COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

COM(79) 777 final

Brussels, 10 January 1980

Recommendation for a COUNCIL DECISION

authorizing the Commission to negotiate in the name of the Community agreements in the form of exchanges of letters rectifying certain duty—free quotas opened by the United Kingdom in accordance with Protocol No 1 to the Free Trade Agreements between the European Economic Community and the Republic of Finland, between the European Economic Community and the Kingdom of Norway and between the European Economic Community and the Kingdom of Sweden

Recommendation for a COUNCIL REGULATION

concerning the conclusion of Agreements in the form of Exchanges of Letters modifying certain duty-free quotas opened by the United Kingdom in accordance with Protocol No 1 to the Agreements between the European Economic Community and the Kingdom of Norway and the European Economic Community and the Kingdom of Sweden

(submitted to the Council by the Commission)



RECOMMENDATION FOR A

Council Decision authorizing the Commission to negotiate in the name of the Community agreements in the form of exchanges of letters rectifying certain duty-free quotas opened by the United Kingdom in accordance with Protocol No. 1 to the Free Trade Agreements between the European Economic Community and the Republic of Finland, between the European Economic Community and the Kingdom of Norway and between the European Economic Community and the Kingdom of Sweden

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community, and in particular Article 113 thereof;

Having regard to the Recommendation from the Commission;

Having regard to the Free Trade Agreements signed on 5 October 1973 between the European Economic Community and the Republic of Finland (1), on 14 May 1973 between the European Economic Community and the Kingdom of Norway (2), and on 22 July 1972 between the European Economic Community and the Kingdom of Sweden (3):

Whereas certain duty-free quotas opened by the United Kingdom in accordance with Protocol No 1 to the aforementioned Free Trade Agreements should be rectified due to errors in the statistics on which these quotas were based;

HAS DECIDED AS FOLLOWS:

Sole Article

The Commission is hereby authorized to negotiate, in the name of the Community, agreements in the form of exchanges of letters rectifying certain duty-free quotas opened by the United Kingdom in accordance with Protocol No. 1 to the Free Trade Agreements between the European Economic Community and the Republic of Finland, the European Economic Community and the Kingdom of Norway and the European Economic Community and the Kingdom of Sweden.

The Commission, assisted by representatives of the Member States, shall conduct the negotiations in accordance with the annexed directives.

Done at Brussels,

For the Council The President

¹⁾ O.J. No. L 328, 28.11.1973, p. 2

O.J. No. L 171, 27.6.1973, p. 2

⁽³⁾ O.J. No. L 300, 31.12.1972, p. 97

In the reference period for the setting of the quotas (1968-71), the Scandinavian exports a classified their exports of low coated board to the United Kingdom as uncoated board. It was therefore imported under United Kingdom tariff heading 3.01. Thus the import statistics for uncoated board (48.01) were too high and those for coated board (48.07) too low. The Scandinavian exporters expected this classification to continue, but the United Kingdom customs authorities later informed exporters that low coated board had to be entered under tariff heading 48.07. This changed classification, which in fact is a rectification, requires an analoguous rectification of the statistics of the reference period and therefore of the duty-free quotas set out in Annex A to Protocol No. 1.

The United Kingdom authorities checked the original invoices in the three countries in que tion. The conclusion of these checks was that the following rectification of the duty free quotas set out in Annex A to Protocol No. 1 should be made:

The original que is were established by increasing the average yearly trade figure for the period 1968-71 by four times 5 % cumulative. Thus the classification error a pull also be increased by four times 5 % and the amount be deducted from the 1974 quota for uncoated board and added to the quota for coated board in Amnex A to Protocol No. 1. The results would be the following:

