

COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

COM(82) 194 final

Brussels, 17 April 1982

COMMISSION REPORT TO THE COUNCIL

on the working of the system of preventive withdrawals
for apples and pears

Proposal for a

COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC)

amending Regulation (EEC) No 1035/72 as regards
preventive withdrawals of apples and pears

(submitted to the Council by the Commission)

COM(82) 194 final

Subject

This document fulfils the obligation imposed on the Commission by the Council in Article 15a(4) of Council Regulation (EEC) No 1035/72 of 18 May 1972 on the common organization of the market in fruit and vegetables to forward it a report on the working of the system of preventive withdrawals for apples and pears before 30 June 1982.

History of the regulations

1. On 19 February 1979 the Council agreed to the insertion in Regulation (EEC) No 1035/72 of an Article 15a under which the Commission, after receiving the opinion of the relevant Management Committee, could when certain conditions were met, allow Member States to authorize producers' organizations to withdraw, during the first few months of the marketing year, a proportion of the apples and or pears which complied with the minimum quality standards in force.

The conditions for applying the measure were as follows :

- for a period to be determined the prices of pilot products regularly communicated by the Member States had to remain between the buying-in price and 80% of the basic price, and
- examination of the market situation and particularly of the volume of production had to reveal the risk of a collapse of the market and of heavy withdrawals of one or both of the products concerned.

2. On 26 July 1979 the Commission adopted detailed rules concerning the withdrawals in question².

The latter were to be authorized only if the following conditions were met :

(a) Production

The crop had to exceed by 5% or more a basic production of 6.200.000 tonnes for apples and 2.250.000 tonnes for pears.

¹ Regulation (EEC) No 325/79. OJ No L 45 . 22.2.1979, p. 1

² Regulation (EEC) No 1596/79. OJ No L 189. of 27.7.1979, p. 47

(b) Prices

The producer prices communicated pursuant to Article 17(1) of the basic Regulation had to remain for eight successive market days, on the same representative market, between the buying in price and 80% of the basic price.

The same Regulation laid down the maximum quantities which could be the subject of preventive withdrawals, depending on the level of production, as well as the varieties, sizes and quality category of the apples and pears covered by the arrangements; it made it obligatory for producers' organizations to inform the Member State concerned beforehand of the proposed schedule of preventive withdrawals and stipulated the level of the prices to be paid under the arrangements in question.

3. Experience gained when the system was applied for the first time, during the 1979/80 marketing year, showed that the eight-day observation period for producer prices was too long if the measure was to be fully effective. In order to remedy this situation the Commission shortened the observation period from eight to five days in its Regulation of 30 July 1980. At the same time it increased the size of fruit which may be withdrawn and included an additional variety¹.
4. On 28 April 1981 the Council relaxed the conditions for operating the preventive withdrawals provided for in Article 15a of Regulation 1035/72² by abolishing the strict criterion concerning price levels and allowing the Commission greater latitude in assessing the market situation.
5. The implementing provisions in this respect were amended by the Commission³ to allow preventive withdrawals to be authorized as soon as producer prices fell below the basic price on a representative market. The other implementing provisions remained unchanged.

¹ Regulation (EEC) No 2030/80, 30.7.1980, OJ No L 198, 31.7.1980, p. 21

² Regulation (EEC) No 1116/81, 28.4.1981, OJ No 118, 30.4.1980, p. 1

³ Regulation (EEC) No 1915/81, 10.7.1981, OJ No L 189, 1.7.1981, p. 17

Application

The conditions necessary to trigger off preventive withdrawals have never been met for pears since crop forecasts have always been lower than the threshold in force.

For apples, on the other hand, the situation has been as follows :

1. 1979/80 marketing year

With a forecast apple crop of 6.837.000 tonnes and in view of price trends, the Commission adopted Regulation (EEC) No 2305/79¹ which allowed Member States to authorize on producers' organizations to make preventive withdrawals on the basis of the breakdown set out in Table 1 in the Annex.

Partly because this was the first time that the system had been applied and partly because the price conditions applicable led to a delay in adopting the measure, not nothing like the quantities eligible were withdrawn.

According to the figures available, only France and Italy participated, withdrawing 40% and 8% respectively of the quantities which had been authorized.

