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PART A: OPTIONS

Introduction

The present study, 'Development of surveillance technology and risk of abuse of economic information' presents the interim results from a survey of the opinions of experts, together with additional research and analytical material by the authors. It has been conducted by ZEUS E.E.I.G. as part of a technology assessment project on this theme initiated by STOA in 1998 at the request of the Committee on Civil Liberties and Internal Affairs of the European Parliament. This STOA project is a follow-up to an earlier one entitled: "An appraisal of technologies of political control" conducted for the same Committee. The earlier project resulted in an Interim Study (PE 166.499) written by OMEGA Foundation, Manchester, and published by STOA on January 1998 and later updated (September 1998).

In the earlier study it was reported that within Europe all fax, e-mail and telephone messages are routinely intercepted by means of what is called the ECHELON global surveillance system. The monitoring was said to be "routine and indiscriminate". The ECHELON system formed part of the UKUSA system, but unlike many of the electronic spy systems developed during the cold war, ECHELON was said to be designed for primarily non-military targets: governments, organisations and businesses in virtually every country.
In the present study the authors were requested to investigate the use of surveillance technology systems,
for the collection and possible abuse of sensitive economic information.

The principal method selected was a procedure of data collection and processing based on a modified
DELPHI method (to be referred to here as "the survey"). Under this method, a list of potential sources of
data was prepared. These were some 49 experts from universities, industrial and commercial undertakings
in the informations and telecommunications technology sector, as well as a smaller number of persons in
international or governmental organisations. The experts were drawn from 11 Member States of the
European Union, plus Cyprus, Norway and Switzerland.

The next step was the collection of the data. This was mostly achieved by direct interviews of the experts,
with the use of a questionnaire. The views (data) were processed and a convergence examination
performed. The convergence procedure was based on a recursive approach for the exclusion of the
non-reliable data. The last step was the drawing of the analytical results.

**General overview of the outcome of the survey**

The predominant view among the experts was that since nowadays almost all economic information is
exchanged through electronic means (telephone, fax, e-mail), and, in addition, all digital
telecommunication devices and switches have enhanced wiretapping capabilities, for these reasons they
suggested that we must focus on the protection of the data when transmitted (using encryption products), on
the use of government-approved encryption products and on the adoption of common standards concerning
encryption and key-recovery products. The position could be summed up in the statement that 'since it is
difficult to prove that economic information has been captured by ECHELON system and passed on by the
NSA, we have to consider privacy protection in a global international networked society'.

In summary, therefore, we see that two perceptions of this question emerge: (1) a concern about the
possible threat to privacy and economic and civil rights potentially posed by global clandestine electronic
surveillance systems operated by large and powerful secret government agencies, and (2) anxiety about the
problems of commercial and personal privacy which arise now that so much commercial and other
communications traffic is conducted over the Internet. Managers of businesses engaged in electronic
commerce may perhaps be concerned about global clandestine surveillance systems: what is certain is that
they are worried in a more familiar way about threats to commercial security posed by the nature of the new
electronic business media and their possible vulnerability to interception by competitors and fraudsters.

Reflecting the feedback from the survey, the present study tends to reflect Perception 2, whereas the earlier
one of 1998 tended to reflect Perception 1.

Advances in information and communication technologies have fostered the development of complex
national and international networks which enable thousands of geographically dispersed users to distribute,
transmit, gather and exchange all kinds of data. Transborder electronic exchanges -- private, professional,
industrial and commercial -- have proliferated on a global scale and are bound to intensify among
businesses and between businesses and consumers, as electronic commerce develops.

At the same time developments in digital computing have increased the capacity for accessing, gathering,
recording, processing, sorting, comparing and linking alphanumeric, voice and image data. This substantial
growth in international networks and the increase in economic data processing have arisen the need at
securing privacy protection in transborder data flows.

Today, it is not necessary to define new principles for the protection of data (and privacy) in an expanding
global electronic environment. It is necessary to define the appropriate means of putting the established principles into practice, particularly on the information and communication networks.

An active education strategy may be one of the ways to help achieve on-line and privacy protection and to give all actors the opportunities to understand their common interests.

Common technological solutions can assist in implementing privacy and data protection guidelines in global information networks. The general optimism about technological solutions, the pressure to collect economic information and the need for political and social policy decisions to ensure privacy must be considered.

The growth in international networks and the increase in economic data processing have arisen the need at securing privacy protection in transborder data flows and especially the use of contractual solutions. Global E-Commerce has changed the nature of retailing. There were great cultural and legal differences between countries affecting attitudes to the use of sensitive data (economic or personal) and the issue of applicable law in global transaction had to be resolved. Contracts might bridge the gap between those with legislation and the others.

Since Internet symbolised global commerce, faced with a rapid expansion in the numbers of transactions, there is a need to define a stable lasting framework for business. Internet is changing profound the markets and adjusting new contracts. To that reality is a complex problem.

Views on privacy collected from the survey

In this section the experts' views on the various privacy issues are reported. The information was mostly collected by direct interviews of the experts, based on a predefined questionnaire.

General privacy issues

- Privacy can be a contentious subject because it means different things to different people. The definition given is: "Privacy is the claim of individuals, groups, or institutions to determine for themselves how, when and to what extent information about them is communicated to others"

- A clear problem expressed is that in an electronic environment, it becomes hard to differentiate between a private and public place and therefore what should be protected and what should not.

- It was argued that is unreasonable for the society to subsidise the cost of individuals to maintain their privacy, pointing out that most people will choose utility over security (and consequently privacy)

- It was suggested that privacy in many ways sacrifices other goods (time, effort and energy among them) in order to obtain it.

- Three basic tools necessary for privacy protection were outlined: notice (to the data supplier), consent (to the consumer), and accountability.

- Although accountability may be essential to ensuring privacy, it unfortunately conflicts with the anonymity, privacy implies. For any commerce to take place on the Internet, therefore, some level of anonymity and therefore privacy must be sacrificed. The question to be answered is "how much and who will decide".

The market for privacy
• When the European Commission adopted the privacy directive (95/46/EC), it stated that privacy protection is a central precondition to consumers' acceptance of electronic commerce. Accordingly, a critical issue experts argued, was whether there was a "market failure" in the electronic environment that required some sort of government intervention to ensure data privacy.

• Some experts responded that data privacy is not purely a public good, and so at some point someone will have a market incentive to protect it. Some corporations that have tried to market their strong privacy protection have yet to see any results and have concluded that: "privacy doesn't sell". Other industries have marketed privacy successfully (such as the cellular telephone industry) which could mean that the public demands for privacy are forthcoming and will eventually be profitable.

• They feel that a question to be answered is: Who governs the responsibility of the information collector, or does society have to impose a sense of responsibility?"

The role of industry

• Most experts expressed the view that the information industry should be primarily self-regulated: the industry is changing too rapidly for government legislative solutions, and most corporations are not simply looking at National or European but at global markets, which national governments cannot regulate.

• Indeed several experts expressed the fear that any European attempt to allow USA to oversee (via global surveillance systems) data would lead to abuses by the government or other competitive companies.

• They noted that many companies (such as Citibank) already inform consumers and clients that, unless told otherwise, they will disclose information to their affiliates. They suggested that a simple seal on the home page of a Web site, declaring that a company adheres to certain industry privacy standards might cease the fears of the public and offer some level of accountability.

• Alternatively, they suggested that the media could act as an effective watchdog, informing consumers and companies of what information is being collected about them and how that information is being used.

• They also noted that multinational companies could better negotiate for themselves across national boundaries than governments can. Electronic commerce is unlikely to gain popularity until the issues of notice, consent and recourse have been resolved. The market will force companies wishing to participate in this medium to address and solve these concerns.

The need for European legislation

• Experts took the view that the European Parliament must now ask how, in a world of the Internet, one reconciles the objectives of protecting both: privacy and free flow of information.

• In recent years there have been disclosures that unauthorised individuals have examined financial information from the Internal Revenue Service in USA. Several experts pointed to the flap over the decision by the Social Security Administration in USA to provide companies account information on-line. Each of these examples suggests that protecting data privacy may be a great challenge for the European Parliament.
• Experts agreed that the European Parliament should play a role in creating a standard for disclosure. Several experts went further and argued the need of a privacy agency within the European Union to act as an ombudsman and to represent privacy interests, so that in debates between European Union and USA there is someone whose responsibility would be to protect privacy.

• Whatever several experts believe the appropriate role for national governments to be in ensuring privacy in an electronic environment, some "private regulation" is already occurring on the Internet by the computer engines, who write code and decide computer standards. In fact experts suggested that when encryption software becomes ubiquitous it will push Internet commerce because it allows for potentially anonymous transactions, which will solve privacy issues by default.

• It was pointed out that a group of high-tech companies in co-operation with standardisation organisations should agree on a web-based standard that would allow companies and consumers to interact with data collectors and inform them of what information they would be comfortable having disclosed to other parties.

**Options for action on surveillance and privacy**

The policy options for consideration by the committee on Civil Liberties and Internal Affairs of the European Parliament which emerged from the survey are:

• Authorities in the EU and Member States should:

  engage in a dialogue involving the private sector and individual users of networks in order to learn about their needs for implementing the privacy guidelines in the global network;

  undertake an examination of private sector technical initiatives;

  encourage the development of applications within global networks, of technological solutions that implement the privacy principles and uphold the right of users, businesses and consumers for protection of their privacy in the electronic environment.

• Drafting methods for enforcing codes of conduct and privacy statements ranging from standardisation, labelling and certification in the global environment through third-party audit to formal enforcement by a regulatory body.

• Definitions of the transactions which must remain anonymous, and technical capabilities for providing anonymity need to be specified.

• Enforcement for the adoption of adequate standards (cryptography and key encryption) from all E.U. member states. Multilateral agreements with other countries could then be negotiated.

• Drafting of common guidelines of credit information use (in each member state of the E.U. different restriction policies exist). It must be clear how those restrictions could apply to a globally operating credit reference agency.

• Drafting of common specifications for cryptography systems and government access key recovery systems, which must be compatible with large scale, economical, secure cryptographic systems.

• Enforcement for the adoption of special authorisation schemes for Information Society Services and supervision of their activities by National Authorisation Bodies.
• Drafting of a common responsibilities framework for on-line service providers, who transmit and store third party information. This could be drafted and supervised by National PTTs.

• The European Parliament should examine critically proposals from the US for the elimination of cryptography and the adoption of encryption controls supervised by US Agencies.

• Annual statistics and reporting on abuse of economic information by any means must be reported to the Parliament of each member state of the E.U.

• Measures for encouraging the formal education systems of each member state of the E.U. or the appropriate European Training Institute/Organisation to take up the general task of educating users in the technology and their rights.

PART B: ARGUMENTS AND EVIDENCE

General

Nowadays almost all economic information is exchanged through electronic means (telephone, fax, e-mail). In addition, all digital telecommunication devices and switches have enhanced wiretapping capabilities. As a conclusion we have to consider privacy protection in a global international networked society. And when we speak about electronic protection and privacy in the exchange of economic information, we actually speak for electronic commerce over the Internet.

The information society promises economic and social benefits for all: citizens, companies and governments. Advances in information and communication technologies have fostered the proliferation of private, professional, industrial and commercial transborder electronic exchanges on a global scale which are bound to intensify among businesses and between businesses and consumers as electronic commerce develops. New methods for processing the vast accumulation of data -such as data mining techniques- make it possible, on the basis of demographic data, credit information, details of on-line transactions etc, to identify new kinds of purchasing patterns or unusual relationships.

Indeed, compliance with rules governing the protection of privacy and personal data is crucial to establishing confidence in electronic transactions, and particularly in Europe, which has traditionally been heavily regulated in this area. The development of the global information society makes the convergence of government policies, the transparency of rules and regulations and their effective implementation on economic and social life. In particular, in the context of electronic commerce, the development of on-line commercial activities hinges to a large extent, not only on the faith consumers have in business in terms of guaranteed product delivery or security payment systems, but also on the confidence that users and consumers will have in the ways that businesses handle their personal data.

To operate with confidence on the global networks, most consumers need assurance that their on-line activities and electronic transactions will not be collected or used without their knowledge or made available to parties other than their initial correspondents. Neither linked to other data about them in order to compile behavioural profiles without their consent.

The importance of information and communication systems for society and the global economy is intensifying with the increasing value and quantity of data that is transmitted and stored on those systems. At the same time those systems and data are also increasingly vulnerable to a variety of threats such as
unauthorised access and use, misappropriation, alteration and destruction. Proliferation of computers, increased computing power, interconnectivity, decentralisation, growth of networks and the number of users, as well as the convergence of information and communication technologies, while enhancing the utility of these systems, also increase system invulnerability.

**Cryptography** is an important component of secure information and communication systems and a variety of application have been developed that incorporate cryptographic methods to provide data security.

Although there are legitimate governmental, commercial and individual needs and uses for cryptography, it may also be used by individuals or entities for illegal activities, which can affect public safety, national security, the enforcement of laws, business interests, consumers interests or privacy. Governments together with industry and the general public, are challenged to develop balanced policies to address these issues.

Cryptography uses an algorithm to transform data in order to render it unintelligible to anyone who does not possess certain secret information (the cryptographic "key"), necessary for decryption of the data. Within the new concept of cryptography, rather than sharing one secret key, the new design uses two mathematically related keys for each communication party: a "public key" that is disclosed to the public and a corresponding "private key", that is kept secret. A message that is encrypted with a public key can only be decrypted by the corresponding private key.

An important application for public key cryptography is "digital signature", which can be used to verify the integrity of data or the authenticity of the sender of data. In this case, the private key is used to "sign" a message, while the corresponding public key is used to verify a "signed" message.

Public key cryptography plays an important role in developing information infrastructure. Much of the interest in information and communication networks and technologies centres on their potential to accommodate electronic commerce; however open networks such as the Internet present significant challenges for making enforceable electronic contracts and secure payments.

Since Electronic Commerce on one hand is one of the key strategies of the European Union and the privacy protection on the other hand, one of its main principles, E.U. in 1998 released three "key" working documents:


Increasing the number of people with authorised access to the critical infrastructure and to business data, will increase the likelihood of attack, whether through technical means, by exploitation of mistakes or through corruption. Further "key-recovery" requirements to the extent that they made encryption can have the effect of discouraging or delaying the deployment of cryptography in increasingly vulnerable computing and communication networks.

As the Internet and other communications systems reach further into everyday lives, national security, law enforcement and individual privacy have become perilously intertwined. Governments want to restrict the free flow of information; software producers are seeking ways to ensure consumers are not bugged from the
very moment of purchase. The US is behind a world-wide effort to limit individual privacy and enhance the capability of its intelligence services to eavesdrop on personal conversations. The campaign has had two legal strategies: the first made it mandatory for all digital telephone switches, cellular and satellite phones and all developing communication technologies to build in surveillance capabilities; the second sought to limit the dissemination of software that contains encryption, a technique which allows people to scramble their communications and files to prevent others from reading them. The first effort to heighten surveillance opportunities was to force telecommunications companies to use equipment designed to include enhanced wiretapping capabilities. The end goal was to ensure that the US and its allied intelligence services could easily eavesdrop on telephone networks anywhere in the world. In the late 1980s, in a programme known internally as 'Operation Root Canal', US law enforcement officials demanded that telephone companies alter their equipment to facilitate the interception of messages. The companies refused but, after several years of lobbying, Congress enacted the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (CALEA) in 1994.

CALEA requires that terrestrial carriers, cellular phone services and other entities ensure that all their 'equipment, facilities or services' are capable of expeditiously... enabling the government...to intercept... all wire and oral communications carried by the carrier...concurrently with their transmission.' Communications must be interceptable in such a form that they could be transmitted to a remote government facility.

Manufacturers must work with industry and law enforcement officials to ensure that their equipment meets federal standards. A court can fine a company US$10,000 per day for each product that does not comply.

The passage of CALEA has been controversial but its provisions have yet to be enforced due to FBI efforts to include even more rigorous regulations under the law. These include the requirement that cellular phones allow for location-tracking on demand and that telephone companies provide capacity for up to 50,000 simultaneous wiretaps.

While the FBI lobbied Congress and pressured US companies into accepting a tougher CALEA, it also leaned on US allies to adopt it as an international standard. In 1991, the FBI held a series of secret meetings with EU member states to persuade them to incorporate CALEA into European law. The plan, according to an EU report, was to 'call for the Western World (EU, US and allies) to agree to norms and procedures and then sell their products to Third World countries. Even if they do not agree to interception orders, they will find their telecommunications monitored by the UK-USA signals intelligence network the minute they use the equipment.' The FBI's efforts resulted in an EU Council of Ministers resolution that was quietly adopted in January 1995, but not publicly released until 20 months later. The resolution's text is almost word for word identical to the FBI's demands at home. The US government is now pressuring the International Telecommunications Union (ITU) to adopt the standards globally.

The second part of the strategy was to ensure that intelligence and police agencies could understand every communication they intercepted. They attempted to impede the development of cryptography and other security measures, fearing that these technologies would reduce their ability to monitor the emissions of foreign governments and to investigate crime.

These latter efforts have not been successful. A survey by the Global Internet Liberty Campaign (GILC) found that most countries have either rejected domestic controls or not addressed the issue at all. The GILC found that 'many countries, large and small, industrialised and developing, seem to be ambivalent about the need to control encryption technologies'.

The FBI and the National Security Agency (NSA) have instigated efforts to restrict the availability of encryption world-wide. In the early 1970s, the NSA's pretext was that encryption technology was 'born classified' and, therefore, its dissemination fell into the same category as the diffusion of A-bomb materials.
The debate went underground until 1993 when the US launched the Clipper Chip, an encryption device designed for inclusion in consumer products. The Clipper Chip offered the required privacy, but the government would retain a 'pass-key' - anything encrypted with the chip could be read by government agencies.

Behind the scenes, law enforcement and intelligence agencies were pushing hard for a ban on other forms of encryption. In a February 1993 document, obtained by the Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC), they recommended 'Technical solutions, such as they are, will only work if they are incorporated into all encryption products'.

To ensure that this occurs, legislation mandating the use of government-approved encryption products, or adherence to government encryption criteria, is required.' The Clipper Chip was widely criticised by industry, public interest groups, scientific societies and the public and, though it was officially adopted, only a few were ever sold or used.

From 1994 onwards, Washington began to woo private companies to develop an encryption system that would provide access to keys by government agencies. Under the proposals - variously known as 'key escrow', 'key recovery' or 'trusted third parties' - the keys would be held by a corporation, not a government agency, and would be designed by the private sector, not the NSA. The systems, however, still entailed the assumption of guaranteed access to the intelligence community and so proved as controversial as the Clipper Chip. The government used export incentives to encourage companies to adopt key escrow products: they could export stronger encryption, but only if they ensured that intelligence agencies had access to the keys.

Under US law, computer software and hardware cannot be exported if it contains encryption that the NSA cannot break. The regulations stymie the availability of encryption in the USA because companies are reluctant to develop two separate product lines -- one, with strong encryption, for domestic use and another, with weak encryption, for the international market. Several cases are pending in the US courts on the constitutionality of export controls; a federal court recently ruled that they violate free speech rights under the First Amendment.

(...) The NSA is one of the shadowiest of the US intelligence agencies. Until a few years ago, it existence was a secret and its charter and any mention of its duties are still classified. However, it does have a Web site (www.nsa.gov:8080) in which it describes itself as being responsible for the signals intelligence and communications security activities of the US government. One of its bases, Menwith Hill, was to become the biggest spy station in the world. Its ears -- known as radomes -- are capable of listening in to vast chunks of the communications spectrum throughout Europe and the old Soviet Union.

In its first decade the base sucked data from cables and microwave links running through a nearby Post Office tower, but the communications revolutions of the Seventies and Eighties gave the base a capability that even its architects could scarcely have been able to imagine. With the creation of Intelsat and digital telecommunications, Menwith and other stations developed the capability to eavesdrop on an extensive scale on fax, telex and voice messages. Then, with the development of the Internet, electronic mail and electronic commerce, the listening posts were able to increase their monitoring capability to eavesdrop on an unprecedented spectrum of personal and business communications.

This activity has been all but ignored by the UK Parliament. When Labour MPs raised questions about the activities of the NSA, the Government invoked secrecy rules. It has been the same for 40 years....)
Development of Surveillance Technology and Risk of Abuse of Economic Information

The FBI has not let up on efforts to ban products on which it cannot eavesdrop. In mid-1997, it introduced legislation to mandate that key-recovery systems be built into all computer systems. The amendment was adopted by several congressional Committees but the Senate preferred a weaker variant. A concerted campaign by computer, telephone and privacy groups finally stopped the proposal; it now appears that no legislation will be enacted in the current Congress.

While the key escrow approach was being pushed in the USA, Washington had approached foreign organisations and states. The linchpin for the campaign was David Aaron, US ambassador to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), who visited dozens of countries in what one analyst derided as a programme of 'laundering failed US policy through international bodies to give it greater acceptance'.

Led by Germany and the Scandinavians, the EU has been generally distrustful of key escrow technology. In October 1997, the European Commission released a report which advised: 'Restricting the use of encryption could well prevent law-abiding companies and citizens from protecting themselves against criminal attacks. It would not, however, totally prevent criminals from using these technologies.' The report noted that 'privacy considerations suggest limit the use of cryptography as a means to ensure data security and confidentiality'.

Some European countries have or are contemplating independent restrictions. France had a longstanding ban on the use of any cryptography to which the government does not have access. However, a 1996 law, modified the existing system, allowing a system of "tiers du confidence", although it has not been implemented, because of EU opposition. In 1997, the Conservative government in the UK introduced a proposal creating a system of trusted third parties.

It was severely criticised at the time and by the new Labour government, which has not yet acted upon its predecessor's recommendations. The debate over encryption and the conflicting demands of security and privacy are bound to continue. The commercial future of the Internet depends on a universally-accepted and foolproof method of on-line identification; as of now, the only means of providing it is through strong encryption. That put the US government and some of the world's largest corporations, notably Microsoft, on a collision course. (Report of David Banisar, Deputy director of Privacy International and Simon Davies, Director General of Privacy International).

The issue of encryption divides the member states of the European Union. Last October the European Commission published a report entitled: "Ensuring security and Trust in Electronic Commerce", which argued that the advantages of allowing law enforcement agencies access to encrypted messages are not clear and could cause considerable damage to the emerging electronic industry. It says that if citizens and companies "fear that their communications and transactions are being monitored with the help of key access or similar schemes unduly enlarging the general surveillance possibility of government agencies, they may prefer to remaining in the anonymous off-line world and electronic commerce will just not happen".

However, Mr Straw said in Birmingham (JHA Informal JHA Ministers) that: "It would not be in the public interest to allow the improper use of encryption by criminals to be totally immune from the attention of law enforcement agencies". The UK, along with France (which already has a law obliging individuals to use "crackable" software) and the USA, is out on a limb in the EU. "The UK presidency has a particular view and they are one of the access hard-liners. They want access: "them and the French", commented an encryption expert. They are particularly about "confidential services" which ensure that a message can only
be read by the person for whom it is intended who has a "key" to access it. The Commission's report proposes "monitoring" Member States laws' on "confidential services" to ensure they do not contravene the rules of the single market.

**Examples of Abuse of Economic Information**

In the course of collecting the data for and preparing this Interim Study various examples were cited of abuse of privacy via global surveillance telecommunication systems. A number of them is given in [54]. For the final version of the study, we shall see whether the experts have further comments to make on these examples, or whether they have new examples to suggest.

The consultation of experts in our survey so far yielded the following comments:

- **Since Internet has come to play a significant role in global commerce, then (as in Examples 1, 2, 3 and 4 cited below) Internet also became a tool of misleading information and a platform for deceitful advertisement.**

- **On the positive side, Internet is a "golden highway" for those interested in the process of information.**

- **However, apart from global surveillance technology systems, additional tools have been developed for surveillance. The additional tool used for information transferred via Internet or via Digital Global telecommunication systems is the capture of data with Taiga software. Taiga software has the possibility to capture, process and analyse multilingual information in a very short period of time (1 billion characters per second), using key-words.**

The examples given below are taken from the sources named:

**Example 1**

On January 15, 1990, the telephone network of AT&T company, in all the North-east part of USA faced serious difficulties. The network NuPrometheus had illegally owned and distributed the key-code of the operational system of AT&T Macintosh computer (Apple company).

*J.P. Barlow: "A not terribly brief history of the Electronic Frontier Foundation," 8 November 1990*

**Example 2**

On January 24, 1990, the Electronic Frontier Foundation (EFF) in USA, accused a huge police operation under the encoded name "Sun Devil", in which 40 computers and 23,000 diskettes were seized from teenagers, in 15 towns within USA. Teenager Craig Neidorf supported by EFF, not to be punished in 60 years prison and 120,000 USD penalty. Craig Neidorf had published in Phrack (a hackers magazine) part of the internal files of a telephone company.

*M. Godwin: "The EFF and virtual communities," 1991*

**Example 3**
On June 25, 1998, in Absheim, an aircraft A-320 of the European Company "Airbus Industries" crashed during a demonstration flight. The accident was reportedly caused by dangerous manoeuvres. One person died and 20 were injured.

Very soon afterwards, and before the announcement of the official report, in the aerospace and transport Internet newsgroups there appeared many hostile messages against the Airbus undertaking and against the French company Aerospatiale as well, with which Airbus had close cooperation. Messages declared that the accident was to be expected because European engineers are not so highly qualified as American engineers. It was also clearly stated, that in the future similar accidents were to be expected.

Aerospatiale's representatives took these hostile messages very seriously. They tried to discover the sources of messages and they finally realised that senders' identification data, addresses and nodes were false. The source messages came from USA, from computers with misleading identification data and transferred from anonymous servers in Finland.


Example 4

In October 31, 1994, in USA, an accident occurred to an ATR aircraft (of the European Consortium Aeritalia and Aerospatiale). Owing to this accident, a ban on ATR flights for two months was imposed. This decision became catastrophic on a commercial level for the company, because ATR was obliged to carry out test flights in fog conditions.

