# COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

.

COM(79) 395 final

Brussels, 16th July 1979

# COMMISSION REPORT TO THE ACP-EEC COUNCIL OF MINISTERS ON THE ADMINISTRATION OF FINANCIAL AND TECHNICAL COOPERATION IN 1978, UNDER THE LOME CONVENTION

# <u>SUMMARY</u>

.

INTROLUCTION			
CHAPTER I :	FINANCING	IN 1978 3	
I.1	Breakdown by method of financing		
	I.1.A	Aid administered by the Commission 3	
	I.1.B	Aid administered by the European Investment Bank	
1.2	Breakdown	by sector	
	I.2.A	Development of production 5	
•	I.2.A.1	Industrialization	
	(a)	Development banks	
	(b)	Manufacturing industry 5	
	(c)	Agri-industrial complexes	
	(d)	Energy 6	
	<b>(</b> e)	The use of risk capital for industrialization	
	I.2.A.2	Tourism 8	
	I.2.A.3	Rural production 8	
	(a)	Agricultural production 8	
	(b)	Stock-farming	
	(c)	Forestry	
	I.2.B	Economic infrastructure 9	
	I.2.B.1	Roads and bridges 10	
	I.2.B.2	Railways	
	I.2.B.3	Ports and waterways 10	
	I.2.B.4	Telecommunications 10	
	I.2.C	Social development	
	I.2.C.1	Education infrastructure	
	I.2.C.2	Training	
	I.2.C.3	Public health 13	
	I.2.C.4	Water engineering, urban infrastructure and housing	
	I.2.D	Trade promotion	

	I.2.E Exceptional aid						
	I.2.F Stabex						
CHAPTER II :	MAIN ASPECTS OF FINANCIAL AND TECHNICAL COOPERATION 18						
II.1	Programming						
II <b>.</b> 2	Measures in favour of the least developed ACP States . 20						
II.3	Regional cooperation						
II.'	Microprojects						
II.5	Financing of small and medium-sized enterprises 23						
<b>II.</b> 6	Assistance and transfer of technology 23						
II.7.	Training of supervisory and managerial staff and vocational training						
II.8	Participation by the ACP States in the selection of operations and the administration of financing 25						
II.9	Evaluation of completed projects						
CHAPTER III :	COOPERATION BODIES AND PROCEDURES						
III.1	Drawing up of the general conditions						
III.2	Industrial cooperation bodies						
III.3	Coordination and harmonization of aid						
III.4	Commission Delegations						
III.5	Information						
	III.5.A "The Courier"						
	III.5.B Further information						

#### INTRODUCTION

This is the third report to be drawn up pursuant to Article 41 of the Lomé Convention. As in preceding years, the parts of the report which concern the European Investment Bank have been drawn up in conjunction with the Bank.

The first report, which dealt with the nine months following the entry into force of the Convention, gave an account of the setting-up and launching of the activities of the different institutions and bodies responsible for the administration of financial and technical cooperation.

The 1977 report, following the guidelines given in the Resolution adopted by the Council of Ministers in Suva (Fiji) on 14 April 1977, examined the application of the various financial and technical cooperation provisions of the Convention; this examination pointed up the significance of the financing decisions taken since, at the end of the first twenty-one months of the Convention's life, nearly 40% of the funds had been committed. At its third meeting in Brussels on 13 and 14 March 1978 the Council of Ministers confirmed the mandate it had given in 1977 to the Community's and ACP States' experts responsible for the implementation of financial and technical cooperation and instructed them to examine the 1976 and 1977 reports on its administration.

Under this mandate, the experts were to produce a comprehensive stocktaking of all financial and technical cooperation schemes, carry out a thorough examination of the 1977 Commission report and, if appropriate, draft a resolution on financial and technical cooperation. The Council of Ministers had empowered the Committee of Ambassadors to adopt the final Resolution.

It was not possible to hold the meeting of experts. Nevertheless, even though day-to-day experience shows that the ongoing dialogue established by the Convention is progressing normally, it would have been useful for those responsible at the highest level to have been able to discuss and assess together what had been achieved, identify the shortcomings, correct any mistakes and produce guidelines for the future. In the same spirit, it would be desirable for the third subparagraph of Article 41(1) of the Convention to be implemented in a more systematic manner and for a report to be drawn up by the ACP States as well.

This is particularly important since there have been views expressed, on a political level, about the functioning of financial and technical cooperation. The Joint Committee of the Consultative Assembly, which met in St George's (Grenanda) from 29 May to 1 June 1978, and later the Assembly itself at its meeting in Luxembourg from 25 to 29 September 1978, emphasized the delays holding back payments under financial and technical cooperation.

These delays, or rather the time-lags between the adoption of financing decisions and the making of payments, are not peculiar to the Lomé Convention. Experts at the OECD (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development) have noted that, although the volume of commitments by members of the DAC (Development Assistance Committee) increased considerably from 1975 to 1977, the volume of payments remained static, whereas payments made by the Commission under all EDFs increased by nearly 100% from 1975 to 1978 (401 million units of account as against 206 million). The criticism about the delays is therefore based on an assessment which the objective conclusions of the meeting of experts called by the Council of Ministers would certainly have been able to qualify. An other point noted by the DAC is also worth mentioning here: the delays are the result of a deliberate change of policy on the part of the international community - a change which was advocated first and foremost by the developing countries themselves. As a result, action is now being concentrated on the most difficult countries and sectors, where projects take longer to implement (least developed countries, basic needs and rural development projects).

In this connection, the six States that acceded to the Convention in 1978 all belong to the category of least developed States as defined in Article 48 of the Convention. They are the Republic of Djibouti, the Solomon Islands, the Democratic Republic of Sao Tome and Prinicipe, the Republic of Cape Verde, Tuvalu and Dominica<sup>1</sup>.

Thus, the number of signatories to the Convention, which was originally 56 (46 ACP States and 9 Member States of the Community) had grown to 66 by 31 December 1978 - that is over one third of the Member States of the United Nations.

<sup>1</sup> The instruments of accession for Tuvalu and Dominica were deposited in the first few weeks of 1979.

# CHAPTER I

FINANCING IN 1978

# I.1 Breakdown by method of financing

Commitments during 1978 amounted to 673 million EUA, bringing total commitments decided upon by the Commission and the Bank up to 1 860 million EUA out of the 3 458 million EUA in Community aid provided for under Article 42 of the Convention.

#### I.I.A Aid administered by the Commission

This amounted to 540.3 million EUA for 1978, broken down as follows:

- 454.3 million EUA for projects included in the ACP States' indicative programmes and in the first regional projects list agreed upon by the parties;
- 25.1 million EUA in exceptional aid;
- 60.9 million EUA under the Staber system.

The volume of commitments - notably under the indicative programmes was a little lower than in 1977 but it should be emphasized that the fourth EDF started operating particularly rapidly because there were already some projects in hand ready to be financed. It was necessary in 1978 to finalize the appraisal of a good many projects before it was possible to finance them. At the same time a great effort was being made to implement rapidly those projects for which commitments had been made in 1976 and 1977.

During 1978 360 million EUA was committed in the form of grants (including 72% for the least developed countries under Article 48 of the Lomé Convention) and 119.4 million EUA in the form of special loans, which is close to the sum committed in 1977. It should be pointed out that the terms of these loans are particularly soft since they have an interest rate of 1%, a duration of 40 years and a grace period of ten years, which means that the grant element is very high (about 80%). Under the Lomé Convention 440.1 million EUA is earmarked for use in this form. Projects financed by special loans are appraised and administered by the ACP States and the Commission; for its part, the European Investment Bank is involved in preparing the financing contracts. As the Community's agent the Bank is responsible for recovering the loans in accordance with the duties assigned to it.

As at 31 December 1978 the Commission had drawn up the following special loan agreements and contracts:

- 61 financing agreements (268.04 million EUA), of which 52 had been signed (involving 239.2 million EUA) by the above date;
- 51 financing contracts (218.4 million EUA), of which 27 had been signed (involving 134.9 million EUA), eight of which involved drawing up eleven subsidiary contracts for two-tier loans;
- 12 guarantee contracts, of which 7 had been signed.

# I.1.B Aid administered by the European Investment Bank

The total amount of finance underwritten by the EIB during 1978 was 116.2 million EUA, of which:

- 90.9 million MUA in subsidized loans;
- 25.3 million EUA in risk capital (excluding 2.3 million EUA earmarked for use under an overall authorization for studies),

which brings the total financing accorded by the EIB under the Lomé Convention to 262.1 million EUA, including 62.7 million EUA in risk capital from EDF resources.

#### Subsidied loans

The UIB accorded twelve subsidized loans involving a total of 90.9 million EUL for industrial and energy projects and as global loans to development binus. The projects are located in ten ACP countries and one is a regional project for the Caribbean. The financial terms of these loans are very constant; they have a duration of between ten and fifteen years with an interest rate of between 5.05% and 6.80%, taking account of the interest rate subsidies charged against the EDF's resources, which amount to a total of 14.2 million EUA at present values.

#### Rick capital

The fifteen operations involving aid in the form of risk capital agreed to during the year amount to 25.3 million EUA in all and bring financing accorded in this form up to 62.7 million EUA, or 65% of the maximum provided for under Article 42 of the Convention. Operations of this kind in the least developed ACP States (under Article 48 of the Convention) account for nearly two thirds of the latter amount. An initial analysis of these operations appears under II.2.A.l(e) below. I.2 Breakdown by sector

#### I.2.A Development of production

At the end of 1978, this vital sector for the development of the ACP States accounted for the largest proportion of commitments, with 895.4 million EUA - excluding 4.8 million EUA earmarked for use on microprojects - or nearly 61% of the overall amount provided for projects and programmes.

For 1978 alone financing decisions taken on projects for the development of production amounted to 310.3 million EUA, approximately twothirds of which went to industry (including agri-industrial complexes) and one third to primary production in the rural sector.

#### I.2.A.1 Industrialization

Chapter III.2 gives an account of the activities of the Centre for Industrial Development and the Committee on Industrial Cooperation. More precise information on small and medium-sized firms appears in Chapter II.5, which points up the main characteristics of the implementation of financial and technical cooperation.

Financing decisions taken in 1978 on industrial projects involved a total of 205.7 million EUA, broken down as shown in Table 4 under the heading "Industrialization".

By 31 December 1978, 552.0 million EUA had been devoted to this sector, that is 37.3% of the overall amount provided for projects and programmes (including 174.9 million EUA for agri-industrial complexes and agricultural and food-based industries of direct concern to the rural community).

# (a) <u>Development</u> banks

With regard to development banks<sup>1</sup>, the EIB has continued to accord global loans mainly benefiting small and medium-sized firms, and six operations involving 43 million EUA were concluded in 1978. There were three cases of holdings being acquired in the capital of development banks, financed from risk capital and totalling 1.4 million EUA.

For its part the Commission financed seven operations involving 4.7 million EUA in all for credit lines to small and medium-sized firms<sup>1</sup>.

#### (b) Manufacturing industry

Manufacturing industry in the ACP States also received several financings from the Bank, taking the form of either global loans to develpment banks or direct aid (8.9 million EUA), which went to two textile projects - one in Niger involving 2.4 million EUA and the other in Sudan, involving 6.5 million EUA.

See also Chapter II.5.A below.

The Commission accorded two large-scale financings for textile industries producing for the domestic markets of the ACP States concerned, one in Guinea to the tune of 30 million EUA and the other in Tanzania involving 6.6 million EUA.

#### (c) Agri-industrial complexes

These projects involve rural production in the broadest sense of the term and include food and agriculture based industries. In 1978 the Community continued to spend large sums on projects in this sector, which concerns mainly "modern" rural activities.

At the end of 1978, 174.9 million EUA had been devoted to this promising area of the ACP States' economies.

Financing for such projects in 1978 accounted for a large proportion of the aid accorded by the Bank (30.0 million EUA). The projects included two in the oils and fats sector - one in Papua New Guinea and one in Zaire - and sugar, forestry and rice-growing projects in Swaziland, Guyana and Mali.

For its part, the Commission carried on financing various operations in 1978 involving the production of sugar, tea, hevea and oilpalm plantations and a dairy (totalling 34.5 million EUA).

The Commission and the EIB contributed together with the World Bank and the Inter-American Development Bank to the financing of a largescale forestry project in Guyana, which involved the development of an unexploited area of 200 000 hectares; the expected output is  $40\ 000\ m^3$  of timber per year. It should be noted that this is the first large-scale Community contribution to this sector. One aspect, which is particularly interesting from the technical angle, consists in contructiong a power plant fuelled by modern process based on gasified waste wood.

#### (d) Energy

Effective cooperation in the field of energy - which has become essential since the 1973 oil crises - is now an overriding preoccupation. Most of the ACP States import the energy they consume, which places a heavy constraint on their development because their financial resources are diminished by these imports. The result is an increased balance of payments deficit and restricted development in energy-consuming sectors.

Cooperation in the field of energy involves both carrying out standard projects using conventional energy sources - essentially hydroelectric power - and promoting research into and the exploitation of alternative sources of energy. Total Community investment in the energy sector since the Lomé Convention entered into application has reached the appreciable figure of 171 million EUA (105 million EUA from the Commission and 66 million EUA from the Bank).

The Bank financed three operations in this sector in 1978 - in Fiji, Kenya and Liberia - for a total of 29.4 million EUA. For its part the Commission devoted nearly 8 million EUA to energy projects, including a contribution to the running of a seminar on solar energy for development (see II.6 below).

Because of the multiplier effect of cofinancing, the sum total of investment in which the Commission has participated in this field amounts to 900 million EUA.

The Community's operations can be broken down as follows:

hydroelectric energy	74%
thermal energy	8%
transportation of energy	14%
alternative sources of energy	4%

# (o) The use of risk capital for industrialization

It is interesting to see that five of the thirteen risk-capital operations carried out by the Bank for industry in 1978 involved the provision of direct financing for projects which, because of their particular characteristics, it would probably not have been possible to Tinance solely with loans from the Bank's resources. A major proportion of the projects concerned involved agri-industrial complexes, but also the textile industry.

The remaining operations involved the creation of an appropriation for financing specific studies or the allocation of funds to development banks to finance their activities - mostly industrial - in favour of small and medium-sized firms.

Nearly half the sum involved in risk-capital operations for industry went to four countries belonging to the category of least developed ACP States under Article 48 of the Convention. The remaining half went to seven other countries to increase the own resources of firms or development banks, thus enabling them to obtain supplementary loans from the Bank's own resources.

Risk capital was used in several different ways - direct acquisition of holdings by the Bank on behalf of the Community in the capital of national institutions financing development, indirect aid towards the constitutuion of own resources via conditional loans to the ACP State, quasi-capital aid to a firm in the form of a conditional loan, and an overall commitment authorization for the financing of specific studies to prepare projects and assist firms during the starting-up period. Aid for the constitution of own resources, in its various legal forms, i. the essential objective of risk-capital operations and accounts for over three quarters of the total amount accorded.

#### I.2.A.2 Tourism

In the sphere of tourism the EIB has financed - by means of risk capital to the tune of 3.3 million EUA - an hotel in the People's Republic of the Congo, a necessary addition to the tourist accommodation of Brazzaville, its capital, and a study on the development of hotel and catering facilities in the coastal areas of Kenya.

#### I.2.A.3 Rural production

The ACP States again emphasized how much store they lay by the development of the rural world - and not just agricultural production in the narrow sense - in the memorandum they presented to the Community on 15 November 1978. The Community is also aware of the major importance of the rural community for the economies of the developing countries since 70% to 80% of the population of the ACP States is directly dependent on the rural sector for its livelihood. The Commission has many years' experience in this sphere and, as will become apparent in Chapter II.9 below, it has drawn certain conclusions from its findings, which will be discussed by the relevant ACP-EEC joint institutions.

As has been frequently stressed, rural development is the key to successful industrialization of a country and, more generally, to the growth of its economic activity as a whole.

In 1978 101.0 million EUA was devoted to this important sector, which brings the amount of Community aid allocated to rural productive projects since 1976 up to 334.2 million EUA.

If we consider rural development in the broader sense of the term, i.e. as comprising any scheme financed in the "country" as opposed to in towns, the following should be added:

- 174.9 million EUA committed for agri-industrial projects and food and the agriculture based industries referred to above;
- 32.2 million EUA for village water engineering projects;
- 57.7 million EUA for social development projects directly affecting the rural community (training and health and education infrastructure).

The sum total of this financing accounts for over 40% of all monies committed for projects and programmes since the Lomé Convention came into effect.

Lastly, it should be stressed that rural development is one of the most suitable areas for microprojects (See Chapter II.4), which cater for the basic needs of village communities.

# (a) Agricultural production

As regards cash crops for export, financing decisions were taken only after an analysis had been made of long-term market prospects for sensitive products.

Supplementary financing was granted to consolidate and expand previously financed schemes in order to obtain a better return(processing plant and extention of the cocca plantations at Bulu, oil-palm plantations in Gubengui and tea growing at Butuhé in Zaire, tea-growing in Burundi). The promotion of food crops within integrated rural development projects (which alone amounted to 83.6 million EUA at 31 December 1978) and as part of hydro-agricultural development schemes is aimed not only at achieving self-sufficiency for the producers while generating additional income for them but also at encouraging marketing channels to improve the balance between regions.

Hence in 1978 such operations enabled a start to be made on the longterm development of highly disadvantaged regions. One project involving 17 million EUA is designed to improve the well-being of the particularly poor population of a vast and overpopulated (14 000 km<sup>2</sup>, pop. one million by 1982) area of Kenya, seriously affected by drought; in Upper Volta the first phase of a project has been financed to settle several thousand families in the Volta valleys where onchocerciasis has been eradicated.

It should also be emphasized that some 60% to 65% of agricultural projects under the fourth EDF (involving approximately 600 million EUA) will benefit small family farms, either already in existence or to be contablished on new land for allocation to peasants who have no land at all or such a small plot that they cannot gain a decent livelihood from it.

