The Arabellion is now in its fourth year. There is more freedom today, but less security. There are far more opportunities, but fewer jobs. And there is a patchwork of conflicts. In many places though the Arab world is tentatively moving towards democracy and the social market economy. Although there have been some difficulties along the way, European assistance for the transformation process is moving in the right direction. Still, the EU could certainly do more on the political level.

The civil war in Syria, the fight against the jihadists in Mali, the power struggle in Egypt, and the refugee drama in the vicinity of Lampedusa create a negative picture of the upheavals in the Arab world. Many Europeans are of the opinion that something which began in a state of euphoria in 2011 is now descending into chaos. It makes them inclined to look the other way, and to be in favour of a barrier between themselves and their neighbours on the southern shore of the Mediterranean.

These negative impressions obscure the fact that Europe’s Arab neighbourhood is in many ways rather diverse. This is demonstrated by the current Bertelsmann Transformation Index 2014 (BTI). The BTI analyses make it possible from 2003 onwards to compare the level of development in states moving towards democratization and a more equitable social market economy.

They show that since 2011 certain sub-regions in the Arab world have drifted apart in political terms. In the Middle East there have been political setbacks along ethnic and religious lines of conflict ranging from Lebanon via Syria and Iraq to Bahrain. There has been political stagnation in the Gulf region, and here and there repression has gone hand in hand with an economic boom. Although they are dissimilar, the five countries in North Africa have all made a leap forward. By providing more opportunities for political participation and pushing ahead with social and economic reforms, Tunisia, Egypt, Libya, Algeria and Morocco have changed the face of North Africa, which used to be a hotbed of repression, and have embarked on a transformation process that in qualitative terms is now on a par with other parts of the world.
But greater freedom, diversity and participation have also put the spotlight on social conflicts which used to be taboo subjects. Crucial issues of identity are now being talked about, above all the question of the relationship between religion and politics. And then answers must be found to numerous questions such as these. Is there a united Libya, a country with which all of its citizens identify, or should it be split up into the three main regions, Tripolitania, Cyrenaica and Fezzan on the lines proposed by some of the tribes and militias? Can the rival claims of the Muslim Brotherhood and the armed forces in Egypt be reconciled peacefully, or will confrontation continue to be the rule in the future? Can the governing elite in Algeria manage to raise the level of political participation and to initiate a generational change? Are men and women equal in the eyes of the law, which is what the new Tunisian constitution says, or do men continue to play a predominant role in public life?

**Greater Political Participation**

Demonstrations, meetings, new political parties and advocacy groups, elections, media diversity and a kind of civil society that is increasingly mature and self-confident are all signs that ordinary citizens are clamouring for political and civil rights. In 2011 King Mohammed VI permitted the people of Morocco to conduct an open constitutional debate. Tunisia had the first free and fair parliamentary elections in its history, and in January 2014 90% of the parliamentarians from all the political parties voted to adopt a constitution that is pioneering as far as the Arab world is concerned – after two years of heated debate, it was drawn up with the help of civil society actors such as unions, employers’ associations, and non-governmental organizations.

But greater freedom, diversity and participation have also put the spotlight on social conflicts which used to be taboo subjects. Crucial issues of identity are now being talked about, above all the question of the relationship between religion and politics. And then answers must be found to numerous questions such as these. Is there a united Libya, a country with which all of its citizens identify, or should it be split up into the three main regions, Tripolitania, Cyrenaica and Fezzan on the lines proposed by some of the tribes and militias? Can the rival claims of the Muslim Brotherhood and the armed forces in Egypt be reconciled peacefully, or will confrontation continue to be the rule in the future? Can the governing elite in Algeria manage to raise the level of political participation and to initiate a generational change? Are men and women equal in the eyes of the law, which is what the new Tunisian constitution says, or do men continue to play a predominant role in public life?