During this period, in Internet newsgroups (and especially in the AVSIG forum, supported by Compuserve), the exchange of messages was of vital significance. The messages supporting the European company were few, while the messages against ATR were many.

At the beginning of January 1995, there appeared a message from a journalist in this forum asking the following: "I have heard that ATR flights will begin soon. Can anybody confirm this information?" The answer came very soon. Three days after, unexpectedly, permission to continue ATR flights was given. The company learned this, as soon as the permission announced. But if they had actively participated in the newsgroups, they would have gained some days to inform their offices and their clients.

"Des langages pour analyser la poussiere d' info", Liberation, 9 June 1995

Example 5

The government of Brasil in 1994, announced its intention to assign an international contract (Amazonios). This procurement was of great interest since the total amount available for the contract was 1,4 billion USD. From Europe, the French companies Thomson and Alcatel expressed their interest and from USA, the huge weapon industry Raytheon. Although the offer of the French companies was technically excellent and allegedly better documented, the contract was eventually assigned to the USA company. It was reported in the press that this was achieved with a new offensive strategy used by USA. When the government of Brazil was about to assign the contract to the French companies, American Officials (allegedly with the personal involvement of President Bill Clinton) readjusted their offer, according to the offer of the European companies, and asserted that French companies influenced the committee, an accusation which was never proved. On the other hand, the European companies were reported to have indications that the
intention of the government of Brazil to assign the contract to the European companies became known to Americans with the use of FBI's surveillance technologies.

"La nouvelle machine de guerre americaine", LeMonde du reseignement no 158, 16 February 1995

Example 6

In January 1994 Edouard Balladur, French Prime Minister, went to Ryadh (Saudi Arabia), feeling certain to bring back a historic contract for more than 30 million francs in sale of weapons and, especially, Airbus. He returned disappointed. The contract went to the McDonnell-Douglas American company, rival of Airbus. The French were report to believe that this was at least in part due to electronic surveillance by the ECHELON system, which had given to the Americans the financial conditions and incentives authorised by Airbus.

French press reports said the National Security Agency is the most secret and most significant of the thirteen secret agencies of the United States. It receives about a third of the appropriations allocated with clandestine intelligence: 8 of the 26,6 billion dollars (160 18 billion francs) registered appropriations in the 1997 budget. With its 20.000 employees in United States and some thousands of agents throughout the world, the NSA (which forms part of ministry for Defence since its creation in 1956) is more important than the CIA, even if the latter is better known to the public. Its site at Fort Meade contains, according to sources familiar with the place, the greatest concentration of data processing power and mathematicians in the world. They are employed to sort and analyse the flood of data acquired by ECHELON on the networks of international telecommunications.

"Echelon est au service des interets americains", Liberation, 21 April 1998

PART C: TECHNICAL FILE

1. INTRODUCTION

Surveillance and Privacy

Surveillance is the systematic investigation or monitoring of the actions or communications of one or more persons. It has traditionally been undertaken by physical means (e.g. prison guards on towers). In recent decades it has been enhanced through image amplification devices such as binoculars and high-resolution satellite cameras.

The basic born [sic] physical surveillance comprises watching (visual surveillance) and listening (aural surveillance). Monitoring may be undertaken remotely in space, with the aid of image amplification devices like field glasses, infrared binoculars, light amplifiers and satellite cameras and sound amplification devices like directional microphones; and remotely in time with the aid of image and sound recording devices.

Electronic devices have been developed to augment physical surveillance and offer new possibilities such as closed-circuit TV (CCTV), VCR, telephone bugging, Proximity cards, Electronic Database, etc.

In addition to physical surveillance, several kinds of communications surveillance are practiced, including mail covers and telephone interception.
The popular term **electronic surveillance** refers to both augmentations to physical surveillance (such as directional microphones and audio bugs) and to communication surveillance, particularly telephone taps.

The recent years have seen the emergence and refinement of a new form of surveillance no longer of the real person, but of the person's data shadow or digital persona. **Data surveillance** or **Dataveillance** is the systematic use of personal data systems in the investigation or monitoring of the actions or communications of one or more persons. Dataveillance is significantly less expensive than physical and electronic surveillance, because it can be automated. As a result, the economic constraints on surveillance are diminished and more individuals and larger populations are capable of being monitored. Like surveillance, more generally, Dataveillance is of two kinds: "personal Dataveillance", where a particular person has been previously identified as being of interest, "mass Dataveillance", where a group or large population is monitored, in order to detect individuals of interest, and / or to deter people from stepping out of line.

**Surveillance technology** systems are mechanisms, which can identify, monitor and track movements and data. During the last few decades since information technology has become immensely sophisticated real benefits have been achieved in the development of surveillance technology systems.

On the other hand, negative impacts have been considerable:

The application of IT to the surveillance of people through their data.

IT technology may have substantial implications in privacy.

People often think of privacy as some kind of right. Unfortunately, the concept of a "right" is a problematic way to start, became a right seems to be some kind of absolute standard. What's worse, is very easy to get confused between legal rights on one hand and natural or moral rights on the other. It turns out to be much more useful to think about privacy as one kind of thing (among many kinds of things) that people like to have lots of.

**Privacy** the interest that individuals have in sustaining a "personal space" free from interference by other people and organizations.

To a deeper level privacy turns out not to be a single interest but rather has several dimensions:

- privacy of the person
- privacy of personal behavior
- privacy of personal communications
- privacy of personal data

With the close coupling that has occurred between computing and communications, particularly since the 1980's the last two aspects have become closely linked, and are commonly referred as **information privacy**.

Information privacy is the interest an individual has in controlling, or at least significantly influencing the handling of data about themselves.

The term 'data privacy' is sometimes used in the same way. 'Data' refers to inert numbers, where information implies the use of data by humans to extract meaning; hence 'information privacy' is arguably
the more descriptive way of the two alternatives.

'Confidentiality' is an incidental and wholly inadequate substitute for proper information privacy, protection, where:

'Confidentiality is the legal duty of individuals who come into the procession of information about others, especially in the course of particular kinds of relationships with them'.

**Dataveillance Techniques**

A variety of Dataveillance techniques exists. Front-end verification (FEV), for example, comprises the checking of data supplied by an applicant (e.g. for a loan or government benefit) against data from a variety of additional sources, in order to identify discrepancies.

FEV may be applied as a person dataveillance tool where responsible grounds exist for suspecting that the information the person has provided may be unreliable; where, on the other hand, it is applied to every applicant, mass dataveillance is being undertaken. Data matching is a facilitative mechanism of particular value in mass dataveillance. It involves trawling through large volumes of data collected for different purposes, searching for discrepancies and drawing influences from them.

**Personal dataveillance** of previously identified individuals

- integration of data hitherto stored in various locations within a single organization
- screening or authentication of transactions against internal norms
- front-end verification of transactions that appear to be exceptional, against data relevant to the matter at hand, and sought from other databases or from third parties.
- front-end audit of individuals who appear to be exceptional against data related to other databases or from third parties.
- cross-system enforcement against individuals, where a third party reports that the individual has committed a transgression in his or her relationship with the third party.

**Mass dataveillance** of groups of people.

- screening or authentication of all transactions, where or not they appear to be exceptional, against internal norms
- front-end verification of all transactions, whether or not they appear to be exceptional against data relevant to the matter at hand, as sought from other internal databases or from third parties.
- front-end audit of individuals, whether or not they appear to be exceptional against data relevant to the matter at hand, as sought from other internal databases or from third parties.
- single-factor file analysis of all data held or able to be acquired, whether or not they appear to be exceptional, variously involving transaction data compared against a norm, permanent data or other transaction data.
profiling or multi-factor file analysis of all data held or able to acquire, whether or not they appear to be exceptional, variously involving singular profiling of data held at a point in time, or aggregative profiling of transaction trails over time.

**Facilitative mechanisms** could be:

- computer data matching, in which personal data records relating to many people are compared in order to identify cases of interest
- data concentration, homely the combination of personal data interchange networks and hub systems.

**Risks inherent in Data Surveillance**

Data surveillance's broader social impacts can be grouped as follows:

**In personal dataveillance**

- low data quickly decisions  
- lack of subject knowledge of, and consent to, data flows
- blacklisting
- denial of redemption  

**In mass surveillance**

a. **Risks to the individuals:**

- arbitrariness
- a contextual data merger
- complexity and incomprehensibility of data
- witch hunts
- ex-ante discrimination and guilt prediction
- selective advertising
- inversion of the onus of proof
- covert operations
- unknown accusations and accusers
- denial of due process

b. **Risks to society:**
• prevailing climate of suspicion
• adversarial relationships
• focus of law enforcement on easily detectable and provable offences
• inequitable application of the law
• decreased respect for the law and low enforcers
• reduction in the meaningfulness of individual actions
• reduction in self-reliance and self-determination
• stultification of originality
• increased tendency to opt out of the official level of society
• weakening of society's moral fibre and cohesion
• destabilization of the strategic balance of
• power repressive potential for the totalitarian government.

By way of example, individuals can suffer as a result of misunderstandings about the meaning of data on the file, or because the file contains erroneous data, which the individual does not understand and against which he / she has little or not chance of arguing without the help of a specialized lawyer.

Such seemingly small, but potentially very frustrating and infuriating personal problems can escalate into widespread distrust by people of government agencies and the legal system as a whole.

Of course, many of the risks referred are diffuse. On the other hand, there is a critical economic difference between conventional forms of surveillance and Dataveillance.

**Physical surveillance** is expensive because it requires the application of considerable resources. Although (with few exceptions), this expense has been sufficient to restrict the use of surveillance. Admittedly the selection criteria used by the surveillance agencies have not always accorded with what the citizenry might have preferred, but at least its extent was limited. The effect was that in most countries the abuses affected particular individuals who had attracted the attention of the state, but were not so pervasive that artistic and potential freedoms were widely constrained.

**Dataveillance** changes all that. Dataveillance is relatively very cheap and getting cheaper all the time, thanks to progress in information technology. The economic limitations are overcome and the digital persona can be monitored with thoroughness and frequency and surveillance extended to whole populations. Nowadays, a number of particular populations have attracted the bulk of the attention, because the state already processed substantial data - holdings about them. There are social welfare recipients and employers of the state. Now that techniques have been refined, they are being pressed into more general usage, in the private as well in the public sector.

**Controls**
If dataveillance is burgeoning, controls are needed to ensure that its use is not excessive or unfair. There is a variety of natural or intrinsic controls, such as self-restraint and morality. Unfortunately morality has been shown many times to be an entirely inadequate influence over people's behaviour. There is also the economic constraint, whereby work that isn't worth doing tends not to get done, because people perceive better things to do with the same scarce resources. Regrettably this too is largely ineffective. Cost/benefit analysis of dataveillance measures is seldom performed, and when it has been the quality has generally been appalling. This reflects the dominance of political over economic considerations -- both politicians and public servants want action to be seen to be being taken, and are less concerned about its effectiveness than its visibility.

If intrinsic controls are inadequate, extrinsic measures are vital. For example, the codes of ethics of professional bodies and industry associations could be of assistance. Regrettably, these are generally years behind the problems, and largely statements of aspiration rather than operational guidelines and actionable statements of what is and is not acceptable behaviour. Over twenty years after the information privacy movement gathered steam, there are few and very limited laws which make dataveillance activities illegal, or which enable regulatory agencies or the public to sue transgressing organisations. A (limited) statute exists at national level, but none at all at the level of State Governments. In any case, statutory regimes are often weak due to the power of data-using lobbies, the lack of organisation of the public, and the lack of comprehension and interest by politicians. The public has demonstrated itself as being unable to focus on complex issues; public apathy is only overcome when a proposal is presented simply and starkly, such as 'the State is proposing to issue you with a plastic card. You will need to produce it whenever anyone asks you to demonstrate that you have Permission to breathe'.

There is a tendency for dataveillance tools to be developed in advanced nations, which have democratic traditions and processes (however imperfect). There is a further tendency for the technology to be exported to less developed countries.

Many of these have less well-developed democratic traditions, more authoritarian and even repressive regimes. The control mechanisms in advanced western democracies are inadequate to cope with sophisticated dataveillance technologies; in third world countries there is very little chance indeed of new extrinsic controls being established to ensure balance in their application. It appears that some third-world countries may be being used as test-beds for new dataveillance technologies.

---

2. SURVEILLANCE: TOOLS AND TECHNIQUES - Current technologies

Surveillance is using some of the most advanced and sophisticated technology to keep track of individuals; where they go, what they do and even what they say.

Visual and audio surveillance are almost everywhere, and, modern electronic technology gives the possibility of keeping track of individual's moments without cameras or microphones, just with surveillance of their data (Dataveillance)

1. Visual Surveillance

Closed-circuit TV (CCTV) is the most common electronic visual surveillance technique.

Recording can be in two modes: real-time or time-lapse. Real-time is regular TV (at 30 frames (second) showing full motion). Time-lapse selects only a few frames per time period, perhaps one or two per second,
to record. The advantage of time-lapse is that it allows one tape to record for a much longer time than real
time recording

Video electronics can be very sophisticated indeed and the recent trend is digital video. This allows using
the QUAD recording system, a method of compressing four separate camera images into a single frame, so
that the guard could see all four views on the monitor screen and record them on a VCR (Video Cassette
Recorder) at the same time. These systems allow detailed surveillance and plant monitoring, so that
responsibles can observe everything happening within the facility.

In the previous years may be, only the entrance (or specific spaces) would be under video surveillance.
Now it is possible to have surveillance everywhere. Using hard disks instead of videotape allows keeping a
record of several month's worth of time-lapse video.

Cameras also are much more sophisticated today than years ago. New circuits allow the camera to ignore
bright, light-emitting objects within their fields of view. Miniaturization allows easier concealment,
intra-red cameras allow surveillance in darkness. Video surveillance is portable as well. The old days of
concealing a camcorder in a briefcase or duffel bag have given way to subminiature cameras concealed in
neckties and other items. Decoy items (items containing the surveillance equipment) include baseball caps,
belt buckles, briefcases, eyeglasses and wristwatches.

CCTV is very quickly becoming an internal part of crime control policy, social control theory and
Community consciousness. It is promoted by police and politicians as primary solution for urban
dysfunction.

They are now used in many areas, including roads, trains, railway platforms, car parks, loading docks,
shopping centers, individual retail stores, banks, automatic teller machines, petrol stations, lifts, lobby
areas, cash handling and storage areas and employee recreation rooms.

Within the aims of the contract, this study looks at its usage in five main industrial contexts: retail stores,
financial services, manufacturing, warehousing and distribution, larger office buildings and leisure and
entertainment complexes.

Video surveillance is used in these industries for several reasons:

- to minimize the risk of theft, especially in the retail industry for purposes of deterring and detecting
crime
- protect premises from threats to property such as sabotage, arson and vandalism
- to monitor individual employee work performance
- to improve customer service by observing peak periods and planning the allocation of staff throughout
the day
- to assist in staff training
- to enhance health and safety standards
- to ensure that employees comply with legal obligations
- to protect employers from liability claims
• to monitor production processes.

Most surveillance systems are being installed to prevent theft, either by outsiders or employees, but, video surveillance systems often are used for a range of purposes beyond what was originally intended. Surveillance systems which are initially installed for the purpose of protecting property against an external security threat can be used for other purposes, such as to monitor employees' productivity and work behavior.

The routine use of video surveillance has the potential to undermine employees' sense of privacy and dignity in the workplace. Surveillance is associated with increased levels of stress, undermining morale and creating distrust and suspicion between employees and management. While it may be an effective instrument to protect an employer from external security threats, it is not appropriate as a means of monitoring individual employee performance.

Covert surveillance with a smaller number of hidden cameras may in fact be a much popular and at the same time cheaper option than a general security system.

Some of the justifications offered for covert video surveillance are:

• employers have a right to protect their business interests
• covert surveillance affect fewer employees than overt surveillance and is much cheaper
• if employees are unaware of surveillance, there is less risk of individual disputation
• covert surveillance is often the most effective means of detecting unlawful activity.

2. Audio Surveillance

Audio surveillance is no longer merely an arcane art practiced by spies and private detectives. Today, it's common place and spreading. Tape recorders are a fact of life, and they're often used to document a transaction. Trying to telephone some companies and some government agencies there is a recording sign says: "This transaction is being recorded to help us assure ...".

In some companies the real purpose of tape recording conversation is to check how may the handle an hour, and to have evidence in case the customer says something that can used against him.

In prisons, officials often use electronic equipment to record all telephone conversations. Some of these are between lawyer and client, but all they go onto tape. It depends on the ethics of the guards whether they listen or not.

They are "high tech voice recorders" that put every conversation on a CD disk. A model made for correctional use is the "Laser voice", using optional disk voice recording.

"Tube mike" is an electric device for "bugging" a room, motor vehicle, or other premises. It is a plastic tube passed through a small hole in a wall to conduct sound from the room to a small microphone at the other end.

This could be characterized as "non-access surveillance".
"Tube microphones" come in all sizes. Some are relatively large plastic tubes (about 1/2" in diameter), but for tight spaces or maximum concealment there are "needle microphones" pressed against a wall to hear sounds in the next room.

If there is access to a room, a bug could be planted almost anywhere, even in the subject's clothing. "Radio mikes" transmit whatever they pick up to a nearby receiver eliminating the need for tell-tale wires. Their only drawback, if they're totally self-contained, is battery life. Other models fit into wall plugs, and take their power from the house current.

One type of portable radio mike is the size and shape of a credit card, with a range of several hundred feet and a 30-hour battery life. Placed into the beast pocket of the subjects jacket, it permits monitoring a conversation held outdoors. The value of this is that many people think its possible to overhear a conversation held on the street or in a park, and that walking will defeat any prospect of a bug planted nearby.

In the open market there are several models of "gimmicked telephones" that use in the built in microphone to pick up any conversation in the room even when the telephone is not in use.

All the types of audio surveillance with miscellaneous bugging devices described before, are used today mainly in police and internal security agencies (such as FBI, NSA etc) or in companies security departments.

Telephone tapping still exists, but with today's Electronic Switching System (ESS) its no longer necessary to go out and physically tap a person's telephone line.

3. Phone Tapping and Encryption

Whenever a telephone line is tapped the privacy of the persons at both ends of the line is invaded and all conversations between them upon any subject and although proper, confidential and privileged ma be overheard.

The phone tapping normally used for surveillance of communications to combat "serious crime" and to protect "national security".

On the other hand often companies keep records of phone numbers calls and the duration of such calls. In some companies these records are used to gauge job performance, while in others it simply allows employees to review calls and reimburse the employer for calls of a purely personal nature.

4. Voice and Word Pattern Recognition

Since it is no possible for an Agency or organization to employ a staff large enough to listen to all telephone conversations, read all faxes, etc, word recognition has to be computerized.

In this case a central computer could monitor all (or a group) of telephone conversations and recognize those in which the agency had an interest by using voice patterns and key words.

A wide variety of techniques are used to perform speech recognition. Typically speech recognition starts with the digital sampling of speech. The next stage is acoustic signal processing. Most techniques include spectral analysis e.g. LPC (Linear Predictive Coding), MFCC (Mel Frequency Cepstral Coefficients) cochlea modeling and many more.
The next stage is recognition of phonemes, groups of phonemes and words. This stage can be achieved by many processes such as DTW (Dynamic Time Warping), HMM (Hidden Markov modeling), expert systems and combination of techniques.

Most systems utilize some knowledge of the language to aid the recognition process. Some systems try to "understand" speech. That is try to convert the words into a representation of what the speaker intended to mean or achieve by what they said.

Voice and pattern recognition used as an advanced tool and a helpful technique (thanks to the IT) for surveillance of communications to combat "serious crime" or to protect "national security"

5. **Proximity Smart Cards**

Originally, electronic cards were substitutes for keys, which were too easy to reproduce. A metal key blank and a file where all that were necessary to duplicate a key, but more sophisticated equipment is necessary to duplicate even the simplest sort of electronic card.

The first type of electronic card used barium ferrite as magnetic dots embedded in the magnetic layer. This was a significant advance over punched cards, that were relatively easy to duplicate.

In the early 1970s, magnetic stripe cards were produced (by IBM), which are still used in credit cards and are somewhat more secure. However, they're still too easy to forge and should pass through a magnetic stripe reader.

In the early 1980s, the advent of Application Specific Integrated Circuit (ASIC) technology, resulted in what quickly become known as "smart card" which could hold a variety of codes and information to make misuse or duplication almost impossible. This was the first "proximity card", which did not require direct contact through a card recorder.

The proximity card is basically a "transponder" an electronic device that replies to a radio signal that "interrogates" it. The extended range model doesn't require even placing it near the card reader, as it transmits to a receiver several feet away.

Use of proximity smart card as Transport card / E-purse

- Transportation companies use the proximity smart cards to replace metro, bus, train tickets and boarding cards, etc.

- The proximity smart card results in considerable time saving by greatly increasing passenger flow without diminishing security

- With the contact part of the card, the proximity smart card is perfectly suited to financial transactions involving small amounts of money: automatic vending cafeterias, local shops, parking fees, cinemas, recreation / amusement parks, cultural and sports centers etc.

Use of proximity smart card as Access control / ID card

- The company Proximity smart card contains data used to identify cardholders, as well as his own different access rights. The contactless part of the card is used to access building and other protected areas.
• The contact portion can be used for network access, such as the Internet. With the electronic purse function it can be used in the company restaurant, at automatic vending machines, just like a traditional multi-service card.

One application, although, extends the proximity card's usefulness by turning it into a tracking device. Proximity readers installed along the walls of a building allow tracking each card within the facility. If somebody is carrying one of these cards within a building so equipped, the central computer can sense exactly where he (she is at all times). There is a record of which area the employee (or visitor) is in, when he leaves, and where else within the building he may go. If the employee goes to the cafeteria, the computer will log when he lefts his work station, how long it took him to get to the cafeteria, which route he took, how long he remained in the cafeteria, when he started back and by which route, and when he arrived back in his work area. Likewise if he went to the bathroom. The computer can record whether he/she went to the men's room or the ladies' room.

Many countries are actively considering adopting national ID cards for the variety of functions. These include the United States, United Kingdom and Canada.

There are ID cards (credit cards) used for digital cash service which is supposed to be "anonymous". But, it appears that the bank and the merchants could find the identity of the users.

The customer is identified to the trader and ultimate to the bank by the previous transactions. Each of these will soon be superseded by further transactions and drop off end of the list.

These can be monitored by the bank and could be used for marketing purposes. This is the audit trail and could be sold to business users for third party marketing.

6. Transmitter Location

When a telephone or mobile phone used, the location of the user could be identified. The science of location radio uses three methods of finding a transmitter. The oldest is triangulation, in which several receiving stations with directional antennas take bearing on a transmission and communicate the bearing to a central plotting room.

Technicians trace each bearing on a map of the area and the intersection of the bearing pinpoints the location of the transmitter.

The second method requires several receives as well, and works by measuring the relative strengths of signals received. A computer analyses the strengths and determines the location of the transmitter.

The third method also requires a computer-controlled chain of receives and measures the minute differences in the time the signal arrives at each receiver.

Formerly classified, these techniques are now available on the civilian market for law enforcement and private security. One application is locating stolen cars by pinpointing radio transmitters installed in the vehicle for this purpose.

Location of cellular phones in another application. Police today are using (in some countries) this application to pinpoint the location of cellphone users. Purportedly, this is to speed emergency response when a citizen calls for help (at home or in the road). Once the equipment is in place, it can, and must, serve other purposes. Criminal investigators will be able to pinpoint a specific cellphone each time the caller uses it, this will help an investigation into a stolen cellphone, or help locate wanted persons unwise
enough to use cellphone or mobile phone.

Another device, sold only to police, is the "cellphone ESN Reader", which reads the numbers of the targeted cellphone. This detects and records the cellular phone number, called number and ESN of the target phone of a ranges of up to two miles.

Theoretically, the technology can locate every cellphone and every mobile phone in the country every time someone makes a call on it (for cellphones) or just open it (for mobile phones).

7. E-mail at workplace

Personal messages the employee sent over his company's e-mail are not private. They are not, and court decisions have held that they're not.

It is a safe assumption that companies will keep an increasingly watchful eye on their internal email, and scrutinize what employees are saying to each other. It is easy to see that some companies may find that scrutinising staff e-mail can have more than one advantage for a company management. Originally instigated to avoid liability, reading employee's e-mail can also serve to alert management of dishonesty, disloyalty or even matters like union activity.

8. Electronic Databases

The computer age has brought surveillance into a new era in which information about almost anybody is available to almost anybody.

Databases from Human Identification

There are a lot of government databases containing information about almost every resident in United States and in many European Countries as well.

A variety of person identification techniques are available, which can assist in associating data with them. Important examples of these techniques are:

- names (what the person is called by other people)
- codes (what the person is called by the organization)
- knowledge (what the person knows)
- biometrics (what the person is, does, or looks like e.g. appearance, natural physiography, etc.)

Data bases for financial surveillance

Financial records are gathered privately by several giant companies that specialize in this sort of information. These "credit reporting bureaus" purportedly maintain credit records, but in fact keep far more than credit information in their databases.

Other databases for human identification

There exist specialized databases available mainly to private investigators. These call information from telephone directories, city directories, voter registration records and many other public and private records
to provide a profile of the person being investigated.

9. The Internet

The Internet, which began as a Computer communication network between Universities and laboratories decades ago, has turned into a vast public forum accessible to anyone with a computer.

International organizations, Public authorities, Companies, Universities, Research centers and individuals have access and exploit the Internet.

On the other hand Internet became:

- an entertainment tool
- a huge Information source
- an important marketing tool
- a big virtual electronic market with a considerable number of economic transactions every second

IT technology at the same time, restricted the individuals' right to privacy since they could be identified through their ID number or through their records or transactions.

The growing rift between the needs of Internet Commerce and the individual's right to privacy gave rise to the development of new tools.

In January 1999 Intel announced its plans for the development of a microchip containing embedded electronic serial numbers that allow individual computers to be readily identified.