ı.	48.01 ex F other board	Finland	Norway	Sweden
	A. Original quota 1974	45.352 t	10.903 t	37.678 t
	B. Classification error	5.716 t	6.536 t	3.461 \$
,	C. 4 x 5 % of B.	232 t	1.409 t	<u>746</u> t
	D. Rectification	.948 t <u>-6.948 t</u>	7.945 t <u>-7.945 t</u>	4.207 t -4.207 t
	E. New quota 1974	38.404 t	2.958 t	33.471 t
II.	48.07 C others than printing and writing paper			
	A. Original quota 1974	47.001 t	5.988 t (1) 34.998 t
	B. Correction as I. D. above	+6.948 t	+7.945 t	+4.207 t
	C. New quota 1974	53.949 ±	<u>13.933</u> t (1) 39,205 t

⁽¹⁾ Including printing and writing paper

Explanatory Memorandum

- 1. The United Kingdom has requested that the duty-free quotas for coated board and uncoated board laid down in Annex A to Protocol No. 1 of the Free Trade Agreements between the European Economic Community (EEC) and Finland, the EEC and Norway and the EEC and Sweden be rectified.
- 2. The reasons for this request are the following:

 In the reference period for the setting of the quotas (1968-71), the Scandinavian exporters classified their exports of low coated board to the United Kingdom as uncoated board. It was therefore imported under United Kingdom tariff heading 48.01. Thus the import statistics for uncoated board (48.01) were too high and those for coated board (48.07) too low. The Scandinavian exporters expected this classification to continue, but the United Kingdom customs authorities later informed exporters that low coated board had to be entered under tariff heading 48.07. This changed classification, which in fact is a rectification, requires an analoguous rectification of the statistics of the reference period and therefore of the duty-free quotas set out in Annex A to Protocol No. 1.
- 3. This correction would bring the quotas in line with the real flow of trade in the reference period and thus correspond to the intention with which the quotas were established.
- 4. The attached draft exchanges of letters therefore propose to modify the United Kingdom duty-free quotas for coated and uncoated board contained in Annex A to Protocol No. 1 of the aforementioned Free Trade Agreement.
- 5. The Finnish, Norwegian and Swedish authorities have expressed their agreement with the procedure envisaged. It is proposed that Mr. Duchateau sign on behalf of the Community. The Finnish, Norwegian and Swedish Ambassadors could sign on behalf of their governments.
- 6. If the Council agrees to the proposed transfer from one duty free quota to another, the corresponding indicative ceilings provided for in Protocol No. 1 of the Agreements will have to be modified accordingly. A draft regulation to this end will be submitted to the Council separately.

A COUNCIL REGULATION RECOMMENDATIO

Agreements in the form of Exchanges of Letters concerning the conclusion micras opened by the United Kingdom in accordance modifying certain duty-f greements between the European Economic Community with Protocol No 1 to the and the Republic of Finl; i, the European Economic Community and the Kingdom of Norway and the Europe ... Economic Community and the Kingdom of Sweden

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROF J COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Tro costablishing the European Economic Community, and in particular Article 113 thereof,

Having regard to the Recommendation from the Commission,

Whereas the Agreements haveen the European Economic Community and the Republic of Finland (1), the Euro in Economic Community and the Kingdom of Norway (2) and the European Economic Community and the Kingdom of Sweden (3) were signed in Brussels on 5 Octobe: ±973, 14 May 1973 and 22 July 1972 respectively; whereas certain duty-free quotas opened by the United Kingdom in accordance with Protocol No 1 to these Agreements should be rectified and the agreements in the form of Exchanges ? Letters negotiated to this effect approved,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

The Agreements in the form of Exchanges of Letters modifying certain duty-free quotas opened by the United Kingdom in accordance with Protocol No 1 to the Agreements between the European Economic Community and the Republic of Finland, the European Aconomic Community and the Kingdom of Norway and the European Economic Community and the Kingdom of Sweden, are hereby approved on behalf of the Communi y.

The texts of the 'creements are annexed to this Regulation.

Article 2

 T^{k} e President of the Council is hereby authorized to designate the person empowered to sign the Agreements in order to bind the Community.