2. 1980/81 marketing year

The crop for the 1980/81 marketing year was estimated at some 6.996.000 tonnes, which was far above the activating level for preventive withdrawals. The efficiency of the preventive withdrawal arrangements improved considerably during the marketing year in question since, as a result of the reduction^{in the} price observation period from eight successive market days to five the measure was adopted earlier in the season and involved an average of 46% of the total quantity authorized by Regulation (EEC) No 2609/80², amended by Regulation (EEC) No 2987/80³. In some countries the proportion was almost 100% (see Table 2).

¹ OJ No L 264, 20.10.1979, p. 17

² OJ No L 268, 11.10.1980, p. 20

³ OJ No L 310, 20.11.1980, p. 8

3. 1981/82 marketing year

The apple crop was far below normal (4.965.000 tonnes for the Community of Ten)¹, and it was not therefore possible, or necessary, to undertake preventive withdrawals.

Results

Production of apples and pears varies quite considerably in the Community from one marketing year to the next which means that supply sometimes exceeds demand (resulting, some years, in large withdrawals). It may, on the other hand, fall short of demand by about 800.000 tonnes.

During the two years in which preventive withdrawals were authorized for apples, and in contrast to 1978/79, the majority of withdrawals occurred during October, November and December (see Tables 4, 5 and 6), that is to say, during the period in which such withdrawals are authorized.

Leaving aside December, when normal withdrawals are made at a higher price than preventive ones, total withdrawals in October and November were only 7,05% in 1978/79 as compared with 34,66% in 1979/80 and 47,40% in 1980/81 of the total quantity withdrawn from the market.

Price trends on the producer markets (Table 7 ^{and graphic}) were positive, with a constant improvement at the end of the two marketing years in question, whereas in 1978/79, when the production level was similar (6.776.000 tonnes), prices dropped from March onwards.

The Commission considers that the application of preventive withdrawals during the 1979/80 and 1980/81 marketing years had the following advantages :

¹ These figures refer to harvested production.

- Part of the market surplus was disposed^{of} at the beginning of the marketing year with the consequent psychological effect on prices;
- Withdrawals involved those products - of permissible varieties - which met the minimum quality standards in force;
- Each Member State had advance knowledge of the quantities eligible; ^{could have} this made it easier for them to dispose of the products withdrawn in one of the ways provided for in Article 21 of Regulation (EEC) No 1035/72;
- Withdrawals were made at a lower price than would have been the case if intervention had occurred later in the marketing year.

In view of the positive results with apples during the last three marketing years, the Commission proposes that the Council apply the measure provided for in Article 15a of the basic Regulation on a permanent basis; it also considers that the arrangements should continue to apply to dessert pears, even though no practical/^{experience} has yet been gained with this product. In the future there could be exceptionally large crops of pears, which have the same marketing characteristics as apples. This has already occurred on the Community market in the past.

A proposal for a Regulation along these lines is attached.

Tableau 1

Retraits préventifs : pommes

CAMPAGNE 1979/80

Q. : Tonnes

ECU. : 100 kg

Etats membres	Conditions de production				Conditions prix			
	Production totale prévue en 1979/80	% de production	répartition quantitative des retraits		Mois	Px base	Px achat	Prix communiqués conformément à l'art. 17, par. 1 du règlement de base
			autorisés	réalisés				
- Belgique	285.136	4,17	10.639	-	Septembre	19,18	9,78	Marché représentatif de Saint Trond
- Danemark	84.789	1,24	3.163	-	Octobre	19,18	9,90	
- Allemagne (R.F.)	1.760.050	25,74	65.668	-	Novembre	19,56	10,04	
- France	1.820.222	26,62	67.913	27.040	Décembre	20,95	10,80	
- Irlande	15.043	0,22	561	-	prix constaté se situant entre le prix d'achat et 80 % du prix de base pendant 8 jours de marché successifs.			- pendant 8 jours : prix entre 10,40 et 14,11 Ecu/100 kg
- Italie	1.900.225	27,79	70.898	5.778				
- Luxembourg	6.838	0,10	255	-				
- Pays-Bas	599.675	8,77	22.374	-				
- Royaume-Uni	365.822	5,35	13.649	-				
	6.837.800	100	255.120	32.818				