The identities, similar to the unique vehicle identification numbers on cars and trucks would be a caller ID technology for computer.

But critics see it is on an ominous development, ushering in a new period of electronic surveillance. Privacy experts fear the new Intel chip could mean the death of anonymity on the Internet.

But this would appear to really variously endanger privacy on the Internet by creating a permanent ID number for every Intel user on the Net.

3. THE USE OF SURVEILLANCE TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS FOR THE TRANSMISSION AND COLLECTION OF ECONOMIC INFORMATION

As the Internet and other communication systems reach further into the everyday lives, national security, law enforcement and individual privacy have become perilously intertwined. Governments want to restrict the free flow of information and software producers are seeking ways to ensure consumers are not bugged from the moment of purchases.

All developing communication technologies, digital telephone switches cellular and satellite phones HAVE SURVEILLANCE CAPABILITIES. On the other hand the development of software that contains encryption, a telephone which allows people to scramble their communications and files to prevent others
from reading them gourd earth [sic].

3.1 CALEA system

The first effort to heighten surveillance opportunities (made by USA) was to force telecommunication companies to use equipment desired to include enhanced wiretapping capabilities.

In the late 1980s in a program known internally as "Operation Root Canal" US low enforcement officials demanded that telephone companies alter their equipment to facilitate the interception of messages. The companies refused but, after several years of lobbying, Congress enacted the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement ACT (CALEA) in 1994.

CALEA requires that terrestrial cellular phone services and other entities ensure that all their equipment, facilities or services are capable of expeditiously, enabling the government to intercept all wire and oral communications varied by the carrier concurrently with their transmission.

Communications must be interceptable in such a form that they could be transmitted to a remote government facility. Manufactures must work with industry and low enforcement officials to ensure that their equipment meets federal standards.

The passage of CALEA has been controversial, but its provisions have yet to be enforced due to FBI efforts to include even more rigorous regulations under the law. These include: the requirement, the cell phones allow for location - tracking on demand and that telephone companies provide capacity for up to 50,000 simultaneous wiretaps.

CALEA finally has been accepted as an International standard in US. In 1991 the FBI contacted EU member states in order to propose to them do incorporate CALEA into European Law. This plan according to an EU report, was to call for the Western World (EU, US and allies) to agree to norms and procedures and then sell their products to Third World countries. There is a council resolution that was adopted on 17 January 1997 on the lawful interception of communications (961C329/a). The US government is now in negotiations with the International Telecommunications Unit (ITU) to adopt the standards globally.

3.2 ECHELON Connection

The previous STOA Interim Study (PE 166.499) entitled "An Appraisal of technologies of political control" made certain statements concerning the ECHELON global surveillance system. This is reported to be a world-wide surveillance system designed and coordinated by the US NSA (National Security Agency) that intercepts e-mail, fax, telex and international telephone communications carried via satellites and has been operating since the early 1980s - it is part of the post Cold War developments based on the UK-USA agreement signed between the UK, USA, Canada, Australia and New Zealand in 1948.

The five agencies said to be involved are: the US National Security Agency (NSA), the Government Communications Security Bureau (GCSB) in New Zealand, Government Communications Headquarters Signals Directorate (DSD) in Australia. The system was brought to light by the author Nicky Hager in his 1996 book Secret Power: New Zealand's role in the International Spy Network. For this, he interviewed more than 50 people who work or have worked in intelligence who are concerned at the uses of ECHELON. It is said that "The ECHELON system is not designed to eavesdrop on a particular individual's e-mail or fax link. Rather, the system works by indiscriminately intercepting very large quantities of communications and using computers to identify and extract messages from the mass of unwanted ones".

According to Interim Study (PE 166.499) of 1998, there are reported to be three components to ECHELON:
1. The monitoring of Intelsats, international telecommunications satellites used by phone companies in most countries. A key ECHELON station is at Morwenstow in Cornwall monitoring Europe, the Atlantic and the Indian Ocean.

2. ECHELON interception of non-Intelsat regional communication satellites. Key monitoring stations are Menwith Hill in Yorkshire and Bad Aibling in Germany.

3. The final element of the ECHELON system is the surveillance of land-based or under-sea systems, which use cables or microwave tower networks.

At present it is thought ECHELON's effort is primarily directed at the "written form" (e-mails, faxes, and telexes) but new satellite telephones system which take over from old land-based ones will be as vulnerable as the "written word".

Each of the five centres supply to the other four "Dictionaries" of keywords, phrases, people and places to 'stag' and tagged intercept is forwarded straight to the requesting country.

It is the interface of the ECHELON system and its potential development on phone calls combined with the standardisation of "tappable" telecommunications centres and equipment being sponsored by the EU and the USA which presents a truly global threat over which there are no legal or democratic controls.

The earlier study (PE 166.499) identified a number of options for the European Union, centred round the proposition that:

"All surveillance technologies, operations and practices should be subject to procedures to ensure democratic accountability and there should be proper codes of practice to ensure redress if malpractice or abuse takes place. Explicit criteria should be agreed for deciding who should be targeted for surveillance and who should not, how such data is stored, processed and shared. Such criteria and associated codes of practice should be made publicly available."

Other points included:

- All requisite codes of practice should ensure that new surveillance technologies are brought within the appropriate data protection legislation.

- Given that data from most digital monitoring systems can be seamlessly edited, new guidance should be provided on what constitutes admissible evidence. This concern is particularly relevant to automatic identification systems which will need to take cognizance of the provisions of Article 15, of the 1995 European Directive on the Protection of Individuals and Processing of Personal Data.

- Regulations should be developed covering the provision of electronic bugging and tapping devices to private citizens and companies, so that their sale is governed by legal permission rather than self-regulation.

- Use of telephone interception by Member states should be subject to procedures of public accountability referred to in (1) above. Before any telephone interception takes place a warrant should be obtained in a manner prescribed by the relevant parliament. In most cases, law enforcement agencies will not be permitted to self-authorise interception except in the most unusual of circumstances which should be reported back to the authorising authority at the
earliest opportunity.

- Annual statistics on interception should be reported to each member states' parliament. These statistics should provide comprehensive details of the actual number of communication devices intercepted and data should not be aggregated. (This is to avoid the statistics only identifying the number of warrants, issued whereas organisations under surveillance may have many hundreds of members, all of whose phones may be subject to interception).

- Technologies facilitating the automatic profiling and pattern analysis of telephone calls to establish friendship and contact networks should be subject to the same legal requirements as those for telephone interception and reported to the relevant member state parliament.

- The European Parliament should reject proposals from the United States for making private messages via the global communications network (Internet) accessible to US Intelligence Agencies. Nor should the Parliament agree to new expensive encryption controls without a wide ranging debate within the EU on the implications of such measures. These encompass the civil and human rights of European citizens and the commercial rights of companies to operate within the law, without unwarranted surveillance by intelligence agencies operating in conjunction with multinational competitors.

3. Inhabitant identification Schemes

Inhabitant identification schemes are schemes, which provide all, or most people in the country with a unique code and a token (generally a card) containing the code.

Such schemes are used in many European Countries for a defined set of purposes, typically the administration of taxation, natural superannuation and health insurance. In some countries, they are used for multiple additional purposes.

4. THE NATURE OF ECONOMIC INFORMATION SELECTED BY SURVEILLANCE TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS

A. From telecommunication systems

Concerning public authorities and organizations:

- secret telephone conversations, fax messages and electronic mail
- sensitive information concerning taxation
- information concerning various fund transfers especially from one service to the other and financial transactions
- data used in the critical banking infrastructure systems

Concerning business:

- private business communication, including telephone conversations, fax messages and electronic mail
• order from fund transfers and other financial transactions (e.g. payments by credit cards by fax)
• sensitive business information and trade secrets

Concerning individuals:
• private conversations, fax messages, e-mail
• payments by credit cards
• secret information concerning taxation

**B. From new information technologies (Internet)**

Concerning public authorities and organizations:
• sensitive information and state secrets
• tele-banking
• tax records and other financial information
• data used in the operation of critical infrastructure systems
• public contracts received by electronic mail

Concerning business:
• contracts
• invoices and other official documents
• secret electronic transactions
• risk of international property and license in secret transactions
• payment orders by credit cards
• payments received on-line

Concerning consumers and individuals:
• payment by credit cards
• payment on-line
• contracts and agreements
• electronic financial transactions (e.g. tele-banking).

**C. Some examples of data collection on the Internet**
Data can be collected over the Internet either directly or indirectly; in other words, it can be collected either at the time of contact with a correspondent or without the knowledge of the person concerned, often automatically. The nature of the data collected varies according to the protocol used on the network i.e. according to the type of service. In practice, different protocols are very often used in combination to augment the profitability or quality of exchanges. For example, a Web page may propose an exchange of correspondence or a transfer of documents via links with the e-mail protocol and the protocol used for transferring files, which is more powerful.

When electronic messaging is used (Simple Mail Transfer Protocol -- SMTP, and Network News Transfer Protocol -- NNTP), communication is established from one personal mailbox to another, or between a personal mailbox and a mailbox common to a number of correspondents. The information transmitted consists of the name and e-mail address, the server address and the signature file (sig.file) if created by the user of the machine. If a communication is addressed to a joint mailbox, this information is given out to an indeterminate number of correspondents, participation in a discussion group being theoretically free. As a result, any person listed on a distribution list can at the very least obtain the e-mail addresses of all other listed parties, since this information is provided automatically for purposes of communication on a given topic.

While most downloading (File Transfer Protocol -- FTP) is done anonymously, with only the network's Internet Protocol -- IP -- address being revealed, the same cannot be said for document presentation (World Wide Web -- WWW, Hyper Text Transfer Protocol -- HTTP). The minimum information revealed at each step in the Web is the name of the network machine making the request and the type of browser being used. Browsers contain an identification -- ID -- file which, is configured by the user or at the user's request, stores various personal data such as the user's name or e-mail address. If a Web server requests this information, it can be automatically given out.

A Web server can also send out information, which is stored by the user's navigator (so-called 'cookies') and retrieved at a subsequent connection to the server. This system indicates that a visitor has been there before, but without revealing his identity: identification requires matching with other information. As a result, when linked to the ID file incorporated into the browser and transmitted to a server, the information recorded in cookies can yield valuable user profiles. It can be noted, however, that some navigations -- to a varying and often inadequate extent -- allow use of these cookies to be blocked.

5. PROTECTION FROM ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE

A. Encryption (Cryptography)

Finally, new information technologies include the privacy of individuals, the security of data in the computer or on the network, and the availability of encryption software to protect data in the event they are intercepted. In this context, privacy refers to controlling the dissemination and use of data, including information that are unintentionally revealed as a by-product of the use of the information technologies themselves.

Security refers to the integrity of the data storage, processing, and transmitting systems and includes concerns about the reliability of the hardware and software, the protections against intrusion into the theft of the computer equipment, and the resistance of computer systems to infiltration by unpermitted users, that is, "hacking". Encryption is the practice of encoding data so that even if a computer or network is compromised, the data's content will remain secret. Security and encryption issues are important because
they are central to public confidence in networks and to the use of the systems for the sensitive or secret data, such as the processing of information touching on national security. These issues are surpassingly controversial because of governments' interest in preventing digital information from being impervious to official interception and decoding for low enforcement and other purposes.

**Private sector initiatives**

A large number of private sector interests, in the United States in particular, are attempting, a view to fostering electronic commerce, to promote technological solutions that will provide a practical response to consumers concerns while still preserving business interests. In other words, they are starting to explore ways and means of making privacy work in communication networks. These initiatives go in the right direction and it would be worthwhile for governments to engage in a dialogue on the basis.

As an example, Netscape joined by Microsoft, is leading an industry initiative (40 companies) to cope with privacy issues and proposes standard software intended to enable computer users to control what personal information is obtained when they visit Internet sites and how the information is used, as well as avoid unwanted e-mail. The proposal, called the OPS -- Open Profiling Standard --, which has been submitted to the World Wide Web Consortium -- W3C, provides the users with a way to pre-package the personal registration information Web sites may require. At the same time, OPS lets users control when and how much of their personal profiles can be passed to a third party. OPS would have users fill out profiles and preference information in a standard that could be identified by a digital certificate (that would give a guarantee from a trusted third party that the person is really who they say they are). The standardized format and brand names associated with the profile forms would be incorporated, in the case of Netscape, into the Communicator browser. According to some specialists, OPS is an addition to rather than replacement for the intrusive cookie method of tracking user information.

Another project is the new W3C Platform for Privacy Preferences (P3) Project developed by the W3C. The P3 Project is a platform on which other technological, market and regulatory solutions can interoperate and build. The P3 prototype allows Web sites to easily describe their privacy practices as well as users to set policies about the collection and use of their personal data. A flexible 'negotiation' between the Web site's practices and the user's preferences allows service to offer the preferred level of service and data protection to the user. If there is a match, access to the site is seamless; otherwise the user is notified of the difference and is offered other access options to proceed. With P3, users can download 'recommended' settings established by organizations such as industry associations and consumer advocacy groups. According to some privacy specialists, P3 requires users to disclose privacy preferences when good privacy policies should provide meaningful information for users about Web site practices and not require users to disclose personal information.

Techniques to provide users with more information about privacy practices are also being developed. For instance, a number of companies and service operators have a privacy Icon which appears either when the user enters a site, or when the user starts to provide information. The Icon can either lead by hyper-link to a sophisticated service providing details of the company's (service operator) data protection policies and a tick box(es) allowing the user to opt out of having his/her data used for specific purposes, or the icon can lead to page referring the user, for example, to an address from which further details are available.

Another example is the development of services and branding techniques, which intend to provide, dear meaningful designations for privacy practices such as TRUSTe, formerly eTRUST.

The TRUSTe program will focus on addressing privacy issues concerning data collection on the Internet. With an emphasis on analysing consumer fears surrounding electronic commerce, the program will utilise Web site icons (trustmarks) to alert online consumers to the uses of their personal information.
To further consumer privacy the TRUSTe program will utilise a standardised method of informed consent. A branded system of 'trustmarks' or logos, representing the Web site's information privacy policy for users' personal information, will alert consumers to how the information they reveal online will be used.

The three trustmarks will be:

- No Exchange - no personally identifiable information is used by the site.
- One-to-one Exchange is collected only for the site owner's use.
- Third Party Exchange - data is collected and provided to specified third parties but only with the user's knowledge and consent.

The TRUSTe initiative was launched in July 1996 by the Electronic Frontier Foundation (EFF) and a group of pioneering Internet companies. CommerceNet and the EFF then partnered in October 1996 to move forward in implementing the initiative.

TRUSTe is a global, non-profit initiative to establish trust and confidence in electronic communication by creating an infrastructure to address online privacy issues. Comprised of premier members from the electronic commerce industry, the program assures consumer privacy through a progressive policy of informed consent utilising a branded system of 'trustmarks', which represent a company's online information privacy policy.

Finally, systems for implementing on-line E-mail Preference Services (EPS) or 'E-mail Robinson Lists' are also under consideration (EPS allow consumers who do not wish to receive e-mails to be excluded from lists, the common database used to register opt out demands being then used to clean marketing lists). As an example, a software package is being developed in the USA which would allow consumers to register on-line; would be secure from intruders, and yet user-friendly for industry to clean their E-mail marketing lists; and which could be serviced easily by the operator (the Direct Marketing Association (DMA-US)). A similar system will be developed in the United Kingdom, and it is planned that these two countries would then spearhead a Global Convention on EPS inviting other DMSs to join. Another proposal, which has yet to be fully considered by industry, comes from the UK data protection Registrar, which has suggested a mechanism enabling the consumers to indicate if they do not wish to be contacted by e-mail in their e-mail address. A universally agreed character (a marker) would indicate that the user does not want to receive any marketing solicitations. The user would also be free to make different choices: i.e. to use the marker when visiting one site and not to use it when visiting another. This system should be combined with others, such as the proposed E-mail Preference Service.

B. Key-recovery

Cryptography is a complex area, with scientific, technical, political, social, business, and economic dimensions.

For the purpose of this report, 'key recovery' systems are characterized by the presence of some mechanism for obtaining exceptional access to the plain text of encrypted traffic. Key recovery might serve a wide spectrum of access requirements, from a backup mechanism that ensures a business' continued access to its own encrypted archive in the event keys are lost, to providing covert law enforcement access to wiretapped encrypted telephone conversations. Many of the costs, risks, and complexities inherent in the design, implementation, and operation of key recovery systems depend on the access requirements around which the system is designed.
We focus specifically on key recovery systems designed to meet government access specifications. These specifications diverge in important ways from the needs of commercial or individual encryption users:

**Access without end-user knowledge or consent** -- Few commercial users need (or want) covert mechanisms to recover keys or plain text data they protect. On the contrary, business access rules are usually well known, and audit is a very important safeguard against fraud and error. Government specifications require mechanisms that circumvent this important security practice.

**Ubiquitous adoption** -- Government seeks the use of key recovery for all encryption, regardless of whether there is benefit to the end-user or whether it makes sense in context. In fact, there is little or no demand for key recovery for many applications and users. For example, the commercial demand for recovery of encrypted communications is extremely limited, and the design and analysis of key recovery for certain kinds of communications protocols is especially difficult.

**Fast paths to plain text** -- Law enforcement demands fast (near real-time), 24-hour-a-day, 365-day-a-year access to plain text, making it impossible to employ the full range of safeguards that could ameliorate some of the risks inherent in commercial key recovery systems.

**Encryption and the global information infrastructure**

The Global Information Infrastructure promises to revolutionize electronic commerce, reinvigorate government, and provide new and open access to the information society. Yet this promise cannot be achieved without information security and privacy. Without a secure and trusted infrastructure, companies and individuals will become increasingly reluctant to move their private business or personal information online.

The need for information security is widespread and touches all of us, whether users of information technology or not. Sensitive information of all kinds is increasingly finding its way into electronic form. Examples include:

- Private personal and business communications, including telephone conversations, fax messages, and electronic mail;
- Electronic funds and other financial transactions;
- Sensitive business information and trade secrets;
- Data used in the operation of critical infrastructure systems such as air traffic control, the telephone network or the power grid; and
- Health records, personnel files, and other personal information.

Electronically managed information touches almost every aspect of daily life in modern society. This rising tide of important yet unsecured electronic data leaves our society increasingly vulnerable to curious neighbors, industrial spies, rogue nations, organized crime, and terrorist organizations.

Paradoxically, although the technology for managing and communicating electronic information is improving at a remarkable rate, this progress generally comes at the expense of intrinsic security. In general, as information technology improves and becomes faster, cheaper, and easier to use, it becomes less possible to control (or even identify) where sensitive data flows, where documents originated, or who is at
the other end of the telephone. The basic communication infrastructure of our techniques more and more frequently will become the only visible approach to assuring the privacy and safety of sensitive information as these trends continue.

Encryption is an essential tool in providing security in the information age. Encryption is based on the use of mathematical procedures to scramble data so that it is extremely difficult -- if not virtually impossible -- for anyone other than authorized recipients to recover the original 'plain text'. Properly implemented encryption allows sensitive information to be stored on insecure computers or transmitted across insecure networks. Only parties with the correct decryption 'key' (or keys) are able to recover the plain text information.

Highly secure encryption can be deployed relatively cheaply, and it is widely believed that encryption will be broadly adopted and embedded in most electronic and communications products and applications for handling potentially valuable data. Applications of cryptography include protecting files from theft or unauthorized access, securing communications from interception, and enabling secure business transactions. Other cryptographic techniques can be used to guarantee that the contents of a file or message have not been altered (integrity), to establish the identity of a party (authentication), or to make legal commitments (non-repudiation).

In making information secure from unwanted eavesdropping, interception, and theft, strong encryption has an ancillary effect: it becomes more difficult for law enforcement to conduct certain kinds of surreptitious electronic surveillance (particularly wiretapping) against suspected criminals without the knowledge and assistance of the target. This difficulty is at the core of the debate over key recovery.

**Key-Recovery: Requirements and proposals**

The United States and other national governments have sought to prevent widespread use of cryptography unless 'key recovery' mechanisms guaranteeing law enforcement access to plain text are built into these systems. The requirements imposed by such government-driven key recovery systems are different from the features sought by encryption users, and ultimately impose substantial new risks and costs.

Key recovery encryption systems provide some form of access to plain text outside of the normal channel of encryption and decryption. Key recovery is sometimes also called 'key escrow'. The term 'escrow' became popular in connection with the U.S. government's Clipper Chip initiative, in which a master key to each encryption device was held 'in escrow' for release to law enforcement. Today the term 'key recovery' is used as generic term for these systems, encompassing the various 'key escrow', 'trusted third party', 'exceptional access', 'data recovery', and 'key recovery' encryption systems introduced in recent years. Although there are differences between these systems, the distinctions are not critical for our purposes. In this report, the general term 'key recovery' is used in a broad sense, to refer to any system for assuring third-party (government) access to encrypted data.

Key recovery encryption systems work in a variety of ways. Early 'key escrow' proposals relied on the storage of private keys by the U.S. government, and more recently by designated private entities.

Other systems have 'escrow agents' or 'key recovery agents' that maintain the ability to recover the keys for a particular encrypted communication session or stored file; these systems require that such 'session keys' be encrypted with the key known by a recovery agent and included with the data. Some systems split the ability to recover keys among several agents.

Many interested parties have sought to draw sharp distinctions among the various key recovery proposals. It is certainly true that several new key recovery systems have emerged that they can be distinguished from
the original 'Clipper' proposal by their methods of storing and recovering keys. However, our discussion
takes a higher-level view of the basic requirements of the problem rather than the details of any particular
scheme; it does not require a distinction between 'key escrow', 'trusted third-party', and 'key recovery'. All
these systems share the essential elements that concern us for the purposes of this study:

- A mechanism, external to the primary means of encryption and decryption, by which a third party can
  obtain covert access to the plain text of encrypted data.

- The existence of a highly sensitive secret key (or collection of keys) that must be secured for an
  extended period of time.

Taken together, these elements encompass a system of 'ubiquitous key recovery' designed to meet law
enforcement specifications. While some specific details may change, the basic requirements most likely
will not: they are the essential requirements for any system that meets the stated objective of guaranteeing
law enforcement agencies timely access, without user notice, to the plain text of encrypted communications
traffic.

6. SURVEILLANCE TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS IN LEGAL AND REGULATORY
CONTEXT

As a conclusion from this present Interim Study is the principle that WE HAVE TO CONSIDER PRIVACY PROTECTION IN THE CONTEXT OF A GLOBAL NETWORKED SOCIETY. And when we speak about electronic privacy in the exchange of economic information, we are speaking about one single thing above all others: Electronic Commerce over the Internet.

A. Privacy regulation

Multinational data protection measures

Enactment of data protection laws by individual European nations has been paralleled and, in some cases anticipated, by multinational actions. In 1980 the Committee of Ministers of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) issued Guidelines on the Protection of Privacy and Transborder Flows of Personal Data (guidelines). The guidelines outline basic principles for both data protection and the free flow of information among countries that have laws conforming with the protection principles. The guidelines, however, have no blinding force and permit broad variation in national implementation.

One year after the OECD issued its guidelines, the Council of Europe promulgated a convention, For the Protection of Individuals with Regard to Automatic Processing of Personal Data. The convention, which took effect in 1985, is similar to the guidelines, although it focuses more on the importance of data protection to protect personal privacy. The convention specifies that data must be obtained and processed fairly; used and stored only for legal purposes; adequate, relevant, and not excessive in relation to the purpose for which they are processed; accurate and up-to-date; and stored no longer than necessary. The document gives individuals the right to inquire about the existence of data files concerning them; obtain a copy of that data; and have false or improperly processed data corrected or erased.

The convention requires each of the member countries (now twenty-six) to enact conforming national laws. By 1992, however, when debate over the more detailed European Union data protection directive, discussed below, overtook the convention, only ten countries -- Austria, Denmark France, Germany, Ireland, Luxembourg, Norway, Spain Sweden and the United Kingdom -- had ratified the convention, while
eight -- Belgium, Cyprus, Greece, Island, Italy, Netherlands, Portugal and Turkey -- had signed without ratification. The Council of Europe subsequently urged all European Union member states to ratify and implement the convention when it endorsed the European Commission's proposal for a data protection directive. By 1997, all of the fifteen EU member states (except Greece, which is currently considering a privacy bill) and Switzerland have national legislation consistent with the convention.

Nevertheless, the resulting protection for personal privacy is far from uniform, for at least three reasons. First, some of the national data protection legislation existed before the adoption of the convention. Second, the convention was not self-executing and therefore permitted each country to implement its national laws conforming to the government's terms in very different ways. Finally, the convention did not include definitions for important terms, such as what constitutes an 'adequate' level of data protection; as result, member countries were left free to adopt their own, inconsistent definitions in their national legislation.

Data protection directive in Europe

Although, legal protection for a 'right of privacy' originated in the United States, Europe was the site of the first privacy legislation and has been the source of most comprehensive privacy regulation.

Europe is the site of the first privacy legislation, the earliest national privacy statute, and now the most comprehensive protection for information privacy in the world. That protection reflects on apparent consensus within Europe that privacy is a fundamental human right which few in any other rights equal. In the context of European history and civil law culture, that consensus makes possible extensive, detailed regulation of virtually all activities concerning 'any information relating to an identified or identifiable natural person'. It is difficult to imagine a regulatory regime offering any greater protection to information privacy, or greater contrast to U.S. law.

As a result of the variation and uneven application among national laws permitted by both the guidelines and the convention, in July 1990 the commission of the then-European Community (EC) published a draft Council Directive on the Protection of Individuals with Regard to the Processing of Personal Data and on Free Movement of Such Data. The draft directive was part of the ambitious program by the countries of the European Union to create not merely the 'common market' and 'economic and monetary union' contemplated by the Treaty of Rome, but also the potential union embodied in the Treaty on European Union signed in 1992 in Maastricht.