Lastly, it should be noted that studies are under way to finalize preparations for projects still to be carried out under the Lomé Convention and, in certain cases, to prepare the programme to be undertaken under a successor Convention.

#### (b) Stock-farming

The year's commitments in this sphere - 15.3 million EUA - concerned the development of stock-farming and the raising of small animals in villages as well as schemes to improve animal health, which is always at risk, especially in the Sahel.

The Commission has maintained close relations with the Permanent Inter-State Committee for Drought Control in the Sahel and the Club du Sahel; it is anxious to encourage efforts to achieve a return to normal life in Sahelian projects, which it is following closely.

# (c) Forestry

The Community's contribution in 1978 to forestry development concerned the development of an unexploited forest area of over 200 000 hectares in Guyana (see 2.A.l(c) above).

#### I.2.B Economic infrastructure

Taken as a whole, at the end of 1978 this sector, with 316.7 million EUA, accounted for 21.5% of total commitments under the indicative programmes. It therefore comes second in line after development of production, which is logical since it is essential to improve economic infrastructure if the ACP States are to achieve development, including summan and cultural development and increase their domestic or regional inde. I.2.3.1 Roads and bridges

There is still a lot of activity in the roads and bridges sector, with over 55 million EUA committed in 1978 (the total as at 31 December Was 255.8 million EUA).

The execution of projects already financed is progressing satisfactorily and a number of projects have been completed and these are now providing the services expected of them.

The AOP States have always shown a great interest in road projects and this has been made more acute by the large number of road studies pressummed, notably in a regional context. These studies will enable as an roads to be built, using cofinancing for the biggest projects.

#### 1.7.B.2 Railwoya

I have projects are still few and far between but, since they are the addition, the amount actually spent on this kind of infrastructure is the addition of the projects in which the Community is participating consist are solved ingoing old tracks which are no longer able to cope economically with the traffic, which is increasing as the country develops.

and two main projects financed in 1978 involved upgrading the polymetry. Yaoundé railway in Cameroon and the Babanoussa railway in Sudan.

Since is a regional project under study at the moment, however, involution the upgrading of the rail link between Addis Ababa and the port of Djibouti.

2.5 commitments entered into in 1978 (19.5 million EUA) bring the could allocated to this sector since the Lomé Convention became applicable up to nearly 30 million EUA.

#### T.2.D.3 Ports and waterways

There has been a certain resurgence of activity in the ports and waterways sector, which reflects the interest in sea and river transport on the part of most of the ACP States, whether they be islands or have a constline or, on the contrary, are landlocked and hence economically dependent on waterways.

The projects financed in 1978 are not very spectacular but they are an line with specific priority objectives. This is the case in partically with the projects for the improvement of river transport in the Control African Empire and Guinea-Bissau and it also applies to the scall-scale port projects in Fiji, Gabon and Somalia.

#### I.2.3.4 Telacommunications

As it 31 December 1978 total commitments for telecommunications yrejects amounted to over 14 million EUA, of which 6 million EUA was receively committed during the year.

A useful project financed in Lesotho concerned the development of posts and telecommunications in rural areas. Another Commission decision concerned a project for modernizing the regional telecommunications network in three ACP countries in the South Pacific (Fiji, Tonga and Samoa) so as to bring the telegraph, telex and telephone service up to international standards and thus help improve regional cooperation.

Lastly, a feasibility study was carried out as part of the preparations for a project to develop an inter-island telecommunications network in the Solomon Islands; this project is a present being appraised.

# I.2,.C Social development

With total commitments amounting to 244.6 million EUA at 31 December 1978, including 89.5 million EUA committed in 1978, this sector now accounts in all for about 17% of total commitments made within the framework of indicative programmes. It should be pointed out that 37% of total commitments in this sector since implementation of the Convention began are for projects or schemes relating directly to rural areas.

As before, care has been taken to ensure that buildings forming part of education or health infrastructure are suited to their surroundings and to the population's requirements, are simply and robustly equipped and easy to maintain; account has also been taken of the capacity of the ACP States concerned to meet the recurrent costs of projects: it has been recommended that wherever possible materials produced or manufactured locally should be used; lastly, provision has been made for training schemes whenever these seem necessary in order to ensure that projects are satisfactorily operated and maintained.

#### I.2.C.1 Education infrastructure

 Fifteen projects amounting to 28.4 million EUA were financed in 1978 (56.5 million EUA at 31 December 1978).

Efforts continued to be concentrated on the teaching of technical and practical subjects rather than on general education at secondary and university level. Moreover, even greater stress was placed on designing some of the projects in question as operations linked to other development schemes and not as separate, individual operations; for example, the construction and equipping of school buildings was taken as an opportunity to adapt teaching programmes - notably for science subjects - to the specific needs of the surrounding rural area and to provide training or advanced training for the administrative, technical and management staff of the small and medium-sized national firms carrying out the projects concerned.

Lastly, when projects were being appraised account was taken of the pupils' chances of finding employment in the public or private sectors when they leave.

#### I.2.C.2 Training

# Mul of anual training programmae

At the end of 1978, forty-three ACP States had established multiannual training programment, the total amount involved being nearly 78 million MA.

These sultinuoual training programmes are of course the extension of the "training" component of the indicative programme signed with each ACP Of the theory of the EDF contribution to each ACP State's national training programme. As previously, these programmes do not include indicates where scale is such that they require a special decision by the Consistion or which, because they are linked to a capital project, connect be differentiated from the main project.

1 aultiannual training programmes comprise three main aspects:

provision of training instructors;
supply of teaching materials;
study and training grants.

Les The as specific programmes of study and training grants are concerned, The bar of June 1978 3 980 grants had been made, of which 735 in Europe and 3 245 in the ACP States.

to lucios	Apriculture	Science and technology	Health	Education	Other	TOTAL
32	558 14 5	1 040 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	340 9 %	639 16 7	772 19%	3 980 1.00 %

1. Relowing table gives a breakdown by area of study:

For various reasons (recent accession to the Convention, time required to complete the preparation of projects, etc.) some ACP States have not yet signed an indicative programme; for these States, a provisional repropriation has been made in order to provide them with the means, mobably as regards study and training grants, to begin or continue urgent training schemes or participate in study sessions. The oppropriation for 1978 is 3 800 000 million EUA. Amounts committed are unced the indicative programmes of the countries concerned as rough as they have been finalized.

#### Projects with a training element

The ACP-EEC joint meeting on ex-post evaluation of health projects hold in Freetown (Sierra Leone) in December 1978 confirmed something that was already known about this particular sector, namely that the cost of the training element as a proportion of the total project cost is relatively small and bears no relation to its consequences for the effectiveness of the whole project. As a general rule the training element either forms an integral part of a project financed by Community aid or supplements projects financed from other sources.

#### Sectionars and advanced training courses

In 1978 the 650 COC EUA committed for regional schemes made it possible to continue financing not only seminars but also a number of advanced trai ing courses for ACP officials and supervisory staff.

A 1. 1977, the seminars were held in Brussels, Luxembourg or London and involved 17 pessions lasting two or three days, which were attended by over 450 students. They covered fundamental aspects of the Community' coverignment policy and notably of the Lomé Convention, each being given a particular theme corresponding to the specialization of the struct concerned.

Pothermore, seminars are to be held on specific subjects in Europe that the ACP countries; one seminar is scheduled to deal with the policy: it should enable ACP officials to compare notes in this field and, where appropriate, to take greater account of skilled labour policies. The countries' development policies. Two other regional seminars were held in 1978 for senior ACP officials and supervisory staff, one in Bangui on transport and the other in Fort of Spain on the Lomé Convention.

#### I.2.C.3 Public health

Can projects were financed for a total of 12.1 million EUA. The projects concerned mainly the construction and equipping of health units in rural areas. It should be noted that commitments were made in 1978 under the heading of educational infrastructure in respect of two establishments for training health workers (nursing and ancillary starf). Composed is of perticular interest, inasmuch as it is concerned mainly while waining the basic reads of the most disadvantaged people in reruland the is aimed principally at ensuring the training of village backs, who are recruited in rural areas and are responsible for dispensing privary health care to the population. This scheme, while is being implemented in Chad, is fully in keeping with the spirit of the Alma Ata Declaration on primary health care for the greatest above.

Listly, mention should be made of the meeting in late 1978 of experts from the Community and the ACP States to discuss the results and conclusions of an evolution of EDF-financed projects in the health sector. At this secting, a number of basic principles for use as a public to project design were worked out by mutual agreement, the most not ble being the following:

(a) to estima health services to the most disadvantaged sections of the population,

( ) to include ... cocial and health component in all development chemes wherever possible,

(11) to ensure that EDF-financed schemes are not limited to infrastructure and equipment and involve a combination of the lears provided for in the Long Convention.

#### I.2.C.4 Water engineering, urban infrastructure and housing

The onts in this sector in 1978 amounted to 27.4 million EUA, only the total amount committed since implementation of the its relation began to 73.5 million EUA.

In 1970, as in the preceding years, the bulk of the financing went to the village water engineering subsector. In addition, a number of housing and when improvement schemes were financed in spite of the difficulties best ting the design of this type of project, in which account has to be taken both of the needs of the disadvantaged sections of the population and also of the limited amount they can pay in rent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Declaration made at Alma-Ata (USSR) in September 1978 at an

inconational meeting organized jointly by WHO and UNICEF.

### I.2.D Trade promotion

In Figure 13 of the various trade promotion activities specified in Article 13 of the Loné Convention continued vigorously in 1978. A such tantial contribution was made by a working meeting held in Brussels in Figurary 1978 between those responsible for the expansion of external trade and trade promotion in the ACP States and Commission officials. It resulted <u>inter alia</u> in a number of activities being launched to promote exports of products from the ACP States, thanks to better knowleage of the means available under the Lomé Convention in this connection.

Poted commitments under this heading amounted at 31 December 1978 to nearly 23 million EUA (of which 11 million EUA committed in 1978) and mont of the ACP States were covered. The range of activities was very viso:

#### <u>The state was stance and training in the field of external trade</u>

the latance for bodies with responsibility for external trade was a stated in respect of ten ACP States, notably for the purpose of the lates for foreign trade. Training or advanced vocational training the basis for foreign trade personnel were undertaken in continuation of the practical training given to staff of the relevant official appartments of the ACP States.

# <u>Presidipation in trade events</u>

:•

In 1978 a total of 138 appearances by the ACP States were organized at 22 specialized fairs and shows. The slight drop recorded by comparison with 1977 reflects the efforts made during the year under review to concentrate activities and means and also the policy of concentrating on specialized events, the results of which, in promotional terms, would appear to be more advantageous to the producer countries.

The establishment of national foreign trade promotion centres should boost the effects of contacts made at trade events.

#### Improvement of cooperation between economic operators in the ACP States and the Member States

Community aid is contributing to the development of two trade liaison bodies whose purpose is to provide producers with information on European markets and the situation as regards competition, to assist them in production where appropriate and, lastly, to run promotions for specific products: they are COLEACP (Liaison Committee for producers importers and distributors of tropical fruit and vegetables) and the FEDEAU (Federation for the Development of Utilitarian Artisanat). It has been proposed that similar machinery be established between timber producers in the ACP States and European importers and users; such a body would be most useful at a time when competition in this field is becoming increasingly fierce.

# Production and dissemination of trade information in the Community and in the ACP States

Because of the importance of trade information in promoting trade, brochures on the economy of many ACP States and data sheets on four products from each of those countries have been drawn up in all the Community languages. This material is used mainly at the fairs and shows in which the ACP States participate. It is also used by foreign trade promotion departments and by the trade attachés in the ACP States' Embassies.

Technical data sheets have also been published concerning species of tropical wood that are little known in Europe; this information gives details of the source and availability of the various species, their technical characteristics and possible uses for each wood, i.e. everything potential buyers need to know if they are to take an interest in the species concerned.

Lastly, technical assistance has been made available to a number of national administrative departments responsible for organizing fairs (Nigeria, Sudan).

# I.2.E Exceptional aid

In 1978 25.1 million EUA was committed under Article 59 of the Lomé Convention, bringing the total amount of exceptional aid granted since inviewentation of the Lomé Convention began to 86.4 million EUA. This mucht that the amount still available at the end of 1978 was 63.6 Million EUA.

is of the aid granted was intended to alleviate the consequences of accept in the Sahel countries through the provision of the seed and acceptilizers essential for the reactivation of agriculture, together with veterinary products and feed to safeguard livestock.

Moreover, major schemes (7 million EUA) were approved and put into offect through the agency of the United Nations to help refugees in various regions (Horn of Africa, repatriation of refugees from Zaire).

In addition, smaller schemes were carried out in connection with cholera epidemics in Burundi, Rwanda, Tanzania and Zaire and with an outbreak of foot-and-mouth disease in Botswana.

Lastly, a scheme carried out in cooperation with the FAO and the Desert Locust Control Organization helped to combat an invasion of locusts in East Africa.

To conclude, exceptional aid has so far been granted to twenty-six countries, eighteen of which are among the most disadvantaged. It has been supplemented in a number of cases by emergency food aid financed from the Community budget.

# I.2.F Stabex

Although the export earnings stabilization system does not come under the heading of financial and technical cooperation, the Stabex transfers for 1978 are given in Table V so as to provide an overall picture of EDF commitments and disbursements.

In addition to the annual transfers, in 1978 the Commission received for the first time requests for advances under Article 19(6) of the Convention. Advances were made to four countries (Gambia, Mauritania, Senegal and Tonga) involving a total of 27.44 million EUA.

Lastly, 1978 was also the first year in which contributions were made towards reconstitution of the resources made available under the system (by two countries, Cameroon and Fiji, for a total of 2.3 million EUA).

.

#### CHAPTER II

#### MAIN ASPECTS OF FINANCIAL AND TECHNICAL COOPERATION

The Lomé Convention laid stress on a number of principles and policies as guidelines for financial and technical cooperation, such as programming, aid to the least developed countries and participation by the ACP States in the determination and management of aid. It also provided the ACP States with new instruments of cooperation such as microprojects and aid to the least developed countries, which were pursued in 1978.

It is now time to examine the situation with regard to financial and technical cooperation in the light of these guidelines and new instruments.

#### II.1 Programming

Under the Lomé Convention adjustments can be made to indicative programmes to take account of changes in the economic situation of the recipient states or shifts in their priorities.

In 1978, for instance, 22 amendments to indicative programmes proposed by ACP States (Barbados, Burundi, Central African Empire, Fiji, Ghana, Guinea, Equatorial Guinea, Guyana, Jamaica, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Rwanda, Samoa, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Somalia, Sudan, Tonga, Trinidad and Tobago, Zambia) were adopted by the Community authorities and a number of amendments were made to the regional cooperation programme for the Pacific.

In the course of the year indicative programmes were also adopted for five States which have acceded to the Lomé Convention since it came into force, namely Cape Verde, Djibouti, Papua New Guinea, Sao Tome and Principe and Surinam.

Lastly, the procedures for programming the second instalment of resources set aside for regional cooperation were in the process of completion by the end of the year.

In view of the special nature and importance of the conditions that have to be met before projects can be implemented in sectors eligible for Bank aid, the list given by the States concerned at the programming stage could only be indicative. An overall assessment of implementation of the national indicative programmes is not feasible since the situation differs significantly from country to country. This diversity is quite natural in view of the specific natures of each of the countries concerned and their respective priorities as reflected in the national indicative programmes. However, to take an indicator which has the virtue of simplicity, viz the percentage of projects financed in relation to the total cost of the indicative programme, the ACP States can be divided into three main groups:

17 countries whose indicative programmes have been financed to the extent of between 70% and 100% (initial commitments).

22 countries: between 40% and 70%;

14 countries: under 40%.

It is therefore evident that the level of implementation differs considerably depending both on the particular country and the type of project concerned. The most common bottlenecks occur at the preparation and implementation stages mather than when projects are actually designed. Sometimes inadequate staff and back-up measures at administrative and management levels compromise effectiveness. The Community can do little in such matters since they essentially relate to the national sovereignty of the recipient country (economic policy, reforms, structural organization and operation).

The Commission tries in various ways to improve this situation:

- (i) wherever possible its responsibilities for identifying and preparing projects are decentralized and placed in the wands of its Delegations in the recipient countries;
- (ii) technical assistance, with the emphasis on quality and suitability rather than on quantity, is provided for specific operations;
- (iii) consultations take place with each ACP State for the purpose of scheduling the identification, study, preparation and implementation of projects;
- (iv) arrangements are made for exchanges of information and coordination with other financial backers so as to benefit from their experience, avoid duplication and organize cofinancing, especially in connection with major infrastructure projects.

II.2 Measures in favour of the least developed ACP States

In accordance with Article 48 of the Convention, special attention has been given to the situation of the least developed ACP States<sup>x</sup>, especially as regards:

#### Distribution of the programmable resources

These resources have been distributed in such a way as to take account of the special situation of the least developed countries, which have received over 60% of the programmed funds administered by the Commission although their population represents only 42% of the total population of the ACP States.

#### Methods and terms of financing

(a) The Convention does not place any constraints on the coverage of local costs. Commission-administered aid may therefore cover as much as the full capital costs of a project; this is particularly important in the case of the least developed ACP States, which have to contend with objective problems in securing substantial contributions.

The EIB, for its part, is also prepared to assist with the coverage of local costs.

- (b) Financial aid to the least developed ACP States is granted on the most favourable terms possible: at 31 December 1978, 88.3% of the total financial aid received by such States was in the form of grants, compared with only 62.6% in the case of the other ACP States.
- (c) 64% of financing in the form of risk capital administered by the EIB went to the least developed ACP States whereas the other ACP States received a larger share of aid from the EIB's own resources, to which less-favourable terms apply - as is only natural.

