GLOBAL AND LOCAL TENSIONS: THE SOCIAL DIMENSION
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"In most debates on institutional questions (whether in the
global or local mode) - and the current debate is no exception -
it is noteworthy that discussion of the relevance and importance
of social policy rarely bulks large. As the current debate develops
I am becoming more and more concerned that this is a fundamental
mistake. I believe that the social dimension goes to the heart of
the matter...

In the energy and raw materials debate, for example, the social
consequences of changes in patterns of energy consumption and
raw material supply and processing have received relatively little
examination. Most of the examination from the establishment side
of Government and the social partners has concentrated on the adverse
effects of any constraint on energy supply. Neither the possible ad­
vantages in terms of human welfare of changed patterns of supply nor
the overriding requirement that investment in new sources of energy
should be environmentally safe have received adequate study and attention.

The same type of point may be made about the raw materials' question.
If the producers' wish for a stable and equitable price for the
materials available, together with a share in the employment and added
value offered by its processing is to be met, the implication is that
current processors and consumers must be prepared to reorganise their
activities accordingly. One of the many important features of the
Lomé Convention which the Community has recently signed with 46 develop­
ing countries, and which many people regard as a model in the field
of trade and development, is that it recognises the inevitability of such
change and has provision within it for consultation with the social
partners most likely to be affected.

But to return from the global to the domestic situation; here we find
again neglect of the social background to what are conveniently
described as "economic problems."

Within the Community and its member States I can understand some of
the reasons for this neglect. The initial thrust of the Community
experiment was to achieve that degree of free movement for trade,
capital and services which would permit its development through
a customs union into an economic and monetary union. There was no
drive to exploit the Treaties' potential as instruments of regional
and social policy, for example.

Particularly since the first Paris Summit and the enlargement of the
Community in 1973, I am glad to say that ways have been found of
extending the importance of the role of social policy at Community
level. This finds current expression in the Community's Social
Action Programme covering the period 1974-1976 which represents an
initial choice of priority actions aimed towards three fundamental
objectives: full and better employment; improved living and working
conditions and greater participation in economic and social decisions.

Though few of the actions by themselves can have an immediate effect
on the current situation, the fact that through the programme proposals
and, for example, its new proposals for worker participation in industry
the Commission has initiated a Community-wide debate on social issues
has been, I believe, of major importance. It must continue to be
stressed, however, that without the whole-hearted political support
of the member states it will never be possible to create at Community level the resources to make more than a marginal contribution towards solving those social issues which are a vital dimension of any economic recession.

If the Community is to make the contribution to economic development it was intended to, it must be in a position to influence all the relevant factors. In general terms, it seems to me that the only sound framework for future social and economic progress must surely be based on the development of social institutions to bring about a generally acceptable and equitable distribution of total resources between private and public consumption, investment and exports.

In particular, there would appear to be a real need for the development of a comprehensive institutional framework permitting a continuous dialogue between governments and the social partners regarding the relative share of resources involved and, within the total available for private consumption, regarding the distribution of resources to different social groups. Where the member states of the Community are concerned I believe that it is both possible and to the advantage of all that a strategy designed to underpin such a framework should be developed at Community level.

To sum up: my argument has been that any strategy for the solution of major current problems must have within it as a "sine qua non" a human dimension. The argument has naturally been developed with the particular problems of the European Community as a background. It is, nevertheless, an argument which, if valid, must have echoes for a developed economy such as your own."