The shift from economic to broad-based political union brought with it new attention to the protection of information privacy. On March 11, 1992, the European Parliament amended the commission's proposal to eliminate the distinction in the 1990 draft between public and private sector data protection and then overwhelmingly approved the draft directive. On October 15, 1992, the commission issued its amended proposal; on February 20, 1995, the Council of Ministers adopted a Common Position with a View to Adopting Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Protection of Individuals with Regard to the Processing of Personal Data and on the Free Movement of Such Data. The directive was formally approved on October 24, 1995, and took effect three years later.

Privacy regulation in the United States

The protection for the information privacy in the United States is disjoined, inconsistent, and limited by conflicting interests. There is no explicit constitutional guarantee of a right to privacy in the United States. Although the Supreme Court has fashioned a variety of rights out of the Bill of Rights and the Fourteenth Amendment, 'information privacy' has received little protection, primarily based on the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments. In the Fourth Amendment arena, the Court has found constitutional violations
when the police have searched for or seized records without a warrant or meeting one of the exceptions to
the warrant requirement. The Court, however, has written that the Fourth Amendment privacy right has
little application outside of the context of the investigation and prosecution of criminal activity. Moreover,
this protection against such searches does not extend to information controlled by a third person. Under the
Fourteenth Amendment, the Court has recognized a constitutional right restricting the government from
compelling individuals to disclose certain personal information. This right protects only the interest of an
individual in not disclosing certain information, and that right is evaluated under intermediate scrutiny, as
opposed to the strict scrutiny required when fundamental rights are at stake.

As with all constitutional rights, these apply only against the government, not private actors. The
requirement for state action and the 'negative' nature of constitutional rights require only that the
government refrain from taking actions that impermissibly invaded individuals' information privacy rights,
not that the government take steps to affirmatively protect those rights. The Constitution also requires,
however, that the government avoid actions that infringe other rights enumerated therein, such as the
protection for expression in the Fifth Amendment, the government cannot take private property, whether by
physical occupation or extensive regulation, without according due process and paying just compensation
to the owner.

Outside of the constitutional arena, protection for information privacy relies on hundreds of federal and
state laws and regulations, each of which applies only to a specific category of information user (such as the
government or retailers of videotapes), context (applying for credit or subscribing to cable television), type
of information (criminal records or financial information), or use for that information (computer matching
or impermissible discrimination). Privacy laws in the United States most often prohibit certain
disclosures, rather than collection, use, or storage, of personal information. When those protections extend
to the use of personal information, it is often as a by-product of legislative commitment to another goal,
such as eliminating discrimination. And the role provided for the government in most U. S. privacy laws is
often limited to providing a judicial form for resolving disputes.

Passage of the privacy provisions in the Cable Communications Policy Act, and recent passage of the
Consumer Credit Reporting Reform Act and the CPNI provision of the Telecommunications Act,
demonstrate that Congress can enact serious privacy protection, even if limited to narrow sectoral
environments. The later two acts and the expanding debate in Washington over the privacy evince the
growing attention to the development of laws and regulations to protect privacy.

However, as the limits and exceptions within existing privacy laws indicate, privacy protection in the
United States is fundamentally in tension with other cherished values. The legal regulation of privacy is
significantly influenced by the importance placed by society on the prevention of crime and prosecution of
criminals, free expression and an investigatory press, the acquisition and use of property, and a limited role
for government involvement in daily life. A comparison of the legal regimes of the EU and the United
States suggests that the Europe privacy is more valued and less in conflict with other widely shared values.

B. Protection of Privacy in the telecommunications sector

processing of personal data and the protection of privacy in the telecommunications sector.

This directive provides for the harmonisation of the provisions of the member states required to ensure an
equivalent level of protection of fundamental rights and freedom, and in particular the right to privacy, with
respect to the processing of personal data in the telecommunications sector and to ensure the free
movement of such data and telecommunications equipment and services in the Community.
The provision of this directive particularises and complements the directive 95/46/EC for the purpose mentioned above. Moreover they provide for protection and legitimate interests of subscribers who are legal persons.

This directive shall not apply to the activities which fall outside the scope of Community law, such as those provided for by titles V and VI of the treaty on European Union, and in any case to activities concerning public security, defence, state security (including the economic well being of the state when the activities relate to state security matters) and the activities of the state in areas of criminal law.

C. Cryptography

Cryptography policy in USA

It is part of the strategy to ensure that police and intelligence agencies could understand every communication they intercepted.

They attempted to impede the development of cryptography and other security measures, fearing that these technologies would reduce their ability to monitor the emissions of foreign governments and to investigate crime.

A survey by the Global Internet Liberty Campaign (GILC) found that most countries either rejected domestic controls or not addressed the issue at all. The GILC found that many countries, large and small, industrialised and developing, seem to be ambivalent about the need to control encryption technology.

The FBI and the National Security Agency (NSA) have instigated efforts to restrict the availability of encryption world-wide, in the early 1970s, the NSA's pretext was that encryption technology was 'born classified' and, therefore, it dissemination fell into the same category as the diffusion of A-bomb materials. The debate went underground until 1993 when the US launched the Clipper Chip, an encryption device designed for inclusion in consumer products. The Clipper Chip offered the required privacy, but the government would remain a 'pass-key' -- anything encrypted with the chip could be read by government agencies.

Behind the scenes, law enforcement and intelligence agencies were pushing hard for a ban on other forms of encryption. In a February 1993 document, obtained by the Electronic Privacy Information Centre (EPIC), recommended 'Technical solutions, such as they are, will only work if they are incorporated into all encryption products. To ensure that this occurs, legislation mandating the use of government-approved encryption products, or adherence to government encryption criteria'. The Clipper Chip was widely criticised by industry, public interest groups, scientific societies and the public and, though it was officially adopted, only a few were ever sold or used.

From 1994 onwards, USA began to woo private companies to develop an encryption system that would provide access to keys by government agencies. Under the proposals -- variously known as 'key recovery' or 'trusted third parties' -- the key would be held by a corporation, not a government agency, and would be designed by the private sector, not the NSA. The systems, however, still entitled the assumption of guaranteed access to the intelligence community and so proved as controversial used export incentives to encourage companies to adopt key escrow products: they could export stronger encryptions but only if they ensured that intelligence agencies had access to the keys.

Under US law, computer software and hardware cannot be exported if it contains encryption that the NSA cannot break. The regulations stymie the availability of encryption in the USA because companies are reluctant to develop two separate product lines - one, with strong encryption, for domestic use and another,
with weak encryption, for the international market. Several cases are pending in the US courts on the constitutionality of export controls; a federal court recently ruled that they violate free speech rights under the First Amendment.

The FBI has not let up on efforts to ban products on which it cannot eavesdrop. In mid-1997, it introduced legislation to mandate that key-recovery systems be built into all computer systems. Several congressional committees adopted the amendment but the Senate preferred a weaker variant. A concerted campaign by computer, telephone and privacy groups finally stopped the proposal; it now appears that no legislation will be enacted in the current Congress.

_Cryptography policy guidelines from OECD_

The organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development in 1997 issued a report on cryptography policy entitled: CRYPTOGRAPHY POLICY: THE GUIDELINES AND THE ISSUES (OCOE / GD (97) 204). The basic principles (each of which addresses an important policy concern) are independent and should be considered as a whole so as to balance the various interests. The principles are:

- Trust in cryptographic methods: Users should be trustworthy in order to generate confidence in the use of information and commercial data.

- Choice of Cryptographic methods: Users should have a right to choose any cryptographic method, subject to applicable law.

- Market driven development of cryptographic methods: Cryptographic methods should be developed in response to the needs, demands and responsibilities of individuals, business and governments.

- Standards for cryptographic methods: Technical standards, criteria and protocols for cryptographic methods should be developed and promulgated at the national and international law.

- Protection of privacy and Personal data: the fundamental rights of individuals, to privacy, including secrecy of communications and protection of personal data, should be respected in national cryptography policies and in the implementation and use of cryptographic methods.

- Lawful access: National cryptography policies may allow lawful access to plain text, or cryptographic keys, of encrypted data. These policies must respect the other principles contained in the guidelines to the greatest extent possible.

- Liability: whether established by contract on legislation, the liability of individuals and entities that offer cryptographic services or hold or access cryptographic keys should be clearly stated.

- International co-operation: Governments should cooperate to coordinate cryptography policies. As part of this effort, governments should remove, or avoid creating in the name of cryptography policy, unjustified obstacles to trade.

Given the role of cryptography in the information and communications infrastructure and in developing electronic commerce, cryptography policy has the broader perspective to overlap with economic, legal and political aspects of a number of information systems, protection of privacy and personal data and intellectual property protection.

_E.U. cryptography policy_
Led by the Germany and the Scandinavians, the EU has been generally distrustful of key escrow technology. In October 1997, the European Commission released a report entitled: 'Towards a European Framework of Digital Signatures and Encryption', ensuring security and trust in electronic communications (COM (97)503 final) which advised: 'Restricting the use of encryption could well prevent law-abiding companies and citizens from protecting themselves against criminal attacks. It would not, however, totally prevent criminals from using these technologies'. The report noted that 'privacy considerations suggest limit the use of cryptography as a means to ensure data security and confidentiality'.

Some European countries have or are contemplating independent restrictions. France had a longstanding ban on the use of any cryptography to which the government does not have access. However, a 1996 law, modifying the existing system, allows a system of tiers du confidence, although it has not been implemented because of EU opposition. In 1997, the Conservative government in the UK introduced a proposal creating a system of trusted third parties. It was severely criticised at the time and by the new Labour government, which has not yet acted upon its predecessor's recommendations.

The debate over encryption and the conflicting demands of security and privacy are bound to continue. The commercial future of the Internet depends on a universally-accepted and foolproof method of on-line identifications; as of now, the only means of providing it is through strong encryption. This put the US government and some of the world's largest corporations, notably Microsoft, on a collision course.

**Other national and international activities related to cryptography policy**

Cryptographic products and technologies have historically been subject to export controls. The current basis for export controls in the Wassenaar Arrangement on Export Controls for Conventional Arms and Dual-Use Goods and Technologies (agreed on 13 July 1996), which includes cryptography products on its control lists for export. The Agreement is implemented in national regulations. Regulation [(EC) 3381/94] and Decision [94/942/PESC] of the Council of the European Union of 19 December 1994 on the control of the export of dual-use goods are also applicable to the export of cryptographic products.

The Council of Europe has developed considerable resources to studying the subject of computer-related crime, issuing the Recommendation [R(95)13] of the Council of Europe of 11 September 1995 concerning problems of criminal procedural law connected with information technology, and is considering suggesting an international convention to address the issue. Such a convention could address matters such as exchange of information among government agencies in case involving the use of cryptography.

At the G7 Summit meeting on anti-terrorism in July 1996, G7 governments announced that consultations would be accelerated, 'in appropriate bilateral or multilateral for a, on the use of encryption that allows, when necessary, lawful government access to data and communication in order, inter alia, to prevent or investigate acts of terrorism, while protecting the privacy of legitimate communications'.

In May 1996 the US National Research Council's Computer Science and Telecommunications Board published the report 'Cryptography's Role in Securing the Information Society'. This interagency study assesses the effect of cryptographic technologies on US national security, law enforcement, commercial and privacy interests, and reviews the impact of export controls on cryptographic technologies. This authoritative report provides a comprehensive review of the cryptography policy issues faced by the US Government.

**C. Key recovery**

As of mid-1998 a wide range of government, industry, and academic efforts toward specifying, prototyping, and standardising key recovery system that meet government specifications have been implemented. Some
of industry's efforts were stimulated by U.S. government policies that offer more favorable export treatment to companies that commit to designing key recovery features into the future products, and by U.K. government moves to link the licensing of certification authorities to the use of key recovery software.

Yet despite these incentives, and the intense interest and effort by research and development teams, neither industry nor government has yet produced a key recovery architecture that universally satisfies both the demands of government and the security and cost requirements of encryption users.

The commercial key recovery products in existence today do not reconcile the conflict between commercial requirements and government specifications. In the absence of government pressure, commercial key recovery features are by their nature of interest primarily to business operations willing to pay a significant premium to ensure continued access to stored data maintained only in applications of encryption (such as communication traffic) are known in advance not to require recoverability and therefore would not be designed to use a key recovery system.

Another problem is that the most secure and economical commercial key recovery do not support the real-time, third-party, covert access sought by governments in order to support surveillance. In particular, 'self-escrow' by an individual does not meet government access demands. The third-party nature and global reach implied by these government demands make key recovery systems a much more>
compatible with large scale, economical, secure cryptography systems.

D. European Initiatives

DLM-FORUM -- Electronic Records

The first multidisciplinary European DLM-Forum (DLM-Forum'96) on electronic records which took place in Brussels between the 18th and 20th December 1996 was a major event in the investigation of possibilities for wider co-operation in this area both between Member States and at Community level. It was initiated by the experts' report Archives in the European Union (Report of the Group of Experts on the Coordination of Archives. Brussels - Luxembourg: OPOCE 1994) and confirmed by the EU-Council Conclusions of June 1994 (94/C 235/03).

Organised by the European Commission in close co-operation with the EU member states it hosted more than 300 experts and decision-makers from public administration, archives, industry (hard- and software suppliers) and research. The multidisciplinary approach and the aim to publish guidelines on machine readable data as a concrete result as well as the high quality of the presentations were the attractions that turned this inaugural event into a European forum of international interest in the field of electronic records administration and storage. Participants came from all the EU member states, from other European countries (including the Russian Federation and Poland), as well as from Canada and the USA.

First reviews that have been published by specialised journals are unanimously enthusiastic. The forum's success owed a lot to the Programme Committee's preparations and should also be attributed to the undivided and continuous support of the Irish and Dutch presidencies of the EU-Council.

The forum was opened by the Secretary General of the European Commission, David Williamson who emphasised that archives, including increasingly electronic documents, are our collective memory and how important it is to retain that memory and to insure that it remains accessible in the future. In their keynote addresses the Deputy Director General of the Directorate General for Science, Research and Development, Hendrik Tent and the Permanent Representative of Ireland to the European Union, H.E. Ambassador Denis O'Leary laid out the political and technical framework of the DLM-Forum'96. Mr Tent described the importance of the forum with respect to innovation in the digital era and the Commission's approach towards this challenge. Mr O'Leary stressed the role of archives in our society and the citizens' right of access to information. In his closing speech the Head of Commissioner Bangemann's Cabinet, Paul Weissenberg, pointed to the importance of electronic archives in the European Union's concept of the Information Society as set out in the Bangemann report and subsequent documents. He stressed the necessity of concrete measures as an immediate consequence to the DLM-Forum.

The 'life-cycle'-concept of electronic records guided the three parallel sessions. Thus the speakers in those sessions reflected on electronic documents in the different phases of their administrative life. The multitude of topics ranged from discussions of norms and standards for data interchange to the presentation of new electronic storage material. Surveys on the 'state of the art' in Europe completed this first interdisciplinary approach to retaining the collective memory of the Information Society.

It was the balance between working sessions and spontaneous and informal discussions outside those sessions that produced a most agreeable working atmosphere in which experts' debates led to the kind of mutual understanding and the establishment of personal ties and relations needed to solve problems that concern all the disciplines represented at the forum. Thus the catalyst effect, which was hoped for, was achieved: experts from industry and research became sensitive to the concerns of archives and administrations.
The forum will lead, as foreseen, to amendments to the first draft of multidisciplinary guidelines *Best practices for using Machine Readable Data* which had been distributed to the participants.

Furthermore a document for follow-up measures, the so-called '10 points', was agreed on by the participants. One major topic for follow-up activities is the establishment of national focal points to improve co-ordination and networking and to establish functional requirements for electronic records management in the public and private sectors. Another topic concerns the urge for establishing training programmes for archivists and administrators.

In a world of continuous and rapid change modern archives services are an element of continuity, stability and a solid base for essential information and indispensable records. Modern management in public and private institutions has to be dynamic, active and innovative, and above all has to cover the entire continuum of the life of documents. 'The DLM-Forum'96 demonstrated that the issues posed by the preservation and re-use of electronic records are central not only to the work of archivists, but also form the cornerstone of future economic growth and development within the European Union,' as Seamus Ross points out in his presentation. In short: the problem of preserving electronic records concerns even more people and areas than have been covered by the forum's participants. Further activities should include among others legal advisors, system designers and application developers, auditors and insurance providers. Contacts with existing working groups (e.g. the European Commission's Legal Advisory Board for the information market) have to be established or intensified. A first step to co-ordinate these activities is the installation of the DLM-Monitoring Committee in April 1997.

*Promoting safe Use of Internet*

To prevent illegal and harmful content being distributed on the Internet the European Commission is promoting initiatives which are aimed at increasing the general awareness among parents, teachers, public sector and the information industry about how to deal with the issue in practical terms.

This action accompanies the Green Paper on Protection of Minors and Human Dignity in Audiovisual and Information Services, the Communication on Illegal and Harmful Content on the Internet, and the Action plan on promoting safe use of the Internet.
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Executive summary

Privacy of communications is one of the fundamental human rights. The UN Declaration, International Covenant and European Convention all provide that natural persons should not be subject to unlawful interference with their privacy. The European Convention is legally binding and has caused signatories to change their national laws to comply.
Most countries, including most EU Member States, have a procedure to permit and regulate lawful interception of communications, in furtherance of law enforcement or to protect national security. The European Council has proposed a set of technical requirements to be imposed on telecommunications operators to allow lawful interception. USA has defined similar requirements (now enacted as Federal law) and Australia has proposed to do the same.

Most countries have legal recognition of the right to privacy of personal data and many require telecommunications network operators to protect the privacy of their users. All EU countries permit the use of encryption for data transmitted via public telecommunications networks (except France where this will shortly be permitted).

Electronic commerce requires secure and trusted communications and may not be able to benefit from privacy law designed only to protect natural persons.

The legal regimes reflect a balance between three interests:

- privacy;
- law enforcement;
- electronic commerce

Legal processes are emerging to satisfy the second and third interests by granting more power to governments to authorise interception (under legal controls) and allowing strong encryption with secret keys.

There do not appear to be adequate legal processes to protect privacy against unlawful interception, either by foreign governments or by non-governmental bodies.

A course of action open to the EU is to require telecommunications operators to take greater precautions to protect their users against unlawful interception. This would appear to be possible without compromising law enforcement or electronic commerce.

---
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1 Context

This study has been prepared by Dr Chris Elliott for the Scientific and Technological Options Assessment programme of the European Parliament. It is a contribution to the project on "Development of surveillance technology and risk of abuse of economic information". This study examines the legality of the interception of electronic communications.

The study is intended to be brief and concise. It concentrates on instruments that exist and not on the debate that led to them. It also avoids speculation as to the evolution of law in this field or the moral and ethical challenges that it poses.

Three levels of instrument are considered:

• International agreements
• EU Decisions and Directives
• National laws (of EU Member states and significant third countries)

Legislation in this field attempts to reconcile three conflicting pressures:

• **Respect for privacy** - Privacy is a fundamental human right. International agreements and national laws are more concerned with the rights of natural persons than with those of legal persons (companies).

• **Capabilities for law enforcement** - The lawful interception of communications is important for law enforcement agencies and most countries have legal procedures to authorise and regulate interception.
- **Needs of electronic commerce** - Secure communication is essential to permit electronic commerce to develop and may require the use of encryption which might conflict with the requirements of law enforcement.

The study extends beyond interception to consider encryption, since this is an important potential counter to interception and is also subject to some legal control. It also considers data protection law regarding the storage and manipulation of personal information where it applies to the transmission of that information.

---

1 Dr Elliott is an English barrister and an engineer specialising in telecommunications and computing technology.
Contact: Chambers of Marie-Claire sparrow, 95A Chancery Lane, London WC2A 1 DT
chris.elliott@pitchill.demon.co.uk

---

### 2 International agreements

#### 2.1 Universal Declaration of Human Rights

Article 12 states that

> No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, .... or correspondence, ...

Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference ...

A key word in this Article is "arbitrary". Lawful interference is not excluded.

#### 2.2 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

This UN Covenant\(^2\) builds on the Universal Declaration and is legally binding. By Art. 2.1, the Contracting Parties are obliged to respect and ensure all of the rights recognised by the Covenant, and by Art. 2.2 they are required to take steps to meet their obligations within their own legal systems. Art. 4 allows Contracting Parties to derogate from some of the specific Articles (ie Rights) in a Public Emergency.

Article 17 states that:

No one shall be subjected to arbitrary or unlawful interference with his privacy ... and that:

Everyone has a right to the protection of the law against such interference...

This appears to address only natural, not legal, persons and reinforces the idea that lawful interference is permitted.

---

\(^2\) came into effect in 1976, 129 states are parties to the Covenant.

#### 2.3 European Convention of Human Rights

Article 8 of the Convention\(^3\) states:

---

\(^3\)
1. Everyone has the right to respect for his ... correspondence.

2. There shall be no interference by a public authority with the exercise of this right except such as is in accordance with the law and is necessary in a democratic society in the interests of national security, public safety or the economic well-being of the country, for the prevention of disorder or crime, for the protection of health or morals, or for the protection of the rights and freedom of others.

It is not clear whether this offers any protection to legal persons. It has been used to test the legality of national procedures for the official interception of communications (eg Klass\(^4\)) and to force European states to introduce a legal procedure (eg Malone\(^5\)).


\(^4\) Klass v Germany [1978] 2 EHRR 214.


2.4 OECD Guidelines

OECD has adopted guidelines\(^6\) which, although primarily concerned with encryption, have a bearing on interception. Recommendation 5 states:

The fundamental rights of individuals to privacy, including secrecy of communications ... , should be respected in national cryptographic policies and in the implementation and use of cryptographic methods.

2.5 Council of Europe

Article 7 of the Data Protection Convention\(^7\) requires that appropriate security measures shall be taken for the protection of personal data against unauthorised access or dissemination.

Recommendation R(95)13 of the Committee of Ministers (adopted September 11 1995) "concerning criminal procedural law connected with information technology" recommended:

- that criminal laws should be modified to allow interception in the investigation of serious offences against telecommunications or computer systems; and

- that measures should be considered to minimise the negative effects of cryptography without affecting its use more than is strictly necessary.


\(^7\) Council of Europe Convention for the protection of individuals with regard to automatic processing of personal data.
3 EU legislation and agreements

3.1 INFOSEC Green Paper

The Commission resolved to prepare a Green Paper on the security of information systems but, although several drafts were prepared, none has been adopted. The drafts dealt with issues of encryption, digital signatures and privacy enhancement.


3.2 Council Resolution

The Council Resolution on the lawful interception of telecommunications notes a list of Requirements of Member States to allow them to conduct the lawful interception of telecommunications. The Resolution continues that Member States should take these Requirements into account when defining national measures and in relation to network operators.

The set of Requirements appears to cover all aspects of interception. It requires telecommunications network operators or service providers to make available details of the addresses and contents of communications, to do so in a way which is not apparent to the users being monitored and, where the operators use encryption, to provide decrypted (en clair) versions of intercepted communications.

The Requirements closely match those identified by the FBI in the USA, which led to CALEA (see section 4.2 below), and by the Barrett Review in Australia (also section 4.2).


3.3 Directive 95/46/EC

This Directive was primarily concerned with the protection of data stored in databases and is of only indirect relevance to interception of communications. However, the Preamble includes

(2) Whereas data-processing systems are designed to serve man; whereas they must, whatever the nationality or residence of natural persons, respect their fundamental rights and freedoms, notably the right to privacy, and contribute to economic and social progress, trade expansion and the well-being of individuals;

and the Directive starts:

Article 1: Object of the Directive

1. In accordance with this Directive, Member States shall protect the fundamental rights and freedoms of natural persons, and in particular their right to privacy with respect to the processing of personal data.
3.4 Directive 97/66/EC

The preamble makes it clear that this Directive, like 95/46, does not address issues of protection of fundamental rights and freedoms related to activities which are not governed by Community law. It does not affect the right of Member States to take such measures as they consider necessary for the protection of public security, defence, State security (including the economic well-being of the State when the activities relate to State security matters) and the enforcement of criminal law.

However, Article 5 states that Member States shall ensure via national regulations the confidentiality of communications by means of a public telecommunications network and publicly available telecommunications services. In particular, they shall prohibit listening, tapping, storage or other kinds of interception or surveillance of communications, by others than users, without the consent of the users concerned, except when legally authorised.

4 National legislation

4.1 EU member states

There are broadly similar legislative regimes in all countries of the EU. Rather than repeating the analysis of each of them, the regime in the UK will be described in detail and any significant differences of principle in other countries will be noted. The information given here for the UK has been taken from primary sources; less reliable and less up-to-date secondary sources have been used to derive the corresponding information for other EU Member States. The Author would be grateful for any primary information or better secondary information on the legal regime in those countries.

United Kingdom

The starting point is section 5 of the Wireless Telegraphy Act 1949, which makes it illegal to use any wireless telegraphy apparatus with intent to obtain information as to the contents, sender or addressee of any message which the user is not authorised to receive, or to disclose any information obtained in that way. This does not apply to interception authorised by the government and to disclosure in legal proceedings.

The Interception of Communications Act 1985 was passed following the case of Malone before the ECHR (see section 2.3 above). Section 1 maintains the rule of section 5 WTA '49. Section 2 permits the Secretary of State to issue a warrant authorising interception of post or a public telecommunications system if he considers it necessary:

- in the interests of national security
- for the purpose of preventing or detecting serious crime; or
- for the purpose of safeguarding the economic well-being of the UK.

This Act provides a procedure to authorise interception of Internet messages but not messages being transmitted within private networks. Interception of the signal from a cordless telephone to its base is excluded, as are the signals emitted by a cellular telephone (but the subsequent transmission of those signals via the cellular network is included because that is a public telecommunications network).
S1 of the Computer Misuse Act 1990 makes it a crime knowingly to cause a computer to perform any function with intent to secure unauthorised access to any program or data held in any computer. Although it is primarily intended to criminalise "hacking", it would appear to apply to the use of a computer (including one embedded inside interception equipment) to intercept data being transmitted between two other computers.

