COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

COM(78) 285 final.

Brussels, 16 June 1978.

Proposal for a COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC)

fixing, for 1978/1979 sugar marketing year, the differential charge to be levied on raw preferential sugar and the differential amount to be granted in respect of raw cane sugar from the French overseas departments

Proposal for a COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC)

laying down, for the 1978/1979 sugar marketing year, measures to facilitate the disposal of sugar produced in the French overseas departments

(submitted to the Council by the Commission)

A -			i	V	

EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

I. Proposed Council Regulation fixing, for the 1273/79 sugar marketing year, the differential charge applicable to raw preferential sugar and the differential amount to apply to raw cane sugar produced in the French overseas departments

The basic sugar regulation provides that where the margin necessary for the refining of raw preferential sugar exceeds the raw beet sugar refining margin taken into account in the determination of the relevant Community prices then a differential charge shall be made on raw preferential sugar when it is refined in a "mixed" refinery. As this will be the case in 1970/79 this proposal is for the purpose of fixing, the said charge.

The basic regulation also provides that an amount equal to the charge referred to above shall be granted for the raw sugar which is produced in the French overseas departments and refined in a pure refinery, and this is also provided for in this proposal.

→ Proposal of

COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC)

fixing, for the 1978/79 sugar marketing year, the differential charge to be levied on raw preferential sugar and the differential amount to be granted in respect of raw cane sugar from the French overseas departments

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES.

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 3330/74 of 19 December 1974 on the common organization of the market in sugar (1), as last amended by Regulation (EEC) No (2), and in particular Articles 9 (5) and 47 (1) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the Commission,

Whereas Article 46 (1) of Regulation (EEC) No 3330/74 provides that where there is a difference between, on the one hand, the raw sugar refining margin used to determine the intervention and threshold prices for raw sugar and, on the other hand, the margin necessary for the refining of raw preferential sugar, a differential charge to be made on the latter sugar shall be fixed for the sugar marketing year in question;

Whereas the bulk of the raw preferential sugar cannot be refined unless use is made of the refineries defined in Article 9 (7) of Regulation (EEC) No 3330/74; whereas the margin required for the refining of the said sugar in such refineries is greater, according to the information at present to hand, than that taken into account when determining the intervention and threshold prices for raw sugar for the 1973/79 sugar marketing year; whereas a differential charge should therefore be fixed for that year; whereas the amount thereof may be fixed at a flat rate of 1,69 units of account per 100 kilograms of sugar expressed as white sugar, taking into account certain differences in the costs concerned;

Whereas Article 46 (2) (b) of Regulation (EEC) No 3330/74 makes provision for the non-application of the whole of the differential charge, or part of that

there in a production unit other than a refinery as defined in Article 9 (7) of that Regulation; whereas, having regard to the traditional patterns of supplies of the said sugar to Ireland, a maximum quantity of 30 000 tonnes of that sugar expressed as white sugar refined in that region in the 1977 '78 sugar marketing year was exempted from the differential charge; whereas, for the same reasons, that exemption should be continued in respect of Ireland for the 1978/79 sugar marketing year;

charge, to any raw preferential sugar which is

imported into regions of the Community and refined

Whereas the second subparagraph of Article 9 (3) of Regulation (EEC) No 3330/74 provides, in particular, that where a differential charge has been fixed, a differential amount equal to that charge shall be granted in respect of the raw sugar produced in the French overseas departments and refined in a refinery defined in paragraph 7 of that Article and situated in the Community; whereas that amount should therefore be fixed at 1,69 units of account per 100 kilograms of white sugar,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

This Regulation shall apply to the 1978/79 sugar marketing year.

Article 2

The differential charge provided for in Article 46 (1) of Regulation (EEC) No 3.330/74 shall be fixed at 1,69 units of account per 100 kilograms of sugar expressed as white sugar by reference to a raw sugar yield calculated by doubling the degree of polarization of that sugar and deducting 100 therefrom. Nevertheless, this charge shall not apply to raw preferential sugar

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 359, 31, 12, 1974, p. 1.

^(*) OI No L

refined during the 1978/79 sugar marketing year in Ireland up to a maximum quantity of 30 000 tonnes of sugar expressed as white sugar.