Tableau 2

Retraits préventifs : pommes

CAMPAGNE 1980/81

Q. : Tonnes

ECU : 100 kg

Etats membres	Conditions de production						Conditions prix			
	Production totale en 1980/81	Production des variétés retenues Art.4 du Règl. 1596/79	%	Répartition quantitative des retraits			Mois	Px base	Px achat	Prix communiqués conformément à l'art.17, par. 1 du règl. Base
Autorisés				Adaptés	Réalisés ⁽¹⁾					
Belgique	310.800	240.600	6,47	20.600	30.600	29.028	Septembre 20,42	10,40	<u>Marché représentatif de St. Trond :</u> pendant 5 jours de marché successifs (du 26 septembre au 2 octobre 1980), le prix a fluctué entre 13,70 et 16,07 Ecu/100 kg	
Danemark	81.000	21.400	0,58	1.847	1.202	-	Octobre 20,42	10,52		
Allemagne (R.F.)	1.773.000	270.000	7,26	23.116	23.116	4.523	Novembre 21,13	10,95		
France	1.904.000	1.287.000	34,60	110.166	101.166	66.689	Décembre 23,52	12,06		
Irlande	10.800	3.000	0,08	255	900	-	prix constaté se situant entre 80 % du prix de base et le prix d'achat			
Italie	1.990.000	1.545.000	41,52	132.200	122.200	20.453				
Luxembourg	7.500	1.800	0,05	159	159	-				
Pays-Bas	560.000	335.000	9,01	28.688	36.688	23.310				
Royaume-Uni	359.000	16.000	0,43	1.369	2.369	1.369				
(1) chiffres provisoires	6.996.000	3.719.800	100	318.400	318.400	145.372				

Tableau 3

PRODUCTION TOTALE DES VARIETES DE POMMES DE TABLE POUVANT
BENEFICIER DES RETRAITS PREVENTIFS (art. 4 DU REGLEMENT 1596/79)

en tonnes

	BELGIQUE	DANMARK	DEUTSCHLAND	FRANCE	IRELAND	ITALIA	LUXEMBOURG	NEDER- LAND	UNITED KINGDOM	CE-EC		
GOLDEN DELICIOUS	170.700	11.000	205.000	1.222.000	3.000	785.000	1.800	200.000	16.000	2.614.500		
IMPERATORE			-	-	-	347.000	-	-	-	347.000		
SCHONE VAN BOSKOOOP	68.900	5.300	65.000	-	-	-	-	135.000	-	274.200		
RED.DELICIOUS ET MUTATIONS	1.000			65.000		413.000						
STARK DELICIOUS												
STARFRIMSON												
BLACKSTAYMAN												
STAYMANRED												
STAYMANNINESAP												
RICHARDRED												
MAC INTOCH RED		5.100										
TOTAL	240.600	21.400	270.000	1.287.000	3.000	1.555.000	1.800	335.000	16.000	3.719.800		

Source: Communications des Etats membres

Tableau 4

9

POMMES - APPEL - MELE - APPELEN - APPLES - AEBLER

Breakdown of the total quantities withdrawn from the market

Expressed in percentages (%)

1978/1979

(sans possibilité de retraits préventifs)

en pourcentage de la quantité totale retirée du marché	Belgique Belgie	Deutsch- land	France	Ireland	Italia	Neder- land	United Kingdom	C.E. E.G. ⁽¹⁾
	11,77	4,64	24,63	0,18	25,46	31,88	1,44	100
<u>Retrait par mois</u>								
Août 78	0,03	-	-	-	-	0,30	-	0,10
Septembre	0,45	1,55	-	-	1,10	0,90	-	0,69
Octobre	1,26	-	-	-	9,73	1,22	0,48	3,02
Novembre	2,27	-	0,31	-	4,74	7,78	0,22	4,03
décembre	3,23	3,53	12,84	-	3,77	7,70	10,17	7,27
janvier	3,48	7,18	7,70	-	0,04	4,63	14,50	4,33
février	7,86	32,70	10,03	13,87	2,70	5,63	14,09	7,62
mars	16,20	22,44	14,04	1,41	4,30	9,70	14,24	10,80
avril	13,18	19,69	18,11	-	9,25	12,73	11,36	13,52
mai	19,98	9,52	17,53	9,26	17,39	19,30	7,81	17,82
juin	32,06	3,39	19,44	75,46	46,98	30,11	27,13	30,80
Retraits en tonnes (55 % de la production)	44.588	17.576	93.335	665	96.504	120.829	5.478	378.974

