européenne — Europese Boekhandel Rue de la Loi, 244 — Wetstraat 244 1049 Bruxelles — 1049 Brussel

#### DANMARK

*J.H. Schultz* — *Boghandel* Montergade 19 1116 Kobenhavn K — Tel. 14 11 95

#### DEUTSCHLAND (BR)

Verlag Bundesanzeiger 5 Köln 1 - Breite Straße Postfach 108 006 -- Tel. (0221) 21 03 48 (Fernschreiber : Anzeiger Bonn 08 882 595) Postscheckkonto 834 00 Köln

#### FRANCE

Service de vente en France des publications des Communautés européennes — Journal officiel 26, rue Desaix — 75 732 Paris - Cedex 15 Tél. (1) 578 61 39 — CCP Paris 23-96

#### IRELAND

Stationery Office — The Controller Beggar's Bush — Dublin 4 Tel. 76 54 01

#### ITALIA

Piazza G. Verdi 10 00198 Roma — Tel. (6) 85 08 CCP 1/2640	
Agenzie	
00187 Roma – Via del Tritone	
61/A e 61/B	
00187 Roma – Via XX Settembre	
(Palazzo Ministero	
delle finanze)	
20121 Milano – Galleria	
Vittorio Emanuele 3	
80121 Napoli – Via Chiaia 5	
50129 Firenze – Via Cavour 46/R	
16121 Genova – Via XII Ottobre 172	
40125 Bologna – Strada Maggiore 23/	A

#### GRAND-DUCHE DE LUXEMBOURG

Office des publications officielles des Communautés européennes Boite postale 1003 — Luxembourg Tél. 49 00 81 — CCP 191-90 Compte courant bancaire : BIL 8-109/6003/300

#### NEDERLAND

Staatsdrukkerij- en uitgeverijbedrijf Christoffel Plantijnstraat, s'-Gravenhage Tel. (070) 81 45 11 — Postgiro 42 53 00

#### UNITED KINGDOM

H.M. Stationery Office P.O. Box 569 London S.E. 19 N H — Tel. 01-928 6977, ext. 365

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

European Community Information Service 2100 M Street, N.W. — Suite 707 Washington, D.C. 20 037 — Tel. 296 51 31

#### SCHWEIZ - SUISSE - SVIZZERA

Librairie Payot 6, rue Grenus — 1211 Genève CCP 12-236 Genève — Tél. 31 89 50

#### SVERIGE

*Librairie C.E. Fritze* 2, Fredsgatan — Stockholm 16 Post Giro 193 — Bank Giro 73/4015

#### ESPANA

*Libreria Mundi-Prensa* Castelló 37 — Madrid 1 Tel. 275 46 55

#### OTHER COUNTRIES

Office des publications officielles des Communautés européennes Boite postale 1003 — Luxembourg Tél. 49 00 81 — CCP 191-90 Compte courant bancaire : BIL 8-109/6003/300 All scientific and technical reports published by the Commission of the European Communities are announced in the monthly periodical *,,euro-abstracts''*. For subscription (1 year: B.Fr. 1 025.—) or free specimen copies please write to the address given below.

.

Price: FB 100,-

CDNA05274ENC

OFFICE FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATIONS OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES Boite postale 1003 — Luxembourg 6884