1,69
3330/74 shall be fixed at \(\sqrt{\text{units of account per}} \)
100 kilograms of white sugar.

Article 3

The differential amount provided for in the second subparagraph of Article 9 (3) of Regulation (EEC) No

Article 4

This Regulation shall enter into force on 1 July 1978

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member

Done

For the Council

FICHE FINANCIERE

DATE: //3.6.1978

1. LIGNE BUDGETAIRE OCHCERNEE: Art. 110 (recettes sucre) ci et poste 6414 (mesures (sucre DOM)

CREDITS :

- 2. INTITULE DE L'ACTION: Proposition de règlement du Conseil fixant pour la campagne sucrière 1978/1979 la cotisation différentielle à percevoir sur le sucre préférentiel à accorder au sucre brut de canne des départements français d'outre mer
- 3. BASE JURIDIQUE: Articles 9 et 47 du Règl. 3330/74 du Conseil
- 4. OBJECTIFS DE L'ACTION : percevoir une cotisation sur le sucre préférentiel brut raffiné en sucrerie et octroyer ce même montant au sucre brut des DOM pour éviter des distorsions de concurrence.

5. INCIDENCES FINANCIERES 5.0 DEPENSES	PERIODE DE 12 MOIS	EXERCICE EN COURS (78)	exercice suivant (79	
- A LA CHARGE DU BUDGET DE LA CE (DENEMINA QUOXINTERVENTIONS) en Muce - A LA CHARGE ADMINISTR. NATIONALES - A LA CHARGE D'AUTRES SECTEURS NATIONAUX	5,5	2,2	3,3	
5.1 RECETTES - RESSOURCES PROPRES CE (PRELEVEMENTS/DROITS DE DOUANE) - SUR LE PLAN NATIONAL				

ANNEE

ANNEE....

ANNEE ..

5.0.1 ECHEANCIER PLURIAMNUEL DEPENSES

5.1.1 ECHEARCIER PLURIARNUEL RECEITES

mesure limitée à la campagne 1978/79

5.2 MODE DE CALCUL

300.000 t x 16,9 = 5,1 muc soit 5,5 muce

6.0 FINANCEMENT POSSIBLE PAR CREDITS INSCRITS AU CHAPITRE CONCERNE DANS LE BUDGET EN COURS D'EXECUTION

our/

6.1 FINALCEMENT POSSIBLE PAR VIREMENT ENTRE CHAPITRES DU BUDGET EN COURS D'EXECUTION

6.2 MECESSITE D'UN BUDGET SUPPLEMENTAIRE

. MON

6.3 CREDITS A INSCRIRE DANS LES BUDGETS FUTURS

/NON

OESERVATIONS &

Cette action n'est pas une mesure nouvelle; elle est prévue au règlement de base (CEE) no 3330/74 et elle a été appliquée chaque campagne depuis la mise en oeuvre du Protocole no 3 sur le sucre ACP annexé à la Convention de Lomé.

EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

II. Proposed Council Regulation laying down, for the 1973/79 sugar marketing year, measures to facilitate the disposal of sugar produced in the French overseas departments

Because of the difficulties in disposing of the raw sugar produced in the French overseas departments in European regions of the Community, measures designed to facilitate its disposal have applied since 1 February 1973 when the Community began to import of sugar covered by the Commonwealth Sugar Agreement. These measures, applicable in principle on a sugar marketing year basis, consist of the granting, under certain conditions, of Community aid for the refining of this sugar.

The quantity of sugar refined in each sugar marketing year has been of the order of 300 000 tonnes.

The difficulties recognised by the Community in the past continue to exist, and the Commission therefore thinks it appropriate to propose that similar facilitating measures should be adopted for the 1978/79 sugar marketing year. These should consist of a Community refining subsidy which will as far as possible assist the disposal of this sugar within the Community and increase its trade flow, irrespective of the place of refining and the technical nature of the refineries concerned.

Such subsidy should be established on the basis of the value of the raw sugar delivered at the place of refining, the outlets after processing, the necessary refining margin for sugar refined in a "pure" refinery, and the differential amount fixed for the sugar marketing year. On this basis it is appropriate to provide a subsidy of 1,22 units of account per 100 kilogrammes of sugar expressed as white sugar.

Proposal of COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC)

laying down, for the 1978/79 sugar marketing year, measures to facilitate the disposal of sugar produced in the French overseas departments

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES.