1979/1980

(avec possibilité de retraits préventifs)

en pourcentage de la quantité totale retirée du marché	Belgique Belgie 12,92	Deutsch- land 17,51	France 18,54	Ireland 0,22	Italia 27,84	Neder- land 21,44	United Kingdom 1,53	C.E. (1) E.G. 100
<u>Retrait par mois</u>								
Août 79	0,96	0,18	-	-	-	4,67	1,44	1,18
Septembre	5,99	8,05	0,16	-	10,70	4,74	9,48	6,35
Octobre	27,93	12,03	9,23	-	17,43	16,11	24,20	16,10
Novembre	19,73	16,14	17,76	8,60	13,82	26,45	23,50	18,56
Décembre	10,80	11,86	12,90	34,18	9,83	11,52	9,11	11,29
Janvier	7,93	10,96	13,23	19,87	0,61	7,83	8,79	7,43
Février	11,82	28,30	15,85	16,75	13,71	9,27	12,95	15,46
Mars	7,-	8,94	15,18	11,85	14,12	7,60	5,28	10,95
Avril	3,46	2,55	10,11	7,17	9,93	6,23	2,63	6,92
Mai	2,77	0,99	5,09	1,58	6,61	3,53	2,62	4,12
Juin	1,61	-	0,49	-	3,24	2,05	-	1,64
Retraits en tonnes -préventifs -autres (7,9 % de la production)	70.897	- 96.146	27.040 74.732	- 1.216	5.778 147.031	- 117.687	- 8.411	32.818 516.121

1980/1981

(avec possibilité de retraits préventifs)

En pourcentage de la quantité totale retirée du marché	Belgique Belgie	Deutsch- land	France	Ireland	Italia	Neder- land	United Kingdom	C.E. E.G. (1)	Ellas (2)
	10,43	6,83	35,40	0,17	31,66	10,68	4,83	100	
Retrait par mois									
Août '80	0,33	-	-	-	0,01	0,36	0,45	0,10	-
Septembre	4,35	8,56	2,23	-	1,19	2,26	5,01	2,69	-
Octobre	32,82	8,73	31,66	-	18,47	25,72	9,21	24,26	-
Novembre	29,28	13,72	16,34	22,66	28,67	34,96	10,53	23,14	-
Décembre	8,20	20,41	10,77	59,40	7,98	11,55	9,44	10,38	-
Janvier	5,43	23,16	7,04	12,23	0,92	5,31	13,80	6,18	-
Février	2,99	14,68	9,19	5,-	3,93	1,93	9,58	6,49	-
Mars	1,93	5,43	7,94	-	2,42	1,11	8,86	4,69	-
Avril	2,90	2,78	5,57	0,71	2,33	2,25	9,37	3,90	-
Mai	3,20	1,89	4,64	-	6,18	3,91	9,82	4,96	-
Juin	8,57	0,64	4,62	-	27,90	10,64	13,93	13,21	100,-
Retraits en ton- -préventifs nes -autres (7,2 % de la production de la CEE)	29.028 23.676	4.523 29.990	66.689 112.139	- 879,-	20.453 139.486	23.310 30.606	1.369 23.034	145.372 359.810	- 12.615

(1) Danmark et Luxembourg : néant

(2) Les retraits en Grèce ont été effectués sur la base d'une constatation de "crise grave" du marché des pommes (Règlement (CEE) n° 1716/81 du 26.6.1981, publié au J.O. L 170 du 27.6.1981, p. 32).

	St Truiden	Nimes	Tett nang	Verona	Geldermalsen	Luxembourg	Dublin	Odense
<u>AOUT</u>	(James Grieve)				(James Grieve)	(James Grieve)		(James Grieve)
1976/77	80,09				24,45	22,50		28,98
1977/78	53,77				71,99	-		25,91
1978/79	29,03				33,74	-		-
1979/80	15,70				28,60	-		29,51
1980/81	36,33				42,62			49,41
1981/82								
<u>SEPTEMBRE</u>			(James Grieve)		(James Grieve)	(James Grieve)		(James Grieve)
1976/77	23,76	22,14	15,34	23,85	32,24	19,57		20,08
1977/78	38,43	50,16	45,75	49,65	47,45	43,95		24,15
1978/79	17,35	22,92	17,82	47,14	13,93	-		22,79
1979/80	13,15	20,62	11,82	37,90	16,32	16,01		18,85
1980/81	18,57	23,55	27,85	40,26	23,10	16,49		21,91
1981/82								
<u>OCTOBRE</u>					Utrecht			
1976/77	17,07	22,93	22,98	25,59	22,47	19,29	-	
1977/78	37,05	51,22	35,66	51,78	41,59	38,06	58,48	
1978/79	13,70	24,88	22,69	46,21	22,44	17,13	34,77	
1979/80	12,83	19,82	17,77	38,27	21,58	14,78	30,12	
1980/81	14,53	19,67	22,39	35,56	25,69	19,25	41,58	
1981/82								