#### Certain specific instruments of cooperation

- (a) The microproject programmes have proved especially suited to the specific needs of the least developed ACP States: to date operations of this type have been financed in ten ACP States in this category, to the tune of 3.2 m EUA.
- (b) Of the 29 ACP States that have so far received Stabex transfers, 21 come into the "least developed" category. In this connection it may be noted that Stabex also provides for preferential treatment for the least developed ACP States (dependence and trigger thresholds at 2.5% instead of 7.5%, non-repayment of transfers).
- (c) At a more operational level, particular interest has been taken, at the specific request of the ACP States concerned, in:

There are at present 30 least develoed ACP States. Soon there will be 32, with the accession of Tuvalu and Dominica.

improving the administrative capacity of the least developed ACP States (training schemes, technical assistance, etc). For instance, the Community has so far provided 13 of the least developed ACP States with technical assistance so as to help their Ministries of Planning and Economic Affairs etc. to prepare projects and programmes to be presented for Community financing;

the temporary financing, on a diminishing scale, of running costs or major repairs which these countries are unable to cover from their own budgets; such arrangements are exceptional.

encouraging regional cooperation projects concerning countries in this category: approximately 60% of the funds programmed for regional cooperation under the Lomé Convention are allocated to the least developed ACP States. Special attention has been given to schemes aimed at improving transport systems and communications so as to give landlocked countries easier access to the coast.

The Community also gives particular attention to the problems of the least developed ACP States when implementing the instruments available to it for cooperation outside the framework of the Lomé Convention. For instance:

the least developed ACP States received 90% of the food aid allocated to the ACP States as a whole between 1976 and 1978;

21 least developed ACP States have received a total of 104.7 million EUA under the CIEC (Conference on International Economic Cooperation) Special Action, corresponding to nearly 70% of the total funds allocated to the ACP States.

#### II.3 Regional cooperation

The financial resources managed by the Commission and set aside for regional cooperation under the Lomé Convention (Article 47) have been programmed in two instalments:

(i) An initial programme, proposed by the Commission, was adopted in March 1977 after being examined by the Community and ACP authorities in accordance with the provisions and procedures applicable to the programming of Community aid.

At 31 December 1978, i.e. less than two years after this programme had been adopted, financing decisions taken under the first instalment of this programme totalled 129.4 million EUA, corresponding to approximately 50% of the programmed resources.

- At that date 20% of the total commitment had actually been disbursed.
- (ii) A second programme was proposed by the Commission and examined by the Community authorities in September 1978. It was finally adopted early in 1979 after being examined by the ACP authorities, to which it had been transmitted in September 1978. It has now therefore reached the stage of project appraisal and preparation of the relevant financing decisions.

The EIB has allocated 23 million EUA out of the resources it manages for two regional projects, one costing 20 million EUA and concerning a clinker plant for Togo, Ivory Coast and Ghana and the other a 3 million EUA global loan to the Caribbean Development Bank.

The above figures for financial commitments, while not spectacular, may be regarded as satisfactory in view of the objective difficulties (in connection with planning, preparation and coordination) inherent in regional cooperation operations, especially in the industrial sector. It should be noted that the general trend towards cofinancing is one factor that slows down effective implementation of certain large-scale regional cooperation projects since inevitably time and effort are needed to find the necessary financial backers.

Apart from purely financial considerations and with all the reservations that must inevitably accompany this experimental aspect of the Long Convention, regional cooperation can be said to be a particularly effective instrument in fostering among the ACP States the political will to integrate their economies more harmoniously on a regional basis.

#### II.4 Microprojects

The ACP States and the recipient communities continue to take a keen interest in microprojects.

Under the Lomé Convention 20 million EUA is set aside for this type of operation to which 29 ACP States have already had recourse for a programmed amount of 18.6 million EUA. The actual commitment rate of 50% can be considered satisfactory when it is remembered that this aspect of the Convention only got properly under way in mid-1977.

A breakdown of the programmes presented confirms that the conditions stipulated in the Convention have been fully satisfied, namely that projects must stem from an initiative taken by the local community, meet a real priority need, especially in rural agreas, and be financed on a tripartite basis. It also gives an idea of the sectors which the local communities consider to be of priority interest. For instance, 40% of the operations concern social facilities: schools, rural dispensaries, maternity hospitals, social centres for women and young people, etc.

Another major area (20% of the operations) is water engineering schemes (wells, boreholes, water supply systems).

In the light of the initial results, which are encouraging though still fragmentary, many ACP States have expressed their intention of giving microprojects greater prominence in their indicative programmes from now on.

Now that the two-year experimental phase provided for in the Lomé Convention is drawing to a close, the Commission is in a position to determine in greater detail the optimum conditions for implementing microprojects.

#### II.5 Financing of small and medium-sized enterprises

To assist small and medium-sized enterprises in overcoming their financial and technical drawbacks they have to contend with and thus facilitate economic diversification and job creation, the Lomé Convention provides for a number of measures.

The aim of these measures is to combine financial assistance (credit lines granted to appropriate local financing bodies which are responsible for supplying credit to small businesses) with highly diversified forms of technical assistance to specialized bodies concerning themselves with the development and counselling of small and medium-sized enterprises, supplying them with information on technical or management aspects and organizing technical, administrative or business training for the persons who run them.

(a) <u>Credit lines</u>: a number of development banks have been selected as intermediaries between the Community and small and mediumsized enterprises.

In 1978 the Commission approved seven financing proposals for a total of 4.7 million EUA relating to special loans opening credit lines for ACP States in the Pacific (Fiji, Samoa and Tonga) and Carribean (Belize, Bahamas) and for Ghana.

The same year the EIB allocated from its own resources 43 million EUA in global loans to a number of development financing bodies (Liberia, Nigeria, Tanzania, Trinidad and Tobago, Zambia and the Carribean region). It also committed 1.4 million EUA in risk capital for various types of financial aid to development financing bodies in Liberia, Seychelles and Zambia.

(b) <u>Other measures</u>: Action to assist small and medium-sized enterprises also took the form of setting up specialized bodies (the OPPME - Office de promotion des petites et moyennes entreprises in the Central African Empire), technical cooperation (industrial development centres in Nigeria to which the Community is sending two project managers), the establishment of industrial estates and training schemes.

The construction of various welfare facilities has also provided an opportunity to give basic and further training to the administrative, technical and management staff of the national small and medium-sized enterprises which are to execute the projects concerned.

#### II.6 Assistance and transfer of technology

The Community actively pursued its applied research activities in 1978. Attention was focused mainly on new sources of energy as this subject is of particular interest to the ACP States.

Contributions towards such activities are still fairly modest since only 4% of the credits earmarked for cooperation in the energy sector have been used for this purpose. Nonetheless, those operations that have been undertaken are of considerable interest since their success has borne out the validity of the four basic principles on which they were founded:

- (i) use of techniques that are new yet sufficiently developed to avoid excessive risk;
- (ii) integration into larger-scale projects, looking beyond the energy sector;

(iii) limitation of investment;

(iv) adjustment to the particular environment concerned.

Interest was centred on solar energy in the strict sense and on biomass and geothermal energy.

A contribution of 200 000 EUA was made for the preparation of a Commission-run seminar in Varese to discuss solar energy as an instrument of development with the result that preparatory meetings could be arranged in Nairobi and Bamako.

#### II.7 Training of supervisory and managerial staff and vocational training

Specific mention is made in Protocol No 2 to the Lomé Convention of the training of supervisory and managerial staff and the retraining of officials of the ACP States. The ACP-EEC authorities accordingly reiterated, in 1978, that particular attention must be given to operations of this type.

As in previous years, a very special effort was made in 1978 to promote training using the various instruments available, namely:

- (i) scholarships and training courses;
- (ii) advanced training sessions;
- (iii) symposia;
- (iv) provision of instructors; (v) specific training schemes;
- (vi) provision of training as a part of projects.

As virtually all the indicative Community aid programmes have now reached the implementation stage, priority must continue to be given to training in the ACP States themselves (national or regional establishments), especially the training of technical and middle management staff. As a general rule scholarship holders are sent to Europe only in cases where there are no specialized establishments on the spot that can provide the particular course desired.

Community financing takes the form neither of unilateral assistance (arbitrarly granted or refused) nor of handouts. An essential feature is active cooperation at all stages and the sharing of rights and obligations between the Community and the ACP States, which are free to choose their own development model and retain full sovereignty in the matter.

The OECD Development Assistance Committee (DAC), after its most recent examination of Community aid, on 13 February 1979 in Paris, stressed the innovatory nature of EEC action in the development cooperation sector. It expressed a keen interest in the measures adopted and the institutions set up with a view to achieving a cooperation policy founded on a continuous dialogue with the representatives of the associated countries.

Such cooperation is evident at all stages, from programming - where the keynote is flexibility, as is borne out by the amendments made to certain indicative programmes - through to the implementation of projects financed by the Commission, via the intermediate stages of preparation and appraisal.

The procedures that culminate in financing decisions have been simplified and made more flexible. For example :

- (i) projects costing less than 1 million EUA are dealt with under a fast written procedure. In 1978, this procedure was used for 33 projects totalling 18 million EUA;
- (ii) there is an expedited procedure for immediate exceptional aid up to a limit of 300 000 EUA per operation. In 1978, this procedure was used for 14 operations totalling 2.9 million EUA;
- (iii) decisions on technical cooperation projects (up to a limit of 500 000 EUA per operation), trade promotion whemes (up to a limit of 100 000 EUA per operation) and microprojects have, since the end of 1977, been taken by the Chief Authorizing Officer under overall commitment authorizations. Since the Convention came into force, this procedure has been used for 32<sup>4</sup> operationd totalling 39.8 million EUA;
- (iv) decisions concerning braining are taken very promptly in the context of multiannual programmes : 53 such programmes have been approved for a total of 77.6 million EUA.

The national authorities remain fully responsible for the execution of projects. It is their task, for instance, in compliance with the provisions of the Lomé Convention, to issue invitations to tender, receive tenders, make proposals for the award of contracts, sign contracts or estimates and perform acceptance formalities. An essential feature of the Lomé Convention is therefore the powers and responsibility to be exercised by the recipient States. Now that the basic principles governing selection and aid management have been outlined, the time has come to assess how they operate in practice. This assessment will inevitably be qualified as the results observed are far from uniform. This is hardly surprising in view of the very different situations of the ACP States (as regards area, population, language, climate and resources as well as legislation, administrative practices, local customs and so on, even units of measurement) and the variety of development models adopted.

Though in most cases the execution of projects has been fully satisfactory, in others difficulties and delays have arisen at various stages, thus lengthening the chain of procedures from the initial decision up to the final payment marking completion of implementation in the field. As the Community invariably applies the same procedures, the inevitable general conclusion must be that the recipient countries have not fulfilled the various responsibilities entrusted to them under the Convention at the same speed in all cases.

However, since the last months of 1978, the rate of payments, and consequently the execution of projects, has been significantly stepped up. Between 1977 and 1978 payments rose from 155 million EUA to 321 million EUA (+ 106 %). There is every indication that this trend will continue in 1979 and that the project throughput will steadily improve.

In all, 125 invitations to tender (i.e. an average of over 10 a month) involving a total of 194.5 million EUA were issued in 1978 compared with only 71 invitations to tender in 1977.

The breakdown of this total is as follows :

- (i) 17 invitations to tender for works under the normal procedure for a total of 128.7 million EUA (an average of 7.6 million EUA per contract);
- (ii) 39 invitations to tender for works under the expedited procedure for a total of 21.2 million EUA (an average of 543 598 EUA per contract);
- (iii) 69 invitations to tender for supplies involving a total of 44.6 million EUA (an average of 646 137 EUA per contract).

It is worth noting that nearly 28 % of the tenders and contracts for Commission-funded projects went to ACP State firms (compared with slightly under 23 % in the context of the second Yaounde Convention).

The number of financing agreements signed during the year also rose sharply : 214 agreements were signed in 1978 for a total of 470 907 000 EUA compared with 78 agreements the previous year.

#### II.9 Evaluation of completed projects

# 1. Working methods

In 1978 it was still too early to implement Articles 50 and 57 of the Lomé Convention on the evaluation of the impact and results of the terminated projects. This can be done once the first sizable projects financed under the Lomé Convention have been completed.

Nowever, the Commission has continued to evaluate projects financed under previous EDFs in conjunction with independent consultants and the authorities in the ACP States, especially where integrated rural development and urban and Village water supplies are concerned.

General speaking, this evaluation work should be instrumental in clarifying and improving the aid policy for the sectors concerned so that similar schemes to be financed in the future will be more efficient. It puts into practice the Convention principle whereby completed development schemes are to be evaluated jointly by the Community and the ACP States.

Consultations took place in 1978 on the conclusions of an earlier sectoral evaluation on capital projects in the public health sector.

For this purpose, the Commission organized a meeting of ACP and EEC experts from 11 to 15 December 1978 in Freetown at the invitation of the Sierra Leone Government. The meeting resulted in an agreement on a joint text on basic principles and recommendations, which have been put to the appropriate joint ACP-EEC bodies (Committee of Ambassadors).

In addition to this sectoral approach, the Commission began an overall evaluation in 1978 of all Community financing in two countries which were chosen as test cases. This was in answer to a request by the European Parliament in 1977 that the Commission should look at the impact of Community financing on the economic and social development of the recipient countries.

The Niger and Rwanda Governments were the first to give approval for an overall evaluation of this nature to be carried out in their respective countries by consultants working closely with their national departments. The first results of these overall country-by-country evaluations will be available in 1979.

The EIB, for its part, given the nature of its loan financing, is responsible for monitoring projects not only during implementation but also during the operational phase until the loans are repaid. It thus makes periodical progress reports on the projects being financed.

# 2. <u>Principal lessons to be learned from the</u> evaluations completed

The main conclusions drawn by the Commission from the two sectoral evaluations completed in 1978 are :

#### - 27 -

# (a) Integrated rural development programmes

A rural development project, in addition to its macro-economic objectives, must seek not only to increase production but also and above all to improve the living standards of village communities and integrate their various activities (crop-growing, stock-farming, crafts) more fully into the modern economy. To achieve this, the project must first and foremost enable the people concerned to increase the productivity of their resources, particularly their labour, so as to become better able to satisfy their various needs and aspirations and ultimately make progress on their own initiative.

A project of this nature is subject to numerous economic, technical, natural and psycho-sociological risks. Consequently, the levels of production, output, incomes, etc. set down as project objectives must be regarded as more forecasts of what can be achieved after a number of years in the light of the initial technical and economic conditions.

the main factors that make these forecasts uncertain is the fact that the producers, who are the prime movers of rural development, adapt their behaviour according to their own limitations and aspirations. These quite frequently differ from those of the States concerned which devise "projects" and put in requests for funds, and from those of the institutions responsible for carrying out the projects.

If we are to ensure that the interests of the public authorities and the farmers involved actually coincide, the latter must be consulted as far as possible on the planning and execution of development schemes, the choices and decisions involved and evaluation of the results. Evaluation is vital to ensure that these schemes are effective. It must be carried out regularly in an objective manner throughout the life of the project so that the objectives, strategies and instruments can be geared to the fundamental goals in accordance with results already obtained and economic and technical changes that have occured during the execution of the scheme. Flexibility in carrying out the project must go hand in hand with strict implementation. If both flexibility and strict implementation are to be achieved an accompanying evaluation of the impact of the project is absolutely necessary.

Involving the inhabitants in schemes to develop the rural economy implies that the planning of these schemes must take account of the basically integrated nature of village communities and farms. Specific schemes must therefore be based above all on a serious study of existing farming structures; they must be devised essentially to cope with the weaknesses and bottlenecks that check progress in these integrated structures. These projects must be designed and implemented in such a way as to reduce to a tolerable level the risks to the rural population's food supplies and financial resources that the innovations entail. They must therefore seek to reconcile two basic needs of the rural community, namely progress and a secure existence. The innovations must thus be introduced in a gradual and balanced manner.

- 28 -

The integrated nature of these farming structures does not, however, imply that they are homogeneous. The rural world can be subdivided into widely differing categories, each with its special needs. The schemes are designed to assist them to make technical and economic progress and must therefore be geared to the interests and capacities of these main categories.

The effectiveness of these schemes still hinges to a large extent on the farmers' ability to organize themselves into socio-occupational structures which enable them to take collective responsibility for their own development and act as a link with official bodies and authorities.

If these rural development schemes are to be fully effective, they should also be included in national economic and social policies that are geared consistently towards promoting the welfare of the rural population and the orderly development of the natural resources which provide the population with its livelihood.

The lesson to be drawn from the evaluation is that emphasis should be placed on the need to sustain these projects until they can be run and expanded entirely by the people and national departments concerned. Even if only modest progress is made, it should be viable and lasting. This is why any technical assistance afforded to prepare and carry out projects must above all mobilize and train the inhabitants and national management, staff concerned rather than seek to manage funds or carry out schemes itself. If this approach is not adopted, even spectacular results will turn out to be of short duration and therefore disappointing.

# (b) Urban and village water supplies

# Urban water supplies

The main objective of urban water supply systems, which is to increase the availability of drinking water to meet increase in demand, has been generally achieved. However, the inhabitants do not always make full use of public installations. Owing to the fairly serious lack of awareness of the hygienic properties of the water supplied the people continue to use traditional sources of water. If investments are to be kept within reasonable proportions, capacity should be based on effective demand rather than on objective needs, up with requirements rather than anticipating them.

As regards the general approach, preference should be given to subterranean water which is, technically speaking, easier and less costly to make available for use than surface water.

Since losses of water are often considerable, any extension project should be preceded by an in-depth examination of the opportunities for improving the output of existing installations (repairing of leaks and improvements in management).

- 29 -

Whether the installations are viable depends largely on the managing body, which must try to reconcile the social objectives of water policy with the requirements of sound financial management. Management models that allow a greater degree of financial responsibility seem more likely to be efficient and economical.