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 3330/74 of 19 December 1974 on the common organization of the market in sugar (1), as last amended by Regulation (EEC) No (2), and in particular Article 9 (5) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the Commission,

Whereas Article 9 (3) of Regulation (EEC) No 3330/74 provides that appropriate measures are to be taken in the event of difficulties arising in the disposal of sugar produced in the French overseas departments; whereas the existence of such difficulties has been recognized in the past and appropriate Community measures have already been taken; whereas these difficulties still remain;

Whereas the economic relationship between the French overseas departments and the European regions of the Community requires that the bulk of the sugar from the French overseas departments should be disposed of in those regions;

Whereas a subsidy should be granted for the refining of the sugar concerned to ensure that these quantities are disposed of in those regions; whereas the amount of the subsidy should be determined on the basis of the value of the raw sugar delivered at the place of refining, the outlets after processing, the necessary refining margin for sugar refined in a refinery as defined in Article 9 (7) of Regulation (EEC) No 3330/74 and the differential amount fixed by Council Regulation (EEC) No .../78 of1978 fixing, for the 1978/79, sugar marketing year, the differential charge to be levied on raw preferential sugar and the differential amount to be granted in respect of raw cane sugar from the French overseas departments (3),

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

This Regulation shall apply for the 1978/79 sugar marketing year.

Article 2

- 1. Within the maximum quota a subsidy shall be granted for sugar produced in the French overseas departments and refined in the Community.
- 2. The subsidy referred to in paragraph 1 shall be 1,22 units of account per 100 kilograms of sugar expressed as white sugar.

Article 3

This Regulation shall enter into force on 1 July 1978.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at

For the Council

⁽¹) O.J. No. L. 359, 31, 12, 1974, p. 1. (²) O.J. No. L.

^{(&#}x27;) See page of this Official Journal.

DATE: 13.6.1978

- 1. LIGNE HUDGETAIRE CONCERNEE: Poste 6414 (mesures sucre DOM) CREDITS:
- 2. INTITULE DE L'ACTION: Proposition de réglement du Conseil arrêtant pour la campagne sucrière 1978/1979 des mesures destinées à faciliter l'écoulement des sucres produits dans les départements français d'outre-mer
- 3. BASE JURIDIQUE: Article 9 § 5 du règlement (CEE) nº 3330/74 du Conseil
- 4. OBJECTIFS DE L'ACTION: pour assurer l'écoulement de 300.000 t de sucre DOM dans les régions communautaires déficitaires en sucre, il est nécessaire d'octroyer une subvention pour le raffinage du sucre en cause.

	and the second s		
5. INCIDENCES FINANCIERES 5.0 DEPENDES	PERIODE DE 12 MOIS	EXERCICE EN COURS (78)	exercice suivant (79
) 10 Dia 121323			6
- A LA CHARGE DU BUDGET DE LA CE (KXXXXXXXXINTERVENTIONS) en Muce	4,0	1,6	2,4
X XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		`	
A MARTIC KARATAK KARAKATAK MANAKAK KA		·	
5.1 RECETTES - REUSCURUES PROPRES CE (PRELEVEMENTS/DROITS DE DOUANE)			,
- SUR LE PLAN NATIONAL			

ANNEE

ANNEE.....

INNEE

5.0.1 ECHEANCIER PLURIAMNUEL DEPENSES

mesure limitée à la campagne 1978/79

5.2 MODE DE CALCUL

 $300.000 \text{ t} \times 12,20 \text{ uc/t} = 3,67 \text{ muc soit } 4,0 \text{ muce}$

6.0 PINANCEMENT POSSIBLE PAR CREDITS INSCRITS AU CHAPITRE CONCERNE DANS LE BUDGET EN COURS D'EXECUTION

OUI/

6.1 FINANCEMENT POSSIBLE PAR VIREMENT ENTRE CHAPITRES DU BUDGET EN COURS D'EXECUTION

5.2 FECESSITE D'UN EUDGET SUPPLEMENTAIRE

MOR\

6.3 CREDITS A INSCRIRE DAMS LES BUDGETS FUTURS

./NON

CELERVALIONS :

Cette action n'est pas une mesure nouvelle (voir exposé des motifs)