# Newsletter on the Common Agricultural Policy

STRUCTURAL AND SOCIAL POLICIES FOR EUROPEAN AGRICULTURE

SUPPLEMENT THE COMMON AGRICULTURAL POLICY

	Page
Measures to assist farmers wishing to leave the land	1
Modernization of farms Moasuros to assist farmers remaining in farming	2
Measures to advise and offer vocational training to farmers	3
Measures to improve markoting facilities for agricultural produce	3
Measures to be taken later	4
Financing the reform of Europoan agriculture	4
Eliminating distortions of competition	5
Transitional assistance measures	6
Concerted development of the common agricultural policy and of the other Community policies	6
Prospocts of the scheme being put into effect	6
Agricultural producor pricos for the farm year $1971/72$	6
Harmonization of the laws governing feedingstuffs	12

249/X/71-T

No. 3

Published by the Division for Agricultural Information in collaboration with the Directorate-General for Agriculture of the European Communities Commission — 129, rue Stévin, Brussels 4

\_\_\_\_

# STRUCTURAL AND SOCIAL POLICY FOR EUROPEAN AGRICULTURE

#### SUPPLEMENTS THE COMMON AGRICULTURAL POLICY

On 24 March 1971 the Council of the European Communities took an important step to strengthen European integration still further. It extended the foundation for a more balanced common agricultural policy which will improve the structural basis of farming by joint efforts and will provide farmers with the same social standing as that of other occupations in modern oconomic life.

The main feature of this decision is that it reinforces a solidarity which, for the first time in the Community, will make it possible to assist the economically backward areas more than the relatively developed areas by means of increased financial aid from the Community.

Only in this context was it possible to reach decisions concerning prices which most the political demands made by the European Parliament and the farmers' representatives. Such is the political significance of this decision that it may be expected to act as a spur to the common economic and monotary policy, the regional policy, the extension of the social policy, and the harmonization of policies in the field of competition so that they can be put into full practical effect.

A positivo docision was reached regarding the fundamental principles underlying the original proposals put forward by the Commission in the draft resolution of 15 February, although the Council did not approve a number of important individual measures.

## Measures to assist farmers wishing to leave the land

In future, all farmers who are thinking of leaving the land are to receive aid which will make this personal decision easier for them.

In most Member States, domestic arrangements already exist for this purpose, but not yot in others. They should therefore be introduced in these countries.

The proposed scheme consists of

(a) a grant for freeing land,

(b) a life annuity.

All farmers, regardloss of their ago, are entitled to the grant for freeing their land, even before they reach the age limit, provided they leave farming and make their land available either for the improvement of farms continuing in existence or for non-agricultural purposes.

.../ ...

-1-

<sup>&#</sup>x27;See "Newsletter on the Common Agricultural Policy", No. 2, February 1971.

This grant will be paid out of the funds available to the individual Member States and is to be based on the size of the farming area freed.

. - 2 -

As laid down by the Council on a Commission proposal, heads of farms, and in some cases farmworkers, are entitled, on reaching the age of 55 and up to the age of 65, to a supplementary allowance (life annuity), as soon as they leave farming and make the land available for the structural programme.

The Council has fixed this supplementary allowance at 600 u.a. per year. The European Agricultural Guidance and Guarantee Fund (EAGGF) will assist in the financing.

The Member States may replace the annual allowance by a lump sum payment.

By its decision of 24 March 1971, the Council also obliged the Member States to make arrangements for grants to younger farmors wishing to leave farming. Such a system covers financial assistance to help retrain farmers for other occupations and guarantees payment of salary during the period in which they are being retrained. The new European Social Fund helps to finance these reasures to retrain farmers for other occupations. In addition, the Council recommends that Member States should grant generous scholarships to the children of farmers with modest incomes.

#### Modernization of farms

(Measures to assist farmors remaining in farming)

This social assistance awarded to various groups of farmers to enable them to leave the land should in no way be interpreted as a means of exerting pressure with a view to driving as many farmers as possible from the land at the earliest possible opportunity. On the contrary, the place of these leaving farming should be filled by young, efficient farmers running sound and viable farms.

A great many misunderstandings have arisen on this subject concerning the intentions of the Commission, during the past three years.

The Council has decided on a varied modernization scheme designed to enable farmers remaining on the land to modernize their farms.

The Member States will introduce a system of aids; in selecting which farmers are to receive this aid, preference is to be given in the first instance to heads of farms with good professional qualifications. Assistance will depend, in particular, on the approval of a farm development scheme.