The Data Protection Act 1984 gives legal effect to eight data protection principles which follow those of the Council of Europe Convention. Principle 8 requires data users to take appropriate security measures against unauthorised access to personal data. "Personal data" refers to living natural persons, not legal persons.

There are no legal restrictions in the UK on the importation, possession or use of encryption equipment. However, in criminal proceedings, section 20 of the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 permits the authorities, where they may demand evidence derived from a computer, also to require it to be made readable.

**Austria**

There is a general data protection law and further detailed rules which govern the transmission of personal data. The general legal framework for telecommunications (TKG) does not provide specific sanctions for breaching these rules. It does however impose a criminal sanction of up to 3 months imprisonment for illegal interception of transmissions. Telecommunication network operators are required to set up systems to allow the criminal courts to make interceptions (TKG Art 89) and to warn users that the network may not be secure (TKG 90).

---

11 Datenschutzgesetz.

12 Telekommunikationsgesetz BGBL 1997/100.

**Belgium**

There are criminal sanctions against the ownership or use of equipment for the interception of private communications, other than by an officer of the state. Similar sanctions apply to such an officer who abuses the right to intercept communications or divulges any material that has been lawfully obtained by interception.

---


**Denmark**

Danish law provides specific penalties for passing on or exploiting third party communications by network operators or their employees. A further law requires mobile communications licensees to keep...
confidential any communications through their networks.

Operators are required to take all precautions necessary to prevent unauthorised persons gaining access to information.

14 Ministerial Order No 712, 25/7/96
15 Act No 468, 12/6/96

Finland

The Telecommunications Market Act\textsuperscript{16} imposes a general duty of confidentiality on telecommunication network operators, their staff and contractors. The wider duties under the Personal Data Act also prevent disclosure. There are criminal sanctions for breach of these duties, unless the disclosure is, with the consent of the subscriber, to appropriate authorities to prevent misuse of the telecommunication system.

Law enforcement officials may demand disclosure of information or recordings of calls if investigating certain crimes listed in the Coercive Measures Act\textsuperscript{17}. Telecommunications network operators are required to provide the necessary facilities, which are funded by Government.

16 Telemarkinalaki 1997/396.
17 Pakokeinokai.

France

Telecommunications network operators are required to respect the secrecy of correspondence\textsuperscript{18} and there are criminal sanctions for deliberate violation\textsuperscript{19}. Private conversations may only be intercepted under certain conditions, when authorised by the judiciary or administration\textsuperscript{20}.

The UK approach of permitting the use encryption for transmission over public networks is shared by all other Member States except France. The current law in France\textsuperscript{21} permits the use of cryptography for authentication but requires confidentiality systems to be authorised and for keys to be deposited with a State-designated key escrow. Until recently only 40 bit codes were permitted but, in January 1999, the French government announced that all restrictions would be lifted.

18 L 32-3 PTC.
19 Articles 226-13, 226-15 and 432-9 of the penal code.
21 Loi de la Réglementation des télécommunications, 18/6/96.

Germany
Privacy of the content of telecommunications is guaranteed by the constitution and operators authorised by the TKG\textsuperscript{22} are subject to criminal sanctions (s85 TKG) if they breach this duty. The operators must also take appropriate technical precautions or other measures to protect the privacy of telecommunications and personal data. Security requirements are specified by the regulatory authority\textsuperscript{23}.

\textsuperscript{22} Telekommunikationsgesetz 25/7/1996.
\textsuperscript{23} Bundersanzeiger 208(a) 7/11/97.

The operators are required, by s88 TKG, to set up (at their own expense) facilities to support legally prescribed interception.

**Greece**

The right to privacy of telephone and other telecommunications is protected by Article 19 of the Constitution. This right may be withdrawn on application to the Court of Appeal judge prosecutor from the courts or civil, military or police authorities in the interests of national security or in the detection of specified crimes. Applications are overseen by the National Commission for the Protection of Privacy in Communication\textsuperscript{24}.

\textsuperscript{24} Ethniki Epitropi Prostasias tou Aporritou ton Epikoinonion.

**Ireland**

There is protection for personal data within the Data Protection Act 1988 but there is no specific provision in Irish law to protect the security and confidentiality of telecommunications services.

**Italy**

Like Ireland, the only protection is within the implementation of the Data Protection Directive in Italian law\textsuperscript{25}. This does however extend to data about entities and associations as well as individuals and might provide some protection against unlawful interception.

\textsuperscript{25} Law 675/96.

**Luxembourg**

Again there is only protection in terms of data protection, concerning the storage and transmission of data about an individual\textsuperscript{26}.

\textsuperscript{26} Law of 31 March 1979.
Netherlands

There is a general duty on telecommunications network operators to abide by the rules of personal data set out in the Data Protection Act. More detailed rules are given in the Telecommunications Act which was expected to become law late in 1998. This gives effect to Directive 97/66/EC. Article 11.2 of that Act imposes a general duty on telecommunications network operators and service providers to protect the privacy of their users. This is interpreted by Article 11.3 to require them to have a level of security which is appropriate to the state of technology and implementation costs, and in proportion to the level of threat.

27 Wet Persoonsregistraties, 28 December 1988, 665.


Portugal

Personal data is protected but there is no explicit protection for the privacy of communications.


Spain

The only specific protection is the general data protection law but the telecommunication legislation contain statements on the duty to preserve the confidentiality and secrecy of communications.

30 Ley Orgánica de Regulación del Tratamiento Automatizado de los Datos de Carácter Personal, 1992 (known as LORTAD)

31 Ley de Ordenación de las Telecommunicaciones (LOT).


Sweden

The Telecommunications Act imposes an obligation of confidentiality on individuals who obtain access to telecommunications messages in the course of their duties. There are well-defined circumstances under which this obligation may lawfully be breached.

The Data Protection Act also applies to data transmitted by telecommunications systems.

33 Swedish Telecommunications Act 1993:597.

34 1973:289.
4.2 Third countries

United States of America

Interception is generally illegal in the United States but is permitted in most States under stringent rules designed to protect privacy but allow the investigation of crime, including a requirement to obtain a court order before conducting an interception. There are two basic pieces of Federal legislation: ECPA\(^{35}\) which concerns criminal investigations and FISA\(^{36}\) which concerns intelligence and counterintelligence operations.

ECPA works like many European legal frameworks, in that it sets in place a procedure to authorise lawful interception. Network operators and service providers are required by CALEA\(^{37}\) to have the necessary technical facilities and to render assistance to law enforcement agencies. The requirements of CLEA are similar to those of the Council Resolution (see section 3.2 above).

FISA authorises electronic surveillance of foreign powers and agents of foreign powers to obtain foreign intelligence information. FISA defines this in terms of U.S. national security, including defence against attack, sabotage, terrorism, and clandestine intelligence activities. The targeted communications need not relate to any crime. FISA surveillance actions are implemented operationally by the FBI. Electronic surveillance conducted under FISA is classified.

There are two limbs to FISA:

- **Communications to or from US persons (natural or legal) but not U.S. persons who are overseas (unless the communications are with a U.S. person who is inside the U.S.).** A court order is required to authorise interception;

- **Communications exclusively between or among foreign powers or involving technical intelligence other than spoken communications from a location under the open and exclusive control of a foreign power.** An intercept may be authorised by a Presidential order.

---


36 Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act 1978.

37 Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act 1994.

Australia

Australia is of interest to Europe because it has recently examined in some detail the requirements for lawful interception capability. The Barrett Review\(^{38}\) concluded that telecommunications interception is highly cost-effective when compared with other forms of surveillance. The Review supported the development of "international user requirements" as the most effective means of international cooperation to ensure that law enforcement's needs are taken into account in the development of new technology. The conclusions were similar to those of the Council Recommendation (see section 3.2 above) in that they call for network operators to be required to support lawful interception whilst at the same time strengthening the duty of the operators to protect confidentiality against unlawful interception.

The Review calls for international agreed standards. It concludes that unilateral action by Australia to
demand interceptable and secure national technology might lead to less than world-class technology being used and hence to a major economic disadvantage. It continues "the sooner an international requirement for interception is standardised and accepted, the more likely there will be the automatic provision of a telecommunications intercept capability in new technology with similar implications for all users".


5 Observations

Several main points and trends are clear:

- Human rights legislation, particularly ECHR, clearly provides a robust protection for natural persons against unlawful interception by the State of communications. It is not clear to what extent this legislation would protect legal persons;

- Most EU Member States have, and it might be expected that all soon will have, a procedure to authorise lawful interception by the State;

- The EU, USA and Australia appear to be converging on a common set of interception requirements which ensure that network operators do everything necessary to permit lawful interception;

- Many EU Member States already require telecommunications network operators to take technical precautions to protect privacy of communications (ie against unlawful interception);

- The economic benefits of encryption to allow secure e-commerce are seen as outweighing the social losses to law enforcement, and soon all EU Member States will have no restrictions on the use of encryption.

The position is less clear with regard to interception by foreign powers, particularly because of the fundamental technological change from switched circuits to packet switching. The former allows the network operator to control the route by which communications pass between subscribers. The latter reflects the underlying principle of the Internet, in that packets of data go by whatever route is convenient. It may for example be easier to route a packet from the south to the north of France via the USA at 09.30 French Time if most US assets are underused at that time and the French national network is at peak demand.

Consider two subscribers within country A, communicating with each other via a network operating in country A. Interception of communications by a person in country B while the communications are passing within country A would appear to be unlawful. Under these circumstances the subscribers would have a right of recourse to ECHR and country B would be in breach of ICCPR. Even if the interception is lawful in country B (for example FISA could make the interception lawful if country B is the USA), it is not lawful in country A unless country B has express permission by the authorisation procedure of country A.

Now consider the case where their communication is routed via country B. It is possible that the lawful procedure for interception could be followed in country B. In particular, FISA could make the interception lawful if country B is the USA; the network operator in the USA would be obliged to comply with a lawful
request to support that interception. Similarly IOCA could make it lawful if country B was UK.

It is claimed that some countries have the technological capability to intercept communications been carried entirely on a network within another country and it is the policy of many countries to be able to do so when the communication is (even temporarily) within that country. Legal protection against the former is weak or inconvenient; against the latter it is non-existent.

A possible course of action for the EU to protect privacy without compromising law enforcement would be to extend and enforce the requirement for network operators to protect the privacy of communications. Technical means exist which could achieve this at three levels:

1. Telecommunications network operators to apply strong encryption to the content of communications. As the operators would hold the keys to this encryption, they could meet the Requirements of the Council Resolution.

2. Anonymous re-routing services to provide encryption of the addresses of communications. Again they could meet the Requirements but this would provide additional protection against unlawful interception leading to what is known in military intelligence as "traffic analysis" -- even where the content of messages cannot be decrypted, the names of the sender and recipient can provide valuable intelligence.

3. Readily available private encryption to allow those who require greater security to encrypt their messages with a private key. An approach to reconciling this with law enforcement has been proposed in Denmark. This in effect reverses the burden of proof in criminal cases. Where there is:
   - circumstantial evidence of guilt;
   - encrypted material which might prove guilt;
   - the defendant chooses not to decrypt that material; then the Court may draw an inference of guilt. This is analogous to the UK law on the right to remain silent when questioned.


40 ss 34 to 37, Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994.
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6.1 Books


6.2 Journals

The following journals frequently address the issue of telecommunications security:

- Computers and Law
- Computer Law and Security Report
- Computer and Telecommunications Law Review

6.3 Web sites

Information derived from web sites should be treated with caution. Although those of reputable bodies are probably reliable, there is no quality assurance and many of the web sites concerned with privacy and interception do not appear to come up to even the lowest standards of objectivity. A few of the sites examined in the course of this study are listed below; search engines yield many more.

OECD has a site with several relevant pages; including http://www.oecd.org/news and events and http://www.oecd.org/dsti/it/secur.

- A useful survey of cryptographic policies around the world is offered on the site of the Global Internet Liberty Campaign http://www.gilc.org/crypto/crypt-survey.

- The Electronic Privacy Information Centre provides what appears to be objective and valuable information on http://www.epic.org.

- EU law and announcements are on http://www2.echo.lu/legal/en/dataprot/dataprot.html.

- There is a thorough review of the US legislation on http://www.cdt.org/digi_tele.
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Summary

The aims of this report are:

- to remind Members of the European Parliament of the risks, in terms of electronic surveillance, inherent in the use of modern means of communication;

- to provide Members with a reference document on encryption technologies and the current status of the standardisation procedures for these techniques;

- to outline potential developments with regard to both secure communications and electronic surveillance methods;
• to provide Members with a synopsis, in language that is precise and yet accessible to non-specialists, of recent technical documents on security of information which represent the latest developments in the practice and principles of international control bodies;

• to propose to Members options which are in the best interests of European citizens, businesses and organisations.

The report is divided into six main sections.

The first is a brief description of modern means of communication and the risks their use entails; the second provides an overview of current cryptographic techniques: secret-key cryptography, public-key cryptography and quantum cryptography; these techniques are explained in detail in the three following sections. The third section gives a precise description of secret-key cryptography, outlines the state of the art as regards the data security of widely-used protocols and gives an update on the standardisation procedures for the future US federal standard, which is likely to become a world standard. The fourth section describes public-key cryptography in very clear terms, outlines the state of the art with regard to the standardisation procedures for public-key protocols worldwide and gives a technical interpretation of a Commission DG XIII document. The practical implementation of quantum cryptanalysis and quantum cryptography may have a particularly significant international impact in political, diplomatic and financial terms: the fifth section outlines the latest developments in these two areas. The Wassenaar Arrangement concerns export controls for conventional arms and sensitive technological products. Thirty-three countries are party to the Agreement, including all the EU countries and the signatories to the UKUSA agreement. The sixth section consists of a technical interpretation of the amendments to the Wassenaar Arrangement of 3 December 1998, regarding data security. The final part of the report makes a number of proposals, with a view to protecting European citizens and the interests of European firms and organisations. It also provides a list of complementary research projects, with the aim of measuring more effectively the impact that certain international agreements are having in terms of electronic surveillance in Europe. The report includes a bibliography, listing the documents referred to.
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1. Introduction

Electronic surveillance is generally considered to be a weapon with which to fight organised crime or
terrorism ([32], Foreword, p. iii). It can, however, have a darker side, namely that of industrial espionage, violation of privacy, or both.

The report [35] published by STOA in January 1998 refers to the role played by the ECHELON network in electronic surveillance (see [8] for a list of links to this subject). It is a global network which can intercept all telephone, fax or e-mail communications.

Although it is very difficult to quantify the losses caused by industrial espionage (many cases are not reported, either because the company fears losing face or simply because the damage goes undetected), the losses incurred by firms in the European Union can reasonably be put at several billion euros per year. The extent of the problem can be surmised from a study published by PricewaterhouseCoopers Investigation LLC ([27]) on 22 March 1999; the study shows that over 59% of all firms with a significant presence on the Internet were spied on in 1998. Furthermore, it is quite conceivable that information acquired by such means is exploited by the international stock markets. It is an issue which thus affects shareholders, companies and national economies alike.

The initial purpose of this report is to illustrate the main techniques whereby EU citizens, firms and institutions can protect themselves, to a certain extent, against what is now known as economic intelligence.

In Section 2, we outline the various means of communication generally used. We also describe some of the techniques, of varying degrees of sophistication, by means of which information can be unlawfully accessed, and some countermeasures which can be taken. Technological measures allowing data to be transmitted confidentially require the use of cryptosystems, which are briefly defined and illustrated in Section 3. In Sections 4, 5 and 6 we outline the latest developments in secret-key, public-key and quantum cryptographic protocols. As regards the first two, we give an update on standardisation procedures. In Section 7 we conduct a technical appraisal of the information security aspects of the Wassenaar Arrangement, which concerns export controls for conventional arms and sensitive technological products. We conclude the report by making recommendations to the European bodies.

This document does not necessarily represent the views of the European Parliament. Nevertheless, in this report commissioned by STOA, and particularly in Sections 2, 7 and 8, we systematically viewed things from a standpoint which we judged to be the most favourable vis-à-vis the defence of European interests.

2. Means of communication used and risks involved

In this section we look at relatively hi-tech methods of communication; direct oral transmission and traditional mail are therefore not dealt with. For the sake of clarity and in keeping with standard practice in this field, we have designated Alice and Bob as two hypothetical individuals wishing to communicate.

2.1 Standard telephones. Standard telephone systems can be tapped without any technical difficulties: a microphone can be placed inside the telephone set; alternatively, the wires of the telephone exchange of the building where the target is located can be tapped, as can those of the telephone company's central exchange. These techniques are largely undetectable by the target.

2.2 Voice-scrambling telephones. Secure telephones and fax machines are now available on the market. Their level of security may be very modest, depending on the legislation currently in force in their country of origin (see Section 7).
2.3 Fax machines. As things stand, fax machines should be considered as insecure as telephones. Fax-encrypting machines do exist, but their security level is contingent on legislation in their country of origin, as above.

2.4 Cordless telephones. Some older models transmit just above the AM broadcasting band and can thus be easily intercepted. Commercially-available scanners enable the more recent models to be tapped. Sometimes certain sound wave inversion techniques are recommended in order to combat tapping, but these solutions only provide a very low level of confidentiality. As regards cellular phones, the situation is more complex. Early models transmit in the same way as radios and so do not provide a high level of confidentiality, since conversations can be intercepted using inexpensive scanners (equally low-priced equipment can be purchased to increase the frequencies accessible to the scanners currently on the market). It is worth mentioning here the US Administration’s attempt to impose the Clipper standard on all portable phones developed in the United States. This would have allowed government agencies to retain keys enabling them to eavesdrop on conversations. Moreover, details of the encryption algorithm ‘Skipjack’, developed by the NSA, have not been made public.

The GSM system, the international standard for digital cellular phones, was developed by the GSM MoU Association (which became the GSM Association on 30 November 1998) in collaboration with the European Telecommunications Standard Institute ([13]), an international umbrella organisation bringing together public authorities, operator networks, manufacturers, service providers and users. GSM uses cryptographic techniques at various levels. As regards identification, GSM uses several algorithms, although in practice most operators use a protocol named COMP128. However, in April 1998 the Smartcard Developer Association ([28]), in collaboration with David Wagner and Ian Goldberg, researchers at UC Berkeley (USA), announced that it had developed a system whereby phones using the GSM standard could be cloned. But on 27 April 1998, Charles Brookson, chairman of the security group of the GSM MoU Association, stated that this would not be of any practical use to fraudsters.

With regard to confidentiality, GSM uses a protocol known as A5. There are two versions of this system: A5/1 and A5/2, which meet different needs. According to some experts, A5/2 is less secure than A5/1, which we will now discuss. The A5/1 protocol in theory uses 64 bits. But Wagner told us that in practice ([33]), in every phone he had seen, 10 bits had been systematically replaced with zeros, thus reducing the theoretical security of the system to 54 bits. The system is therefore even less secure than the 56 bits offered by DES, which can now be cracked all too easily (see 4.4). Work conducted before this discovery ([11]) had already reduced the real security of the system to 40 bits. It is therefore quite possible that by using similar methods, i.e. assuming that 10 bits are equal to zero, the actual security level of A5/1 – and hence the confidentiality of conversations - can be reduced even further.

On 24 February 1999, at the GSM World Congress in Cannes (France), Charles Brookson announced that GSM security had been reviewed and in particular that COMP128 had been revised.

2.5 ISDN. It is technically possible to tap an ISDN telephone with the help of software that remotely activates the monitoring function via the D channel, obviously without physically lifting the receiver. It is therefore easy to eavesdrop on certain conversations in a given room.

2.6 Internet communications. In a nutshell, the traditional mail equivalent of an e-mail on the Internet is a postcard without an envelope. Basically, such messages can be read. If they are in plaintext, they can be understood and any ‘secret reader’ can take measures which are detrimental to the two parties wishing to communicate. For example, if Alice sends a message to Bob and if Charles is a passive attacker, Charles knows what message has been sent but he cannot modify it. If, on the other hand, he is an active attacker, he can modify it. One way of circumventing this problem is by encrypting the messages (see Section 3). However, the solutions developed by Microsoft, Netscape and Lotus for encrypting e-mails are configured...
in such a way that the NSA can systematically read all e-mails thus exchanged outside the United States (although it is probably the only agency that is able to do so).

2.7 The TEMPEST effect. TEMPEST is the acronym for Temporary Emanation and Spurious Transmission, i.e. emissions from electronic components of electromagnetic radiation in the form of radio signals. These emissions can be picked up by AM/FM radio receivers within a range varying from a few dozen to a few hundred metres. Building on these data it is then possible to reconstruct the original information. Protective measures against such risks consist of placing the source of the emissions (central processors, monitors, but also cables) in a Faraday cage, or jamming the electromagnetic emissions. The NSA has published several documents on TEMPEST (see [25]).

All computers work by means of a micro-processor (chip). The PC chip market is dominated by Intel, which has a market share of over 80%. On 20 January 1999 Intel unveiled its new PSN-equipped Pentium III processor.

2.8 PSNs. Pentium III processors have a unique serial number called PSN (Processor Serial Number). Intel devised this technique in order to promote electronic commerce. The aim of the serial number is to enable anybody ordering goods via the Internet to be identified. Intel maintains that all users will be able to retain control over whether or not to allow their serial number to be read. However, software techniques enabling the number to be read have already been discovered (see [26]). It is therefore possible to obtain the PSN secretly and to track the user without his or her knowledge.

The PSN is very different from the IP (Internet Protocol) address, even though a user's IP address can be revealed to any webpage he or she chooses to visit. IP addresses are not as permanent as PSNs: many users have no fixed IP address that can be used to track their movements, as they may use masks via the proxy servers of Internet service providers. ISPs normally assign a different IP number per session and per user. Users can also change ISP, use a service which guarantees their anonymity, etc.

As it stands, the PSN can therefore be used for electronic surveillance purposes.

Moreover, it is still not known for sure whether PSNs can be cloned. If so, their use for identification purposes in electronic commerce would have to be ruled out.

3. An overview of cryptographic techniques

Cryptography is the study of the techniques used to ensure the confidentiality, authenticity and integrity of information and its origin. Cryptography can be broadly divided into three categories: private-key, public-key and quantum cryptography. Several of these techniques make extensive use of hash functions. Here we give a brief outline of the techniques, explaining them in more detail in Sections 4, 5 and 6. However, it should be stressed that a high degree of confidentiality can be attained only by combining these techniques with measures to counter TEMPEST effects. Basically, it is no use encrypting data if, for example, they can be read in plaintext while being transferred from the keyboard to the central processor. Assuming that the information to be processed is in binary code, the fundamental unit of information referred to in all sections of this report is the bit, apart from in Sections 3, 4 and 6, where its quantum equivalent, the qubit, is used.

3.1 Hash functions. These are tools which have multiple applications; amongst other things, they can be used to create secret keys and electronic signatures. Their basic function is to rapidly map a file (of any
3.2 Secret-key cryptography. With this method, a single key is used both for encrypting and decrypting. This key should be known only to Alice and Bob. It can be of varying length. Secret-key cryptography can be divided into two categories: Stream Ciphers and Block Ciphers. With Stream Ciphers the length of the key is the same as that of the message to be transmitted. The ‘right’ size, i.e. that which can be used as a basis for recreating a key the same size as the message, can be reduced to a fixed size with the help of cryptographically secure pseudorandom bit generators. These generators have to pass very stringent statistical tests. As regards Block Ciphers, the size of the key is fixed (56 bits for DES, 128 bits for AES, see 4.3, 4.4). The main problems with this technique lie in the management and distribution of the keys.

3.3 Public-key cryptography. Unlike the secret-key algorithms, public-key algorithms require two keys per user. Alice (and Bob respectively) chooses a secret key, $X_A$ (respectively $X_B$) and publishes (e.g. in a directory) a public key $Y_A$ (respectively $Y_B$). Bob encodes his message with $Y_A$ and sends it to Alice. Only Alice, with her secret key $X_A$, can decode the message. The security of public-key algorithms has a mathematical basis (see Section 5).

See [21] and [23] for details of a report (updated to 31 December 1998) on the standardisation procedures for AES secret-key protocols (see 4.5) and IEEE-P1363 public-key protocols (see 5.3).

3.4 Quantum cryptography. This method is dealt with in 6.2.

3.5 Cryptanalysis. Cryptanalysis is the perfection of techniques or attacks to reduce the theoretical security of cryptographic algorithms. This should not be confused with the hackers’ approach, since they, as a rule, exploit weaknesses not in the algorithms themselves, but in the security architecture. In 4.4 we describe a number of attacks on secret-key cryptosystems and in 5.1 and 6.1 on public-key cryptosystems.

3.6 Security quantification. In general security is evolutive, as it often depends on the scientific knowledge of a given period. It may be absolute. For example, the only known form of attack for breaking various Block Ciphers is that of trying out all possible keys (Brute-Force Attack). Hence, if such a system uses a 56-bit key, security equals $2^{56}$ operations. It can also be relative: theoretically, a cryptosystem is considered to be insecure if it can be cryptanalysed in polynomial time according to the size of the data. Its degree of security can be considered satisfactory if it takes a sub-exponential, or better still, exponential period of time to cryptanalyse. More precise measurements can be provided in terms of MIPS/year. This unit of measurement is equivalent to a computer’s computational capacity, carrying out a million instructions per second over a year (approximately $3 \times 10^{13}$ instructions in all).

4. Secret-key cryptography

Secret-key cryptography can be divided into two categories: Stream Ciphers and Block Ciphers.

4.1 Stream Ciphers. These technologies are only rarely published. Where Block Ciphers encrypt in blocks, Stream Ciphers encrypt on a bit-by-bit basis. The most well-known of these, and the most cryptographically secure, is the One-Time Pad, which requires a key of the same length as the message to be transmitted. This key must also be created randomly. For practical purposes, the One-Time Pad is often simulated by means...
of cryptographically secure pseudorandom bit generators, often abbreviated to CSPRBG (Cryptographically Strong Pseudo-Random Bit Generator). Starting with an initial data item \(X_0\) (seed), CSPRBG is used to create deterministically bits which appear to be random. This is then double-checked by subjecting the CSPRBG candidate to extremely stringent statistical tests.