A project's success also hinges on appropriately scaled charges, and the principles for this are as follows : firstly all costs must be covered; secondly, charges must be the various consumer groups and, lastly, all consumers must make a direct financial contribution.

# Village water supplies

Evaluations have shown that the extent to which a new village water supply system is utilized varies considerably according to the availability and discharges of alternative (traditional) supply points. Such systems are particularly in demand where they satisfy a quantitative need (availability, permanence, accessibility).

Moreover, an improvement in the quality of the water supplied (e.g., concrete wells as compared with traditional sources) has little effect on the population's overall health. Although open wells are directly exposed to pollution and wells or boreholes with pumps less so, contamination (particularly human contamination) takes place between the stage of drawing the water and consumption. So if the health situation is to be improved water is not sufficient; something must also be done to improve general hygiene (disposal of excreta, health education, housing, nutrition, etc.).

The other expected effects on development turned out to be fairly insignificant : in some regions, village wells or boreholes could be used to extend other development schemes (watering of draught animals), but in the cases studied they did not lead automatically to new agricultural or craft activities, immigration into the area or a slower rate of rural depopulation.

The projects should be devised in the light of policies and strategies designed to meet the priority needs of the inhabitants concerned by combining the social objectives of water supplies with effectiveness and efficiency requirements. However, these policies and strategies may be distorted as projects pass through their various stages : (a) by local political circumstances and (b) by technical and financial influences stemming from the specific conditions for the preparation and implementation of projects (village selected, location of installations), drawn up in the light of socio-economic and hydro-geological studies, should be made as strict as possible. Finally, there are three main lessons that can be drawn from this evaluation :

- Projects or programmes should not be confined exclusively to providing water supply installations but should also include the setting up or strengthening of institutional and financial structures (management bodies, appropriate charges).
- (ii) Water supply plans and programmes should be coordinated with other sectoral and/or regional development programmes.
- (iii) The national authorities should endeavour to frame a national drinking-water policy which ties in with their general development policy, determines the principles for using and managing water and provides the framework for investment plans and programmes and the related schemes.

#### CHAPTER III

# DECOMINION DOLTES AND EROCEDURES

The various cooperation bodies and procedures were for the most part set up in 1976 and 1977.

Although activity was sustained throughout 1978 it did not reach the levels of the previous years.

#### III. : Drawing up of the general conditions

Purposed to Article 22 of Protocol N° 2 to the Lomé Convention on the control rules applicable to contracts financed from EDF resources ad additionered by the Commission, the Community has forwarded to the ACC discuss draid general conditions for works contracts (9 March 1978) additional conditions for service contracts (5 July 1978). The Cital Batch of rules, the draft general conditions for supply contracts, will all forwarded very soon. All of these texts are still to be negociated with the ACP States before being finally adopted by the ACP-EEC Council.

# 

#### Industrial cooperation bodies

#### 101. Contro for Industrial Development (CID)

The ladget resources placed at the disposal of the Centre were increased in 1978 so that the CID was able to widen and intensify its application of disseminating information, developing contacts, producting projects and specific technical cooperation in the industrial field for the benefit of the ACP States.

Introduch its role as an information body and an intermediary between Community and ACP firms, the CID has been able to help firms in the ACP Obates to obtain better information on the opportunities of finding private European partners for setting up or grengthening their productive and marketing systems and, at the request of the ACP States, actually to carry out cooperation projects with these partners.

The The bas also helped to pinpoint training, study and advisory producements that could be met by the CID itself, Community institutions of other development cooperation bodies.

# The Committee on Industrial Cooperation (CIC)

Having completed its study of the main problems still outstanding in the field of institutions and rules, the Committee has set out guidelines for the CID's future activities. III.3

#### Coordination and harmonization of pil

In 1978, the coordination and harmonization of aid remained one of the Community's principal concerns. Measures were taken to harmonize aid within the Community and to ensure coordination with international financing aid bodies.

The occordination and harmonization of aid took place at two levels :

- (i) general meetings dealing with aid principles and objectives, sectoral guidelines and taking stock of projects requiring cofinancing,
- (14) ad het meetings dealing with the co-financing of specific projects, which were arranged on the initiative of the ACP State concerned.

In 1978, the Community drew up a document on the policy to be followed on co-financing in so far as the resources administered by the Commission one concerned. This document sets out the advantages of co-financing and shows that Community aid can be channelled into both co-financing and produced financing. The desirability of standardizing project appraisal and execution procedures was stressed.

There were a number of oppertunities in 1978 to put this policy into practice. Its main impact was to increase funds committed in the ACP Status by specialized Arab bodies. Statistics referring to the whole of the period covered by the Lomé Convention and dealing with 35 cofinancing projects show that about 18 % of the project appropriations of the European Development Fund have been used for co-financing. The Contactity's chare of all these projects, which cost 2 800 million EUA, was 13 %, i.e., a multiplier effect in the region of 8; the contribution of Arab funds went up from 19 % in 1977 to 22 % in 1978; this figure is likely to rise in the future.

As regards operations by the Bank, co-financing is the general rule. Under its Statute, the Bank may grant only partfinancing and for each scheme in which it is involved it must therefore collaborate with other national, bilateral (including Arab funds to an increasing degree) or international bodies. The 143.4 million EUA in loans signed by the Bank under the Lomé Convention as at the end of 1978 (excluding 56 million EUA in global loans to development banks) has helped to generate on investment total of close on nine times that figure, i.e. approximately 1 275 million EUA.
III.4

# Commission Delegations

Most Commission Delegations in the ACP States were opened in 1976 and 1977. The Papua New Guinea Delegation was the only one to be set up in 1978; it is responsible for a number of countries that previously came under the Fiji Delegation (Solomon Islands, Gilbert Islands and Tuvalu).

In 1978, the Commission appointed Delegates to the former overseas territories that had gained independence : Djibouti, Comoros, Seychelles, Sao Tomé and Principe, Cape Verde Islands. Responsibility for the Comoros and the Seychelles was assigned to the Commission Delegate in Mauritius and responsibility for Djibouti to the Madagascar Delegate; the Commission Delegate in Gabon was given responsibility for Sao Tomé and Principe and the Guinea-Bissau Delegate for the Cape Verde Islands.

In accordance with a recommendation by the ACP-EEC Ministerial Council in Fiji, Table n° 8 gives useful information on the cost of the Delegations in 1976-77 and 1978.

III.5

## Information

III.5.A "The Courier"

In 1978, the magazine's readership continued to grow both in the ACP countries and in Europe. This magazine, with its various items in which leading ACP and European figures can air their yiews, helps to provide more and more ACP nationals and the circles concerned with cooperation problems in Europe with comprehensive information on the various aspects of the Lomé Convention, in particular the activities of the European Development Fund, agreements between the Community and the developing countries, and, more generally speaking, on the European Community's cooperation policy for the economic and social development of the Third World.

At present, the magazine reaches leading figures in all the ACP countries. It is a link between the Community and the ACP States and is also becoming a link between the ACP States, all of which now recognize the usefulness and importance of the magazine. At the request of representatives of the Member States and ACP States efforts are currently being made to expand circulation progressively and selectively in Europe.

The ACP-EEC Courier still appears every two months. The number of pages has increased slightly to an average of 120; overall circulation of the Franch and English editions is currently 57 000 copies.

Over the past year the "Dossier" column has continued to deal with special subjects : desertification, mining activities in the ACP States, the Lomé Convention at the half-way stage, energy in the ACP States, EEC-ACP trade relations. The key theme has been the Community's operations under the Lomé Convention.

Several meetings have taken place between ACP representatives and representatives of the Member States on the general approach of the magazine and on certain specific points.

## III.5.B

## Further information

The Commission's information departments have continued to disseminate the information with which they have been supplying the ACP States since the Lomé Convention came into force; the emphasis has been put on a number of aspects of the Convention with a view to making it better understood.

The Bank has contributed to a better understanding of the financial aid made available under the Convention by distributing brochures setting out the areas in which it can operate and the numerous contacts it has had in Europe and the ACP States.

Meanwhile, ACP journalists (from the press, radio and TV) have continued to visit the Commission and some Member States to discuss the points of view of both the ACP States and the Community. Information has been given on various questions such as the negotiations for renewal of the Convention.

A number of specific schemes have been set up in various ACP States, usually in collaboration with the Commission delegates, e.g. travelling exhibitions (Malawi) and large-scale involvement in an international fair (Sudan). Several ACP States have undertaken important initiatives in the information field (for instance the Lomé Seminar organized by the Trinidad Government with the Commission's assistance).

In 1978, there was an increase in the volume of films and material for use on the radio sent to the ACP States (in particular the "Europe in Pictures" series in French and English). This included a number of recordings in English, which were originally available only in French. STATISTICAL ANNEXES

				DEVELOPM	ENT OF PRO	DUCTION -			•			
			•	Indu	strializat	ion		•				•
	General	Extractive industries	Metal-working industries	Chemical industries	Nenufacturing industries	fericultural dericultural and food- processing industries	" Projects mainly involving energy	Infrastr. integr ind.projects	Craft activities	Agro- industrial complexes	Tourism	
BAHAMAS BAR BADOS BENIN BOISVANA BURUSDI CAMEROON CAMEROON	171 2.556				200 2.258		15.683		1.140	16.153 9.075	100 50 3.138	1 2 16 .30
CENTRAFRICA COMOROS COACO IVORY COAST	1.300					467 - 180	12.923			40 15.742	<b>3.</b> 150 1.913	3
DJICOIN ETHIOPIA FLJI GAUCH GAUBTA		· , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		· · · ·			<sup>-</sup> 14 .598	-	•			1
ENARA CHE NADA CU LNEA CU LNEA CU LNEA					30.365	10	20.427	 	, •	7.125		2 • 3
EQUATORIAL GUIN- Guyara UPPER VOLTA JAMAICA					140			•	-	9.000 4.428		
KERYA LESOTHO LIVERIA MADAGASCAR	8,125 *** 8,133	300	• •	7.857	<b>3.</b> 359 '	· · · · ·	<sup>°</sup> 40.'679 5.794	1.837	215	14.401 9.100	100	• 7 • 7
RALAVI	3.506 450					· 2.100 3.107	8.500 19.181	· · · · ·	· · · · · · · ·	8.384		

.

Table 1 - Breakdown of commitments taken as at 31 December 1978 by country and by sector (4th EDF and EIB

	•						-			· • • • •			
									~		8		
	MAURITIUS	4.212	§ .	· ·	l -		-			<b>i</b> .			•
	MAURITANIA	4.616		ļ	1	1 .		2,374					6
	NIGER			· ·		1 2 222				[ ·			1
	NIGERIA	28.070			1	2.822						· ·	2
•	UGANDA			. ·	ł	200			130			· ·	28
	PAPUA NEW CUINEA	•		1	j	200	· · · ·			· ·			1.
	RYANDA			3.000	1			24.090			10.135		:10
•	SARDA E		4 4	1	f .	ł .		24.090		1 ·	3.300	<b>I</b> .	30
	SAO TURE & PRINCIPE		1 . ·			1		2.020		{	500		,2
	SENERAL	590	200		j .	· ·				9	000	· ·	•
	SEYCHELLES	562	1		1	1				-			
	SIERRA LEORE	1.000	<u>}</u> ∙. •		[ · ·	1	· ·			1 · · ·	ł	1	1 1
	SOMALIA			l • ·	ł.				. *.	ł		1	1
	SUDAN			ļ	1	6.844		;		1	1.	1 -	8
	SURTNAM				· ·	1 .			1	1.14	1.		
<i>.</i>	SYAZILAND D'ANZANTA	8,241	250							{	15.126		15
	CHAD	0,24]			- 60	24.450			· · .		-	{	32
	TOCO		· .	l · .	[ ·		·.		267		15.050		15
	TOHGA	• · ·		Į	1	22					5.999	[	6.
	TRIKIDAD & TOBAGO	5,753		•		1 ¥	•			• · ·			Į.
	ZAIRE	3.133	}	1	168			]	·	ł			5.
•	ZAMBIA	3.472	1.	· ·		1.198		<b>[</b> . ]	• .	1	21.416	· · · ·	22.
	SOLOMON ISLANDS				·	84 .			•		3.100	110	. 6.
	NON-ALLOCATED							•					
	J.MTERVENTIONS					1			I			-	
	REGIDIAL PROJECTS	8,107		<b>.</b> .	ļ	40.224 .		3 760					
······	TOTAL	79,248	.750	3.000	8.085	111.966	6.464	3.769	2.234	1.354	460.030	200	52
	BLOCKED APPROPS.	• •	-		1		0.704	110.005	2.2.54	1,004	168_272	8.761	580
······································			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ		· ·	l	<u> </u>			· ·	<b>.</b>
•	GRAND TOTAL	79.248	.750	3.000	8.085	111.966	8,464	170.538	2.234	1.364	162.272	, 8.761	550
	of which:Grants	16.975	550		1.125	14.884	2.634	60.918	2,234	1.364	51,092	1.191	152
	Staber			· · · ·	} ·							1 10131	1
	Special loans:	2.365		- '	ţ	57.000		43.820			\$2,565		145
	Risk capital	3.008	200	3.000	1.079	19.582		1 1			- 27.844	3.250	- 62
•	EIB Loans	59,030		[	5.020	20.500		65.000	•		45.770	4,320	100
**************************************	ا <del>د سمت درد. در مربو برسر در م</del> سه مورد	•			· · · · · ·		·····			- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1			
		•		· ·		•	,				-	-	
•				•	,	·	· •••		· .				
			· · ·	•	• 2	•		• .					• •

			DEVEL	OPMENT OF F	RODUCTION		· .	• •		
			Ru	ral product	ion	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	General	Plantations	Hydro- agricultural schemes.	Agrículture	Stockfarming	Ficheries	Foresta	Microprojects	Mainly agric. int. projects	[a+cu
BARAMAS BAR BADOS REMIM EDISYANA DURWEDI CAME ROOM CAPE VERDE CENTHAFRICA COMOROS COROD IVORY COAST DJIBCUII ETHIOPIA FIJI GADON GAMBIA GIAHADA GUIMEA GUIMEA GUIMEA GUIMEA GUIMEA GUIMEA GUIMEA CUIMEA UFFER VOLTA JAMAICA KENTA LISOTHO LIDERIA HADAGSCAR RALAYI HALI	690 25 1.810 353 557 120 700 1.880 14.273 700	4.800 12.870 1.710 3.125 57 6.200 3.057 5.757	14.995 270 2.327 3.709 10.818 4.460	8.200 1.900 515 982 7 182 2.988 5.585 2.200 12.509	55. 3.111 70 1.244 300 1.540 1.858 3.402 3.000 2.188 1.820	150 714 1.573 1.365 40 40	2.151	166 270 320 	2.985 3.600 200 90 2.581 17.700 3.009 240 8.200	7 3. ( 4. ) 2. 3. 5. ( 5. ) 5. ( 5. ) 30. ( 1. ) 5. ( 5. ) 14. ) 6. ( 7. ) 31. ( 5. ) 14. ) 5. ] 14. ) 5. ] 14. ) 5. ( 14. ) 5. ( 5. ) 14. ) 5. ( 5. ) 14. ) 15. ( 5. ) 14. ) 15. ( 14. ) 16. ( 16. ) 17. ( 17. ) 17. ( 17. )

Table 1 - Breakdown of commitments taken as at 31 December 1978 by country and by sector (4th EDF and EI3 Ordinary Loans

	-			•			-		ø.		- n.
	MAURITIUS			330			1	· ·	· ·		
	MAURITANIA	-		310 .	8.254	~			· ·	- ,	8,254
••••••	NIGER	550	9 }	35	70	3.030		ł		11.210	14.895
•	HIERIA UGAIDA	-			159	155	120				444
· . *	PAPUA NEW CUINEA	1	· · ·		1 193	110	7			· ·	117
•	RVANDA	350	160			· · ·		· • .			510
	SAHOA SAO TOME 8 PRINCIPE	890				<b>I</b> .			385		. 1,275
· • •	SENEGAL		15.301		1				340		15.641
÷	SEYCHELLES			• . •			· ·	400			
•	STERRA LEONE SOMALIA	480		1.400		100		100	740	5.913	6.853 1.880
	SUDAN	510	8.828		85	2.343			145	35	· 11.546
• • •	SURTNAM SVAZILAND			· .					75	2.500	2.575
•	TANZANIA		12.677							6.500	19.177
	CHAD	5 g (1	3,269.			3,200			. 380	15.631	22.480
· ·	TOGO Tonga	130							235	2.693	2.933
	TRINIDAD & TODACO	12 .		60			600	1.020	ŀ		1.692
	ZAIRE ZAMBIA		5.140			2.260			200	350 50	5,490
	SOLOMON ISLANDS				2-840				300 550	50	5,770
• • •	NON-ALLOC. IMPERVENTIONS		4			].	1		550		550
<u> </u>	AEGIONAL PROJECTS	1.846	50 83.031	38.225	3.170 50.808	29.795	<u>215</u> 9.629 .	3.271	10.015	83.563	5.281
•.	BLOCKED APPROPS.							U ALI I	4.798	1.1.2	4.725
	GRAND TOTAL	25.896	83.031	38,225	<b>_50.809</b>	29.786	9.829	3.271	14.811	63.563	339,020
	of which: Grants Stabex	20.868	71.831	24.907	50.375	27.971	9.059	2,251	14.811	79.983	302.084
•	Special loans Risk capital	.4.990	11.200	13.318	433	1.825	570	1.020		3.600	36.956
• .	EIB Loans	·					·.		a the second		
							1. A. A.				
		1		<b>1</b>							
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
			• •		• • •					• •	