⁽¹⁾ W No 1, 323, 28.11.1973, p. 2

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 300, 31.12.1972, p. 97

Article 3

This Regulation shall enter into force on the third day following its publication in the Official Journal of the European Communities.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels,

For the Council

The President

Sir,

According to Protocol No 1 to the Free Trade Agreement between the European Economic Community and the houblic of Finland signed in Brussels on 5 October 1973, the United Kingdom has since January 1974 opened tariff quotas for uncoated paperboard (48.01) as well as for coated paperboard (48.07). The permissible maxima for these quotas were fixed on the basis of statistics available for the period 1968-71.

In the reference period for the setting of the quotas (1968-71), Finnish exporters classified their exports of low-coated board to the United Kingdom as uncoated board. It was therefore imported under United Kingdom tariff heading 48.01. Thus the import statistics for uncoated board (48.01) were too high and those for coated board (48.07) too low.

When this error was discovered, the United Kingdom customs authorities required low coated board to be entered under tariff heading 48.07. This changed classification, which in fact is a rectification, requires an analoguous rectification of the statistics of the reference period and therefore of the duty-free quotas set out in Annex A to Protoc. No 1.

As the original quotas were stablished by increasing the average yearly trade figure for the period 1968-71 by four times 5 % cumulative, the classification error should also be increased by four times 5 % and the amount be deducted from the 1974 quota for uncoated pard and added to the quota for coated board in Annex A to Protocol No 1. The result would be the following:

I. 48.01 ex F other board

Α.	Original quota 1974			45.353 t
B.	Classification error	5.	716 t	•
C.	4 x 5 % of B.	1.	232_t	•
D.	Rectification	6.	948 t	<u>-6.948</u> t
E.	New quota 1974			38.404 t
	07 C others than print l writing paper	<u>3</u>		
Α.	Original quota 197'		-	47.001 t
В.	Correction as I.	19 [.]		+6.948 t

His Excellency
Mr Ake Wiblo!
Head of the Fig. 1 Wise on to
the European Communities

C. Now quota 1574

Place Stéphanie, 20

I should be grateful if you could confirm the agreement of the Finnish Authorities with the proposed amendments to Annex A to Protocol No 1 to the Free Trade Agreement.

Please accept, Sir, the assurance of my highest consideration.

On behalf of the Council of the European Communities '

Sir,

I have the honour to ach whedge receipt of your letter of today in which you informed me as follows:

"According to Protocol I. 1 to the Free Trade Agreement between the European Economic Community and the Republic of Finland signed in Brussels on 5 October 1973, the United Kingdom has since January 1974 opened tariff quotas for uncoated paperboard (48.01) as well as for coated paperboard (48.07). The permissible maxima for the quotas were fixed on the basis of statistics available for the period 1968-71.

In the reference period or the setting of the quotas (1968-71), Finnish exporters classified their exports of low-coated board to the United Kingdom as uncoated board. It was therefore imported under United Kingdom tariff heading 48.01. Thus the import statistics for uncoated board (48.01) were too high and those for coated board (48.07) oo low.

When this error was discovered, the United Kingdom customs authorities required low coated board to be or ered under tariff heading 48.07. This changed classification, which in fact a rectification, requires an analoguous rectification of the statistics of the efference period and therefore of the duty-free quotas set out in Annex A to Propocol No 1.

As the original quotas to e established by increasing the average yearly trade figure for the period 1900-71 by four times 5% cumulative, the classification error should also be increased by four times 5% and the amount be deducted from the 1974 quota for under a docard and added to the quota for coated board in Annex A to Protocol No. The result would be the following:

I. 48.01 ex F other board

•	A. Original quota 197/		45.353 \$
	B. Classification error	5.716 t	
	C. 4 x 5 % of B.	1.232 t	
	D. Rectification	6.948 t	-6.948 t
	E. New quota 1974		38.404 t
II.	48.07 C others than minting and writing paper		
	A. Original quota 19		47.001 t
	D. Commedition as I. D. above		+6.948 t
	C. New quote 19/4	·	<u>53.949 t "</u>

I have the honour to confirm the agreement of the Finnish Authorities on the foregoing.

Please accept, Sir, the assurance of my highest consideration.

