

European Communities

EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

Working Documents

1978 - 1979

26 June 1978

ORAL QUESTION (0-34/78)

DOCUMENT 195/78/rev.

with debate pursuant to Rule 47 of the Rules of Procedure by Mr JAHN
on behalf of the Christian-Democratic Group (Group of the European
People's Party)
to the Commission of the European Communities

Subject: Imminent prospect of the Commission's proposal for a
directive on bird conservation not being adopted

The failure of the Council of Environment Ministers on 12 December
1977 to reach agreement on the proposal from the Commission for a
directive in bird conservation was repeated at its meeting of 30 May
1978. This means that implementation of the urgently needed measures
on bird protection provided for in the directive will be further
delayed if not jeopardized altogether, even though the European
Parliament called on the Council in its resolution of 14 June 1977¹
to adopt the directive and bring it into effect as soon as possible,
and at all events, in conformity with the obligation it entered into
in the 1973 environmental action programme, within nine months of it
having been submitted, i.e. by September 1977 at the latest.

In view of this regrettable state of affairs the Commission is
asked to answer the following questions:

¹ OJ No. C 163, 11.7.1977, p.28

1. Is it true that the deliberations in the Council of Environment Ministers of 12 December 1977 closed with only two French reservations, viz.
 - (a) the demand that the skylark and the corn bunting be included in the list of game species (Annex II),
 - (b) the refusal to authorize trade in more than 10 species of bird (Annex III)?
2. Is there any accuracy in press reports that at the meeting of 30 May eight Member States put to France a far-reaching compromise proposal, the contents of which can be summarized as follows:
 - (a) The lark may be hunted with a rifle in France and Italy;
 - (b) Trade in 7 bird species must be authorized throughout the Community;
 - (c) In the case of 26 other species, the Member States may, on certain conditions and providing they observe a monitoring procedure involving the Commission, deviate from the general ban on trade, in respect of their own territory;
 - (d) The Commission will carry out studies into the biological status of 9 of the 26 species concerned and, in the light of the findings, the Council, acting on a proposal from the Commission, will take appropriate measures to prohibit trade in these species?
3. Is the Commission aware that the directive is an important milestone for bird conservation throughout the Community, particularly when it is remembered that it contains major improvements, on which agreement was reached in the Council, viz.
 - a basis for Community action to protect bird habitats,
 - establishment of common principles for hunting and, in particular, reduction of the number of game species from the present figure of 120 to 72,
 - reduction of the number of species authorized for trade from the present figure of 120 to 33,
 - outright ban on the use of all non-selective methods of killing and capture, i.e. means of large-scale capture and extermination,
 - the beginnings of coordination of research into bird species?
4. Is it prepared, through a further appropriate compromise proposal, to do all it can to prevent a directive on such important basis issues from being shelved because of secondary considerations or from being held up because of comparatively minor differences of opinion?
5. In view of the serious delays so far, is it ready to bring pressure to bear on the Council to reduce the period for the incorporation of the directive into national law to one year from the date of notification of the directive, it being imperative that swift action be taken to protect those species of bird threatened with extermination or further decimation?
6. If not, can it give valid reasons for retaining the comparatively long period of two years?