	ECONOMIC INFRAST		Jrdinary Loans) (000 EUA
	Transport and Co	mmunication ·	
	General Roads and bridges Railways	Ports and inland waterwaye Airports	Telecomu- nications Total
BAHAMAS BAR BADOS SEMIM BOISWAMA BUIRUNDI CARERCON CAME VERDE CENTRAFRICA COMOROS COUGO IVORY COAST BJIBOUTI ETHIOPIA FIJI SABON GAMBIA GHENADA GUIMEA BISSAU EQUATORIAL GUINEA GUYAMA UPPER VOLTA JAMAICA RENYA ESOINO LUSERIA RADAGISCAR MALAYI NALI	$ \begin{array}{c} 15.440\\ 5.013\\ 160\\ 10.000\\ \hline 4.920\\ 1.800\\ 7.692\\ \hline 60\\ (2.850\\ 1.900\\ 1.800\\ 1.900\\ 1.800\\ 1.471\\ 90\\ 1.471\\ 90\\ 1.471\\ 90\\ 1.471\\ 90\\ 1.471\\ 90\\ 1.471\\ 90\\ 1.480\\ \hline 1.280\\ \hline 1.079\\ 4.823\\ 4.620\\ 6.838\\ 13.624\\ \hline \end{array} $	4.218 2.500 45 688 1.974 2.577 3.830	15.440         5.013         -160         14.213         7.465         214         7.465         214         10.190         60         4.824         4.477         12.840         1.471         104         5.110         130         12.791         1.500         2.633         4.823         4.620         8.838         13.624

IUS ANIA NEW GUINEA A EQSE A M D IIA	150	5.700 26.790 100 23.189 300 11.529 117 19.652 300 21.500	9,000		••• 260	***************************************	408 150	6.974	· 1	5.700 26.790 100 23.189 300 11.529 150 117 20.320	
ANTA NEW GUINEA A PRINCIPE ES EONE A M	150	26.790 100 23.189 300 11.529 117 19.652 300 21.500	9,000	Data and de la service delle delle vid son strand för se an se enderstörendet er service son service delle delle	•• 260	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	408 150		· 1	26.790 100 23.189 300 11.529 150 117 20.320	
ANTA NEW GUINEA A PRINCIPE ES EONE A M	150	26.790 100 23.189 300 11.529 117 19.652 300 21.500	9,000		•• 260		408 150		· 1	26.790 100 23.189 300 11.529 150 117 20.320	
ANTA NEW GUINEA A PRINCIPE ES EONE A M	150	26.790 100 23.189 300 11.529 117 19.652 300 21.500	9,000	Diad Any of A Ani-Anno Anno Ande vo Dia Ani-Ani Ani Ani-Ani a di andoneo di Ani-Ani-Ani-Ani-Ani-Ani-Ani-Ani-Ani-Ani-	260	***************************************	408 150		· 1	26.790 100 23.189 300 11.529 150 117 20.320	
ANTA NEW GUINEA A PRINCIPE ES EONE A M	150	26.790 100 23.189 300 11.529 117 19.652 300 21.500	9,000				408 150		· 1	26.790 100 23.189 300 11.529 150 117 20.320	
ANTA NEW GUINEA A PRINCIPE ES EONE A M	150	26.790 100 23.189 300 11.529 117 19.652 300 21.500	9,000		₽u 260		408 150		· 1	26.790 100 23.189 300 11.529 150 117 20.320	· ·
ANTA NEW GUINEA A PRINCIPE ES EONE A M	150	26.790 100 23.189 300 11.529 117 19.652 300 21.500	9,000		ۥ 260	-	408 150		· 1	26.790 100 23.189 300 11.529 150 117 20.320	
NEW GUINEA E PRINCIPE ES EQNE A M D	150	100 23.189 300 11.529 117 19.652 300 21.500	9,000	• dde ed Dre riveal Or 24 a s a ex-brad o brit to exected	260		408 150		· 1	100 23.189 300 11.529 150 117 20.320	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
NEW GUINEA E PRINCIPE ES EQNE A M D	150	23.189 300 11.529 117 19.652 300 21.500	9,000	g Dee meas ún se sa te saúcedroinnen genra e	<b>2</b> 60	바누라 두 수 수 수 다 가 지 않는 수 수 수 수 수 수 수 수 수 수 수 수 수 수 수 수 수 수	408 150		· 1	23.189 300 11.529 150 117 20,320	
ES ES EONE A M	150	300 11.529 117 19.852 300 21.500	9,000	reest De see a a a secondo a transverca e	260	두 속 수 바다 또 다 우 수 수 가 다 수 수 가 다 다 우 수	408 150		· 1	300 11.529 150 117 20,320	
es Eone A M	150	11.529 117 19.852 300 21.500	9,000		<b>2</b> 60		408 150		· 1	11.529 150 117 20,320	
es Eone A M	150	11.529 117 19.852 300 21.500	9,000		260		408 150		· 1	11.529 150 117 20,320	-
E08E A M	150	19.552 300 21.500	9,000		260		408 150		· 1	117 20,320	
A M D		19.552 300 21.500	<b>9,0</b> 00		260	8488	408 150		· 1	20,320	
M 10		21.500	9,000			l	150	1 A 071			
:D		21.500		04				-		16.424	. 1
1 <b>A</b>		21.500			,			890	)	930	. 1
•		- 2,901		.	· ·					21.500 2.901	
		. 15, 212					•			15.212	
ET TOPACO		1,430			150	•		-		1.520	
		53		. 🔿	1		•		• .	- 53	
IN ISLANDS		<u> </u>		{		Í			۰ ۱5	50 145	
							· · · ·		<b>-</b>	· .	
PROJECTS	300	33,220	2.500	·	140			4.340	<u> </u>	40.500	
D APPROPS.	δ	200.182	29.205	сн .	10.347			14,10			
						·				942 874	
chi Granta	450	276,132	29.208	Ì	16.347		723 723	9.63	3	251.824	-
Stabex Special loans		1 ·	1	Ī		•			1		
Déals sandas]	I . ·	29.550	24.848	• .	5.051	1	÷			54.847	1
Rise capital	1							1			·
	N ISLANDS LOC, INTERVENTIONS PROJECTS D APPROPS. TOTAL ch: Grants Stabex Special loans	IN ISLANDS LOC. INTERVENTIONS PROJECTS D APPROPS. TOTAL ch: Grants Stabex Special loans Risk capital	IN ISLANDS LOC. INTERVENTIONS PROJECTS D APPROPS. TOTAL ch: Grants Stabex Special loans Risk capital N 15LANDS 300 31,220 450 255,782 255,782 255,782 26,132 29,650	N ISLANDS LOC. INTERVENTIONS         300         33.220         2.500           PROJECTS         300         33.220         2.500           D APPROPS.         450         255.782         29.208           TOTAL ch: Grants Stabex Special loans Risk capital         450         255.782         29.206           ASD         256.132         4.360         276.132         4.360	N ISLANDS         LOC. INTERVENTIONS         PROJECTS       300       33,220       2,500         APPROPS.         TOTAL       450       255,782       29,208         ch: Grants       450       255,782       29,206         Stabex       450       276,132       4,360         Special loans       29,650       24,848	N ISLANDS     JOC. INTERVENTIONS       PROJECTS     300       33.220     2.500       10       450       255.782       29.208       10.347       D APPROPS.       TOTAL       .ch: Grants       Stabex       Special loans	N ISLANDS         LOC. INTERVENTIONS         PROJECTS       300       33,220       2,500       140         APPROPS.         TOTAL       450       255,782       29,208       18,347         ch: Grants       450       255,782       29,206       18,347         Stabex       450       225,782       29,206       18,347         stabex       450       225,782       29,206       18,347         stabex       450       226,132       4,360       10,208         Special loans       29,650       24,846       6,051	N ISLANDS       JOC. INTERVENTIONS         PROJECTS       300       33.220       2.500       140         D APPROPS.       450       255.782       29.208       10.347       723         TOTAL       450       255.782       29.206       16.347       723         ch: Grants       450       255.782       29.206       16.347       723         Stabex       450       226.132       4.360       10.265       723         Stabex       Special loans       29.650       24.848       6.051       723	N ISLANDS       100       33.220       2.500       140       4.344         PROJECTS       300       33.220       2.500       140       4.344         D APPROPS.       450       255.782       29.208       16.347       723       14.165         TOTAL       450       255.782       29.206       16.347       723       14.165         ch: Grants       450       255.782       29.206       16.347       723       14.165         stabex       5pecial loans       276.132       4.360       10.205       723       9.655         Risk capital       29.650       24.846       6.051       4.303	N ISLANDS       145         LOC. INTERVENTIONS       100       33.220       2.500       140       4.340         PROJECTS       300       33.220       2.500       140       4.340         D APPROPS.       450       255.782       29.208       16.347       723       14.163         TOTAL       450       255.782       29.206       16.347       723       14.183         stabex       450       225.782       29.206       10.265       723       9.653         Stabex       5pecial loans       29.650       24.846       6.051       4.300	INTERVENTIONS     145     145       PROJECTS     300     33.220     2.500     140     4.340     40.500       PROJECTS     300     33.220     2.500     140     4.340     40.500       D APPROPS.     450     255.782     29.208     16.347     723     14.183     316.671       TOTAL ch:     450     255.782     29.206     16.347     723     14.183     313.671       Stabex Special loans     450     255.782     29.206     16.347     723     9.633     251.824

	? } } } *******************************			DOCTUR	DEVELOPM	T.VI.	20 					· .	
	Educat	tion and	training			Heal	th	Water	engin.,	housing	and urb.	infr.	
	General	Education	Special proj. vecational training	Scholar- shipe	Training achemes	General	Infra- structure	General	Rural water supply	Urban water supply	Urban drainage	Urbanisti schemes	Total
BAMAMAS BARBADOS DENIZ BOTSWARA BURUADI CAMEROON CAFE VERDES CENTRAFRICA COMOROS COMOO IVORY COAST DJBCUII FTHIOPIA FIJI FIJI CACON GAMBIA GAMBIA GAMBIA GUINEA GUINEA GUINEA GUINEA GUINEA GUINEA GUINEA GUINEA GUINEA GUINEA UPTEN VOLTA JAMAICA MENYA LESOIND LISSPIA RADACISCAR MALANI RALI	930	3.780 70 2.100 1.531 4.370 1.000 90 930 1.780		43 200 1.809 1.200 3.300 2.230 50 1.170 3.000 840 1.631 100 410 410 410 404 2.250 80 50 680 50 680 30 545 2.532 2.790 2.000 620 1.100 1.900 3.200 2.132			402 2.440 1.673 120 2.000 1.850 2.300 300 1.030 2.631 4.000 1.785	862	9-400 650 12.800 130 700	2.000 320 440 3.650 1.900 500 2.500	1.600 310 140 5.200	4_400 900 930 3.829	43 602 1.609 3.200 10.302 2.230 1.250 1.655 540 8.223 14.300 1.620 21.211 1.000 410 2.075 2.250 280 6.420 3.840 30 545 7.952 5.530 8.128 2.055 -4.070 8.241 10.300 3.217

Table 1 - Breakdown of commitments taken as at 31 December 1978 by country and by sector (4th EDF and EIB Ordinary Loans)

	MAURITIUS	9 8 9 8 9 6 4 9 6 6 9 6 6 9 6 6 9 6 6 9 6 6 9 6 9		9	700			0 0 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	4 6 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	\`		6 6 7 7 8	••••	• • •
•	MAURTTANIA NIGERIA	60	3.270	8	370 1.880	·		3.430		2.513		6 6 7 4	×.	700 3.850 7.671
	UCANDA PAPUA NEW <b>GUINEA</b>			10	500 2.500 1.200			320	250			r 0 3 4 2 2 2 2	-	750 2.830 1.200
	RVANDA Sanda Sad tome & Pribcipe	- ·	3,317		1_300 110 90			500	5 6 7 7 7	5 6 7 7	2 3 3 5 6 6	aue a		4,617 110 590
	SENEGAL SEYCHELLES STERRA LEORE	- -	2_392 - 1.500		<b>3.</b> 527 1.800			179 2.224	5 5 5 7 7 8	1.000 260	5 6 6 6 7 9 9	3,750	-1,480	10.848
• 	SOMALIA SUDAN SURINAM	120	2.315	1.602	800 5.000 1.500			10	-					2.412 7.435- 2.600
	SVAZILARD TANZANIA CHAD				2.000 209	-		-	300		400	5 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0		2.000 900
	1000 Töxca		1,610	54	1.900 4.824 20	-		816 .	0499 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4.400		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		4.324 9.378 20
••••	IRINIDAD & TOSACO ZAINE ZAMBIA	250	16.600	180	2.080 6.710 705	•	•	1.345		260	745 150 100		2.400	2.825 21.770 4.990
	SOLOMON ISLANDS NON-ALLOC. INTERVENTIONS		280	320						-			160	
······································	REGIONAL PROJECTS IOIAL BLOCKED APPROPS.	2,360	10.500 50,615	950 4.874	77.802	400. 100		30 29.703	160	32.113	12.705	11.800	16.099	<u>11.750</u> 244.043
<b>4</b> 7 7 <b>4</b> 9 <b>4</b>	GRAID TOTAL of which: Grants	2.360 2.360	56.518 58.515	4.874 .4.874	77.602 77.602	100 100	********	29.703 29.703	2.712	32.113 22.713	12.705 12.105	11.800 8.050	14.099 10.799	244.643 227.593
	Staber Special loans Risk oapital									9.400	600	3.750		. 17 .050
	HIB Loans													

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TR	ADE PROMOTIO	้หว					uo	
	General	Trade structures	Fairs and exhibitions	Marketing	Total	Exceptional aida	Staber	Other - delegation expenditure	Blocked appropriations
BAHAMAS BAR PADOS BENIN BOISVANA EURYDDI CAMEROON CAPE VERDE GENTRAFRICA COMOROS CONGO IVORY COAST DJIECUTI ETHIOPIA FIJI GABON CAMBIA GIAMA GIAMA GIAMA GIAMA GIAMA GIAMA GUI NEA GUI NEA GUI NEA GUI NEA GUI NEA UPPER VOLTA JAMAIGA NENTA LESOINO LIGERIA RADAGATCAR RALAMI RALI	30 100 42 47 1.140 50 42 1.010 42 1.010 300 15	40 - 780		50	30 100 82 67 1.900 50 42 1.010 42 1.010 300 33 15	20 2.670 80 2.597 250 2.750 2.750 2.130 3.035 467 300 1.230 1.700 515500 800	15.408 1.486 4.065 903 1.676 7.362 15.000 692 14.420 2.115 1.510 5.176 5.216 2.031 2.903 1.497	340 1.249 1.859 835 2.180 3.552 23 2.594 577 - 2.190 - 3.228 276 - 1.817 978 1.477 .869 - 1.948 19 1.587 1.016 7 832 2.941 1.780 2.327 1.639 1.519 - 3.257 1.520 - 3.525	

