

COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES


COM(94) 637 final
Brussels, 14.12.1994

Proposal for a

COUNCIL REGULATION (EC)

amending for the seventh time Regulation (EEC) No 1765/92
establishing a support system
for producers of certain arable crops

(presented by the Commission)



EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

The agreements reached under the GATT between the Community and certain non-member countries in the oilseeds sector provide that, in the event of enlargement, the Community's oilseeds maximum guaranteed areas must be adjusted accordingly.

As a result of the accession of Austria, Finland and Sweden to the Union, the necessary adjustments must be made.

[REDACTED]

Proposal for

COUNCIL REGULATION (EC) No/95

of

amending for the seventh time Regulation (EEC) No 1765/92
establishing a support system
for producers of certain arable crops

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Act of Accession of Norway, Austria, Finland and Sweden⁽¹⁾, and in particular Article 169(2) thereof,

Whereas, within the framework of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), the European Community has concluded agreements with certain third countries on certain oilseeds; whereas those agreements were approved in Council Decisions 93/355/EEC⁽²⁾ and 94/87/EC⁽³⁾; whereas those agreements have been applied within the framework of Regulation (EEC) No 1765/92⁽⁴⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EC) No .../94⁽⁵⁾;

Whereas the aforementioned agreements provide that in the event of an enlargement of the Community the area used to calculate the oilseeds maximum guaranteed area is to be increased by an area not greater than the average area harvested in each new Member State in the three years immediately preceding accession;

Whereas it is necessary to allocate to the new Member States national reference areas for oilseeds;

-
- (1) OJ No C 241, 29.8.1994, p. 21.
(2) OJ No L 147, 18.6.1993, p. 25.
(3) OJ No L 47, 18.2.1994, p. 1.
(4) OJ No L 181, 1.7.1992, p. 12.
(5) OJ No L , 94, p. .

②

Whereas, pursuant to Article 2(3) of the Treaty of Accession⁽⁶⁾, the institutions of the Union may adopt before accession the measures referred to in Article 169 of the Act, those measures entering into force subject to and on the date of entry into force of the Treaty,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

Regulation (EEC) No 1765/92 is hereby amended as follows:

1. In Annex IV "5 128 000" is replaced by "5 484 000".
2. The following is added to Annex V:

		(in '000 ha)
Member State	1994/95	1995/96 and subsequent years
Austria	-	149
Finland	-	70
Sweden	-	137 "

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day of entry into force of the Treaty of Accession.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels,

For the Council
The President

(6) OJ No L...

Financial Statement

1. BUDGET HEADING : B 1 - 1052

APPROPRIATIONS: ECU 2 177 million

2. TITLE : Proposal for a Regulation amending for the seventh time Council Regulation (EEC) No 1765/92 establishing a support system for producers of certain arable crops

3. LEGAL BASIS : Article 169 of the Treaty of Accession (Sweden, Finland, Austria)

4. AIMS : To fix the national reference areas for oilseeds for the new Member States

5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS	PERIOD OF 12 MONTHS		CURRENT FINANCIAL YEAR		FOLLOWING FINANCIAL YEAR	
	(ECUm)		(1995) (ECUm)		(1996) (ECUm)	
5.0 EXPENDITURE - CHARGED TO THE EC BUDGET (INTERVENTIONS)	133.6		62.8		127.3	
	1997	1998	1999	2000		
5.0.1 ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE	129.0	129.0	129.0	129.0		
5.1.1 ESTIMATED REVENUE						

5.2 METHOD OF CALCULATION

Austria : 149 000 ha x 88% x ECU 417/ha x 1.207 (DR) = ECU 66.0 million
 Finland : 70 000 ha x 88% x ECU 240/ha x 1.207 (DR) = ECU 17.8 million
 Sweden : 137 000 ha x 88% x ECU 342/ha x 1.207 (DR) = ECU 49.8 million
 TOTAL ECU 133.6 million

The above calculation is for the 1995/96 marketing year. Half the expenditure is against the 1995 budget and half against the 1996 budget. For subsequent marketing years, the calculation is identical, but allows for a rotational set-aside rate of 15% instead of 12%.

6.0 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED FROM APPROPRIATIONS ENTERED IN THE RELEVANT CHAPTER OF THE CURRENT BUDGET? NO

6.1 CAN THE PROJECT BE FINANCED BY TRANSFER BETWEEN CHAPTERS OF THE CURRENT BUDGET ? NO

6.2 WILL A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET BE NECESSARY ? YES

6.3 WILL FUTURE BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS BE NECESSARY ? YES

COMMENTS :

A letter of amendment or an SAB will be proposed by the Commission to take account of the costs linked to the enlargement of the Union

ISSN 0254-1475

COM(94) 637 final

DOCUMENTS

EN

11 03

Catalogue number : CB-CO-94-662-EN-C

ISBN 92-77-83871-X

Office for Official Publications of the European Communities
L-2985 Luxembourg