POMMES - Golden Delicious - Catégorie I

ECU/100 kg net

	St Truiden	Nimes	Tettngang	Verona	Utrecht	Luxembourg	Dublin	Odense
<u>NOVEMBRE</u>								
1976/77	15,05	25,05	19,31	24,93	19,48	16,30	38,17	-
1977/78	37,71	51,13	28,87	47,68	37,59	36,75	46,13	37,11
1978/79	18,07	23,48	20,66	38,67	21,34	14,48	29,93	37,82
1979/80	12,26	22,31	15,61	31,42	25,13	13,55	29,80	-
1980/81	14,54	21,17	21,01	35,32	24,59	19,25	32,46	-
1981/82								
<u>DECEMBRE</u>								
1976/77	20,60	24,92	19,07	25,11	24,51	15,92	39,21	29,90
1977/78	38,05	52,77	30,09	49,30	39,27(4)	-	43,01	27,90
1978/79	14,33	24,05	17,02	38,20	21,89	14,59	30,76	35,57
1979/80	13,91	22,40	15,09	30,38	21,70	13,55	25,49	-
1980/81	17,34	21,37	19,95	36,69	24,88	19,25	31,51	-
1981/82								
<u>JANVIER</u>								
1976/77	20,43	28,23	21,72	26,70	23,59	-	37,18	35,17
1977/78	36,81	52,49	34,91	58,13	42,36	-	46,34	28,64
1978/79	17,59	25,94	18,16	43,69	24,83	15,64	30,48	30,67
1979/80	16,49	26,06	15,42	31,30	23,92	-	25,66	-
1980/81	18,41	24,65	19,52	37,90	27,51	-	28,37	-
1981/82								

Geldermalsen

13

POMMES - Golden Delicious - Catégorie I

ECU/100 kg net

	St Truiden	Nimes	Tettngang	Verona	Utrecht	Luxembourg	Dublin	Odense
<u>FEVRIER</u>								
1976/77	19,05	29,81	23,19	29,24	23,57	-	34,14	35,15
1977/78	36,23	51,85	36,09	66,14	38,73	-	44,45	36,96
1978/79	15,92	27,30	24,30	47,14	20,46	-	34,08	31,64
1979/80	16,05	24,52	17,38 (1)	30,48	22,36	-	29,05	-
1980/81	19,95	25,49	25,90	37,65	30,97	-	27,73	-
1981/82								
<u>MARS</u>								
1976/77	19,73	31,48	24,69	29,78	26,65	-	35,06	23,30
1977/78	32,87	49,85	38,93	67,30	39,52	-	52,78	32,90
1978/79	17,93	26,28	22,29	42,34	20,98	-	32,87	27,31
1979/80	17,35	27,66	19,75	31,63	25,80	-	28,59	-
1980/81	25,91	29,90	28,11	36,76	33,18	-	28,27	-
1981/82								
<u>AVRIL</u>								
1976/77	22,15	34,61	25,62	33,17	30,48	-	-	21,69
1977/78	35,02	48,53	37,57	66,86	37,67	-	51,89	25,10
1978/79	17,94	24,08	22,05	35,97	24,14	-	29,20	26,61
1979/80	18,91	30,60	25,89	38,83	28,73	-	25,07	-
1980/81	26,64	30,43	28,38	27,36	37,17	-	33,07	-
1981/82								

(1) Stade


14.

	St. Truiden	Angers	Hamburg	Verona	Utrecht
<u>MAI</u>					
1976/77	27,77	48,43	-	41,67	31,93
1977/78	31,60	52,87	18,18	70,-	44,10
1978/79	16,19	28,94	22,14(1)	36,23	19,90
1979/80	22,92	39,96	29,31(2)	43,68	28,99
1980/81	26,49	33,15	25,13	26,31	39,36
1981/82					
<u>JUIN</u>					
1976/77					
1977/78					
1978/79					
1979/80					
1980/81					
1981/82					

(1) Tettngang

(2) Stade

45



Proposal for a
COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC)

amending Regulation (EEC) No 1035/72 as regards
preventive withdrawals of apples and pears

EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

Pursuant to Article 15a of Regulation (EEC) No 1035/72 the system of preventive withdrawals expires on 30 June 1982

Under paragraph 4 of the Article in question the Commission must report to the Council on the working of the system before the above date.

