445.33

MIGRANT WORKERS IN THE CURRENT ECONOMIC CONTEXT

he migrant problem in OECD Member countries is now seen in terms of 'stocks' rather than flows, says the 1979 report of OECD's SOPEMI (1). Unless there is a change in policy, these stocks seem likely to remain at a constant and minimal level, with small variations above or below. (See table).

Apart from the refugees, whose numbers have increased appreciably in certain countries, national markets are thus being supplied mainly by internal "foreign" labour which has to a great extent offset the diminished flows from outside. The size of the

foreign population in and outside the labour force is continuing to increase in most host countries as a result of families being reunited and the birth of their children.

However, there are important differences between the two kinds of flow. The main feature of internal flows is the appearance on the labour market of wives and children of migrant workers already established in the host countries so that the foreign labour force tends to have more and more women and young people in it. It is also becoming more "diluted": it is moving into a greater number of industries and geographical areas.

This is in line with the changes taking place in the pattern of demand for workers, as manufacturing declines and services grow.

What exact role do these domestic "foreign" workers play in the labour markets of the more industrialised countries? The question is also relevant for certain traditional emigration countries, such as Italy, Greece or Spain, where the number of foreign workers is far from negligible. In Italy, for

(1) The initials for "Système d'Observation permanente des migrations" which in English is Continuous Reporting System on Migration.

FOREIGN WORKERS IN EIGHT EUROPEAN COUNTRIES

Thousands

Receiving country Country of origin	AUSTRIA	BELGIUM	FRANCE	GERMANY	LUXEMBOURG	NETHERLANDS	SWEDEN	SWITZERLAND
Algeria	——————————————————————————————————————	2.4	361.0		_	_	0.3	
Austria	- · ·	3.7	,	76.0	12 - 1	-	2.0	19.5
Finland	· · · -	— terr	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	2.9	_		104.5	-
Greece	_	9.6	· —	153.3		2.0	8.1	4.8
Italy	2.0	106.4	175.8	305.1	10.8	10.4	2.7	240.1
Morocco	_	22.2	181.4	<u> </u>	_	31.0	0.6	<u></u> * - *
Portugal	· · ·	3.9	385.0	59.9	12.9	5.4	0.9	4.9
Spain	0.2	27.3	184.5	95.8	2.2	17.6	1.7	61.6
Tunisia	· —	1.9	73.7	<u> </u>	_	1.2	0.4	
Turkey	26.2	17.0	36.3	540.5	_	45.1	4.5	16.7
Yugoslavia	121.1	3.0	43.1	380.2	0.6	8.1	24.6	26.2
Other SEEC	12.7	87.7	66.2	131.6	20.7	52.6	32.0	83.7
Countries Non-EEC	14.5	18.2	135.8	216.6	1.9	23.0	42.2	31.9
TOTAL	176.7	306.3	1,642.8	1,961.9	49.1	196.4	224.5	489.4
Change 1974-1978	-18.9%	n.a.	n.a.	-17.8%	n.a.	+20.2%	+12.3%	-17.5%

Notes

Austria

Where no figure is given the nationality in question is not

identified separately.

Figures based on number of current work permits.

Belgium The same figure as last year, based on the April 1977 census.

Frontier workers not included.

France New estimates by the Interministerial Working Party which met in May 1979. The figures, however, represent the situa-

tion at October 1976. They refer to all the active population,

i.e. unemployed and self-employed included.

Germany Foreign workers, including frontier workers,

Foreign workers, including frontier workers, employed and unemployed in Germany at 30th June, 1978. The self-employed, which at the April 1978 microcensus were some 75,000, are not included. The figures for Austrians and Finns

are from Austrian and Finnish sources.

Luxembourg Figures from official sources for October 1977. Frontie.

workers included.

As at 31st December, 1978. Figures for nationals of non EEC countries from the Ministry of Social Affairs; those fo EEC countries, including Italy, are the correspondent's estimate. The unemployed are included, but not the self employed.

Sweden Figur

Netherlands

Switzerland

Figures for 31st December, 1978 based on Labour Force Survey. They refer to all the resident active population.

Number of foreigners at 31st December, 1978 holding an nual or established permits "with gainful activity". Seasona and frontier workers are not included; in August 1978 there were 83,800 of the former and 89,400 of the latter.



example, there would appear to be on the order of 500,000 such workers.

As yet no accurate or representative data is available (2) to answer some important questions: Does the population of foreign origin constitute a source of "marginal" manpower? Are foreign workers more willing than others to take relatively insecure jobs in terms of length of employment and working conditions? Do the foreign workers help the economic and social system to get around the institutional constraints and employment rigidities and hence to meet the exigencies — and the uncertainties — of the economic situation?

Some of the SOPEMI correspondents have provided interesting, though fragmentary, information on this matter. For example, while the rate of unemployment for foreigners hardly differs from the overall rate, their long-term unemployment rate seems to be lower than that of nationals in certain countries. A possible explanation is that unemployed foreigners may behave differently from nationals when seeking work; they may be less particular in their choice of job even though they have the same rights to income maintenance as nationals. In addition, for the majority of foreigners, leaving the labour force means, in practice, returning to their home country.

As to policy, the trend noted in previous years is becoming stronger: governments of countries which in the Sixties made considerable use of foreign workers, even on a temporary basis, are increasingly realising how much people of foreign origin can contribute to the economy both in the immediate future, given their labour market structure, and in the longer term, given their demographic

prospects. As a result governments are ass ting with growing force their desire to h with integration of foreigners and their far lies.

Towards the end of 1978 for example t German government decided to appoint commissioner for the integration of for gners, giving him responsibility for strengtl ning co-operation between the various auth rities and working with the home countri as well as inculcating in the local populati an awareness of the problems faced by re dents of foreign origin.