MAURITIUS       20       3.000       1.165         MAURITANIA       11.307       10.506       2.570         NIGER       9.614       22.653       - 3.116         NIGER NAUGA       9.614       22.653       - 3.116         PAPUA NEW CUINEA       9.614       22.653       - 3.116         PAPUA NEW CUINEA       1.072       5.399       1.269         SAD A.       555       555       59         SAD IONE & PRINCIPE       520       3.885       19.000       3.825         SEVERAL       50       100       150       275       1.519         SUDAN       50       100       150       275       1.659       3.161         SUDAN       500       100       150       275       1.659       3.161         SUDAN       500       500       505       1.199       1.199         SWATLAND       500       100       150       275       1.659       3.161         SUDAN       500       500       3.369       556       3.269       556         SUDAN       500       555       1.522       - 2.410       1.199         SWATLAND       556       556       55	:
MAURITANIA     20     3.000     1.165       HIGER     HIGER     1.307     10.506     2.570       BIGERIA     UGANDA     9.614     22.653     - 3.116       UGANDA     NEW CUINEA     9.614     22.653     - 3.107       PAPUA NEW CUINEA     9.614     22.653     - 3.107       PAPUA NEW CUINEA     9.614     22.653     - 3.106       WAMDA     1.072     5.399     1.269       SADA /     50     100     3.685       SECRERAL     50     3.685     19.000       SUPAN     50     100     150     275       SUPAN     500     100     150     275     1.659       SUPAN     500     500     3.369     - 556       TANZANTA     500     500     555     15.229     - 2.440	
MACRETFANIA         HIGER         HIGER         BIGERIA         UGARDA         PAPUA NEW CUINEA         PAPUA NEW CUINEA         PARDA         SANDA         SANDA         SAN FORE & PRINCIPE         SENECAL         SUPARIA         SUPARIA     <	
HIGER       188       188       9.614       22.653       - 3.116         UGANDA       UGANDA       5.399       1.269         PAPUA NEW CUINEA       5.399       1.269         NMADA       556       5.399       1.209         SANDA       556       59       520         SANDA       500       100       150       2.057       328         SENE GAL       500       100       150       275       1.59       13         SUPAN       500       100       150       275       1.659       3.169       1.199         SWAZHANDA       500       100       150       55       15.229       - 2.440	•
HIGENIA       168       188       188       1.072         UGANDA       PAPUA NEW GUINEA       5.399       1.269         PAPUA NEW GUINEA       556       556         RWANDA       1.009       556         SANDA -       2.057       328         SANDA -       59       59         SENEGAL       5.000       3.885       19.000         SEVENELLES       13       3.977       1.251         SUPAN       50       100       150       27.55       1.659       3.161         SUPAN       500       100       150       27.55       1.659       3.161         SUPAN       500       500       100       150       27.55       1.529       - 556         SUPAN       500       500       100       150       555       1.529       - 556         SUBAN       500       500       555       15.229       - 2.440	
UGANDA       1.072         PAPUA NEM GUINEA       5.399         RMARDA       556         SARDA,       1.909         SARDA,       2.057         SARDA,       3.685         SARDA,       1.909         SARDA,       3.685         SENERAL       19.000         SEVERALLES       13         SUPAN       50         SUPAN       500         SUPAN       500 <td>•</td>	•
FACOA REW COLINEA       556         RMANDA       1.909         SANDA -       2.057         SAD FORE & PRINCIPE       59         SEVERAL       59         SEVERAL       59         SEVERALIES       13         SOFLALTA       3.426         SOFLALTA       3.426         SUDAN       500         SUDAN       500         SUBAN       500	:
HBARDA       1.909         SANDA       2.057       328         SANDA       59       59         SENERAL       3.885       19.000       3.825         SUPAN       13       13         SUPAN       50       100       150       275       1.659         SUPAN       500       100       150       275       1.659       3.161         SUPAN       500       500       555       15.229       - 556	
SANDA -       1,909         SAD IONE & PRINCIPE       2,057         SENE GAL       59         SEVENELLES       3,885         SIERRA LEDNE       13         SOMALTA       3,426         SUDAN       50         QURIMAM       500         SUDAN       500         SUBAN       500 <td>•</td>	•
SAO IORE & PRINCIPE       328         SENE GAL       59         SEYCHELLES       3.685         SIERRA LEDNE       13         SOMALTA       3.426         SUDAN       50         QURIMAM       500         SUDAN       500         SUBAN       500	
SEMECAL       59         SEMECAL       3.885         SEVECAL       13         SIERRA LEDNE       3.977         SOMALTA       3.977         SOMALTA       3.977         SUDAN       50         GURIMAM       500         SUDAN       500         SUDAN       3.426         SUDAN       3.659         SUBAN       500	
SEYCHELLES       1,003       19,000       3,825         SIERRA LEDNE       13       13         SOMALTA       3,977       1,251         SUPAN       50       100       150       275       1,659       3,161         SUPAN       500       500       500       1,199       1,199         SWAZ HAND       500       55       15,229       - 2,440	•
SHERRA LEDNE       13         SOMALIA       3.977         SUDAN       3.426         RURIMAM       50         SUDAN       100         SUBAN       3.426         SUBAN       500	-
SOMALITA       3.977       1.251         SUDAN       50       100       150       275       1.659       3.161         SUDAN       500       500       500       1.199       1.199         SUBAN       500       55       15.229       - 556	
SUDAN     50     100     150     275     1.659     3.161       SURIMAM     500     500     500     1.199       SUAR LAND     3.369     - 556       CNAD     55     15.229     - 2.440	•
SURTMAM         SOO         130         275         1.639         J.161           SWAZ ILAND         500         500         1.199         1.199           TANZANIA         55         15.229         - 556	•
SUU 1.199 TANZANIA 55 15.229 - 2.440	•
TANZANIA 55 15.229 - 2.440	
	× - 1
VNAD	
	· · · ·
1000 45 45 2.580 1.729	
10//04 33 200 4 084 225	
	. ,
LANDIA .	$\sim N_{\odot}$
SULUKUN ISLARUS	• • • •
101AL PROJECTS 166 160 14.662 14.988 - 4.572 101AL 6.441 1.058 14.950 50 22.509 86.411 172.359 101.738	
101AL BLOCKED APPROPS. 6.441 1.058 14,950 50 22.509 86.411 172.359 101.738	(1)
	18.252(1).
	*******
	16.252
of which: Grants 4.741 1.068 14.950 50 20.809 85.411 101.738	13.946
Stabex 172.359	
Special loans 1.700	
Risk capital	2.306 <sup>(2)</sup>
EIB Loens	
	•

(1) Unexpended balance of the overall appropriation made available by the Commission to the Chief Authorizing Officer of the EDF for the financing of technical cooperation, trade promotion and regional cooperation schemes by accelerated

. .

(2) Overall commitment authorization for financing by risk capital referred to in Article 51 of the EDF Financial Regulation.

•

	ጥቃኬን /	1 - Doed	rdown of oc	mmitmente i	akan as at	31 Decemb	on 1078 but	country an		r (000 E	
*****	18010	DEVELOINE	EMP OF		aken as at			country en	*		
	•	1	, , ,	о Ч		ĝr.	a1d		uədxə	8	-
		Industrializa tion and tourism	, d	Economic infrastructure	. <b>t</b>				д 0	Blocked appropriations	• .
	• •	tria and sm	tio	tr tr	Ê.	lon	lon		110	rie	
•			12		la.	ort e	pt	190 X 90	1 20	ope	H
		Industri tion and tourism	Rural production		Social development	Trade promotion	Exceptional	Stabex 、	Other - Delegatic	31 oc	TOTAL
				14 41 							****
BAHAMAS BARBADOS	• •	100	745 175		43 602	30 100			340 · 1,249	•	1.158
- BENIK		1.311	3.679	15.440	1.609	100	20	15.408	1.859		2.225 39.525
BOISWAWA		. <b>2.</b> 806	4.921	5.013	3.200		2.670		935		19.345
BURUNDI CAMEROON	•	16.353	2.397	160	10.362	•	80	1.486	2.180	· .	33.008
CAPE VERDE		30.154	8.823 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14.210	2.230	, 82		4.055	3.562	1	83.134
CENTRAFRICA		1.787	5.844	7.465	1.855	· · · ·	1 1	903	23 2.594	<b>.</b>	1.830 20.228
COMOROS			2.200	214 .	540	12	2,597	1.678	577		7,804
C0500		<b>3.</b> 190	1.540	10.190	8.223	<sup>-</sup> 87	250	7.352	- 2.190		33.032
IVORY COAST		30.758	5.468		14.300	1.900		15.000 -	- 3.228		70.854
DJIDOUTI ETHIOPIA			515 30,089	60	1.620 21.211	50	1 A 360 '	692	278		3.103
FIJI	•	14.598	700	4.824	1.000	. 30	2.750	2.115	- 1.817 978		70.397
GABON		5	1.710	4.477	410	42		6.114	1.477		24.215 8.116
GAMBIA			2.807		2.075		800	1.510	869		8.051
Clana or		27.552	5.450	.12.840	2.250	. 1.010	2.130	5.176	- 1.948		58.358
GRENADA UNA GUINEA		30.375	223	1.471	280 0,420			<b>1</b> 1	C. 19		1.993
CUINEA BISSA	J	10-112	14.330 6.362	104 5.110	0.420 3.840		3.035	F 940	1.587		55,851
- EQUATORIAL O		· · ·	A AUC		30		- 467	5.216	1.016		22.011
GUYANA		9.140	822	130	545 -				932		37 11.559
UPPER VOLTA		4.428	12.112	12.791	- 7.952			2.031	2.941		42.255
JAMAICA	-	1	7.139		5.580	1.780			1.760		16.279
KEHYA LESOTHO		1.837	31,948		8,129 -	400	300		2.327	1	117.840
LIBERIA	434	18.027	5.378 300	2.699 4.823	<b>2.</b> 055 - <b>4.</b> 970	300	1.230	•	1.039	İ.	14.238
RADAGASCAR		300	19.122	4.620	6.241	300	1.700	2.903	1.519		29.939
HALAYI		22.490	11.417	8.839	10.300	15	1.500	2.000	1.520		33.176 56.080
MALT		23.338	19.238	13.624	3.017		800	1.497	- 3.525		64.939
· . ·		1			•			· · · · · ·			
				-		-		1.445.1		to share a	
•	-					•	· · ·				
		1	2			•	· ·			<b>i</b> - :	

					[						
•	MAURITIUS	5.586	330	5.700	700	. · 20-	3,000	· ·	1.165		17.501
	MAURITANIA		8.254		3.860	_	1.307	10.505	2		26.597
	NIGER	2.522	14.895	26.790	7.671		9.614	22.853	- 3.116	•	87,36
•	BIGERIA	28.200			750	188			1.072		30.21
	UGAMDA	200	444	100	2.830			5.399	1.269		-10.24
	PAPUA NEW GUINEA	10.135	117		1.200			6	556	۰.	12.00
	RYANDA	30.590	510	23.189	4.617		4.090		1.909		64.89
	SANDA	2.620	1.275		110	<b>N</b> 1	•	2.057	328		8.39
	SAO TOME & PRINCIPE	500		300	590		- <sup>2</sup> - 25	1·	59		1.44
	SENEGAL	799	15.641	11.529	10.848		3.685	19.000	3.925		65.32
	SEYCHELLES	562	2 0 050	150	1.740	•			13		2,45
1	STERRA LEONE	, 1.000	8.853	117	5.524	·		3.977	1.251		.18,72
	SOMALIA		1.880	20.320	2.412		3.425	1.932	2.353		32.32
· · .	SUDAN	0.844	11.948	10.424	7.435	. 150	275	1.659	3.161		· 47.29
	SURINAM				2.600		· .		1.699		j • • .29
	SVAZILARD	15.378	2.575	990	· 2.000			3.369	- 555		24.85
	TAHZANIA CHAD	32.751	19.177	21.500	900		. 55	15.229	- 2.440		92.05
		15.317	22.480	2.901	4.324		-		3.040		48.05
	1000	8.021	2.933	15.212	9.378	45	۱' ' ا	2.580	- 1.729		37.99
	TONGA		130	1.580	20	33	200	1.064	- 225		3.25
	TRIHIDAD & TOJACO ZAIRE	5.921	1.692		2.825	105		1	1.367		12.51
,	ZAMEIA	22.612	5.490	53	21.770	44	19.300		3.536		72.80
	SOLOMON ISLANDS	8.768	5.770	60	4.990	7	16.840		2.239	-	36.67
	NON-ALLOC. INTERVENTIONS		550	145	760	ູ່ຜູ	•	1.274			. 2.7
			†	•	1		4.300		10.817		14.9
	REGIGIAL PROJECTS	52.300	5.281	40.500	11.750	14.985		1	4.572	· ·	129.39
· ·	TOTAL	580.782	334,224	310.671	756.643	22.509	86.411	172.359	101.738	(4)	1.839.3
	BLOCKED APPROPS.		4.786					1		16.252 <sup>(1)</sup>	21.04
	GRAND TOTAL	560.782	339.020	318.071	244'.843	22,509	86,411	172.359	101.738	16.252	1.080.30
	of whicht Grants	152.908	302.004	251.824	227.503	20,809	E6_411	I i	101.738	13.948	1.157.3
• .	Stabex		Ī	•	[ ]			172.359 /		•••	172.3
	Special loans	145.751	38,958	64.847	17.050	1.700					266.30
	Risk capital	62.893			Γ. I	1. A 1.		1		2.308(2)	64.99
. '	EIB Loans	199.370		•		· · ·	•	1 ··· 5			159.37
							•			, ,	130431

<sup>(1)</sup>Unexpended balancé of the overall appropriation made available by the Commission to the Chief Authorizing Officer of the EDF for the financing of technical cooperation, trade promotion and regional cooperation schemes by accelerated procedure. (2)<sub>Overall</sub> commitment authorization for financing by risk capital referred to in Article 51 of the EDF Financial Regulation.

	*********	**********	*	DEVEI	LOPAENT OF	PRODUCTIC	)N			@.~		. •
				In	dustriali						- , ·	
Ť a statut	[8.] 	Littract170 įndustries	Metal-working industries	Chemical industries	Manufactur <b>in</b> g ind.	Agricultural and food-processing ind.	Projects mainly involving energy	Infrastr. integrated in ind. projects	Craft bctivities	-industrial Jeres	8	
	General	indu	Meta	Chem	Manu. ind.	Agri food ind.	Projec involv energy	Infr inte ind	Craf acti	Agro-j comple	Tourism	Total
BAHAMAS ' BANBADOS		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	***************************************	*******	************	********					9 2************************************	100
BERIN BOISVANA	6					_	6 9 9		1.075		§ 100	1.075
BURUKO I CAMENOON	-				200	r ·			8-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	7.452 2.840		6 7.652 2.840
CAPE VERDE CENTRAFRICA	1,300					.467	6 6 7			2.040		1.767
COMOROS CONGO									9 9 9 9	• • • •	3.150	, ,
IVORY COAST BJ180UTI			1			180	0	9 9 9 9	2 9 9 9	- 4.855	3.10U .	3.150 5.035
ETHIOPIA FIJI							14.598					
GABON GAMDIA							¥ 14•530 6		3 8 8 9			14.598
CHANA GILENADA										03		60
CUINEA GUINEA BISSAU	•				30.105	10					6 6 6	30.115
EQUATORIAL GUINÉA GUYAHA	-				/				5 5 5	8.650		8.650
UPPER VOLTA JAMAICA										6.010		
SE AYA LESOTHO			•••	1			14.339				100	14.439
LIBERIA	9.133	· · · · · · ·		· · · .			5.794			1.e	6 6 2	8.927
HADAGISCAR HALAVI		300			•	2.100	9 6 6					300 2.100
HALI						3.652	24					3.670

	•	Č.			•			· .					
	· · · · · ·	9	<b>y</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>I</b> .	8	1	t ·	1. 1		•	
•	· .		-					1 ·		4 9 9 -			
			<u>.</u>			· ·	-			1.1.1			.1
	MAURITIUS	1				ļ			· ·				
	MAURITANIA	,	-			2.622	1 :	ļ					2.0
	NICER NICERIA	28.070				ł.	Ì		130				28.2
	UGANDA	I				150					1	9 9 1	
•	PAPUA NEW GUINEA RHANDA						1 ·	50	Į		10.135	1	10.1
•	SAHOA					I		2.353				5 9 3 9	2.3
•	SAD IGHE & PRINCIPE SENEGAL	590	200								500		
	SEYCHELLES	582				ł	1. 1						
	SIERRA LEONE Somalia			· ·		1							į
	SUDAN					6.500					· ·	1	6.
	SURINAM							· · ·					
	S¥AZILAND TANZANTA	5.741	250		-	6.550					15.128		15.
	CHAD								257	1		<b>.</b>	1
	TOGO TONGA										1.	· · ·	į
	TRINIDAD & TOPACO	5.753					<u> </u>						5.
	ZAIRE ZAMBIA	3.472				84					8,434		8.4
	SOLOMON ISLANDS	9 3.91C				54						110	1 3.0
	NON-ALLOC.							1		an an ang again.	· .		1.
	FEATORAL PROJECTS	6,807			6 f		• • • ·	200	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		· · · · · · ·	200	7.
	TOTAL	55.434	750	·	 	46.211	6.100	37.358	397	1.075	59.052	3.660	209.
	BLOCKED APPROPS.	2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		l ,	·	1							
	GHAND TOTAL	55,434	750.			46.211	6.409	37.358	397	1.075	58.052	3,660	209.3
	of which: Grants - Stabar	10.491	550	1 9		10.677	2.578	7.958	397 .	1.075	12.958	410	47.1
	· Special loans	<u>ី                                    </u>				28.550		1		1.1	18,948	1 · ·	46.0
•	Risk capital	12408	200	e 9 4		7.484	3,830	ľ		1	9,136	3.250	25.3
	EIB Loans	43.000		4 -	1	1.500		29.400	1 ·	· ·	17.000	1	90.

		***********		************		OF PRODUC				(000 EUA)	
		5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7	**************************************		Rural produ	lotion	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	***********	***********		) 1 6 9 9 9
			BIIO	ural	e g	8			jects	ly agric. projecta	
		Genaral	Plantations	Hydro- Egricultural schemes	Agriculture	Stockfarming	Fisher1es	Forests	i Mi croproject	Mainly s int. pro	Total
,041 m & 4 m m	BAHAMAS BARBADOS BENIS EOISYANA	690 25 1.810					A			2.900	690 25 2.900 1.810
÷	9URUDI CAMERCON CAPE VERDE CENTRAFRICA COMOROS COMOO	225 557			8.200	20 1.244 300			166		236 8.425 557 1.244 300
,	IVORY COAST DJI80011 ETHIOPIA FIJI CABGR	700			515		1.573		<b>3</b> 20		515 1.893 700
: <sup>*</sup>	CAMBIA CUMA CRENADA CUINEA CUINEA BISSAU EQUATORIAL CUINEA	1.880	27		5		70		175 223		70 2.055 223 27
• .*	QIYAMA UPPER VOLTA JAMAICA		- -	_ 389	2.977	1.660	40		122 490	2.181	122 7.737
	NENYA LESOTHO LIBERIA			10,618	8 	2.000			190	17.700	28.319 2.190
	HADAGISCAR HALAVI		5,757		893 2.200				580	240	8.890 2.760

MAURIT <b>IUS</b> MAURITAN <b>IA</b>		u C G C B C B C B C B C B C B C B C B C B C B	4 6 6		800					-	800
(1)II) (1)III	•			35	70						105
UGANDA			· · ·		33	155	120	•	•		308
PAPUA NEW GUINEA	2	İ.			<b>د</b> ډ	110	7	· .		·	117
Polyda Sloca		350 890		·		.`					350
220 TOME & PRINCIPE		- U30							280		1,170
Sebesil Seventles							· ·				
SIEPRA LEONE		Į.,		т. Т			4	100	440		
SOMALIA		160		. : .	•	100		. 100	440		640 180
SUDAN		200	190		65	2,343		Į	- 145		2.963
SURINAM SVALILAD											
TANZANIA							•••		· ·	:	
CHAD	·			· ·	· ·	3,200		· ·	390		3.580
TONCA		130									470
TRIRIDAD & TOBACO							30				130 30
ZAIRE ZAMBIA			5.140				.*			· ·	5,140
SOLOMON ISLANDS					50	2.280			300 550		2.610 550
NON-ALLOC. INTERVE	ENTIONS				•						300
PESIZAL PROJECTS		1.641	50		170		200			, <b>o</b>	2.061
BLOCKED APPROPS.		9.278	11.164	11.042	24,723	15.262	2.040	100	4.361 4.798	23.021	100.991
GRAID TOTAL of which: Grants	****	0.278	11.184	11.012	24,723	15.282	2.040	100	9.157	23.021	103.767
Staber		4.958	0.191	424	24,290	13.437	2.040	100	9.157	- 23.021	88.651
Special	loans ,	4.290	1.970	10.618	433 ,	1.825					19,138
Risk car EIB Loar	19				•			, '.			
• .					•						
·					• •.	•				•	
**************************************	******								L		
· · ·	•				•		•				~
						•	•••		. •		