### 4.2 Block Ciphers

With Block Ciphers a message is cut into fixed-length blocks. With the aid of an algorithm and secret key \(K\) of fixed length, but possibly of a different length to the blocks, each block is encrypted and sent. The recipient decrypts each block with the same key \(K\). All he or she then has to do is to ‘stick’ the blocks back together to recover the original message. The *de facto* standard for algorithms in the Block Cipher category is DES (see 4.4).

### 4.3 Problems

At least two problems may arise with these methods (Stream Ciphers and Block Ciphers):

- (a) How do Alice and Bob communicate the secret key \(K\) to each other?
- (b) In a network with \(n\) users where \(n(n - 1)/2\) secret keys are needed (e.g. 499 500 secret keys in a network of 1 000 users), obvious problems of storage and security need to be addressed.

Public-key (see 5, particularly 5.2) and quantum (see 6.2) cryptography techniques provide partial solutions to these problems.

### 4.4 DES: state of the art

The symmetric algorithm most widely used at present is undoubtedly DES (Data Encryption Standard). In 1997 it was recognised as an FIPS (Federal Information Processing Standard) and registered as FIPS 46-2. DES uses a 56-bit key. There are therefore \(2^{56}\) possible keys. The block length is 64 bits.

DES has enjoyed the political backing of the United States for a very long time. As recently as 17 March 1998, for example, Robert S. Litt (Principal Associate Deputy Attorney-General) maintained that the FBI did not have the technological and financial capacity to decrypt a message encrypted with a symmetric 56-bit secret-key algorithm. He concluded by stating that 14 000 Pentium PCs would need to be used for four months in order to achieve such a feat (see also statements by Louis J. Freeh (Director of the FBI) and William P. Crowell (Deputy Director of the NSA, [10], p. 1-2).

Nevertheless, the Electronic Frontier Foundation built a DES cracker and presented it at an informal (Rump) session of the Crypto ’98 conference in Santa Barbara. The machine (worth USD 250 000, including the design) is described in [10]. Better still, the book explains how to scan the plans in order to reproduce the machine for a maximum outlay of USD 200 000 (basically there is no need to pay over again for the design). This machine is capable of finding a secret DES key in an average of four days. In January 1999 a team led by the Electronic Frontier Foundation won the RSA Laboratories’ Challenge (pocketing USD 10 000 for their efforts) by managing, with the aid of a large computer network, to break a 56-bit key in 23 hours 15 minutes. This has both political and diplomatic implications: it appears that it is now financially feasible for all nations to decode all DES-encoded records that may have been built up over the years. From now on all DES-based systems should therefore be considered insecure. In practice, it is now advisable to use Triple-DES at the very least (though even here caution is needed). The NIST (National Institute for Standards and Technology), mindful of the risks relating to DES, has called on the cryptographic community to work on its successor – AES (Advanced Encryption Standard [24]).

### 4.5 AES

The required features for AES are: a) the algorithm should be a secret-key Block Cipher type algorithm, and (b) it should support the following combinations of cryptographic key-block sizes: 128-128, 192-128 and 256-128 bits. The algorithms used in AES will be royalty-free worldwide. The algorithm should also be sufficiently flexible, for example, to allow other combinations (64-bit block lengths); it
should be efficient on various platforms and in various applications (8-bit processors, ATM networks, satellite communications, HDTV, B-ISDN, etc.) and it should be usable as a Stream Cipher, MAC (Message Authentication Code) generator, Pseudo-Random Number Generator, etc.

The first AES conference was held on 20 August 1998 (just before the Crypto '98 conference). During the conference, presentations were given of the 15 (out of 21) candidates that had been accepted: CAST-256, CRYPTON, DEAL, DFC, E2, FROG, HPC, LOK197, MAGENTA, MARS, RC6, RIJNDAEL, SAFER+, SERPENT and TWOFISH.

At present, it seems that the DEAL, LOK197, FROG, MAGENTA and MARS (in the extra-long key version) proposals are subject to attacks of varying intensity.

The second AES conference will be held in Rome on 22-23 March 1999, after which five algorithms will be chosen out of the 15 candidates. The debate on the 15 candidates has already begun ([3]). A third AES conference will be held from six to nine months later, when the winner will be announced. Following a final examination period of another six to nine months, the winning algorithm will be put forward as an FIPS. It is likely that AES will become an FIPS in around 2001.

5. Public-key cryptography

5.1 A description of public-key cryptography. The security of public-key algorithms has a mathematical basis:

- Factoring of large integers: RSA (Rivest-Shamir-Adleman) and Rabin-Williams.
- Discrete Log Problem for elliptic curves: the above algorithm equivalents also apply to elliptic curves. Given an elliptic curve E defined over a finite field Fp or F2^n, it is essential to be able to rapidly calculate the number of rational points on the elliptic curve over the finite field in question. The Schoof-Elkies-Atkin method (now known as SEA) is normally used for this purpose. In some cases (Koblitz curves or complex multiplication curves) this number is very easy to calculate.

Public-key cryptosystems are prone to attacks:

- Factoring of large integers: the ECM (Elliptic Curve Factoring Method) is used to find small factors. At present QFS (Quadratic Field Sieve) or NFS (Number Field Sieve) are used to find large factors. There is a limit to the numbers that can be considered. Very recently, Professor Shamir of the Weizmann Institute perfected an approach known as the ‘Twinkle Attack’ which enables 512-bit numbers to be factored with great rapidity. The cost of the attack is also very modest. At present, therefore, RSA-512 bits should no longer be considered secure.

- Discrete Log Problem: to solve this problem, the index-calculus method or the NFS method can be used. There is a limit to the numbers that can be considered.
- Discrete Log Problem for elliptic curves: a well-known attack is Pollard’s rho method (which can also be parallelised). Here too, only certain curves can be considered: the so-called supersingular or anomalous elliptic curves should be avoided (a very rapid practical test can show whether a given elliptic curve is suitable).
The techniques based on the problem of factoring, on the one hand, and the discrete logarithm, on the other, are fundamentally different. For the former, large prime numbers have to be secretly produced and stored. As it is not humanly possible to remember large prime numbers, they have to be stored on a physical medium, which could give rise to security problems.

The approach to the discrete logarithm problem is different. For example, the user can freely choose a text that is easy to memorise (e.g. a poem). The text is then translated into binary code and hashed with a tried-and-tested hash function, such as the European proposal RIPEMD160, which has an output of 160 bits (see. 3.1). These 160 bits, being impossible to memorise, form the user’s secret key. This approach has the advantage of limiting storage problems.

These two approaches solve different problems, according to the parameters involved. Elliptic curve-based techniques are now the focus of attention, since unlike other proposals, no subexponential algorithm has as yet been discovered to resolve the discrete logarithm problem for these groups. Consequently, elliptic curves over fixed-size fields provide the same degree of security as other algorithms for fields or modules of a larger size. For example, the security provided by elliptic curves for a 163-bit module is equivalent to that provided by RSA for 1024 bits.

5.2 Symmetric or public-key cryptography? Symmetric and public-key cryptosystems are not mutually exclusive. On the contrary, for the secure transmission of a document through an open channel (e.g. Internet), they are most useful if combined.

For example, Alice lives in Paris and wishes to send a 15-page report by e-mail to Bob, who lives in Brussels. It is out of the question for Alice to go to Brussels to give a secret AES key to Bob. If she were to choose this expensive method, she might just as well deliver the document in person! Naturally, Alice and Bob could choose to communicate using public-key cryptographic techniques, as described above, the only problem being that encryption with these techniques is about 1000 times slower than encryption using secret-key cryptosystems.

The most practical solution could be the following:

- Alice sends a 128-bit message K to Bob using public-key cryptography. The use of public-key techniques is warranted, as the message is very short (128 bits). Alice and Bob thus share the secret K.
- As agreed between them according to standard practice, K is the secret key to a secret-key algorithm, AES.
- Alice and Bob forget the public-key technology. To continue communicating they use AES with the K key. Alice can now send her 15-page document to Bob for the price of a phone call.

Alice’s and Bob’s systems must, however, be compatible: indeed, the aim of the standardisation drive described below is to harmonise communications.

5.3 IEEE-P1363 and other standards. The P1363 project began in 1993 under the auspices of the IEEE (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers) Standardisation Committee. Its aim is to improve communications between several families of public-key cryptosystems: RSA, El Gamal, Diffie-Hellman and elliptic curves. Since the end of 1996, the techniques considered by P1363 have changed little and have been summarised in ([16]). The P1363A project contains additional techniques.

The standard project (draft version 9) is now ready to be revised by a group of experts from the IEEE Standards Association. The group started its work in February 1999 and will deliver its initial conclusions on 2 April 1999. According to the most optimistic estimate, the draft will be approved as a standard on 25 June 1999.
The IEEE-P1363 standard will have a huge influence on other standards, such as ANSI X9.42, ANSI X9.62 and ANSI X9.63 in the banking industry. It will also be the cornerstone of the X.509 ([17]) and S-MIME ([18]) protocols. These multiple protocols are essential for electronic commerce.

5.4 A technical interpretation of the Commission (DG XIII) document COM(97) 503. This document [12] sets out Community-wide requirements with regard to secure electronic communications. It focuses on both electronic signatures and confidential methods of electronic communication. Below we suggest a few updates to Technical Annexes I (Digital Signature) and II (Symmetric and asymmetric encryption) to this document.

Annex I. It would be preferable to avoid citing MD2 and MD5 as examples, since cases of collision in the former and pseudo-collision in the latter have been brought to light. It would also be advisable to replace SHA by SHA-1 (based on [14]) and to write RIPEMD-160 (based on [7]) instead of RIPEM 160. It is currently recommended that one of these two hash functions be used to replace the MD2, MD4 and MD5 functions wherever possible.

Annex II. Symmetric encryption systems. It would be preferable to avoid citing DES and SAFER as examples. We suggest that IDEA, which so far has shown no serious flaws, be retained and that the candidates that passed the first AES round be mentioned.

Annex II. Asymmetric encryption systems. Once again, as regards the examples provided, it would be advisable to be more specific, e.g. by taking up the approach described at the start of 5.1, which is currently being standardised.

Annexe II. Systems security. We suggest deleting the last sentence of the second paragraph: ‘In a symmetric system like DES or IDEA, keys of 56 to 128 bits provide similar protection as a 1024-bit public key’. This assertion is totally false.

6. Quantum cryptanalysis and quantum cryptography

Quantum cryptanalysis and quantum cryptography may have a considerable impact in the political, diplomatic and financial terms.

6.1 Quantum cryptanalysis. The term quantum cryptanalysis refers to the set of techniques whereby the secret keys of cryptographic protocols can be found by means of quantum computers. It is an area in which research is thriving, as in August 1998 one of the system’s founders, Peter Shor of AT & T Bell Labs, won the Nevanlinna Prize, which was awarded to him at the International Congress of Mathematicians in Berlin. He has developed methods based on quantum physics to factor large numbers in polynomial time ([29], [30]) or to solve the Discrete Log Problem even when formulated within the general context of Abelian varieties ([31], see [32] for a summary of these results).

Consequence: if these results were to be put into practice, the immediate consequence would be that the security of the public-key cryptographic protocols described in Section 5 would be permanently undermined. In addition, cryptosystems based on Abelian varieties would then be cryptanalysed via quantum computing. A parallel can be drawn between these consequences and the comments in 7.3 relating to the Wassenaar Arrangement.

Despite this, IEEE-P1363 is still valid: the Shor algorithms require a powerful quantum computer, whose
existence is still hypothetical. Various experimental proposals have been made (qubits are the quantum equivalent of bits and are basically dual-state quantum systems):

- To use the electronic states of ions as qubits in an electromagnetic ion trap and to manipulate them with lasers (see [4]).
- To use nuclear atom spins in a complex molecule as qubits, and to manipulate them using nuclear magnetic resonance (see [6] and [9]).
- To use the nuclear spins of silicon chip impurities as qubits and to manipulate them using the chip’s electronics (see [19]).

None of these proposals has been tested for anything other than small numbers of qubits.

This field of research is particularly well-regarded in the United States and is funded by the DARPA, the Pentagon’s research department. A similar project has been set up in Europe: nine research groups have joined together to form the Quantum Information European Research Network. Nonetheless, according to Shor ([31]) it would be unreasonable to expect a quantum coprocessor to be developed within the next few years.

Should such a quantum computer ever exist, the public-key cryptography described in Section 5 would become obsolete. Nevertheless, there is a theory of quantum cryptography, more specifically of quantum key-sharing ([1], see [2] for a bibliography on the subject), which offers an alternative to public-key cryptography.

6.2 Quantum cryptography. The problems are similar to those described in 5.2: Alice and Bob once again wish to share a secret, which they can then use as a secret key for a symmetric protocol (such as AES). If they use only a telephone line, they have no choice but to employ public-key cryptography. If an attacker with a powerful quantum computer eavesdrops on their conversation, they are open to the attacks described earlier. However, if they can use an optical fibre to transmit quantum states, they can employ quantum cryptography. It can be designed in such a way that an attacker listening in on the conversation can capture only one ‘bit’ of the conversation at the most. Furthermore, any information that he does manage to capture will disturb the states, so Alice and Bob will immediately know what is happening. All they would then have to do then is reject the states in question.

Although the theory dates back to 1982-84 ([1]), it was not put into practice until the 1990s. In 1990-92 IBM began an initial free-space experiment over a 30 cm length. In 1993-95 British Telecom conducted an experiment on optical fibres over a 10-30 km length. In 1996 Swiss Telekom conducted similar experiments on a 23 km fibre under Lake Leman. In 1997 Los Alamos National Lab successfully conducted the same experiments on a 48 km optical fibre, and in 1998 it conducted an experiment through free space over 1 km.

7. A technical interpretation of Category 5 of the Wassenaar Arrangement

7.1 The Wassenaar Arrangement. Acknowledging the end of the Cold War, on 16 November 1993 in The Hague representatives of the 17 member states of COCOM decided to abolish the committee and replace it with a body which reflected the new political developments. The decision to wind up COCOM was confirmed in Wassenaar (Netherlands) on 29-30 March 1994 and came into effect on 31 March 1994.

The foundations of the agreement on COCOM’s successor were laid on 19 December 1995, once again in Wassenaar, and the inaugural meeting was held on 2-3 April 1996 in Vienna, which since then has become
the site of the Permanent Representation of the Wassenaar Agreements.

The Arrangement concerns export controls for conventional arms and sensitive technological products. Participating countries are: Germany, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Denmark, United States, Russian Federation, Finland, France, Spain, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Norway, New Zealand, the Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Republic of Korea, Slovak Republic, Czech Republic, Romania, United Kingdom, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and Ukraine.

This list of 33 countries includes, in particular, those of the European Community and the signatories to the UKUSA agreement.

The Arrangement is open to those countries which fulfil certain criteria (see [34] for a full description) and decisions are based on consensus. Observers are not admitted.

As regards the security of information, some important amendments were made during the last meeting of the representatives of the signatory countries to the Arrangement on 2-3 December 1998 in Vienna ([34]). These amendments, of which we give a technical interpretation below, concern Category 5, part 2, entitled Information Security.

7.2 Category 5, part 2: Information Security. Part 5.A.2 stipulates in particular that controls are to be imposed on systems, equipment and components using the following (either directly or after modification):

1. a symmetric algorithm using a key longer than 56 bits; or

2. a public-key algorithm, in which the security of the algorithm is based on one of the following:
   - (a) the factorisation of integers higher than 512 bits (e.g. RSA);
   - (b) discrete log computations in the multiplicative group of a finite field larger than 512 bits;
   - (c) discrete log computations in a group other than those mentioned above, and which is larger than 112 bits.

However (Note 5.A.2.d), cryptographic equipment specially designed and intended solely for use in machines for banking or money transactions is not subject to controls.

7.3 Comments. The gist of Point (1) is that unrestricted exports are authorised only for those techniques which offer the same degree of security as DES. As explained in 4.3, this type of system offers a very limited degree of security.

The techniques referred to in Point (2) were illustrated in 5.1. The main groups targeted in (2c) are those associated with elliptic curves. However, in actual fact (2c) covers a far vaster area, as it concerns all groups. It thus includes, inter alia, rational points of Abelian varieties over a finite field (in particular elliptic curves, which are Abelian varieties of dimension 1), which are known (see 6.1) to be open to quantum cryptanalysis.

As stated in 5.1, according to current know-how elliptic curves over fixed-size fields offer equivalent security to that provided by RSA with far larger modules or with the discrete logarithm over a far larger finite field. In other words, (2a), (2b) and (2c) offer equivalent degrees of security, in that, on average, more or less the same effort is required to recover the secret data from the different algorithms. This explains the slight difference in size between (2a, 2b) and (2c). Moreover, as seen in 5.2, these public-key techniques
are generally combined with secret-key cryptosystems.

7.4 Note. Watermark techniques are not included in the systems subject to controls. Such techniques, which are also known as data hiding or steganography, enable one piece of information to be hidden in another, e.g. a fax, photo, video or sound files. The hidden information generally protects the intellectual ownership of the data (see [20]), but nothing prevents users from hiding other things, such as a 128-bit key for a symmetric system, which the two correspondents have agreed on in advance (possibly via information that has been embedded in another document using a stenographic method). The state of the art is that documents which contain information hidden using steganographic techniques cannot – without special software - be distinguished from the original; moreover, the information can withstand numerous compressions/decompressions (necessary for the rapid transmission of such documents over the Internet) and can only be recovered by means of a special software product and a password. This technique is also very cheap. It seems that it is not therefore subject to export restrictions, but in practice it does allow confidential data to be exchanged. Likewise, the approach entitled ‘Chaffing and Winnowing: Confidentiality without Encryption’, developed by Professor Rivest, also enables a high degree of confidentiality to be achieved, whilst avoiding any entanglement with the Wassenaar Arrangement.

7.5 Impact on criminal organisations. It would be naïve to imagine that criminal or terrorist organisations conduct their business in compliance with international import/export rules, or that they do not have not the means to perfect highly confidential methods of communication. Algorithms do not stop at borders. Moreover, numerous algorithms are freely accessible. It is also difficult to see how the authorities could prove that a suspect binary sequence was created using an unauthorised system if, for example, it was actually created with a public-key cryptosystem using a 4096-bit module. Just because an intercepted binary sequence does not make sense, even if it has hypothetically used a ‘lawful’ cryptographic system (which can be ascertained, but at considerable cost), this does not mean that it has been created ‘unlawfully’ (which, above a certain level of sophistication, cannot be ascertained). Lastly, even if cryptographic products are subject to tight export controls, the fact remains that they are still freely used in many countries, including the United States. However, it does not appear that criminal or terrorist organisations operate only outside these countries; but neither do the authorities of these countries appear to lack effective means of investigation on their national territory.

7.6 Impact on the European Union. From a Community point of view, the consequences of the Wassenaar amendments are manifold.

Prior to the amendments, EU firms were free to conquer the data security market as long as the laws of their country of origin authorised them to do so. In particular, European firms in this sector could export solutions with a very high degree of security, the only restrictions being those imposed by national legislation (which could nevertheless be extremely tight, as in the case of France until recently).

Now, however, the only products that European data security firms are allowed to export without restriction are of a far lower quality.

By virtue of these amendments, at the time of publication of the agreement European data security firms, unlike their US counterparts, could not automatically realise economies of scale and target large markets. Even if, from the viewpoint of the Wassenaar Arrangement, they were on an equal footing with US firms, this apparent equality was deceptive and overall they were at a disadvantage.

Fortunately, bilateral agreements reached in Europe now allow European firms to sell high-quality solutions freely throughout the continent. However, this freedom ends abruptly at Europe’s external borders.
But even if the use of cryptography is such as to prevent industrial espionage by bodies with limited financial clout, the Wassenaar Arrangement resolutions do not protect firms from all risks. In the light of the existence of the DES Cracker, it is not unreasonable to estimate that an institution with a USD 300 million budget could recover a 56-bit key within a few seconds. With the same budget, it would take a few tenths of a second (see 2.4, where this is the maximum level of security provided by several GSM cellphones) to find a secret 40-bit key. Hence those firms, bodies or individuals that equip themselves with a cryptosystem which fulfils the criteria set out in 7.2 should be fully aware that the Echelon network is in all likelihood still able to intercept and decode their information.

8. Recommendations

It is our view that the recommendations (Section 4.5, p. 21-22) contained in the previous report [35] are still valid. Here, however, we seek to provide the European Parliament with some alternative solutions.

• A. - Experts should be commissioned to provide updates on a regular basis, or as required, to the technical documents published by Community bodies. For example, it would be advisable to examine whether and to what extent the comments made in 5.4 (which are by no means exhaustive) have been taken into consideration; it would also be advisable to monitor the conferences on AES, IEEE-P1363 and P1363A concerning secret-key and public-key cryptography and the experimental developments with regard to quantum processors.

• B. - Bearing in mind the legal risks run by European telephone industries (groups of users could be roused to action by the fact that the level of security provided does not systematically correspond to the level claimed), European bodies should encourage European telephone operators to:

  - update their implementation of the COMP128 authentication algorithm;

  - clearly specify the actual level of security of their implementation of the encryption algorithm A5.

• C - In view of the fact that the NSA has managed to bring about a considerable reduction in the degree of security offered to non-US users of solutions developed by Microsoft, Netscape and Lotus for encrypting electronic messages, with the express intention of being systematically able to read the messages exchanged by these users (and probably being the only agency in the world able to do so), the European Parliament should actively promote the use, amongst European organisations, firms and citizens, of e-mail encrypting solutions that actually provide the confidentiality promised. At the same time, Proposal 5 of the ‘Policy issues for the European Parliament’ contained in the STOA IC 2000 report by Duncan Campbell should be taken into consideration.

• D. - In view of:

  - the launch of the worldwide advertising campaign for the PSN*-equipped Pentium III by the market leader (80%+) for PC chips,

  - the risks of the PSN being used for electronic surveillance purposes,

  - the concern shown by the highest US authorities with regard to this precise subject (see the declaration [15] made on 25 January 1999 by Mr Al Gore, Vice-President of the United States),

  - the risk that PSNs may be cloned and be unsuitable for e-commerce, hence the risk that this new industry may be held back, particularly in Europe,
the relevant committees of the European Parliament should:

- call on American government agencies, including the NSA and FBI, to provide information on their role in the creation of the PSN developed by Intel,
- at the same time commission a group of independent technical experts to conduct a precise assessment of the risks connected to this product: electronic surveillance, PSN falsification, etc. The group should issue its report as soon as possible.

Building on the initial results of the above, if appropriate, the relevant committees of the European Parliament, should be asked to consider legal measures to prevent PSN-equipped (or PSN-equivalent) chips from being installed in the computers of European citizens, firms and organisations. We wish to underline most strongly that the above suggestions have no connection whatsoever with any particular firm, but are motivated purely by the characteristics of a product which, unless rapid action is taken at Community level, may become a de facto industrial standard in Europe within the next few months.

• E. - As regards Category 5, Part 2 of the Wassenaar Arrangement, dealt with in Section 7 of this report, the following should be noted:

- Since high-security secret-key and public-key algorithms are freely accessible, for example via the Internet, and in view of Note 7.4 and the implications of such accessibility (see 7.5), it appears that export restrictions in no way constitute a serious impediment for criminal and terrorist organisations. Nevertheless, by following the example of the United States the police can take effective action, even when top-quality cryptographic products are freely used.

- However, in the light of 7.6, such export restrictions pose a serious obstacle to European data security firms and hinder the development of the international e-commerce industry.

- On 19 January 1999, following the inter-ministerial committee meeting on the information society (15), the French Government, in agreement with President Chirac, pledged to liberalise the use of cryptography by raising from 40 bits to 128 bits the security threshold which may be freely used. This latest development is apparently only the first step towards a total deregulation of the use of cryptography on French territory. Until then, French rules on cryptography had been among the most stringent in the world.

- The Echelon network is most probably able to intercept, decode and process the information transmitted with products on the market that fulfil the criteria mentioned in 7.2.

In order to strengthen Community cohesion, the European Parliament should strive initially to persuade EU countries to adopt a common position at the meetings organised under the Wassenaar Arrangement. Subsequently, in view of the aforementioned points, and in order to boost electronic commerce on a worldwide scale, it should suggest that the Community simply with from Category 5, Part 2 of the list of products subject to controls under the Wassenaar Arrangement.

• F. - The committee should commission a more detailed report on the implications of the risks in terms of electronic surveillance that the Wassenaar Arrangement brings with it. For example, under Item 5.B.1.b.1 (Part 1, on Telecommunications) certain equipment using ATM (Asynchronous Transfer Mode) digital techniques is subject to controls. This data transfer technology is far more difficult (but not impossible, see [32], part 2, and the aforementioned STOA report by Duncan Campbell) to monitor electronically than conventional TCP/IP systems. It would also be very useful to ascertain whether products that are authorised for export provide effective responses to TEMPEST (see 2.7 and
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**Glossary and definitions**

**Footnotes**

**Summary**

1. **Communications intelligence** (Comint) involving the covert interception of foreign communications has been practised by almost every advanced nation since international telecommunications became available. Comint is a large-scale industrial activity providing consumers with intelligence on diplomatic, economic and scientific developments. The capabilities of and constraints on Comint activity may usefully be considered in the framework of the "intelligence cycle" (section 1).

2. Globally, about 15-20 billion Euro is expended annually on Comint and related activities. The largest component of
this expenditure is incurred by the major English-speaking nations of the UKUSA alliance. This report describes how Comint organisations have for more than 80 years made arrangements to obtain access to much of the world's international communications. These include the unauthorised interception of commercial satellites, of long distance communications from space, of undersea cables using submarines, and of the Internet. In excess of 120 satellite systems are currently in simultaneous operation collecting intelligence (section 2).