For the Republic of Finland

Sir,

According to Protocol No. 1 to the Free Trade Agreement between the European Economic Community and the Kingdom of Norway signed in Brussels on 14 May 1973, the United Kingdom has since January 1974 opened tariff quotas for uncoated paperboard (48.01) as well as for coated paperboard (48.07). The permissible maxima for these quotas were fixed on the basis of statistics available for the period 1968-71.

In the reference period for the setting of the quotas (1968-71), Norwegian exporters classified their exports of low-coated board to the United Kingdom as uncoated board. It was therefore imported under United Kingdom tariff heading 48.01. Thus the import chatistics for uncoated board (48.01) were too high and those for coated board (43.07) too low.

When this error was discovered, the United Kingdom customs authorities required low coated board to be entered under tariff heading 48.07. This changed classification, which in fact is a rectification, requires an analoguous rectification of the statistics of the reference period and therefore of the duty-free quotas set out in Annex A to Protocol No 1.

As the original quotas were established by increasing the average yearly trade figure for the period 1968-71 by four times 5 % cumulative, the classification error should also be increased by four times 5 % and the amount be deducted from the 1974 quota for uncoated board and added to the quota for coated board in Annex A to Protocol No 1. The result would be the following:

I. 48.01 exF other board

	A. Original quota 1974		10.903 t
	B. Classification error	6.536 t	•
	C. 4 x 5 % of B.	1.409 t	•
	D. Rectification	7.945 t	-7.945 t
	E. New quota 1974		2.958 t
II.	48.07 C others than printing and writing paper		,
	A. Original quota 1974		5.988 t ⁽¹⁾
	B. Correction as I. D. above		+7.945 t
	C. New quota 1974		13.933 t ⁽¹⁾
71	including printing whiting		

(1) including printing and writing paper

His Excellency
Mr. Sverre J. Gjellum
Head of the Norwegian Mission
to the European Communities

rue Archimède 17

I should be grateful if you could confirm the agreement of the Norwegian Authorities with the proposed amendments to Annex A to Protocol No 1 to the Free Trade Agreement.

Please accept, Sir, the assurance of my highest consideration.

On behalf of the Council of the European Communities

Sir,

I have the honour to acleowledge receipt of your letter of today in which you informed me as follows:

"According to Protocol of I to the Free Trade Agreement between the European Economic Community and e Kingdom of Norway signed in Brussels on 14 May 1973, the United Kingdom has since January 1974 opened tariff quotas for uncoated paperboard (48.01) as well as for coated paperboard (48.07). The permissible maxima for these quotas were fixed on the basis of statistics available for the period 1968-71.

In the reference period for the setting of the quotas (1968-71), Norwegian exporters classified their exports of low-coated board to the United Kingdom as uncoated board. It was therefore imported under United Kingdom tariff heading 48.01. Thus the import statistics for uncoated board (48.01) were too high and those for coated board (48.07) too low.

When this error was discovered, the United Kingdom customs authorities required low coated board to be a tered under tariff heading 48.07. This changed classification, which in fact is a rectification, requires an analoguous rectification of the statistics of the reference period and therefore of the duty-free quotas set out in Annex A to Protocol No 1.

As the original quotas re established by increasing the average yearly trade figure for the period 3-71 by four times 5% cumulative, the classification error should also be in eased by four times 5% and the amount be deducted from the 1974 quota for uncoed board and added to the quota for coated board in Annex A to Protocol No. The result would be the following:

I. 48.01 ex F other board

A. Original quota 1974		10.903 t
B. Classification error	6.536 t	
C. 4 x 5 % of B.	1.409 t	
D. Rectification	7.945 t	<u>-7.945</u> t
E. New quota 1974		2.958 t
II. 48.07 C others than printing and writing paper		
A. Original quova 1		5.988 t ⁽¹⁾
B. Correction as I above	•	±7.945 t
C. New quote 1974		13.933 t (1)
Germania: (Pa) recommenda (Parismo		

⁽¹⁾ inc' ling anting and writing paper

I have the honour to confirm the agreement of the Norwegian Authorities on the foregoing.

Please accept, Sir, the assurance of my highest consideration.