	- ECON	OMIC INFRASTRUCTURE	•	
	Tran	sport and Communications		
	General Roads and bridges	Railways Ports and inland waterways	Airports Tel <del>e</del> communications	Total
BARAMAS BARBADOS BENIN BOISVANA BURUNDI CAMEROON CAPE VERDE CENTRAFRICA COMOROS CONGO IVORY COAST- DJIBOUII ETHIOPIA FIJI E450N HAMBIA GHANA GRENADA CUINEA BIDSAU EQUATORIAL GUINEA GUYAMA UPPER VOLTA JAMAICA SIAMA LESOINO LIBERIA RADAGASCAR RALAWI HALI	55 4.975 295 60 2.850 12:840 1.451 80 13.330	10.000 2.500 1.974 2.577 14 3.830	45	

								و ي م م معد م
			8	•	1			
		5 0 1 1		• •			-	
	MAURITIVS					:		а а - ц е
	MAURITANIA			•			- <b>-</b> -	
	NICER NICERIA		÷ .					
	UGANDA PAFUA NEW GUINEA		100					100
	RVANDA		85					85
	SANDA SAD TONE & PRINCIPE							
	SENEGAL		300					300
	SEYCHELLES STERRA LEONE	150			- -			150
	Somalia Sudan		• 117		260	408		117
	SURINAM			9.000		150		9.150
÷	SVAZILAMO TANZANTA							
	CHAD		2,901	_				2.901
	TOEO TONGA							
	TRINIDAD & TCBASO ZAIRE		1.430	-		• • • •		1.430
•	ZAMBIA		- 53 60	•			** <b>.</b> •	53 80
•	SOLOMON ISLANDS NON-ALLOC. INTERVENTIONS						145	60 145
	REGISTAL PROJECTS		14.220	500	140		4,340	19.200
	IOIAL BLOCKED APPROPS.	150	55,212	19.514	11,281	603	5.985	92.745
	GRAND TOTAL	150	55.212	<b>T9.5</b> 14	11,281	603	5,985	§2.745
· ·	of which: Grants Staber	150	42.382	514	7.230	603	1.685	52.544
•	Special loans Risk capital		12.850	19.000	4.051		4.300	40.201
	. AIBA CAPITAL	i 1	•	<b>1</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9			8

Education and training Education and training Health Water engin., Health Water engin., Health Water engin., Health Water engin., and training engines total total total total total total total total total total total total total total total total total	water		nfr.	
STREAD Education	water			· ·
Ваналах 43	water	nage	- 1	
BAHAMAS	Urban supply	Urban drainage	Urbanistic schemes	fotal
			********	43
BENIR				
BURSVAHA Burdindi				
CAMEROON			-	1
CENTRAFRICA 185 1.170	320			1.250 -
COMOROS 70 30	220			320
IVORY COAST				1.673
ETHIOPIA 2.100 170				660 2.220
FIJI CABON			900	1.000
GAMBIA 1.531		140		1.671
GIAMA GRENADA 80				
CUINEA BISSAU 2.820 CUINEA BISSAU 1.000				80 2.820
EQUATORIAL GUINEA				1.000
EJYANA UPPER VOLTA 90				
JAMAICA 930				90 930
REHYA LESOTHO 235 820 700		-	1.419	3.719 1.755
LIBERIA 9,330 RADAGASCAR 1.160 2.685			· · · · ·	1.330
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			3.825

			·.								•		·	
	· .	0 1 1 0 1 1	5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			6 3 6 4 4 4	• • •					6 9 9 6 8 8		
	MAURITIUS		5 5 5 5 5 5			4 2 3 3 7	∔ 1 4 0							9 <u>.</u>
•	MAURITANIA NIŒR NIŒRIA	10	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		370 1.080 500	2 . 2 . 2 . 2 .		. 95	250	2.200		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4 9	475 4.080 750
	UGANDA PAPUA NEW GUINEA				1.200	, 			235		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			1.200
	Rvanda Sanda		1.712		110						-			1.712 :
-	SAO TOME & PRINCIPE SEMEGAL		2.152	4 ·	. 90		2	500				,		110 590
	SEYCHELLES SIERRA LEONE		1		· .					1.000 260			- 1.480	3.152 1.740
•	SOMALIA SUDAN		1,500	722	800							•		1.500 1.522
	SURINAM		315 1.100		5.000									5.315 2.600
	SVAZILAND TANZANIA				2.000				ł					2.000 200
	CHAD Togo	• • •	1.475			-		. 714		1 770				2.189
•	TONGA TRINIDAD & TOBAGO	· ·			20					4,270				4,270 20
	ZAIRE		8.000		770	•	. •				745	-		745 8.770
; .	ZAMBIA SOLOMON ISLANDS		- <b>2</b> 80	60 320	705		• .	х.,		130			2.400 160	3.295 760
:	NON-ALLOC. INTERVENTION REGISSAL PROJECTS	3	2.000	900				30	150	•		* * · ·		-
•	IOTAL BLOCKED APPROPS.	10	28.405	3.582	18.078			12.097	1.610	17.950	1.285	140	8.359	3.090 .89.526
********	GRAND TOTAL									***********			- <u>-</u>	
	of which: Crants	10 10	28.405 28.405	3.582 3.582	18.078 18.078			12.097 12.097	1.610	17.950 8.500 ·	1.295 685	140 140	8.353 3.059	69.528 76.228
	Stabex Special loans			· •							<b>,</b>			
	Risk capital			-				<b>.</b> · ·		9.400	<sup>7</sup> - 600		3.300	13.300

BAHANAC BERMANAC BARGADOG         BUIL I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	• •	1	RADE PROMOT	ION	• •••	5 5 5 5	ರ ಗ ಬ		uedxe	e L
BARRADOS     100     20     4.762     983       BORMARA     BURMARA     983     600     4.762     983       BURMARA     BURMARA     600     1.600     800     - 1.188       CAMEROON     CAMEROON     2.0     4.762     983       CAMEROON     CAMEROON     - 1.188     2.107     - 23       CAMERON     CAMERON     - 1.528     - 1.528     - 1.528       COMOROS     - 1.100     - 1.528     - 1.528     - 1.528       COMOROS     - 1.008     - 1.008     - 1.528     - 1.528       COMOROS     - 1.008     - 1.008     - 1.528     - 1.528       CAMERON     - 1.008     - 1.008     - 1.528     - 1.528       DIROUTIA     - 1.008     - 1.008     - 1.528     - 1.528       CAMULA     - 1.008     - 1.008     - 1.528     - 1.528       CAMULA     - 1.010     - 1.008     - 1.510     - 4.650       BAMA     - 1.010     - 1.010     - 1.528     - 1.010       GUI INEA     - 1.010     - 1.010     - 1.010     - 1.010       FAMA     - 1.010     - 1.010     - 1.010     - 1.010       GUI INEA     - 1.010     - 1.010     - 1.010     - 1.010       F		General	Trade structures	Fairs and exhibitions	Karketing	rotal		. Staber		Blocked appropriations
COMOROS       41       1.091       - 554         CWGO       1.008       1.008       1.008         DJIGOUI       1.008       1.008       1.008         BTHIOPIA       50       50       2.750       170       - 145         BTHIOPIA       50       50       2.750       170       - 145         BCMUIA       50       50       2.750       170       - 145         GABON       50       1.010       600       1.510       469         GAMA       1.010       1.010       1.010       1.510       1.59         GUINEA       1.010       1.010       1.010       1.510       1.59         GUINEA       1.010       1.010       1.510       1.510       1.59         GUINEA       1.010       1.010       1.510       1.510       1.59         GUINEA       1.010       1.010       1.510       1.510       1.510         PEUATORIAL GUINEA       1.010       1.010       1.510       1.510       1.501         UPPER VOLTA       JAMAICA       1.170       1.624       1.171       1.544         UBERIA       300       15       18       1.010       1.61 <t< td=""><td>BARBADOS SENIN BOIVAMA BURUNDI CAMEROON CAPE VERDE</td><td>100</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>100</td><td>1.600</td><td>4.762</td><td>791 953 521 - 1.188 2.107 23</td><td></td></t<>	BARBADOS SENIN BOIVAMA BURUNDI CAMEROON CAPE VERDE	100				100	1.600	4.762	791 953 521 - 1.188 2.107 23	
EABON       3.00       1.510       851         GAMBIA       1.010       1.510       469         BANA       1.010       1.279         GHE NADA       19       19         GUI NEA       BISSAU       1.075         FOULATORIAL CUINEA       602         DYA*A       563         UPPER VOLTA       563         JAMAICA       1.624         XENYA       1.510         LIBERIA       300         MADAGASCAR       15	COMOROS CONCO IVORY COAST DJIBOUII ETHIOPIA	1.008				1.008	2.750		- 554 -1.280 1.826 - 145 991	
GUINEA BISSAU EQUATORIAL GUINEA UYAWA UPPER VOLTA JAMAICA KENYA LESOTHO LIGERIA MADAGASCAR 147 602 7 1.47 1.47 1.47 1.524 1.170 1.524 1.170 1.524 1.171 1.544 1.544 1.500 723 1.050 1.500	CAMBIA BAAA GHENADA GUINEA	<b>1.0</b> 10		5	<b>4</b> 5	1.010		1.510	851 459 1.279 19	
KENYA LESOTHO LIBERIA MADAGASCAR 300 - 1.050 - 1.050 - 1.647	GUINEA BISSAU EQUATORIAL CUINEA OMANA UPPER VOLTA JAMAICA				62) -		- 467		602 7 563 1.624	
	KENYA LESOTHO LIBERIA MADAGASCAR		18	ra î			`230		1,544 723 - 1,050	

			1	,	ļ	<b>j</b>					
	MAURITIUS	20					20		<b>o</b> .	677 °	•.
	MAURTPANIA		ļ		Į		_	1.307	10.605	1.460	
	NICER			•					9.795	1.493	-
	NIGERIA	i I	1				2			854	
	UGANDA PAPUA NEW CUINEA		I						8	671	
	RYANDA	i. 1	İ					80		556	
	SATOA	· ·				· ·	· ·	80	99	1.110 \ 228	
	SANDA SAND		1	•	I		<b>`</b>		22	59	
	SENE GAL		I					3.685	19.000	2.252	
	SEYCHELLES	-	i			, .				· 13	
	SIERRA LEONE		I				•	<b>.</b>	1	722	· ·
	SOMALIA	۰.								- 1.345	· ·
	SUDAN	50	1.		50.	ľ	100	275		2.217	
	SURINAM	500	1 .	•	•	<b>i</b>	500	ł		685	
	SWAZILAND							1 · ·	3.369	357	
	TANZANIA		i	•	1		1	55	8,177	1,638	· ·
	CHAD	<b>I</b> '	1					1		- 1,590	
	TOGO		1	45	1		45 🗸			\$83	· ·
	TORCA TRINIDAD 8 TOPAGO	905.	1						160	155	
	ZAIRE	705	1.				705		· .	. 897	<b>i</b>
	ZAMBIA	, ,	I .					4.300		2.202	
	SOLOMON ISLANDS			•	1			· 1990 -	+ T 9	1,540	
	NON-ALLOC. INTERVENTIONS	Į	1	. '		1 -				7.851	l l
	REGICIAL PROJECTS	115		160	8.561	I	6.837	4,300		1.777	
	TUTAL	3.922	1.	223	6,611	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10,756	25.124	60.904	62.526	finner street
	BLOCKED APPROFS.		1					-			16.25
**	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + +			*		1	******		, , , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1	
	GRAND TOTAL	3.922	i.	223	8.611		10.756	25.124	60.904	62.525	15.25
	of which: Grants	3.222	1	223	6.611		10.055	25.124		62.526	13.94
	Stabox		İ			j	i		60.904		
	Special loans	700	<b>I</b> .	,	i		700			<b>.</b> .	
	Risk capital		<b>i</b> .	1.		1	• •				2.30
	EIB Loans		<b>.</b> .								
					• • •						i
	· ·		1	<b>、</b>	Į	1	<b>i</b> '	;			

(2) Overall commitment authorization for financing by risk capital referred to in Article 52 of the EDF Financial Regulation.

. **`** 

.•.

٠.

- . . . . .

. . . . . . .

.

Table II	- Breakdown of comm	itmente take	en in 1978	by country	and sector	r	(000	EUA)	<b>e</b> t • .
₩ · ·	DEVELOPMENT OF, PRODUCTION				73			-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Industrializ- ation & Tourism Rural production	Economic infrastructure	Social development	Trade promoțion	Exceptional aid	Stabex	Other - Delegation erpen.	Blocked appropriations	Total
BAHAMAS BARBADOS BENIN BOISWANA BURWYDI CAMEROON CAPE VERDE CENTRAFRICA COMOROS CONGO IVORY COAST DJIBOUII ETHIOPIA FIJI GABOY CAMBIA CHENADA GUINEA BISSAU EQUATORIAL GUINEA CUYANA UPPER. VOLTA JAMAICA KENYA LESOINO LIGERIA MADAGASCAR MALAVI NALI	690           100         25           1.075         2.900           6         1.810           7.652         236           2.840         8.425           557         1.757           1.757         1.244           300         3.150           5.035         515           1.893         700           80         2.055           30.115         27           8.650         122           7.737         14.439           8.927         300           3.070         8.990           2.100         2.780           3.676         10.550	55 4.975 10.000 2.840 4.824 2.577 12.840 1.451 104 3.830 1.500 13.330	43 1.250 1.655 320 1.673 9.400 650 2.220 1.000 1.671 80 2.820 1.000 90 930 3.719 1.755 1.330 3.825 4.000	100 41 1.003 50 1.010 300 33	20 1.509 80 2.750 800 3.035 457 230 809	4.762 1.091 170 - 4,510 147 1.170	- 140 791 953 621 - 1.188 2.107 23 - 1.528 - 554 1.280 1.826 - 145 991 731 851 469 1.279 19 1.075 602 '7 563 1.624 1.171 1.554 723 - 1.050 1.347 944 - 1.839		873 1.015 9.775 9.012 9.155 23.372 1.830 9.034 2.265 8.144 17.269 1.490 7.934 21.853 3.428 4.520 17.244 1.773, 37.178 8.045 7 9.335 10.521 2.101 45.020 6.393 11.607 12.895 -0.824 31.003

		, ,	·•				•				
			:	i.					· ·		
-		0 0 1		Ì	1 1 1		-			· ·	· 63 •
	MAURITIUS			ĺ		20			677		5\$7
	MAURITANIA NIGER		800	1	475	ļ	1,307	10.605 -	1.450	-	14.548
	NIGERIA	2.622	105	1	4.080		1	9,795	- 1.943		18.515
	UGANDA	150	200		•750	1		Í.	864		29.814
	PAPUA NEW CUINEA	10.135	309 117	100		ļ			671		1.279
	RVA40A	50	350		1.200				555	•	12.003 . ;
	SAMOA	2.353	1.170	į 85 ·	1.712		eo		1.110		3,397
	SAD TOME & PRINCIPE	500	. 1011U	1	110	1		99	228	· · ·	3.950
·	SEHEGAL	790.	ļ	300	590				59		1.449 28,879
	SETCHELLES	562	1	460	3.152	Į	3.685	19.000	2.252 13		2,465
	SIERRA LEONE		. 640	150 117	1.740	1	<b>1</b>	· ·	722		2.979
	SOMALIA	ξ. X	180	668	1.500	\$		1	- 1.345		3.715
۱.	SUDAN	8.500	2.963	9.150	1.522			1 · · · ·	2.277	1	26.580
	SURINAM		1	2 2.130	5.315	100	275	1	685		3.785
·	SVALILAND	15.378			2.600	- 500		3.369	387		21.132
÷.	TANZANIA	12.291			2.000	· .	. 55	8.177	1.839		22.361
	CHAD	267	3.580	2.901	2.189		53		1.690		10.627
	1000			2,301	4.270	45		<b>··</b>	983		5.298
	TONDA	· . ·	130	1.430	20		į .	160	155		1.896
	TRINIDAD & TODAGO	5.753	30	11700	745	705		. 100	897		8.130
	ZAIRE	6.434	5.140	53	8.770	1 105	4,300	a a sur	2.202	i •	28,809
	ZAMBIA	3.668	2.610	60	3.295	· ·	1,310		1.540		12.518
,	SOLOMON ISLANDS		550	145	760		1 1010		11010		1.455
· •	NON-ALLOC. INTERVENTIONS	· · ·	<b>.</b>				4,300		7.851		12,151
	REGIONAL PROJECTS	7.207	2.061	19.200	3.090	6.837	4,300	I i i i	1.777		40.172
	· TOTAL	209.346	100.591	\$ \$2.745	1 89.528	10.755	. 25.124	68-904	62.528		651.918
	BLOCKED APPROPS.	· · -	4.795							16.252 <sup>(1)</sup>	21.048
N2797 13 12 4	GRAND TOTAL				******	[ 			*******	{	
	of which: Grants	209,310	105.767	. 92 .745	89.528	: 10.755	25.124	60.904	62,528	16.252	672.935 ···
	Stabex	47.105	66.051	52.514	76.226	10.056	25.124		52.526	13.946	374.176
	Special loans		1		7		1 · .	60.904	· .		601901
	Risk capital	40,033	19.136	40.201	13.300	700				l. 191	119,370
	EIB Loans	25.308				l .	1 · ·	Į.		2.308 <sup>(2)</sup>	27.614
· .	TTD ROUND	90.900		g .	1			-			90.900
			1	1			1	• •			1
*****	#0×3047999999999999999999999999999999999999	[ . 			1	1		•			
	(1)										~~~~~

(1) Unexpended balance of the overall appropriation made available by the Commission to the Chief Authorizing Officer of the EDF for the financing of technical cooperation, trade promotion and regional cooperation schemes by eccelerated procedure.
 (2) Overall commitment authorization for financing by risk capital referred to in Article 51 of the EDF Financial Regulation.