3. The highly automated UKUSA system for processing Comint, often known as ECHELON, has been widely discussed within Europe following a 1997 STOA report. That report summarised information from the only two primary sources then available on ECHELON. This report provides original new documentary and other evidence about the ECHELON system and its involvement in the interception of communication satellites (section 3). A technical annexe give a supplementary, detailed description of Comint processing methods.

4. Comint information derived from the interception of international communications has long been routinely used to obtain sensitive data concerning individuals, governments, trade and international organisations. This report sets out the organisational and reporting frameworks within which economically sensitive information is collected and disseminated, summarising examples where European commercial organisations have been the subject of surveillance (section 4).

5. This report identifies a previously unknown international organisation - "ILETS" - which has, without parliamentary or public discussion or awareness, put in place contentious plans to require manufacturers and operators of new communications systems to build in monitoring capacity for use by national security or law enforcement organisations (section 5).

6. Comint organisations now perceive that the technical difficulties of collecting communications are increasing, and that future production may be costlier and more limited than at present. The perception of such difficulties may provide a useful basis for policy options aimed at protective measures concerning economic information and effective encryption (section 6).

7. **Key findings** concerning the state of the art in Comint include:

   - Comprehensive systems exist to access, intercept and process every important modern form of communications, with few exceptions (section 2, technical annexe);
   - Contrary to reports in the press, effective "word spotting" search systems automatically to select telephone calls of intelligence interest are not yet available, despite 30 years of research. However, speaker recognition systems - in effect, "voiceprints" - have been developed and are deployed to recognise the speech of targeted individuals making international telephone calls;
   - Recent diplomatic initiatives by the United States government seeking European agreement to the "key escrow" system of cryptography masked intelligence collection requirements, and formed part of a long-term program which has undermined and continues to undermine the communications privacy of non-US nationals, including European governments, companies and citizens;
   - There is wide-ranging evidence indicating that major governments are routinely utilising communications intelligence to provide commercial advantage to companies and trade.

1. **Organisations and methods**

   **What is communications intelligence?**

   1. Communications intelligence (Comint) is defined by NSA, the largest agency conducting such operations as "technical and intelligence information derived from foreign communications by other than their intended recipient".

      Communicating is a major component of Sigint (signals intelligence), which also includes the collection of non-communications signals, such as radar emissions. Although this report deals with agencies and systems
whose overall task may be Sigint, it is concerned only with Comint.

2. Comint has shadowed the development of extensive high capacity new civil telecommunications systems, and has in consequence become a large-scale industrial activity employing many skilled workers and utilising exceptionally high degrees of automation.

3. The targets of Comint operations are varied. The most traditional Comint targets are military messages and diplomatic communications between national capitals and missions abroad. Since the 1960s, following the growth of world trade, the collection of economic intelligence and information about scientific and technical developments has been an increasingly important aspect of Comint. More recent targets include narcotics trafficking, money laundering, terrorism and organised crime.

4. Whenever access to international communications channels is obtained for one purpose, access to every other type of communications carried on the same channels is automatic, subject only to the tasking requirements of agencies. Thus, for example, NSA and its British counterpart GCHQ, used Comint collected primarily for other purposes to provide data about domestic political opposition figures in the United States between 1967 and 1975.

**UKUSA alliance**

5. The United States Sigint System (USSS) consists of the National Security Agency (NSA), military support units collectively called the Central Security Service, and parts of the CIA and other organisations. Following wartime collaboration, in 1947 the UK and the US made a secret agreement to continue to conduct collaborative global Comint activities. Three other English-speaking nations, Canada, Australia and New Zealand joined the UKUSA agreement as "Second Parties". The UKUSA agreement was not acknowledged publicly until March 1999, when the Australian government confirmed that its Sigint organisation, Defence Signals Directorate (DSD) "does co-operate with counterpart signals intelligence organisations overseas under the UKUSA relationship".\(^\text{5}\) The UKUSA agreement shares facilities, tasks and product between participating governments.

6. Although UKUSA Comint agency staffs and budgets have shrunk following the end of the cold war, they have reaffirmed their requirements for access to all the world's communications. Addressing NSA staff on his departure in 1992, then NSA director Admiral William Studeman described how "the demands for increased global access are growing". The "business area" of "global access" was, he said, one of "two, hopefully strong, legs upon which NSA must stand" in the next century.\(^\text{7}\)

**Other Comint organisations**

7. Besides UKUSA, there at least 30 other nations operating major Comint organisations. The largest is the Russian FAPS, with 54,000 employees.\(^\text{8}\) China maintains a substantial Sigint system, two stations of which are directed at Russia and operate in collaboration with the United States. Most Middle Eastern and Asian nations have invested substantially in Sigint, in particular Israel, India and Pakistan.

**How intelligence works**

8. In the post cold war era, Comint interception has been constrained byrecognisable industrial features, including the requirement to match budgets and capabilities to customer requirements. The multi-step process by means of which communications intelligence is sought, collected, processed and passed on is similar for all countries, and is often described as the "intelligence cycle". The steps of the intelligence cycle correspond to distinct organisational and technical features of Comint production. Thus, for example, the administration of NSA's largest field station in the world, at Menwith Hill in England and responsible for operating over 250 classified projects, is divided into three directorates: OP, Operations and Plans; CP, Collection Processing; and EP, Exploitation and Production.
9. Planning first involves determining customer requirements. Customers include the major ministries of the sponsoring government - notably those concerned with defence, foreign affairs, security, trade and home affairs. The overall management of Comint involves the identification of requirements for data as well as translating requirements into potentially achievable tasks, prioritising, arranging analysis and reporting, and monitoring the quality of Comint product.

10. Once targets have been selected, specific existing or new collection capabilities may be tasking, based on the type of information required, the susceptibility of the targeted activity to collection, and the likely effectiveness of collection.

Access and collection

11. The first essential of Comint is access to the desired communications medium so that communications may be intercepted. Historically, where long-range radio communications were used, this task was simple. Some important modern communications systems are not "Comint friendly" and may require unusual, expensive or intrusive methods to gain access. The physical means of communication is usually independent of the type of information carried. For example, inter-city microwave radio-relay systems, international satellite links and fibre optic submarine cables will all usually carry mixed traffic of television, telephone, fax, data links, private voice, video and data.

12. Collection follows interception, but is a distinct activity in that many types of signals may be intercepted but will receive no further processing save perhaps technical searches to verify that communications patterns remain unchanged. For example, a satellite interception station tasked to study a newly launched communications satellite will set up an antenna to intercept all that the satellite sends to the ground. Once a survey has established which parts of the satellite's signals carry, say, television or communications of no interest, these signals will not progress further within the system.

13. Collection includes both acquiring information by interception and passing information of interest downstream for processing and production. Because of the high information rates used in many modern networks, and the complexity of the signals within them, it is now common for high speed recorders or "snapshot" memories temporarily to hold large quantities of data while processing takes place. Modern collection activities use secure, rapid communications to pass data via global networks to human analysts who may be a continent away. Selecting messages for collection and processing is in most cases automated, involving large on-line databanks holding information about targets of interest.

Processing

14. Processing is the conversion of collected information into a form suitable for analysis or the production of intelligence, either automatically or under human supervision. Incoming communications are normally converted into standard formats identifying their technical characteristics, together with message (or signal) related information (such as the telephone numbers of the parties to a telephone conversation).

15. At an early stage, if it is not inherent in the selection of the message or conversation, each intercepted signal or channel will be described in standard "case notation". Case notation first identifies the countries whose communications have been intercepted, usually by two letters. A third letter designates the general class of communications: C for commercial carrier intercepts, D for diplomatic messages, P for police channels, etc. A fourth letter designates the type of communications system (such as S for multi-channel). Numbers then designate particular links or networks. Thus for example, during the 1980s NSA intercepted and processed traffic designated as...
"FRD" (French diplomatic) from Chicksands, England, while the British Comint agency GCHQ deciphered "ITD" (Italian diplomatic) messages at its Cheltenham headquarters. (9)

16. Processing may also involve translation or "gisting" (replacing a verbatim text with the sense or main points of a communication). Translation and gisting can to some degree be automated.

Production and dissemination

17. Comint production involves analysis, evaluation, translation and interpretation of raw data into finished intelligence. The final step of the intelligence cycle is dissemination, meaning the passing of reports to the intelligence consumers. Such reports can consist of raw (but decrypted and/or translated) messages, gists, commentary, or extensive analyses. The quality and relevance of the disseminated reports lead in turn to the re-specification of intelligence collection priorities, thereby completing the intelligence cycle.

18. The nature of dissemination is highly significant to questions of how Comint is exploited to obtain economic advantage. Comint activities everywhere are highly classified because, it is argued, knowledge of the success of interception would be likely to lead targets to change their communications methods to defeat future interception. Within the UKUSA system, the dissemination of Comint reports is limited to individuals holding high-level security "SCI" clearances.(10) Further, because only cleared officials can see Comint reports, only they can set requirements and thus control tasking. Officials of commercial companies normally neither have clearance nor routine access to Comint, and may therefore only benefit from commercially relevant Comint information to the extent that senior, cleared government officials permit. The ways in which this takes place is described in Section 5, below.

19. Dissemination is further restricted within the UKUSA organisation by national and international rules generally stipulating that the Sigint agencies of each nation may not normally collect or (if inadvertently collected) record or disseminate information about citizens of, or companies registered in, any other UKUSA nation. Citizens and companies are collectively known as "legal persons". The opposite procedure is followed if the person concerned has been targeted by their national Comint organisation.

20. For example, Hager has described (11) how New Zealand officials were instructed to remove the names of identifiable UKUSA citizens or companies from their reports, inserting instead words such as "a Canadian citizen" or "a US company". British Comint staff have described following similar procedures in respect of US citizens following the introduction of legislation to limit NSA's domestic intelligence activities in 1978.(12) The Australian government says that "DSD and its counterparts operate internal procedures to satisfy themselves that their national interests and policies are respected by the others ... the Rules [on Sigint and Australian persons] prohibit the dissemination of information relating to Australian persons gained accidentally during the course of routine collection of foreign communications; or the reporting or recording of the names of Australian persons mentioned in foreign communications".(13) The corollary is also true; UKUSA nations place no restrictions on intelligence gathering affecting either citizens or companies of any non-UKUSA nation, including member states of the European Union (except the UK).

2. Intercepting international communications

International Leased Carrier (ILC) communications

21. It is a matter of record that foreign communications to and from, or passing through the United Kingdom and the United States have been intercepted for more than 80 years.(14) Then and since, most international communications links have been operated by international carriers, who are usually individual national PTTs or
private companies. In either case, capacity on the communication system is leased to individual national or international telecommunications undertakings. For this reason, Comint organisations use the term ILC (International Leased Carrier) to describe such collection.

**High frequency radio**

22. Save for direct landline connections between geographically contiguous nations, high frequency (HF) radio system were the most common means of international telecommunications prior to 1960, and were in use for ILC, diplomatic and military purposes. An important characteristic of HF radio signals is that they are reflected from the ionosphere and from the earth’s surface, providing ranges of thousands of miles. This enables both reception and interception.

**Microwave radio relay**

23. Microwave radio was introduced in the 1950s to provide high capacity inter-city communications for telephony, telegraphy and, later, television. Microwave radio relay communications utilise low power transmitters and parabolic dish antennae placed on towers in high positions such as on hilltops or tall buildings. The antennae are usually 1-3m in diameter. Because of the curvature of the earth, relay stations are generally required every 30-50km.

**Subsea cables**

24. Submarine telephone cables provided the first major reliable high capacity international communications systems. Early systems were limited to a few hundred simultaneous telephone channels. The most modern optical fibre systems carry up to 5 Gbps (Gigabits per second) of digital information. This is broadly equivalent to about 60,000 simultaneous telephone channels.

**Communications satellites**

25. Microwave radio signals are not reflected from the ionosphere and pass directly into space. This property has been exploited both to provide global communications and, conversely, to intercept such communications in space and on land. The largest constellation of communications satellites (COMSATS) is operated by the International Telecommunications Satellite organisation (Intelsat), an international treaty organisation. To provide permanent communications from point to point or for broadcasting purposes, communications satellites are placed into so-called “geostationary” orbits such that, to the earth-based observer, they appear to maintain the same position in the sky.

26. The first geostationary Intelsat satellites were orbited in 1967. Satellite technology developed rapidly. The fourth generation of Intelsat satellites, introduced in 1971, provided capacity for 4,000 simultaneous telephone channels and were capable of handling all forms of communications simultaneously -telephone, telex, telegraph, television, data and facsimile. In 1999, Intelsat operated 19 satellites of its 5th to 8th generations. The latest generation can handle the equivalent to 90,000 simultaneous calls.

**Communications techniques**

27. Prior to 1970, most communications systems (however carried) utilised analogue or continuous wave techniques. Since 1990, almost all communications have been digital, and are providing ever higher capacity. The highest capacity systems in general use for the Internet, called STM-1 or OC-3, operates at a data rate of 155Mbs. (Million bits per second; a rate of 155 Mpbs is equivalent to sending 3 million words every second, roughly the text of one thousand books a minute.) For example, links at this capacity are used to provide backbone Internet connections between Europe and the United States. Further details of communications techniques are given in the technical annexe.

**ILC communications collection**

**Access**

28. Comint collection cannot take place unless the collecting agency obtains access to the communications channels they wish to examine. Information about the means used to gain access are, like data about code-breaking methods, the most highly protected information within any Comint organisation. Access is gained both with and without the
complicity or co-operation of network operators.

**Operation SHAMROCK**

29. From 1945 onwards in the United States the NSA and predecessor agencies systematically obtained cable traffic from the offices of the major cable companies. This activity was codenamed SHAMROCK. These activities remained unknown for 30 years, until enquiries were prompted by the Watergate affair. On 8 August 1975, NSA Director Lt General Lew Allen admitted to the Pike Committee of the US House of Representatives that:

"NSA systematically intercepts international communications, both voice and cable".

30. He also admitted that "messages to and from American citizens have been picked up in the course of gathering foreign intelligence". US legislators considered that such operations might have been unconstitutional. During 1976, a Department of Justice team investigated possible criminal offences by NSA. Part of their report was released in 1980. It described how intelligence on US citizens:

"was obtained incidentally in the course of NSA's interception of aural and non-aural (e.g., telex) international communications and the receipt of GCHQ-acquired telex and ILC (International Leased Carrier) cable traffic (SHAMROCK)" (emphasis in original). (15)

31. High frequency radio signals are relatively easy to intercept, requiring only a suitable area of land in, ideally, a "quiet" radio environment. From 1945 until the early 1980s, both NSA and GCHQ operated HF radio interception systems tasked to collect European ILC communications in Scotland. (16)

32. The most advanced type of HF monitoring system deployed during this period for Comint purposes was a large circular antenna array known as AN/FLR-9. AN/FLR-9 antennae are more than 400 metres in diameter. They can simultaneously intercept and determine the bearing of signals from as many directions and on as many frequencies as may be desired. In 1964, AN/FLR-9 receiving systems were installed at San Vito dei Normanni, Italy; Chicksands, England, and Karamursel, Turkey.

33. In August 1966, NSA transferred ILC collection activities from its Scottish site at Kirknewton, to Menwith Hill in England. Ten years later, this activity was again transferred, to Chicksands. Although the primary function of the Chicksands site was to intercept Soviet and Warsaw Pact air force communications, it was also tasked to collect ILC and "NDC" (Non-US Diplomatic Communications). Prominent among such tasks was the collection of FRD traffic (i.e., French diplomatic communications). Although most personnel at Chicksands were members of the US Air
Force, diplomatic and ILC interception was handled by civilian NSA employees in a unit called DODJOCC.\(^{(17)}\)

34. During the 1970s, British Comint units on Cyprus were tasked to collect HF communications of allied NATO nations, including Greece and Turkey. The interception took place at a British army unit at Ayios Nikolaos, eastern Cyprus.\(^{(18)}\) In the United States in 1975, investigations by a US Congressional Committee revealed that NSA was collecting diplomatic messages sent to and from Washington from an army Comint site at Vint Hill Farms, Virginia. The targets of this station included the United Kingdom.\(^{(19)}\)

**Space interception of inter-city networks**

35. Long distance microwave radio relay links may require dozens of intermediate stations to receive and re-transmit communications. Each subsequent receiving station picks up only a tiny fraction of the original transmitted signal; the remainder passes over the horizon and on into space, where satellites can collect it. These principles were exploited during the 1960s to provide Comint collection from space. The nature of microwave “spillage” means that the best position for such satellites is not above the chosen target, but up to 80 degrees of longitude away.

36. The first US Comint satellite, CANYON, was launched in August 1968, followed soon by a second. The satellites were controlled from a ground station at Bad Aibling, Germany. In order to provide permanent coverage of selected targets, CANYON satellites were placed close to geostationary orbits. However, the orbits were not exact, causing the satellites to change position and obtain more data on ground targets.\(^{(20)}\) Seven CANYON satellites were launched between 1968 and 1977.

37. CANYON’s target was the Soviet Union. Major Soviet communications links extended for thousands of miles, much of it over Siberia, where permafrost restricted the reliable use of underground cables. Geographical circumstances thus favoured NSA by making Soviet internal communications links highly accessible. The satellites performed better than expected, so the project was extended.

38. The success of CANYON led to the design and deployment of a new class of Comint satellites, CHALET. The ground station chosen for the CHALET series was Menwith Hill, England. Under NSA project P-285, US companies were contracted to install and assist in operating the satellite control system and downlinks (RUNWAY) and ground processing system (SILKWORTH). The first two CHALET satellites were launched in June 1978 and October 1979. After the name of the first satellite appeared in the US press, they were renamed VORTEX. In 1982, NSA obtained approval for expanded "new mission requirements" and were given funds and facilities to operate four VORTEX satellites simultaneously. A new 5,000m\(^2\) operations centre (STEEPLEBUSH) was constructed to house processing equipment. When the name VORTEX was published in 1987, the satellites were renamed MERCURY.\(^{(21)}\)

39. The expanded mission given to Menwith Hill after 1985 included MERCURY collection from the Middle East. The station received an award for support to US naval operations in the Persian Gulf from 1987 to 1988. In 1991, a further award was given for support of the Iraqi war operations, Desert Storm and Desert Shield.\(^{(22)}\) Menwith Hill is now the major US site for Comint collection against its major ally, Israel. Its staff includes linguists trained in Hebrew, Arabic and Farsi as well as European languages. Menwith Hill has recently been expanded to include ground links for a new network of Sigint satellites launched in 1994 and 1995 (RUTLEY). The name of the new class of satellites remains unknown.

**Sigint satellites**

40. The CIA developed a second class of Sigint satellite with complementary capabilities over the period from 1967 to 1985. Initially known as RHYOLITE and later AQUACADE, these satellites were operated from a remote ground station in central Australia, Pine Gap. Using a large parabolic antenna which unfolded in space, RHYOLITE intercepted lower frequency signals in the VHF and UHF bands. Larger, most recent satellites of this type have been named MAGNUM and then ORION. Their targets include telemetry, VHF radio, cellular mobile phones, paging signals, and mobile data links.

41. A third class of satellite, known first as JUMPSEAT and latterly as TRUMPET, operates in highly elliptical near-polar orbits enabling them to "hover" for long period over high northern latitudes. They enable the United States to collect signals from transmitters in high northern latitudes poorly covered by MERCURY or ORION, and also to intercept signals sent to Russian communications satellites in the same orbits.
42. Although precise details of US space-based Sigint satellites launched after 1990 remain obscure, it is apparent from observation of the relevant ground centres that collection systems have expanded rather than contracted. The main stations are at Buckley Field, Denver, Colorado; Pine Gap, Australia; Menwith Hill, England; and Bad Aibling, Germany. The satellites and their processing facilities are exceptionally costly (of the order of $1 billion US each). In 1998, the US National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) announced plans to combine the three separate classes of Sigint satellites into an Integrated Overhead Sigint Architecture (IOSA) in order to "improve Sigint performance and avoid costs by consolidating systems, utilising ... new satellite and data processing technologies". (23)

43. It follows that, within constraints imposed by budgetary limitation and tasking priorities, the United States can if it chooses direct space collection systems to intercept mobile communications signals and microwave city-to-city traffic anywhere on the planet. The geographical and processing difficulties of collecting messages simultaneously from all parts of the globe suggest strongly that the tasking of these satellites will be directed towards the highest priority national and military targets. Thus, although European communications passing on inter-city microwave routes can be collected, it is likely that they are normally ignored. But it is very highly probable that communications to or from Europe and which pass through the microwave communications networks of Middle Eastern states are collected and processed.

44. No other nation (including the former Soviet Union) has deployed satellites comparable to CANYON, RHYOLITE, or their successors. Both Britain (project ZIRCON) and France (project ZENON) have attempted to do so, but neither persevered. After 1988 the British government purchased capacity on the US VORTEX (now MERCURY) constellation to use for unilateral national purposes. (24) A senior UK Liaison Officer and staff from GCHQ work at Menwith Hill NSA station and assist in tasking and operating the satellites.

COMSAT ILC collection

45. Systematic collection of COMSAT ILC communications began in 1971. Two ground stations were built for this purpose. The first at Morwenstow, Cornwall, England had two 30-metre antennae. One intercepted communications from the Atlantic Ocean Intelsat; the other the Indian Ocean Intelsat. The second Intelsat interception site was at Yakima, Washington in the northwestern United States. NSA's "Yakima Research Station" intercepted communications passing through the Pacific Ocean Intelsat satellite.

46. ILC interception capability against western-run communications satellites remained at this level until the late 1970s, when a second US site at Sugar Grove, West Virginia was added to the network. By 1980, its three satellite antenna had been reassigned to the US Naval Security Group and were used for COMSAT interception. Large-scale expansion of the ILC satellite interception system took place between 1985 and 1995, in conjunction with the enlargement of the ECHELON processing system (section 3). New stations were constructed in the United States (Sabana Seca, Puerto Rico), Canada (Leitrim, Ontario), Australia (Kojarena, Western Australia) and New Zealand.
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(Waihopai, South Island). Capacity at Yakima, Morwenstow and Sugar Grove was expanded, and continues to expand.

Based on a simple count of the number of antennae currently installed at each COMSAT interception or satellite SIGINT station, it appears that the UKUSA nations are between them currently operating at least 120 satellite based collection systems. The approximate number of antennae in each category are:

- Tasked on western commercial communications satellites (ILC) 40
- Controlling space based signals intelligence satellites 30
- Currently or formerly tasked on Soviet communications satellites 50

Systems in the third category may have been reallocated to ILC tasks since the end of the cold war.(25)

47. Other nations increasingly collect Comint from satellites. Russia's FAPSI operates large ground collection sites at Lourdes, Cuba and at Cam Ranh Bay, Vietnam (26) Germany's BND and France's DGSE are alleged to collaborate in the operation of a COMSAT collection site at Kourou, Guyana, targeted on "American and South American satellite communications". DGSE is also said to have COMSAT collection sites at Domme (Dordogne, France), in New Caledonia, and in the United Arab Emirates (27) The Swiss intelligence service has recently announced a plan for two COMSAT interception stations. (28)

---

Satellite ground terminal at Etam, West Virginia connecting Europe and the US via Intelsat IV

GCHQ constructed an identical "shadow" station in 1972 to intercept Intelsat messages for UKUSA

---

Submarine cable interception

48. Submarine cables now play a dominant role in international telecommunications, since - in contrast to the limited
bandwidth available for space systems - optical media offer seemingly unlimited capacity. Since where cables terminate in countries where telecommunications operators provide Comint access (such as the UK and the US), submarine cables appear intrinsically secure because of the nature of the ocean environment.

49. In October 1971, this security was shown not to exist. A US submarine, Halibut, visited the Sea of Okhotsk off the eastern USSR and recorded communications passing on a military cable to the Khamchatka Peninsula. Halibut was equipped with a deep diving chamber, fully in view on the submarine's stern. The chamber was described by the US Navy as a "deep submergence rescue vehicle". The truth was that the "rescue vehicle" was welded immovably to the submarine. Once submerged, deep-sea divers exited the submarine and wrapped tapping coils around the cable. Having proven the principle, USS Halibut returned in 1972 and laid a high capacity recording pod next to the cable. The technique involved no physical damage and was unlikely to have been readily detectable.

50. The Okhotsk cable tapping operation continued for ten years, involving routine trips by three different specially equipped submarines to collect old pods and lay new ones; sometimes, more than one pod at a time. New targets were added in 1979. That summer, a newly converted submarine called USS Parche travelled from San Francisco under the North Pole to the Barents Sea, and laid a new cable tap near Murmansk. Its crew received a presidential citation for their achievement. The Okhotsk cable tap ended in 1982, after its location was compromised by a former NSA employee who sold information about the tap, codenamed IVY BELLS, to the Soviet Union. One of the IVY BELLS pods is now on display in the Moscow museum of the former KGB. The cable tap in the Barents Sea continued in operation, undetected, until tapping stopped in 1992.

51. During 1985, cable-tapping operations were extended into the Mediterranean, to intercept cables linking Europe to West Africa. (30) After the cold war ended, the USS Parche was refitted with an extended section to accommodate larger cable tapping equipment and pods. Cable taps could be laid by remote control, using drones. USS Parche continues in operation to the present day, but the precise targets of its missions remain unknown. The Clinton administration evidently places high value on its achievements. Every year from 1994 to 1997, the submarine crew has been highly commended. (31) Likely targets may include the Middle East, Mediterranean, eastern Asia, and South America. The United States is the only naval power known to have deployed deep-sea technology for this purpose.

52. Miniaturised inductive taps recorders have also been used to intercept underground cables. (32) Optical fibre cables, however, do not leak radio frequency signals and cannot be tapped using inductive loops. NSA and other Comint agencies have spent a great deal of money on research into tapping optical fibres, reportedly with little success. But long distance optical fibre cables are not invulnerable. The key means of access is by tampering with optoelectronic "repeaters" which boost signal levels over long distances. It follows that any submarine cable system using submerged optoelectronic repeaters cannot be considered secure from interception and communications intelligence activity.