For the Kingdom of Norway

<u>Letter No 1</u>

Sir,

According to Protocol No 1 to the Free Trade Agreement between the European Economic Community and the Kingdom of Sweden signed in Brussels on 22 July 1972, the United Kingdom has since January 1974 opened tariff quotas for uncoated paperboard (48.01) as well as for coated paperboard (48.07). The permissible maxima for these quotas were fixed on the basis of statistics available for the period 1968-71.

In the reference period for the setting of the quotas (1968-71), Swedish exporters classified their exports of low-coated board to the United Kingdom as uncoated board. It was therefore imported under United Kingdom tariff heading 48.01. Thus the import statistics for uncoated board (48.01) were too high and those for coated board (48.07) toolow.

When this error was discovered, the United Kingdom customs authorities required low coated board to be entered under tariff heading 48.07. This changed classification, which in fact is a rectification, requires an analoguous rectification of the statistics of the reference period and therefore of the duty-free quotas set out in Annex A to Protocol No 1.

As the original quotas were established by increasing the average yearly trade figure for the period 1968-71 by four times 5 % cumulative, the classification error should also be increased by four times 5 % and the amount be deducted from the 1974 quota for uncoased board and added to the quota for coated board in Annex A to Protocol No 1. The result would be the following:

I. 48.01 ex F. other board

	A.	Original quota 19,4		37.678 t
	μ_{ullet}	Classification error	3.461 t	
ş	C.	4 x 5 % of B.	746 t	
	1),	Nontification .	4.207 \$	-1.207 t
	E.	New quota 1974		33.471 t
II.		.07 C others than prin- ng and writing paper		•
	A.	Original quota 1974		34.998 t
	В.	Correction as I.D. above		+4.207 t
	C.	New quota 1974		39.205 t

His Excellency
Mr. Bengt Rabaeus
Head of the Swedish Mission to
the European Communities
Rond Point Schuman 6

I should be grateful if you could confirm the agreement of the Swedish Authorities with the proposed amendments to Annex A to Protocol No 1 to the Free Trade Agreement.

Please accept, Sir, the assurance of my highest consideration.

On behalf of the Council of the European Communities

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of your letter of today in which you informed me as follows:

"According to Protocol No of to the Free Trade Agreement between the European Economic Community and the Kingdom of Sweden signed in Brussels on 22 July 1972, the United Kingdom has since January 1974 opened tariff quotas for unmarked paperhoard (48.07), as well as for coated paperhoard (48.07). The permissible maxima for these quotas were fixed on the basis of statistics available for the period 1968-71.

In the reference period for the setting of the quotas (1968-71), Swedish exporters classified their exports of low-coated board to the United Kingdom as uncoated board. It was therefore imported under United Kingdom tariff heading 48.01. Thus the import statistics for uncoated board (48.01) were too high and those for coated board (48.07) too low.

When this error was discorred, the United Kingdom customs authorities required low coated board to be entered under tariff heading 48.07. This changed classification, which in fact is a rectification, requires an analoguous rectification of the statistics of the ceference period and therefore of the duty-free quotas set out in Annex A to Frotecol No 1.

As the original quotas were established by increasing the average yearly trade figure for the period 1965-71 by four times 5 % cumulative, the classification error should also be increased by four times 5 % and the amount be deducted from the 1974 quota for uncoast board and added to the quota for coated board in Annex A to Protocol No 1. he result would be the following:

I. 48.01 ex F other board

A	4. Original quota 1974		37.678 t
F	B. Classification error	3.461 t	•
C	C. 4 x 5 % of B.	746 t	
I	D. Rectification	4.207 t	-4.207 t
E	C. New quota 1974	•	33.471 t
	18.07 C others than prin- ting and writing caper		
A	A. Original quota 1974	•	34.998 t
F	3. Correction as I. D. above	,	+4.207 t
C	C. New quota 1974		39.205 t"

I have the honour to confirm the agreement of the Swedish Authorities on the foregoing.

Please accept, Sir the assurance of my highest consideration.

For the Kingdom of Sweden

• . , **%**