At the same time, governments take t position that efforts being made to promo integration must not impede voluntary retu to the home country. And measures are fact being taken by certain countries to faci tate the return. Preliminary surveys made France to assess the results of such measur show that they are less an incentive than supportive action: the availability of ass. tance does not bring about a decision return when socio-economic influences in the home and host countries counter-indica such a decision. On the other hand, such a can precipitate action on a decision that h already been taken in theory. This concl sion seems plausible: it has been observed connection with domestic geographical mapower mobility.

Reports from national corresponden show that in a good many host countrie increasing awareness of the problems invoved in integrating foreigners has improve information about the problem itself. The paradox — that information on migrant wo kers is improving while migratory flows and decreasing — is only an apparent one. The organisation of flows is essentially an administrative matter while the establishment of structures for integration poses infinitely more complex political, economic and social questions and requires a resolutely "horizon tal" approach.

It is interesting to note that there is more coordination between emigration countrie and host countries in thinking about thes matters over the past few years. In the No dic countries for example there is a long standing tradition of joint research, encoura ged by the existence of a common labor market. This example is worthy of emulation not only to improve factual knowledge, bu also because co-operation in research is on way to initiate co-operation on policy, o actual operations and on promoting th multi-disciplinary approach now increasingly seen to be necessary. The SOPEMI ca contribute to this process by disseminatin information about such co-operative action

⁽²⁾ A survey on the employment of second generation migrants now being completed l OECD should further knowledge of this problem

CIVIL EMPLOYMENT BY SECTORS OF ACTIVITY

1979

Country	Agriculture	Industry	Services	Total	
Germany	6.2	44.9		48.9	100.0
France	8.8	36	.3	54.9	100.0
Italy	14.8	37	.7	47.5	100.0
Netherlands	4.8	32	: 7 ·	. 62.4	100.0
Belgium (1)	3.1	35	.5	61.3	100.0
Luxembourg	6.1	44	7	49.2	100.0
United Kingdom	2.6	39	.0	58.4	100.0
Ireland	21.0	31	.9	47.1	100.0
Denmark	8.3	30	.2	61.5	100.0
Greece	30.8		0.0	39.2	100.0
EEC 10	8.4	38	3,6	53.0	100.0
Spain	19.5	36	5.4	44.1	100.0
Portugal	30.6	35	5.0	34.4	100.0
USSR (2 (3)	21.2	38	3.3	40.6	100.0
United States	3.6	3	1.3	65.1	100.0
Japan	11.2		4.9	53.9	100.0

- Situation on 30.6.1979. (1)
- (2) 1978.
- (3) Excluding persons exhusively occupied in private agricultural exploitation.

FOREIGN WORKERS

1979

Foreign workers in member countries of the Community according to nationality Country of Italy 2) France Belgium 2) FR Germany Netherlands origin 24.400 8.955 13.094bc 10.500 FR Germany 2.0006) 47.290 38.000 France 5.763 300 442 175.800 12.0006) 90.500 Italy 1.543 19.000 Netherlands 40.106 5.300 17.245a) 21.200 771 Belgium 9 424 1.300 41 60b) 2.000 Luxembourg -1.338 6.305 9.500 United Kingdom 30.898 12.400 10.0006) Ireland 1.649 900 177 2.0005) 600 3.269 700 360 1805) 700 Denmark 4.000 Greece 140.139 983 225 10.250, **EUR 10** 574.555 246.000 24.898 57.0005) 181.050 184.500 2.286 1.085 30.750 89.992 Spain 5.900 Portugal 59.145 385.000 1.493 1.462 36.300 11.987 540.471 384 21.000 Turkey Yougoslavia 367.301 43.100 1.605 2.900 4.354 1.604 361.000 3.100 Algeria • • 6.297 181.400 Marocco 16.214 35.000 Tunisia 10.000a 73.700 215 4.500 Other non-mem-131,800 15.595 37.250 ber countries 274.369 25.623 Total of nonmember countries 1.359.096 1.396.800 34.140 38.246d) 140.400 1.933.651 1.642.800 Grand Total 59.038 95.000 321.450

Foreign Workers (Contd/...)

Causa au ad			1	1	
Country of origin	Luxembourg 1	United Kingdom	Treland	Denmark	Total *)
FR Germany	4.500	71.000r)	-	5.117	137.500
France	8.100	16.500	••	984	119.000
Italy	11.000	72.000r)		1.045	663.000
Netherlands	700	10.500r)		1.002	78.000
Belgium	7.500	7.500r)		181	64.000
Luxembourg	. •	500		4	5.200
United Kingdom	300			5.582	75.000
Ireland	0	452.000r)		479	458.000
Denmark	100	2.000a)			7.300
Greece	0	10.000r)	12	395	166.900
EUR 10	32.200	642.000r)	12	14.789	1.773.000
Spain	2,200	37.000r)	73	671	349.GOO
Portugal	13.300	10.000a)	11	162	476.000
Turkey	• •	3.000a)	8	8.149	621.000
Yougoslavia	, 600 .	4.000a)	5	4.759	429.000
Algeria	••	600a)	5	186	366.000
Marocco	• •	2.000a)	17	1.155	242.000
Tunisia	• •	200a)	3	107	89.000
Other non-mem-	,a *		1		\
ber countries	2.100	966.205rb)	2 235	16.339	1.471.000
Total of non-					
member countries	18.200	1.023.005	2.357	31.528	4.043.000
Grand Total	50.400	1.665.005	2.369	46.317	5.816.000

Source: Direcorate-General for Employment and Social Affairs, Commission of the European Communities