The submission of a development scheme will no longer depend on the size of the farm at the outset: the scheme must in principle, however, create a farm which after six years provides one or two farmworkers as a rule with an income comparable to that of nonagricultural occupations in the same area. In certain cases in some

.../...

areas it will be possible to extend the development scheme boyond the six-year period.

The measures to achieve this development are:

- (i) The land freed by farmers leaving agriculture will be allocated, as a matter of preference, to farms where development is possible.
- (ii) Financial aid, in the form of an interest rebate for the investments required to put the development scheme into effect. This aid is intended for measures to improve production, but the purchase of land is excluded. The interest rebate amounts to 5%, at the most, and the interest to be paid by the beneficiary must amount to at least 3%.

The Member States are authorized by the Council to change this interest rebate, where appropriate, into a capital loan of the same amount, with varying terms for repayment.

(iii) A guarantoe to secure the lean in cases where tangible and personal security is inadequate.

Exceptionally, the Member States may, in some areas, make a decreasing income compensatory payment for a period not exceeding that for which the devolcpment scheme is valid.

The Council recommends that Momber States should make logal provision for the long-term lease of the land freed.

## Measures to advise and offer vocational training to farmers

The Member States are to introduce a system to promoto

- (i) the setting up or development of effices intended to give these omployed on the land such information and advice as they may require to reach decisions concerning their future occupations; the training and further training of socio-economic consultants come into this category;
- (ii) vocational training and further training for these omployed on the land who decide to stay in farming;
- (iii) accounting operations for farms.

#### Measures to improve marketing facilities for agricultural produce

The system of aids for producers' organizations, introduced by the Council, is an exceptionally important European arrangement which will make it possible to provide large-scale facilities along the same lines in all Member States for collecting agricultural produce at central points, processing it and putting it on the market in large standardized quantities.

- 3 -

Here we come to the meeting point of the production, collection and processing of this produce and its subsequent sale by modern free-market methods. All other structural reforms and modernization measures are, of course, intended to bring the production and sale of agricultural produce into permanent balance in the not too distant future.

The producers' organizations thus form a vital link in the chain holding farming in place in our modern economy. In this way, farmers are to be induced to take responsibility themselves for the marketing of their own produce.

In order to achieve this aim, the Member States will introduce a system of aids for producers' associations and their groupings which apply common regulations governing production and marketing. This system includes:

- (i) initial aid;
- (ii) aid for the investments required to put common regulations governing production and sale into offect, taking the form of interest relates of up to 5%. The remaining interest to be paid by the beneficiary must amount to at least 3%. The Member States may grant a capital lean equivalent to the amount of this subsidy;
- (iii) a guarantee for the loan in cases where tangible security is inadequate.

The Commission was also instructed by the Council to look more closely into problems connected with the marketing and processing of agricultural produce and to submit proposals with a view to achieving the aims set forth in Article 39 of the Treaty.

#### Measures to be taken later

The Momber States will take all appropriate measures to provent the agricultural area from being extended. This is to be regarded as a further step to bring the supply and domand for agricultural produce into permanent balance.

Acting on a Commission proposal, the Council recommends measures to assist afforestation schemes forming part of regional afforestation and leisure activities projects.

## Financing the referm of European agriculture

The vital link between the reform measures to be put into effect and their implementation along Community lines consists in the financial contributions drawn from the common fund, the European Agricultural Guidance and Guarantee Fund (EAGGF), for each individual project, except in cases where it is specified that projects are to be financed out of Member States' own resources (e.g. grants for freeing land). This must induce the Member States to adapt existing national legislation along Community lines. Only these who adhere to the regulations decided upon by the Council can expect to receive the appropriate contributions from the common fund.

Unless specified to the contrary, the financial contribution from the EAGGF to common projects will amount to 25% of the expenditure. In addition to this, the funds supplied by each Member State will ensure that the modernization measures to assist farmers remaining on the land are carried through.

As far as measures to assist those wishing to leave the land are concerned, the EAGGF contribution will amount to 65% of expenditure in economically backward areas provided that at the time the measures have not already been applied in the areas concerned. In other areas, the percentage will be 25%.

Acting on a Commission proposal the Council will lay down the criteria for deciding which areas shall be regarded as economically backward. The MAGGF may make payment by instalments.

At the end of the period during which financial commitments have been entered into to assist farming, the Council will review the situation but may not call existing arrangements into question. The funds available for the initial period of four years - 285 million u.a. per year in addition to an existing reserve fund - will probably be sufficient to put the scheme contemplated by the Council inte effect.

## Eliminating distortions of competition

When the Community provisions concorning common measures have been adopted on the basis of Community principles, specific types of aids running counter to the aims of the common measures will be banned.