In addition to the issue of identity there is the question of the legitimation of power. In the past dictators governed by spreading fear and intimidation, but nowadays regimes are increasingly having to justify the way in which they use power. Egypt illustrates the acrimonious nature
of the struggle for influence and resources. The traditional power structures made up of the armed forces, the police, the judiciary and the bureaucracy are locked in a clash with the Muslim Brotherhood and the motley revolutionary and secular groups, and it is all about the future. In 2011 the Tahrir Square revolutionaries toppled the dictator, Mubarak, with the help of the generals, but the Muslim Brotherhood won the elections. However, bad governance by the religious party led to millions of enraged citizens, and thereupon the armed forces deposed the Muslim Brotherhood with the approval of most of the secular groups. The armed forces and the old apparatus are now once more at the helm. The Muslim Brotherhood has been banned as a political party, and as an association it is deemed to be a terrorist organization. The revolutionary forces have been neutralized, and even former 2011 activists are now languishing in prison. The newspapers, as in a dictatorship, now carry one-sided reports which are in the interests of the new (and former) rulers.

A Burning Desire for Work

The political conflicts have halted the economic development of North Africa. It is true that there are not as many bureaucratic hurdles as there used to be when it comes to setting up a business or choosing a vocation. However, the social conflicts that have erupted and the ensuing political uncertainties have frightened investors and have given a boost to inflation. There are numerous reasons for the current downward spiral. Declining tax revenues, rising unemployment, fewer tourists, and, on the other hand, more corruption. The gap between rich and poor is wider than ever before. It is not particularly heartening to be reminded of the fact that whenever there are radical upheavals one can expect declining economic performance, declining macroeconomic stability, and a rise in social exclusion. Egypt exemplifies this rather well, for its short-term financial requirements are so massive that it would be insolvent if the budget did not get billions in financial assistance from the Saudis, the Emiratis and the Kuwaitis. However, the economic slump is making the results of decades of deferred reform and modernization in the Arab world plain for all to see. It will only be possible to still the burning desire of these youthful societies for work and a little prosperity if the rentier economies with their subsidy mechanisms, which primarily take the pressure off the rich, are remodelled into a productive and service-oriented infrastructure. The Algerian government is trying to reform the market system and the way in which competition is handled. And Morocco is trying to diversify its economic portfolio by means of closer interaction with the European market.

Most Egyptians believe that Field Marshal Abdel-Fattah el-Sisi would be a strong president. They are willing to relinquish freedom and to accept the restoration of the old power structures because they hope that a new strong man will jumpstart the economy, and that as a result prices will start going down and they will perhaps find work. However, he may be the great white hope, but he has not as yet presented an economic policy programme.

Better Governance, More Inclusion

Good governance implies that decision-makers will have to introduce fundamental economic reforms with inclusive growth and a transparent regulatory framework, to strengthen the fragile democratic institutions, to reorganize the traditional surveillance and security forces so that they are a police force and an army loyal to the constitution, to fight corruption and crime in an effective manner, to stabilize the security situation, and to thwart terrorist attacks.
The quality of governance in the five North African countries is depicted in the current Bertelsmann Transformation Index. Whilst it is true that the elites now have more options for government action at their disposal, there is less competence and less resource efficiency. Libya is a good example. This oil-rich country now has a lively parliament and a legitimate government. However, the legacy of the Gaddafi dictatorship and the civil war makes it rather difficult for the politicians who have recently come to power to prevent arbitrary decision-making, crime, and state failure and collapse, or indeed to create institutions which can eradicate the militia lifestyle.

A particularly serious problem is the persistence of rampant corruption in North Africa. More transparency may well have a beneficial effect. The OECD and the German Foreign Office are working together with the Tunisian Ministry of Finance on a project designed to produce a transparent annual budget for the benefit of the government, the parliament and the general public. Transparency International and its local partners are trying to create an awareness of the debilitating nature of corruption. Transparency and the rule of law generate trust. And trust is something that does not exist among the various social groups which as a result of the Arabellion now find it easier to express their views in parliaments, the media and in civil society. Yet quarrels and disagreements about identity and power polarize society along religious and social lines in particular, and the disappearance of social cohesion is a distinct possibility.