Experience has shown that the preventive withdrawal arrangements fulfilled their objective of stabilizing prices and avoiding heavy market surpluses very effectively up to the end of the 1979/80 and 1980/81 marketing years.

The monthly withdrawal figures show that during the marketing years in question the percentage of the product withdrawn increased considerably during the months in which preventive withdrawals operated compared with the same periods of previous marketing years when the measure was not in force.

Moreover, it should be pointed out that the Commission proposal does not automatically imply application of preventive withdrawal arrangements if such a step is not justified by market conditions.

Nor can it be claimed that the possibility of operating preventive withdrawals has constituted an incitement to structural overproduction of apples and pears, since producers' organizations may, in any case, make withdrawals under Article 15 of Regulation (EEC) No 1035/72.

It is for this reason that the Commission proposes that the measure in force up to 30 June 1982 be retained permanently for dessert apples and pears.

The system should be retained for pears despite the fact that there has been no recourse to it hitherto, because preventive withdrawals could be operated very effectively in the event of an exceptional harvest.

It should also be added that pears are subject to the same marketing conditions as apples.

Proposal for a
COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC)

amending Regulation (EEC) No 1035/72 as regards
preventive withdrawals of apples and pears

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the
European Economic Community and in particular
Article 43 thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the Commission,
Having regard to the opinion of the European
Parliament,

Whereas Article 15a of Council Regulation (EEC) No 1035/72 of 18 May 1972
on the common organization of the market in fruit and vegetables¹, as last
amended by Regulation (EEC) No ², lays down that preventive withdrawals
may be authorized, on a temporary basis, where the market situation and, in
particular, the size of the crop and the level of prices, give reason to fear a
collapse of the market;

Whereas the experience of the last three marketing years has shown that applica-
tion of the preventive withdrawal arrangements for dessert apples has ensured more
effective support and stabilization of the market; whereas the system should
therefore be retained for an unlimited period;

Whereas the conditions for applying the arrangements in question have not been
met in the case of pears; whereas in view of experience with regard to apples,
the arrangements should nonetheless also continue to be applicable to pears,

¹ OJ No L 118, 20.5.1972

² OJ No L

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION :

Article 1

Article 15a (3) and (4) of Regulation (EEC) No 1035/72 are hereby deleted.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on 1 July 1982.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels,

For the Council

FINANCIAL STATEMENT

Date : 3.3.1982

1. BUDGET HEADING : Post 1501 - "Withdrawal operations" APPROPRIATIONS : 1982 : 120 Mio EC

2. TITLE : Proposed Council Regulation (EEC) amending, in respect of preventive withdrawals of apples and pears, Regulation (EEC) n° 1035/72 on the common organization of the market in fruit and vegetables.

3. LEGAL BASIS : Article 43 of the Treaty.

4. AIMS OF PROJECT : To make permanent, in the light of experience gained, the system of preventive withdrawals of apples and pears, provided for by Article 15a of Regulation (EEC) n° 1035/72.

5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5.0 EXPENDITURE

- CHARGED TO THE EC BUDGET
- (REVENUES / INTERVENTIONS)
- NATIONAL AUTHORITIES
- OTHER
- (OWN RESOURCES OF THE EC)
- NATIONAL

PERIOD OF 12 MONTHS	CURRENT FINANCIAL YEAR (82)	FOLLOWING FINANCIAL YEAR (83)
---------------------	----------------------------------	------------------------------------

p.m.	p.m.	p.m.
------	------	------

5.0.1 ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE

5.1.1 ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE

1984	1985	1986
p.m.	p.m.	p.m.

5.2 METHOD OF CALCULATION

The authorization of preventive withdrawals at the beginning of a marketing year permits a more efficient management of the market without entailing additional costs on the EAGGF. On the country, the average buying-in-price being lower at the beginning of the marketing year, the result is in fact a saving, not possible to express precisely in figures.

Overall, a permanent system of preventive withdrawals will have no significant effect on the budget.

6.0 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED FROM APPROPRIATIONS ENTERED IN THE RELEVANT CHAPTER OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ?

YES/NO

6.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ?

XYES/NO

6.2 IS A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NECESSARY ?

XYES/NO

6.3 WILL FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY ?

XYES/NO

OBSERVATIONS :