The Council takes note of the Commission's decision to examine all aids, in accordance with Article 93 of the EEC Treaty with the following aims in mind:

- (a) harmonizing investment aids;
- (b) abolishing all other aids which affoct production costs;
- (c) working out common criteria to be applied in assessing the general system of aids with a view to implementing and developing the common agricultural policy.

The Commission will adhere to the following time-table:

(a)	fruit, glasshouse produce, vegetables and sugar	1	January 1972
(b)	milk, beef and veal, pigmoat, eggs and poultry	l	July 1972
(c)	wheat, wino, tobacco, fishery products miscollangous products	l	January 1973
(d)	miscollaneous products	1	July 1973

#### Transitional\_assistanco moasuros

The Member States may, without any financial contribution on the Community's part, take transitional assistance measures to help farmer under the age of 55 who cannot benefit from the Community's subsidies to aid their investments. However, these subsidies can be granted for a period of only five years, and they are also subject to revision by the Commission, in accordance with the provisions contained in Article 93 of the EEC Treaty.

# Concorted dovelopment of the common agricultural policy and of the other Community policies

It is essential that rapid progress should be made in the dovelopment of other Community policies, and particularly as regards economic and monetary union, regional policy and social policy. Such progress would contribute appreciably to making the reform of agriculture a reality.

The Council agrees, in particular, that the Mombor States and the Community should introduce a system to promote regional development, which would tend to create jobs, mainly in areas with a considerable excess of farm labour. In the field of social policy, the new Social Fund must be provided with adequate funds to be able to assist with the retraining of farmers wishing to take up another occupation.

#### Prospects of the scheme being put into effect

The success of the project which the Council has thus embarked upon will depend how community-minded a spirit the Member States show in the discussions at the Council, i.e. how seriously, rapidly, and energetically they are conducted. Success will ultimately depend on the extent to which the Member States are prepared to make use of the policy described above to bring to an end the unmethodical juxtaposition of demostic and Community policies.

# Agricultural producer prices for the farm year 1971/72

/ On 24 March the Council of the European Communities reached the conclusion that the fundamental problems facing agriculture could not be properly solved by means of market and price policies alone.

The Council has therefore closely linked structural policy, market policy, and price policy. As a result increases occur in several producer prices, both for crop and livestock produce.

Corcals Targot price increased by 3.19 u.a./ton (3%) from Common wheat: 106.25 to 109.44 u.e./ton. Basic intervention price increased by 1.97 u.a./ton (2%) from 98.75 to 100.72 u.a./ton. Target price increased by 2.50 u.a./ton (2%) from Durum wheat: 125 to 127.50 u.a./ton. Basic intervention price increased by 2.90 u.a./ton (2%) from 145 to 147.90 u.a./ton. Target price increased by 2.92 u.a./ton (3%) from Rye: 97.50 to 100.42 u.a./ton. Basic intervention price increased by 1.82 u.a./ton (2%) from 91 to 92.82 u.a./ton. The special quality surcharge has been rotained in the case of the intervention price for ryo of broadmaking quality, Barloy: Targot price increased by 4.77 u.a./ton (5%) from 95.44 u.a/ton to 100.21 u.a./ton. Basic intervention price increased by 3.54 u.a./ton (4%) from 88.48 u.a./ton to 92.02 u.a./ton. Efforts should be made to introduce a contractual policy covering a poriod of soveral years to be followed by producers and buyers of rye used for browing purposes. Maizos Target price increased by 0.96 u.a./ton (1%) from 95.94 u.a./ton to 96.90 u.a./ton. The ruling monthly price increases for all types of cereals rise by 8%. Rico: Target price for husked rice increased by 1.23 u.a./ 100 kg (0.6%) from 189.70 u.a./100 kg to 190.93 u.a./ 100 kg.

This increase is composed of a sum of 0.73 u.a./100 kg to cover the increased cost of converting rice paddy into husked rice and a sum of 0.50 u.a. (0.40 u.a./100 kg) multiplied by the coefficient for the conversion of rice paddy into husked rice = 1.25), the intention being to liven up the market.

The intervention price for unprocessed rice romains 12.50 u.a./100 kg, as before.

The ruling monthly price increases rise by 8%.

Target price increased by 10.30 u.a./100 kg to 10.90 u.a./100 kg (i.e. 6%).