The involvement of the unions, the employers’ associations, and the human rights organizations means that Tunisia has three strong civil society pillars, and these can mediate between the Islamic and the secular groups. But it is difficult to develop and to sustain a democratic culture of compromise and consensus. The round table negotiating method is of some use in this context. Good examples are the round tables which, as in the case of the one in Warsaw, were set up in the wake of the upheavals in 1989-1990 in order to make it possible for the new and the old elites to reorganize social cohesion on more inclusive and pluralistic lines. It is the task of mediation to persuade the protest movements and the traditional government structures to relinquish their maximum demands and to settle for compromises. In the vicinity of Europe the challenge to overcome social polarization and to develop new consensual structures is seen not only in North Africa, but also in Turkey and in Ukraine. Mediation specialists capable of organizing national dialogues are playing an increasingly important role in many of the countries in the neighbourhood of the EU.

A new political challenge for the European Union is to complement the transformation partnerships with round tables which it can convene and organize, and for which it can supply suitable moderators.

### The European Perspective

In the wake of the Arab upheavals the institutions in Brussels and the member states quickly increased and restructured their transformation assistance. Before 2011 they had concentrated to a large extent on security cooperation with the regimes and on providing assistance for the local economies in order to enable them to cope with the impact of free trade. After 2011 they tended to place the emphasis on providing support for political, social and economic participation.

The European Union reacted in institutional terms by increasing the level of direct budgetary assistance for revolutionary countries such as Tunisia. Moreover, within the framework of the European Neighbourhood Policy it intensified bilateral cooperation with all of the countries in North Africa. Task forces were sent out to Tunisia and Egypt in order to initiate a number of special projects, and these included the development of rural areas. The EU is in fact negotiating more comprehensive trade partnerships with Morocco, Tunisia (and Jordan). The establishment of the European Endowment for Democracy and the SPRING (Support to Partnership, Reform and Inclusive Growth) programme means that the EU now has two new instruments with which it can foster the development of democratic structures.

On top of the financial assistance provided by the member states, the EU has set up a series of projects that range from education and training via the reinforcement of civil society to support for small and medium-sized enterprises.
Since it recognizes that the upheavals in the Arab world in general and in North Africa in particular are epoch-making events, the EU has added a distinctly normative component to its institutional support programmes. Thus the EU’s neighbours to the south are going to receive more money and easier access to the European markets. And there will be more opportunities for their people to come to Europe. Furthermore, financial assistance will involve conditionality, and will take its bearings from the slogan “more for more, and less for less.” In other words, the countries which have moved towards democracy with greater alacrity will receive more assistance. Conversely, those which are making insufficient progress must bear in mind that they will receive less.

After three years it has become apparent that the provision of normative, institutional and practical transformation assistance is what is actually needed. The Arabellion continues to be a historical turning point. Things happen in leaps and bounds. They are rather complex, and differ from country to country. And they are often unpredictable, and it is impossible to say what is going to happen in the years ahead. The EU, which is a direct neighbour, will always be affected by what is going on, and for this reason it will persevere with and maintain its involvement with the project initiatives, even if swift results will not be forthcoming for the time being.

It is praiseworthy that, despite its own sovereign debt crisis, the EU has made available more money for its southern neighbourhood. In fact it is about a third more than before the outbreak of the Arabellion. It is also praiseworthy that the EU, despite its unwillingness to absorb more refugees, and despite the fight against terrorism and intolerance, continues to emphasize the importance of its transformation partnerships.

However, when all is said and done the EU 28 could increase the effectiveness of its assistance and of its projects if it were able to minimize the friction between the European institutions and the capitals of its member states.