•

<u> </u>	AND BY Total volution 42	ADMINISTRAT) ume of the	Совл	itments tak	en in 1978	TRBLE III	(000 EUA)	
	Convention	) .	Aid adminis the Commi		Aid adminis the E.I.I		To	tal .
	Amount	¥	Amoun't	Z	Amount	1	Amount	I
EDF Resources - Grants of which: micro projects interest rate subsidies ' exceptional aid	3.067.757 2.145.182 ( 20.000) ( 100.000) ( 150.000)	<u>89,7</u> 62,	<u>540.270</u> 359.995 ( 4.361) ( 25.124)	100 66,6 ( 0,8) ( 4,7)	<u>41.796</u> 14.182 (14.182)	<u>31,5</u> 10,7 (10,7)	<u>582.006</u> 374.178 ( 4.361) ( 14.182) ( 25.124)	86,5 55,6 (0,6) (2,1) (3,7)
- Special loans - Riek capital - Stabex EIB Resources	445.585 97.000 380.000 <u>390.000</u>	12,9 2,8 11,- <u>11,3</u>	119,370 60,904	22,1 11,3	27.614 <sup>**</sup> <u>90.900</u>	20,8 <u>68,5</u>	119.370 27.614 60.904 <u>90.900</u>	, 17 ,7 4 ,1 9,1 <u>13 ,5</u>
- Loans from EIB resources	380.000	11,3			90.900	68,5	90.900	13,5

including appropriations of 2 306 million EUA pending utilization from the everall authorization for studies.

. . . .

롺

53 m		LOMÉ CON SITUATION I BY ADMINIST	N 1978, EY N		NANCING		<u>e III.a</u> , 000 eua)	• • • • • • • •
	Total volu (article 4) Convention	2 of the		stered by	aken in 1978 Aid adminis the E.I.B.	tered by	Tota	]
5	Amount	8	Amount	<b>%</b>	Amount	z	Amount	z
EDF Resources - Grants of which: microprojects interest rate subsidies exceptional aid - Special loans - Nisk capital - Stabex EIB Resources 4 Loans from EIB resources	<u>3.067.767</u> 2.145.182 ( 20.000) ( 110.000) ( 150.000) 445.585 97.000 380.000 <u>390.000</u> 390.000	<u>88.7</u> 62,- 12.9 2.8 11,- <u>11.3</u> 11,3	<u>1.564.023</u> 1.125.360 ( 10.015) ( 86.411) 266.304 172.359	100 72 ( 0.6) ( 5.5) 17	<u>96.992</u> 31.993 (31.993) 64999 * <u>199.370</u> 199.370	32.6 10.8 (10.8) 21.8 <u>67.4</u> 67.4	<u>1.661.015</u> 1.157.353 ( 10.015) ( 31.993) ( 80.411) 266.304 64.999 172.359 <u>199.370</u> 199.370	$     \begin{array}{r}         & \underline{89.3} \\         & \underline{62.2} \\         & \underline{(0.5)} \\         & \underline{(1,7)} \\         & \underline{(4,7)} \\         & \underline{14.3} \\         & \underline{3.5} \\         & \underline{9.3} \\         & \underline{10.7} \\         & 1$
TOTAL	3.457.767	100	1.564.023	100	296.362	100	1.860.385	100

\* including appropriations of 2 306 million EUA pending utilization from the overall authorization for studies. 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>19</u> 10 <u>10</u> 

······································	<del></del>						HD BY			¢>				•	(000	EUA	ABLE I		_	
	DEVELO			CTION	ECONO		SOCI		TRADE		EXCEPT	TOX		THE V	OTH	- 12	BLOCK	ED		_
	nulustri- alizatio		•	s	INFRA	51'A.	DEAR!		PROMO:		AID		STA	SEX	UIN		APPRO		Toto	1
1.Aid administered by	alizatio	1 2 8 6	prou.	<u>}</u>			ļ	\$		5		8		\$.		Ŕ		\$		3
the Commission	<u>28.546</u>	410	105.2ªZ	<b>58,6</b>	22.745	100	19.326	100	<u>10.756</u>	100	25.124	100	<u>67.904</u>	100	62.526	100	13.966	P3.0	540.270	89.3
L.1.Non-repayable aid Programmable grants	52.513	410	86.651	37.9	52.544	56.7	76.226	85.1	10.056	93,5	25.124	100	<b>.</b>		62.526	100	13.946	85,8	359.995	29.5
(of which microproj	52.913	, <b>410</b>	86.631	37,9	92.544	96.7	76.226	85,1	10.036	93.3					62.526	100	13.946	85,8	255.872	69.8
Exceptional aid			( 4-361)				}						· · ·	1					( 4.361 )	
1.2.Special loans		•									29.124	100		·		•			25.126	3,7
1.3.Stabex	46.033		19.136	20,7	40.201	43.5	13.300	14.9	,700	6,5				1					119.370	17,7
2. Aid administered by						÷.	. •	ŀ		•			60.904	100	1 S. 4				60.904	9,9
the EIB	127.140	3.250	-	. فيلغ																
2.1.Loans from EIB										· ·	[ ·	ļ				•	2,306	14,2	122.595	19.7
resources	90.900			8,85							[	<b>.</b>		ł	Ň				90.900	12.3
2.2. Int. rate subsidie	22.058			8.9	• .	•			4	· ·	<b>`</b> .				•		}		14.182	2.1
2.3.Risk capital			· ·			ľ			· ·		· ·	1	I		1 · · ·		2.306	15,2	27.616	4.1
Grand Total	·209.656	<u>9.660</u>	109.787	100 '	22.229	100	69.925	103	10.786	100	23.124	100	50.904	100	62.926	100	16.232	100 -	672.955	100
of which from EDF resources (1,2.2.,2.3.						• •		•	•										·	<b> </b>
from EIB resources	\$14.786	3.660	105.757	71.2	92.745	100	69.926	100	10.756	100	25.124	100	60.904	100	62.926	100	16.252	100	582.055	86.5
(2.1.)	<b>90.90</b> 0	• •		28,8							•						• • •		90.500	

LOME CONVENTION

\* • അ

· ·,

n a san ay ay a ma

o'

· . 

ta. ar≠ 47 ≠ : n	i <sup>9</sup> •s <u>}</u> ∎	•			Fina	ancing			VENTION 178, by me	• ess thod (	of finan	ocing a	nd by sect	tor		TAI	BLE IV.	<u>A</u> ) EUA)	•	
n and defined and an and the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set o	Developm Industri		oduction Rural	-	Economic infrast		Social Developa	ent :	Trade promoti	ion	Excepti	ional	<b>S</b> tabex		Other		Blocked Aporops,		Total	
	alizatio			\$ ·		s	<b>USTOTOPI</b>	S.	P	ø	aid	8		5	1	s	ADOI OP34	3		*
1. Aid administered by the Cosa.	266.266	460	<u>959.920</u>	67.3	316.671	100	244,643	100	22.509	100	86.411	100	172.359	100	101.738	100	13.946	80,-	1.564.923	132
allon-monayable aid	120.515	460	302.064	47,0	291.824	79,5	227.593	93	20.809	92.5	86.411	100			101.738	100	13.946	89,~	1.125.560	2.4
Prograzzable grants	120.519	660	302.064	\$7.0	251.824	79.5	227-593	93,-	20.809	92.5					101.758	100	13.945	87,-	1.018 610	5.E
<pre>(cf which wieroprojects) =</pre>			(10.013)	(11,0)	• .	, , ,		•	-										10.015	
t.Z.Special loans	145.751		36.956	20,3	64.847	20,5	17.050	7	1.700	7.2	86.411	109				-			96.41* 268.504	8
1.3.Stabez											<i>-</i> .		172.359	100					172-557	5.4
2. Aid administered by the EIB	283.755	<u>B.031</u>	-	32.7					-		•						2.306	م تدار	1 196.55	53.0
2. Lasne from F18 resources	195.050	4.320		22.,1										dittant.			10412462		199.370	1520.7
2.2. Interast rate subsidies	31.262	731	•	3.6 7					-							Ballen and	2.505	91.0	33.995 64.993	6 ·
2,3.Risk capital Brand (stul	1552.021	8.761	\$39.020	100	\$16.671	100	244.643	100	22.505	<u> </u>	86.41	1 100	172.359	100	101.73	8 100	16,232	100	1.860.365	- j
of which from EDF resources								<u>.</u>												
(3, 2, 2, 3, 2, 3, )	356.971	4.463	339.020	77.8	316.671	100	266.663	100	22.50	100	86.41	1 100	172.359	100	101.73	8 100.	1 16.232	hoo	1.651.015	; <b>1</b> 7.7
irita ElB rascurcas (2.1.)	195.050	4.320		22,2					-			-							199.370	o] 10,7

TABLE V STABEX - Results of 1978 operation (for the year of application 1977) by ACP State (in EUA) BENIN Cotton 2.083.137 1.467.364 Palm oil Palm nut and kernel oil 1.211.826 CUINEA BISSAU 146.839 Sawn wood -UPPER VOLTA Groundnuts 1.169.977 Iron ore 3.605.602 MAURITANIA NIGER Groundnuts 2.412.379 Groundnut oil 7.383.280 SAMOA 99.313 Bananas SWAZILAND 3.368.878 Iron ore TANZANIÀ Sisal 8.176.614 31.125.209 170.596 COMOROS Ylang-Ylang Copra 367.784 552.547 Cloves DJIBOUTI Raw hides, skins and leath 169.629 1.260.556 (former OCT continuing to benefit from OCT appropriation) . 1 1 Advances paid in 1978 1.510.000 **CAMBIA** Groundnuts 7.000.000 MAURITANIA Ores SENEGAL 16.000.000 Groundnut oil Groundnut oilcake 3.000.000 TONGA 160.000 Bananas 27.670.000 Transfer carried out in July 1978 (1976 financial year) Gum arabic MALI 848.489 848.489 60.904.254 •

	ε, γ	Breakdown by	countr	<b>y</b>	(0)	00 EUA) 8	•
2011:201920-03 (Jackerson 8) 67		Loans from EIB's own r ces.		Risk capita EDF reșourc		Total	
		Amount .	X	Amount	Z	Amount	7
I F	NGO VORY COAST IJI	12,50	13,8	3,15 0,18	12,5 0,7	3,15 0,18 12,50	2,7 0,2 10,7
ne Li	YARA RYA BERIA- LI	12,00 7,40	13,2 8,1	3,20 0,10 0,28 3,65	12,6 0,4 1,1 14,4	3,20 12,10 7,68 3,65	2,7 10,4 6,6 3,1
ki Ri P	GERIA GERIA APUA NEW CUINEA	1,50 25,00 7,0°	1,7 27,5 7,7	0,90 1,90	3,6 7,5	2,40 25,00 8,90	2,1 21,5 7,7
se se se se se se se se se se se se se s	KEGAL Ychelles Jdan Azilato	10,00	11,0	0,20 0,56 6,50	0,8 2,2 25,7	0,20 0,56 6,50 10,00	0,7 0,5 5,6 8,6
T. TR ZA	ANZANTA INIDAD & TOBAGO IRE AMBTA	5,00 5,00 2,50	5,5 5,5 2,7	4,03 0,65	15,9 2,6	5,00 5,00 4,03 3,15	4,3 4,3 3,5 2,7
	EGIONAL (CARIBEEAN)	3,00	3,3	0,00	2.0	3,00	2,6
	IAL LOCKED APPROPS.	90,90	100	25,30 2,30	100	116,20 2,30	100
	RAND TOTAL	90,90	0	27 ,60		118,50	
		Breakdown by	sector	9908459199988969	,		
e E e A( E M	EVELOPHENT BANKS 9 0 NERGY GRO-INDUSTRIAL COMPLEXES <sup>1</sup> (1) XTRACTIVE INDUSTRIES ANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES	\$3,00 29,40 \$7,00 1,50	47,3 32,4 18,7 1,6	1,41 12,96 0,20 7,49 2,55	5,6 51,2 0,8 29,6	44,41 29,40 29,96 0,20 8,98 3,25	38,2 • 25,3 25,8 0,2 7,7 2,8
1	DURISM			3,25	12,8	J. C. J	£ 90
TOT	AL .	90,90	100	25,30	100	116,20	100:
*****************	) in the wider sense of projection	ots related	to mira	vroduction		*****************	8990\$44880
		-	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		1	•	· · · · ·			•
· · · ·			• • •	•			•

••••		-	Amount in EUA	
	Countries	Cost	of the Delegation	- •
	01/2014/01/11/	1976/1977	1978	TOTAL
•	BARBADOS	85.571	420.000	505.571
	DENIN	945.300	490.000	1.425.300
	BOTSWANA	326.508	220.000	546.508
	LESOTHO	327.504	320.000	647.504
· .	SWAZILAND	175.258	170.000	345.258
	BURUNDI	756.680	- 460.000	1.216.680
	CAMEROON	1.579.213	930.000	2.509.213
	EQUATORIAL GUINEA	26.837	5.000	31.837
	CENTRAFRICA	1.042.330	645,000	1.687.330
	CONGO BRAZZAVILLE	941.730	615.000	1.556.730
	IVORY COAST	P. 1.406.240	850.000	2.256.240
	ETHIOPIA	830.170	460.000	1.290.170
	FIJI	131.321	420.000	551.321
	SAMOA	53.629	100.000	153,629
•	TONGA	36.630	70.000	106.630
	GABON	635.810	370.000	1.006.810
	GANBIA	403.460	300.000	703.440
•	GHANA	707.620	550.000	1.257.620
	GUINEA BISSAU	380.430	280.000	660.430
	CUINEA CONAKRY	480.330	510.000	990.330
	GUYANA	433.450	300.000	733.450
• .	UPFER VOLTA	1.255.190	650.000	1.905.190
•	MAURITIUS	450-094	290.000	740,094
	COMOROS	111.223	125.000	236.223
	SEYCHELLES	42.675	5.000	47.675 1.182.497
	JAMAICA BAHAMAS	• 6S2.497	500.000	75.700
<u>.</u>	KENYA	§ 35.700	40.000	1.656.050
	LIBERIA	856.050 471.400	800.000	841.400
· : -	MADAGASCAR	1.414.497	847.000	2.254.497
	RALAVI	712.490	500.000	1.212.499
•	ŘALI	1.291.200	790.000	2.081.200
	MAURITANIA	914.220	650.000	1.564.220
	NIGER		790.000	1.980.950
	NIGERIA	190,000	570.000	760,000
	RWANDA	639.980	460.000	1.099.980
. ·	SENEGAL	1.534.220	1.070.000	2.604.220
	SIERRA LEONE	513,200	350,000	863.200
	SOMALIA	1.012.280	640.000	1.652.280
	SUDAN	939.320	1.190.000	2.129.320
	SURINAM	501.180	300.000	801,180
	TANZANIA	786,420	730.000	1
		+ 300.000		1.816.420
q	CHAD	1,154,930	720.000	1.874.930
	TOGO	782.900	410.000	1,192,900
	TRINIDAD & TOBAGO	629.961	380.000	1.009.961
•	GRENADA	\$4.569	4,000	63.569
., <b>-</b>	UGAN DA	587,490	340.000	927.490
•.	ZAIRÈ	1.373.440	990.000	2.363.440,
	ZAMBIA	652.490	610.000	1.262.490
	CAPE VERDE	•	10.000	10.000.
	PAPUA NEW GUINEA	<b>a</b>	295.000	295.000
	SAO TOME & PRINCIPE	. <b>.</b>	25.000	25.000
•	AFFARS & ISSAS	° 827,54	,35.000	35.827,54
	ALL STATES	2,294,230	1.745.045,27	4.039.275,27
	TOTALS	35,092,654,54	25.699.045,27	60.791.699,81

COST OF THE DELECATIONS

. . .

TABLE VII

Ŀ

AL	xceptional aid (	<u>TABLE VIII</u> Art.59) 1978
•• •		
BENIN	20.000	Supply of fishing nets - disaster victims Aguegues village
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
BOTSWANA	1.600.000	Fight against foot-and-mouth disease
BURUNDI	80.000	Fight against cholera
ethiopiá	2.750.000	Purchase of lorries
GAMBIĂ	300.000 500.000	Purchase of seeds
GUINEA		Purchase of seeds, veterinary products, lorries drilling of wells
GUINEA BISSAU		Purchase of fertilizers, agricultural equipment insecticides
LESOTHO	230.000	Purchase of lorries, food supplies for Southers part of country
MALI	300.000 500.000	Purchase of veterinary products, cattle protection
e MAURITANIA	300.000 1.007.000	Purchase of cattle feed, deep drilling
RWANDA	80.000 _	Fight against cholera
SENEGAL		( Purchase of oilcakes, groundnuts, salt lick Cattle protection
SUDAN	15.000	Purchase of 16 t sugar - 1 t tea - disaster victims of Gezira floods
	260.000	Purchase of fuel
TANZANIA	55.000	Purchase of medicines to fight cholera
S ZAIRE	4-000-000	Repatriation and housing of Zairian refugees Purchase of medicines, foodstuffs and fuel for
	300.000	disaster victims of Bas Zaire and Kivu
		, . <b>t</b> i
ZAMBIA	1.340.000	(Repatriation of Angolan refugees (Construction of housing for Zimbabwe refugees
	•	
NON-ALLOC. INTERVENT		Aid for displaced persons and refugees in the Horn of Africa
(Djibouti, Ethiopia,	Somalia)	
<b>0</b> 	1.300.000	Fight against locusts in East African countries
Djibouti, Ethiopia, Somalia, Sudany	Kenya,	
		•
	•	•