The following increases were involved:

Milk:

-7 -

- (i) the intervention price for butter rose from 173.50 u.a./100 kg to 178 u.a./100 kg (2.6%);
- (ii) the intervention price for skim milk powder rose from 41.25 u.a./100 kg to 47 u.a./100 kg;
- (iii) the intervention price for Grana padane (30 to 60 days) rose from 124.80 to 132.05 u.a./100 kg (5.8%), (6 months) from 148.80 to 156.60 u.a./100 kg and for Parmigiano-Reggiane from 163.20 u.a./100 kg to 171 u.a./100 kg.

The threshold prices were increased accordingly.

The aid for liquid skim milk was increased by 0.15 u.a./100 kg (11%) from 1.57 u.a./100 kg to 1.65 u.a./100 kg.

The aid for skim milk powder for feedingstuffs was increased by 4.75 u.a./100 kg from 8.25 u.a./ 100 kg to 13 u.a./100 kg.

Beef and veal: The guide price for mature cattle was increased for the farm year 1971/72 by 6% and by 4% for the farm year 1972/73, i.e. to 72 u.a. (previously 68 u.a.)/100 kg for the farm year 1971/72 and to 75 u.a./100 kg for the farm year 1972/73.

The guide price for calves was increased by 3% for the farm year 1971/72, to 94.25 u.a./100 kg.

The Commission states that it does not intend to alter the list of specific markets and the weight coefficients in such a way as to increase the Community market price by more than 1%.

Sugar

The guaranteed quantity will, in principle, be reduced to the level of probable consumption, without going below the basic quotas.

Article 31 of Regulation No. 1009/67/EEC will not be repealed as from the beginning of the farm year 1972/73 (mixed price); the special maximum quota for the period 1 July 1971 to 30 June 1973 was fixed at 230% of the basic quota.

In view of increased processing costs, and particularly of higher power costs, the price of white sugar was further adjusted by 0.50 u.a./100 kg, resulting in an intervention price of 22.61 u.a./100 kg for the area with the greatest surplus.

The maximum amount of the production levy was fixed at 9.10 u.a./100 kg and the sugar beet producers' share of this levy at 59.12%. The margin of 5% between the target price and the intervention price was retained.

Aid of 1.8 u.a./ton of sugar boot will be paid to sugar best producers in Italy out of domestic funds; the aid is thus increased by 0.70 u.a./ton. Oil seeds: The monthly differentials increased by 8%. The present level of target prices was rotained in the case of rape and beet seeds at 20.25 u.a./100 kg.

r

The target price for sunflower seeds was retained at 20.25 u.a./100 kg.

The basic intervention price for rape and best seeds was retained at 19.65 u.a./100 kg.

Product	Typo of prico	Farm yoar 1970/71 u.a./ton	Farm yoar 1971/72 u.a./ton	Farm year 1971/72 £/ton	Chengo (in %)
Durum whoat	Targot prico Basic intervention prico	125.00 117.50	127.50 119.85	53.125 49.938	+ 2.0 + 2.0
1	Minimum price (wholesalo) guaranteed to producer	145,00	147.90	61.625	+ 2.0
Common wheat	Target price Basic intervention price	106.25 98.75	109.44 100.72	45.600 41.967	+_3.0 + 2.0
Barley	Targot price Basic intervontion price	95•44 88 <b>.</b> 48	100.21 92.02	41.754 38.342	+ 5.0 + 4.0
Ryo	Targot prico Basic intervention price	97.50 91.00	100.42 92.82	41.842 38.675	+ 3.0 + 2.0
Maizo	Targot prico	95•94	96.90	40.375	+ 1.0
Rico	Target prico	189.70	202,00	84.167	+ 0.6
<u>Olive oil</u>	Production targot price Market target price Intervontion price	1 152.50 721.00 648.50	1 152.50 721.00 648.50	480.209 300.417 270.209	+ 0 + 0 + 0 + 0
<u>Oil seeds</u>	Target prico Rapo and boot seeds Sunflower seeds	202.50 202.50	202.50 202.50	84.375 84.375	+ 0 + 0
)	Basic intervention price Rape and beet seeds	196.50	196.50	81,875	<u>+</u> 0
Sugar	Minimum price for sugar boot	17.00	17.00	7.083	<u>+</u> 0
	Price for "half-lean"	10.00	10.00	4.167	<u>+</u> 0
	Target price for white sugar Intervention price for	223.50	238.00	99.167	<u>+</u> 5.7
	white sugar	212.30	226.10	94.208	+ 6.0
Milk	Targot price for milk Intorvention price	103.00	109.00	45,417	+ 6.0
	Buttor Skim milk powder Cheese, Grana padano	1 735.00 412.50	1 780.00 470.00	741.667 195.833	+ 2.6 +13.9
	(30-60 days) (6 months) Parmigiano-Reggiano Direct aid for skim milk	1 488.00	1 320.50 1 566.00 1 710.00	550.209 652.501 712.501	+ 5.8 + 5.2 + 4.8
	Powder Liquid	82.50 15.00	130.00 16.50	54.167 6.875	+57.6 +11.0
Boef & veal	Guide price for mature cattle (71/72) (live weight) (72/73) Guide price for calves (live weight)	680.00 915.00	720.00 750.00 942.50	300.060 312.500 392.709	+ 6.0 + 4.0 + 3.0
Pigmeat	Basic price for slaughtor		772.50	321.875	+ 0