**More for More, Less for Less**

Egypt. Europe is providing financial assistance in order to strengthen civil society and to increase the level of media diversity. However, whoever happens to be in power in Egypt, no matter whether it is the Muslim Brotherhood or the armed forces, tries to restrict their influence, either by banning certain foundations, or by trying to silence the bloggers and activists of the April 6 Youth Movement. If the EU
were to adhere to its “more for more and less for less” principles, it would actually reduce its assistance and political involvement. A number of member states are in favour of this, partly because they are critical of the suppression of the Muslim Brotherhood. Other member states disagree because they consider Egypt to be far too important in geopolitical terms, which means that it cannot be ignored. Thus Brussels is caught in the middle, and is trying to steer the European Neighbourhood Policy with the help of pragmatic policymaking and normative-oriented cooperation. In the light of this dilemma the best course of action would be to stop providing financial assistance via the SPRING programme, but to continue to fund the social project initiatives that are trying to eliminate poverty in rural areas. In view of the growing social polarization in Egypt, an attempt must be made to integrate all the social groups, and this would make it necessary to end the exclusion of the Muslim Brotherhood and the revolutionary movements. Egypt urgently needs a round table, even if the people who are now in power reject the idea. European influence in Cairo is minimal when one compares it to that of the United States, and this is because the Egyptian armed forces are heavily dependent on American military assistance. However, if Brussels and Washington work together, they can exert far more political pressure, and help to initiate a process leading to compromise and consensus. In the short term Cairo can of course choose to ignore this pressure as a result of financial assistance from the Gulf states and political support from the Kremlin. Yet in view of the gigantic backlog of socio-economic reforms which the government in Cairo is going to have to deal with, in the medium term Egypt needs the transformation partnership with Europe, and this is something that will enable Brussels to tip the scales in its favour.

In the wake of the European sovereign debt crisis there is an obvious need, especially in the south European member states, to generate growth, and, in order to achieve this, to make greater use of the potential of North Africa. Thus for the Spanish economy this means enlarging its trade with Morocco in order to identify and exploit new growth niches. In the meantime European assistance programmes are trying to persuade the King to continue with political reforms and to enhance the political rights of Morocco’s citizens. Trade concerns may well thwart attempts by Brussels to exert political pressure of this kind. Thus European transformation assistance is influenced by economic and trade interests. On closer inspection this is a dilemma that can be resolved. More pluralism in politics and in the business community can actually strengthen Morocco’s economy and make it even more attractive for European companies. This is a topic that needs to be discussed with those who are in positions of power in Rabat. The high degree of legitimacy enjoyed by the royal family will make it possible to introduce even more social and political reforms.

When all is said and done, the countries of North Africa could generate profits of their own if they were able to sell more of their agricultural products on the European market. A fear of cheap competition from the south has led a number of EU capitals and institutions to come out in favour of protective measures, and at the same time they have subsidized European agricultural exports. However, in the EU people are slowly beginning to change their minds. The bilateral Association Agreements with states in North Africa create greater opportunities for exports to the EU. In this context a recent speech by Dacian Cioloş, the EU Commissioner for Agriculture, at the opening of the Green Week in Berlin at the beginning of 2014, is rather noteworthy. Cioloş announced that the EU’s agricultural and development policies were going to be coordinated more effectively, especially with regard to subsidized European agricultural products exported to Africa. A strategy of this kind could help to stop numerous African peasants from leaving their farmsteads and fleeing to the EU and its larger cities. The United Nations Economic Commission for Africa (UNECA) believes that the level of intraregional trade between the countries of North Africa, which is currently below 5%, can be increased. The economic potential of the five states involved is enormous, especially as a result of fossil fuels, alternative energy, and the youthful population. The distrust between North African governments in general, and between Rabat and Algiers in particular, not to mention the insecure border regions in and around Libya, stand in the way of greater cooperation. Here again the EU could play a political
role, and Brussels acting in conjunction with the national capitals could mobilize more political resources in order to facilitate confidence-building measures between Algeria and Morocco. And perhaps it could broker a compromise with regard to the West Sahara conflict, which constitutes the greatest obstacle to intraregional cooperation in North Africa. Moreover, there is a need to clarify the ambivalence within the EU towards the West Sahara area. The country has coastal waters teeming with fish which form part of the EU-Morocco fisheries agreement. The difficulties alluded to above, which are the result of the dilemmas facing EU policy on North Africa, suggest that there is a need for a dual-track political initiative. One strand should be introspective, and the other should be geared to conflict resolution and mediation between social groups and between governments. However, a decision on the highest EU governmental level would help to determine the direction and the effect of EU transformation initiatives in its southern neighbourhood, and to harmonize the complex relationship between national interests, national and European assistance, and cooperation with the European External Action Service (EEAS).
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