Price decisions reached by the EBC Council of Ministers

Product	Murro of mains	Farm year Farm year		Farm year 1971 £/British	
11.000000		1970/71 u.a./ton	1971/72 u.a./ton	unit	uni c
Durum wheat	Target price Basic intervention price	125.00 117.50	:	cwt(50.8023 kg) do.	2.695 2.52
	Minimum prico (wholesalo) guaranteed to producer	145.00	147.90	do.	3.131 (
Common whoat	Targot prico Basic intervention price	106.25 98.75	109.44 100.72	do. do.	2.317 2.132
Barley	Target price Basic intervention price	95.44 88.48	100.21 92.02	do do.	2.121 1.948
<u>Ryo</u>	Target price Basic intervontion price	97.50 91.00	100.42 92.82	do. do.	2.126 1.965
Maizo	Target prico	95•94	96.90	do.	2.051
Rico	Target price	189.70	202,00	do.	4.276
<u>Olive oil</u>	Production target price Markot target price Intervontion price	1 152,50 721.00 648.50		do. do. do.	24.396 15.262 13.727
<u>Oil soods</u>	Targot price Rapo and beet seeds Sunflowor seeds	202,50 202,50	202•50 202•50	do. do.	4•286 4•286
	Basic intervontion price Rape and beet soods	196.50	196.50	do.	4.159
Sugar	Minimum price for sugar beer Price for "half-lean" beet Target price for white sugar Intervention price for white	10.00 223.50	17.00 10.00 238.00	grcss ton/ (1016.047 kg) cwt(50.8023 kg)	7.197 4.2) 5.038
	sugar	212.30	226.10	do.	4.786
Milk	Targot price for milk Intervention price	103.00	109.00	gallon (4.68247k	3) 0.213
	Butter Skim milk powdor Choose, Grana padano	1 735.00 412.50	1 780.00 470.00	owt (50.8023 kg) do.	37•678 9•949
	(30-60 days) (6 months) Parmigiano-Reggiano Direct aid for skim milk	1 248.00 1 488.00 1 632.00	1 566.00	do. do. do.	27.952 33.149 36.197
	Powdor Liquid	82.50 15.00	-	do. do.	2.752 9.349
Boof & veal	Guide price for mature catt: (live weight) (71/72) (72/73)	le 680.00	720.00 750.00	do. do.	15.241 15.876
	Guide price for calves (live weight)	915.00	942.50	do.	19.951
Pigmeat	Basic price for slaughtered pigs	772.50	772.50	score (9.07185kg)	2.920

•

•

ر .

. .

-----

•

٠. .

- 11 -

## Harmonization of the laws governing feedingstuffs

In July 1970 the Council set up a Standing Animal Feedingstuffs Committee and adopted a directive on the introduction of Community methods of sampling and analysis for the official inspection of animal feedingstuffs. In November 1970 it also adopted a directive concerning additives in animal feedingstuffs. To complete the harmonization in this field, the Commission has new submitted to the Council proposals for two further regulations. The first regulation fixes the maximum permissible content of undesirable substances and products in feedingstuffs and the second deals with trade in feedingstuffs.

The regulation on undesirable substances and products covers all matters whose presence in feedingstuffs is in itself undesirable, but which cannot be completely excluded because they occur naturally in some products used in proparing the feedingstuffs (e.g. arsenic in hay, gossypium in cottonscod-oil cakes and aflatexin in groundnut-oil cakes). Maximum permissible levels are therefore proposed which ensure that human and animal health will not be endangered.

The regulation on trade in feedingstuffs is the keystone of the harmonization process. It provides for definitions of straight feedingstuffs and their quality standards. It also proposes logislation on mixed feedingstuffs.

A uniform BEC labelling is planned for both types of focdingstuffs.

Feedingstuffs which conform to the regulation should not be faced with any trade barriers within the Community. The Commission proposal presents a compromise between the views of the Member States which are still vastly divergent.

0

0

0