Intercepting the Internet

53. The dramatic growth in the size and significance of the Internet and of related forms of digital communications
has been argued by some to pose a challenge for Comint agencies. This does not appear correct. During the 1980s, NSA and its UKUSA partners operated a larger international communications network than the then Internet but based on the same technology. According to its British partner "all GCHQ systems are linked together on the largest LAN [Local Area Network] in Europe, which is connected to other sites around the world via one of the largest WANs [Wide Area Networks] in the world ... its main networking protocol is Internet Protocol (IP). This global network, developed as project EMBROIDERY, includes PATHWAY, the NSA's main computer communications network. It provides fast, secure global communications for ECHELON and other systems.

54. Since the early 1990s, fast and sophisticated Comint systems have been developed to collect, filter and analyse the forms of fast digital communications used by the Internet. Because most of the world's Internet capacity lies within the United States or connects to the United States, many communications in "cyberspace" will pass through intermediate sites within the United States. Communications from Europe to and from Asia, Oceania, Africa or South America normally travel via the United States.

55. Routes taken by Internet "packets" depend on the origin and destination of the data, the systems through which they enter and leaves the Internet, and a myriad of other factors including time of day. Thus, routers within the western United States are at their most idle at the time when central European traffic is reaching peak usage. It is thus possible (and reasonable) for messages travelling a short distance in a busy European network to travel instead, for example, via Internet exchanges in California. It follows that a large proportion of international communications on the Internet will by the nature of the system pass through the United States and thus be readily accessible to NSA.

56. Standard Internet messages are composed of packets called "datagrams". Datagrams include numbers representing both their origin and their destination, called "IP addresses". The addresses are unique to each computer connected to the Internet. They are inherently easy to identify as to country and site of origin and destination. Handling, sorting and routing millions of such packets each second is fundamental to the operation of major Internet centres. The same process facilitates extraction of traffic for Comint purposes.

57. Internet traffic can be accessed either from international communications links entering the United States, or when it reaches major Internet exchanges. Both methods have advantages. Access to communications systems is likely to remain clandestine - whereas access to Internet exchanges might be more detectable but provides easier access to more data and simpler sorting methods. Although the quantities of data involved are immense, NSA is normally legally restricted to looking only at communications that start or finish in a foreign country. Unless special warrants are issued, all other data should normally be thrown away by machine before it can be examined or recorded.

58. Much other Internet traffic (whether foreign to the US or not) is of trivial intelligence interest or can be handled in other ways. For example, messages sent to "Usenet" discussion groups amounts to about 15 Gigabytes (GB) of data per day; the rough equivalent of 10,000 books. All this data is broadcast to anyone wanting (or willing) to have it. Like other Internet users, intelligence agencies have open source access to this data and store and analyse it. In the UK, the Defence Evaluation and Research Agency maintains a 1 Terabyte database containing the previous 90 days of Usenet messages. A similar service, called "Deja News", is available to users of the World Wide Web (WWW). Messages for Usenet are readily distinguishable. It is pointless to collect them clandestinely.

59. Similar considerations affect the World Wide Web, most of which is openly accessible. Web sites are examined continuously by "search engines" which generate catalogues of their contents. "Alta Vista" and "Hotbot" are prominent public sites of this kind. NSA similarly employs computer "bots" (robots) to collect data of interest. For example, a New York web site known as JYA.COM offers extensive public information on Sigint, Comint and cryptography. The site is frequently updated. Records of access to this site show that every morning it is visited by a "bot" from NSA's National Computer Security Centre, which looks for new files and makes copies of any that it finds.

60. It follows that foreign Internet traffic of communications intelligence interest - consisting of e-mail, file transfers, "virtual private networks" operated over the Internet, and some other messages - will form at best a few per cent of the traffic on most US Internet exchanges or backbone links. According to a former employee, NSA had by 1995 installed "sniffer" software to collect such traffic at nine major Internet exchange points (IXPs). The first two such sites identified, FIX East and FIX West, are operated by US government agencies. They are closely linked to nearby commercial locations, MAE East and MAE West (see table). Three other sites listed were Network Access Points originally developed by the US National Science Foundation to provide the US Internet with its initial "backbone".
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internet site</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FIX East</td>
<td>College Park, Maryland</td>
<td>US government</td>
<td>Federal Information Exchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIX West</td>
<td>Mountain View, California</td>
<td>US government</td>
<td>Federal Information Exchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAE East</td>
<td>Washington, DC</td>
<td>MCI</td>
<td>Metropolitan Area Ethernet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York NAP</td>
<td>Pennsauken, New Jersey</td>
<td>Sprintlink</td>
<td>Network Access Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWAB</td>
<td>Washington, DC</td>
<td>PSinet / Bell Atlantic</td>
<td>SMDS Washington Area Bypass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago NAP</td>
<td>Chicago, Illinois</td>
<td>Ameritech / Bellcor</td>
<td>Network Access Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco NAP</td>
<td>San Francisco, California</td>
<td>Pacific Bell</td>
<td>Network Access Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAE West</td>
<td>San Jose, California</td>
<td>MCI</td>
<td>Metropolitan Area Ethernet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIX</td>
<td>Santa Clara California</td>
<td>CIX</td>
<td>Commercial Internet Exchange</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 NSA Internet Comint access at IXP sites (1995) (38)

61. The same article alleged that a leading US Internet and telecommunications company had contracted with NSA to develop software to capture Internet data of interest, and that deals had been struck with the leading manufacturers Microsoft, Lotus, and Netscape to alter their products for foreign use. The latter allegation has proven correct (see technical annex). Providing such features would make little sense unless NSA had also arranged general access to Internet traffic. Although NSA will not confirm or deny such allegations, a 1997 court case in Britain involving alleged "computer hacking" produced evidence of NSA surveillance of the Internet. Witnesses from the US Air Force component of NSA acknowledged using packet sniffers and specialised programmes to track attempts to enter US military computers. The case collapsed after the witnesses refused to provide evidence about the systems they had used.(39)

Covert collection of high capacity signals

62. Where access to signals of interest is not possible by other means, Comint agencies have constructed special purpose interception equipment to install in embassies or other diplomatic premises, or even to carry by hand to locations of special interest. Extensive descriptions of operations of this kind have been published by Mike Frost, a former official of CSE, the Canadian Sigint agency.(40) Although city centre embassy premises are often ideally situated to intercept a wide range of communications, ranging from official carphone services to high capacity microwave links, processing and passing on such information may be difficult. Such collection operations are also highly sensitive for diplomatic reasons. Equipment for covert collection is therefore specialised, selective and miniaturised.

63. A joint NSA/CIA "Special Collection Service" manufactures equipment and trains personnel for covert collection activities One major device is a suitcase-sized computer processing system. ORATORY. ORATORY is in effect a miniaturised version of the Dictionary computers described in the next section, capable of selecting non-verbal communications of interest from a wide range of inputs, according to pre-programmed selection criteria. One major NSA supplier ("The IDEAS Operation") now offers micro-miniature digital receivers which can simultaneously process Sigint data from 8 independent channels. This radio receiver is the size of a credit card. It fits in a standard laptop computer. IDEAS claim, reasonably, that their tiny card "performs functions that would have taken a rack full of equipment not long ago".

New satellite networks

64. New network operators have constructed mobile phone systems providing unbroken global coverage using
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satellites in low or medium level earth orbits. These systems are sometimes called satellite personal communications systems (SPCS). Because each satellite covers only a small area and moves fast, large numbers of satellites are needed to provide continuous global coverage. The satellites can relay signals directly between themselves or to ground stations. The first such system to be completed, Iridium, uses 66 satellites and started operations in 1998. Iridium appears to have created particular difficulties for communications intelligence agencies, since the signals down from the Iridium and similar networks can only be received in a small area, which may be anywhere on the earth’s surface.

3. ECHELON and Comint production

65. The ECHELON system became well known following publication of the previous STOA report. Since then, new evidence shows that ECHELON has existed since the 1970s, and was greatly enlarged between 1975 and 1995. Like ILC interception, ECHELON has developed from earlier methods. This section includes new information and documentary evidence about ECHELON and satellite interception.

The "Watch List"

66. After the public revelation of the SHAMROCK interception programme, NSA Director Lt General Lew Allen described how NSA used "watch lists" as an aid to watch for foreign activity of reportable intelligence interest.(41) "We have been providing details ... of any messages contained in the foreign communications we intercept that bear on named individuals or organisations. These compilations of names are commonly referred to as 'Watch Lists'," he said.(42) Until the 1970s, Watch List processing was manual. Analysts examined intercepted ILC communications, reporting, "gisting" or analysing those which appeared to cover names or topics on the Watch List.

New information about ECHELON sites and systems

67. It now appears that the system identified as ECHELON has been in existence for more than 20 years. The need for such a system was foreseen in the late 1960s, when NSA and GCHQ planned ILC satellite interception stations at Mowenstow and Yakima. It was expected that the quantity of messages intercepted from the new satellites would be too great for individual examination. According to former NSA staff, the first ECHELON computers automated Comint processing at these sites.(43)

68. NSA and CIA then discovered that Sigint collection from space was more effective than had been anticipated, resulting in accumulations of recordings that outstripped the available supply of linguists and analysts. Documents show that when the SILKWORTH processing systems was installed at Menwith Hill for the new satellites, it was supported by ECHELON 2 and other databanks (see illustration).

69. By the mid 1980s, communications intercepted at these major stations were heavily sifted, with a wide variety of specifications available for non-verbal traffic. Extensive further automation was planned in the mid 1980s as NSA Project P-415. Implementation of this project completed the automation of the previous Watch List activity. From 1987 onwards, staff from international Comint agencies travelled to the US to attended training courses for the new computer systems.

70. Project P-415/ECHELON made heavy use of NSA and GCHQ's global Internet-like communication network to enable remote intelligence customers to task computers at each collection site, and receive the results automatically. The key component of the system are local "Dictionary" computers, which store an extensive database on specified targets, including names, topics of interest, addresses, telephone numbers and other selection criteria. Incoming messages are compared to these criteria; if a match is found, the raw intelligence is forwarded automatically. Dictionary computers are tasked with many thousands of different collection requirements, described as "numbers" (four digit codes).

71. Tasking and receiving intelligence from the Dictionaries involves processes familiar to anyone who has used the
Internet. Dictionary sorting and selection can be compared to using search engines, which select web pages containing key words or terms and specifying relationships. The forwarding function of the Dictionary computers may be compared to e-mail. When requested, the system will provide lists of communications matching each criterion for review, analysis, "gisting" or forwarding. An important point about the new system is that before ECHELON, different countries and different stations knew what was being intercepted and to whom it was sent. Now, all but a fraction of the messages selected by Dictionary computers at remote sites are forwarded to NSA or other customers without being read locally.

**Westminster, London - Dictionary computer**

72. In 1991, a British television programme reported on the operations of the Dictionary computer at GCHQ's Westminster, London office. The system "secretly intercepts every single telex which passes into, out of or through London; thousands of diplomatic, business and personal messages every day. These are fed into a programme known as 'Dictionary'. It picks out keywords from the mass of Sigint, and hunts out hundreds of individuals and corporations".(44) The programme pointed out that the Dictionary computers, although controlled and tasked by GCHQ, were operated by security vetted staff employed by British Telecom (BT), Britain's dominant telecommunications operator.(45) The presence of Dictionary computers has also been confirmed at Kojarena, Australia; and at GCHQ Cheltenham, England.(46)

**Sugar Grove, Virginia - COMSAT interception at ECHELON site**

73. US government documents confirm that the satellite receiving station at Sugar Grove, West Virginia is an ECHELON site, and that collects intelligence from COMSATS. The station is about 250 miles south-west of Washington, in a remote area of the Shenandoah Mountains. It is operated by the US Naval Security Group and the US Air Force Intelligence Agency.

74. An upgraded system called TIMBERLINE II, was installed at Sugar Grove in the summer of 1990. At the same time, according to official US documents, an "ECHELON training department" was established.(47) With training complete, the task of the station in 1991 became "to maintain and operate an ECHELON site".(48)

75. The US Air Force has publicly identified the intelligence activity at Sugar Grove: its "mission is to direct satellite communications equipment [in support of] consumers of COMSAT information ... This is achieved by providing a trained cadre of collection system operators, analysts and managers".(49) In 1990, satellite photographs showed that there were 4 satellite antennae at Sugar Grove. By November 1998, ground inspection revealed that this had expanded to a group of 9.

**Sabana Seca, Puerto Rico and Leitrim, Canada - COMSAT interception sites**
76. Further information published by the US Air Force identifies the US Naval Security Group Station at Sabana Seca, Puerto Rico as a COMSAT interception site. Its mission is "to become the premier satellite communications processing and analysis field station".\(^{50}\)

77. Canadian Defence Forces have published details about staff functions at the Leitrim field station of the Canadian Sigint agency CSE. The station, near Ottawa, Ontario has four satellite terminals, erected since 1984. The staff roster includes seven Communications Satellite Analysts, Supervisors and Instructors.\(^{51}\)

78. In a publicly available resume, a former Communication Satellite Analyst employed at Leitrim describes his job as having required expertise in the "operation and analysis of numerous Comsat computer systems and associated subsystems ... [utilising] computer assisted analysis systems ... [and] a broad range of sophisticated electronic equipment to intercept and study foreign communications and electronic transmissions.\(^{52}\) Financial reports from CSE also indicate that in 1995/96, the agency planned payments of $7 million to ECHELON and $6 million to Cray (computers). There were no further details about ECHELON.\(^{53}\)

_Waihopai, New Zealand - Intelsat interception at ECHELON site_

79. New Zealand's Sigint agency GCSB operates two satellite interception terminals at Waihopai, tasked on Intelsat satellites covering the Pacific Ocean. Extensive details have already been published about the station's Dictionary computers and its role in the ECHELON network.\(^{54}\) After the book was published, a New Zealand TV station obtained images of the inside of the station operations centre. The pictures were obtained clandestinely by filming through partially curtained windows at night. The TV reporter was able to film close-ups of technical manuals held in the control centre. These were Intelsat technical manuals, providing confirmation that the station targeted these satellites. Strikingly, the station was seen to be virtually empty, operating fully automatically. One guard was inside, but was unaware he was being filmed.\(^{55}\)

**ILC processing techniques**

80. The technical annexe describes the main systems used to extract and process communications intelligence. The detailed explanations given about processing methods are not essential to understanding the core of this report, but are provided so that readers knowledgeable about telecommunications may fully evaluate the state of the art.

81. Fax messages and computer data (from modems) are given priority in processing because of the ease with which they are understood and analysed. The main method of filtering and analysing non-verbal traffic, the Dictionary computers, utilise traditional information retrieval techniques, including keywords. Fast special purpose chips enable vast quantities of data to be processed in this way. The newest technique is "topic spotting". The processing of telephone calls is mainly limited to identifying call-related information, and traffic analysis. Effective voice "wordspotting" systems do not exist are not in use, despite reports to the contrary. But "voiceprint" type speaker identification systems have been in use since at least 1995. The use of strong cryptography is slowly impinging on Comint agencies' capabilities. This difficulty for Comint agencies has been offset by covert and overt activities which have subverted the effectiveness of cryptographic systems supplied from and/or used in Europe.

82. The conclusions drawn in the annexe are that Comint equipment currently available has the capability, as tasked, to intercept, process and analyse every modern type of high capacity communications system to which access is obtained, including the highest levels of the Internet. There are few gaps in coverage. The scale, capacity and speed of some systems is difficult fully to comprehend. Special purpose systems have been built to process pager messages, cellular mobile radio and new satellites.

4. Comint and Law Enforcement

83. In 1990 and 1991, the US government became concerned that the marketing of a secure telephone system by AT&T could curtail Comint activity. AT&T was persuaded to withdraw its product. In its place the US government
offered NSA "Clipper" chips for incorporation in secure phones. The chips would be manufactured by NSA, which would also record built-in keys and pass this information to other government agencies for storage and, if required, retrieval. This proposal proved extremely unpopular, and was abandoned. In its place, the US government proposed that non government agencies should be required to keep copies of every user's keys, a system called "key escrow" and, later, "key recovery". Viewed in retrospect, the actual purpose of these proposals was to provide NSA with a single (or very few) point(s) of access to keys, enabling them to continue to access private and commercial communications.

**Misrepresentation of law enforcement interception requirements**

84. Between 1993 to 1998, the United States conducted sustained diplomatic activity seeking to persuade EU nations and the OECD to adopt their "key recovery" system. Throughout this period, the US government insisted that the purpose of the initiative was to assist law enforcement agencies. Documents obtained for this study suggest that these claims willfully misrepresented the true intention of US policy. Documents obtained under the US Freedom of Information Act indicate that policymaking was led exclusively by NSA officials, sometimes to the complete exclusion of police or judicial officials. For example, when the specially appointed US "Ambassador for Cryptography", David Aaron, visited Britain on 25 November 1996, he was accompanied and briefed by NSA's most senior representative in Britain, Dr James J Hearn, formerly Deputy Director of NSA. Mr Aaron had did not meet or consult FBI officials attached to his Embassy. His meeting with British Cabinet officials included NSA's representative and staff from Britain's GCHQ, but police officers or justice officials from both nations were excluded.

85. Since 1993, unknown to European parliamentary bodies and their electors, law enforcement officials from many EU countries and most of the UKUSA nations have been meeting annually in a separate forum to discuss their requirements for intercepting communications. These officials met under the auspices of a hitherto unknown organisation, ILETS (International Law Enforcement Telecommunications Seminar). ILETS was initiated and founded by the FBI. Table 2 lists ILETS meetings held between 1993 and 1997.

86. At their 1993 and 1994 meetings, ILETS participants specified law enforcement user requirements for communications interception. These appear in a 1974 ILETS document called "IUR 1.0". This document was based on an earlier FBI report on "Law Enforcement Requirements for the Surveillance of Electronic Communications", first issued in July 1992 and revised in June 1994. The IUR requirement differed little in substance from the FBI's requirements but was enlarged, containing ten requirements rather than nine. IUR did not specify any law enforcement need for "key escrow" or "key recovery". Cryptography was mentioned solely in the context of network security arrangements.

87. Between 1993 and 1997 police representatives from ILETS were not involved in the NSA-led policy making process for "key recovery", nor did ILETS advance any such proposal, even as late as 1997. Despite this, during the same period the US government repeatedly presented its policy as being motivated by the stated needs of law enforcement agencies. At their 1997 meeting in Dublin, ILETS did not alter the IUR. It was not until 1998 that a revised IUR was prepared containing requirements in respect of cryptography. It follows from this that the US government misled EU and OECD states about the true intention of its policy.

88. This US deception was, however, clear to the senior Commission official responsible for information security. In September 1996, David Herson, head of the EU Senior Officers' Group on Information Security, stated his assessment of the US "key recovery" project:

"Law Enforcement' is a protective shield for all the other governmental activities ... We're talking about foreign intelligence, that's what all this is about. There is no question [that] 'law enforcement' is a smoke screen".(56)

89. It should be noted that technically, legally and organisationally, law enforcement requirements for communications interception differ fundamentally from communications intelligence. Law enforcement agencies (LEAs) will normally wish to intercept a specific line or group of lines, and must normally justify their requests to a judicial or administrative authority before proceeding. In contrast, Comint agencies conduct broad international communications "trawling" activities, and operate under general warrants. Such operations do not require or even suppose that the parties they intercept are criminals. Such distinctions are vital to civil liberty, but risk being eroded if the boundaries between law enforcement and communications intelligence interception becomes blurred in future.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Venue</th>
<th>Non-EU participants</th>
<th>EU participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Quantico, Virginia, USA</td>
<td>Australia, Canada, Hong Kong, Norway United States</td>
<td>Denmark, France, Germany, Netherlands, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Bonn, Germany</td>
<td>Australia, Canada, Hong Kong, Norway, United States</td>
<td>Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Canberra, Australia</td>
<td>Australia, Canada, Hong Kong, New Zealand, Norway, United States</td>
<td>Belgium, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Dublin, Ireland</td>
<td>Australia, Canada, Hong Kong, New Zealand, Norway, United States</td>
<td>Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 ILETS meetings, 1993-1997

Law enforcement communications interception - policy development in Europe

90. Following the second ILETS meeting in Bonn in 1994, IUR 1.0 was presented to the Council of Ministers and was passed without a single word being altered on 17 January 1995. During 1995, several non EU members of the ILETS group wrote to the Council to endorse the (unpublished) Council resolution. The resolution was not published in the Official Journal for nearly two years, on 4 November 1996.

91. Following the third ILETS meeting in Canberra in 1995, the Australian government was asked to present the IUR to International Telecommunications Union (ITU). Noting that "law enforcement and national security agencies of a significant number of ITU member states have agreed on a generic set of requirements for legal interception", the Australian government asked the ITU to advise its standards bodies to incorporate the IUR requirements into future telecommunications systems on the basis that the "costs of [providing] legal interception capability and associated disruptions can be lessened by providing for that capability at the design stage".

92. It appears that ILETS met again in 1998 and revised and extended its terms to cover the Internet and Satellite Personal Communications Systems such as Iridium. The new IUR also specified "additional security requirements for network operators and service providers", extensive new requirements for personal information about subscribers, and provisions to deal with cryptography.

93. On 3 September 1998, the revised IUR was presented to the Police Co-operation Working Group as ENFOPOL 98. The Austrian Presidency proposed that, as in 1994, the new IUR be adopted verbatim as a Council Resolution on interception "in respect of new technology". The group did not agree. After repeated redrafting, a fresh paper has been prepared by the German Presidency, for the eventual consideration of Council Home and Justice ministers.

5. Comint and economic intelligence

94. During the 1998 EP debate on "Transatlantic relations/ECHELON system" Commissioner Bangeman observed on behalf of the Commission that "If this system were to exist, it would be an intolerable attack against individual
liberties, competition and the security of the states". The existence of ECHELON was described in section 3, above. This section describes the organisational and reporting frameworks within which economically sensitive information collected by ECHELON and related systems is disseminated, summarising examples where European organisations have been the subject of surveillance.

**Tasking economic intelligence**

95. US officials acknowledge that NSA collects economic information, whether intentionally or otherwise. Former military intelligence attaché Colonel Dan Smith worked at the US Embassy, London until 1993. He regularly received Comint product from Menwith Hill. In 1998, he told the BBC that at Menwith Hill:

"In terms of scooping up communications, inevitably since their take is broadband, there will be conversations or communications which are intercepted which have nothing to do with the military, and probably within those there will be some information about commercial dealings"

"Anything would be possible technically. Technically they can scoop all this information up, sort through it and find out what it is that might be asked for ... But there is not policy to do this specifically in response to a particular company's interest"

96. In general, this statement is not incorrect. But it overlooks fundamental distinctions between tasking and dissemination, and between commercial and economic intelligence. There is no evidence that companies in any of the UKUSA countries are able to task Comint collection to suit their private purposes. They do not have to. Each UKUSA country authorises national level intelligence assessment organisations and relevant individual ministries to task and receive economic intelligence from Comint. Such information may be collected for myriad purposes, such as: estimation of future essential commodity prices; determining other nation's private positions in trade negotiations; monitoring international trading in arms; tracking sensitive technology; or evaluating the political stability and/or economic strength of a target country. Any of these targets and many others may produce intelligence of direct commercial relevance. The decision as to whether it should be disseminated or exploited is taken not by Comint agencies but by national government organisation(s).

**Disseminating economic intelligence**

97. In 1970, according to its former Executive Director, the US Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board recommended that "henceforth economic intelligence be considered a function of the national security, enjoying a priority equivalent to diplomatic, military, technological intelligence". On 5 May 1977, a meeting between NSA, CIA and the Department of Commerce authorised the creation of secret new department, the "Office of Intelligence Liaison". Its task was to handle "foreign intelligence" of interest to the Department of Commerce. Its standing orders show that it was authorised to receive and handle SCI intelligence - Comint and Sigint from NSA. The creation of this office thus provided a formal mechanism whereby NSA data could be used to support commercial and economic interests. After this system was highlighted in a British TV programme in 1993, its name was changed to the "Office of Executive Support". Also in 1993, President Clinton extended US intelligence support to commercial organisations by creating a new National Economic Council, paralleling the National Security Council.

98. The nature of this intelligence support has been widely reported. "Former intelligence officials and other experts say tips based on spying ... regularly flow from the Commerce Department to U.S. companies to help them win contracts overseas. The Office of Executive Support provides classified weekly briefings to security officials. One US newspaper obtained reports from the Commerce Department demonstrating intelligence support to US companies:

One such document consists of minutes from an August 1994 Commerce Department meeting [intended] to identify major contracts open for bid in Indonesia in order to help U.S. companies win the work. A CIA employee ... spoke at the meeting; five of the 16 people on the routine distribution list for the minutes were from the CIA.

99. In the United Kingdom, GCHQ is specifically required by law (and as and when tasked by the British government) to intercept foreign communications "in the interests of the economic well-being of the United Kingdom ... in relation to the actions or intentions of persons outside the British Islands". Commercial interception is tasked and analysed by
GCHQ's K Division. Commercial and economic targets can be specified by the government's Overseas Economic Intelligence Committee, the Economic Staff of the Joint Intelligence Committee, the Treasury, or the Bank of England (66) According to a former senior JIC official, the Comint take routinely includes "company plans, telexes, faxes, and transcribed phone calls. Many were calls between Europe and the South[ern Hemisphere]." (67)

100. In Australia, commercially relevant Comint is passed by DSD to the Office of National Assessments, who consider whether, and if so where, to disseminate it. Staff there may pass information to Australian companies if they believe that an overseas nation has or seeks an unfair trade advantage. Targets of such activity have included Thomson-CSF, and trade negotiations with Japanese purchasers of coal and iron ore. Similar systems operate in the other UKUSA nations, Canada and New Zealand.

The use of Comint economic intelligence product

Panavia European Fighter Aircraft consortium and Saudi Arabia

101. In 1993, former National Security Council official Howard Teicher described in a programme about Menwith Hill how the European Panavia company was specifically targeted over sales to the Middle East. "I recall that the words 'Tornado' or 'Panavia' - information related to the specific aircraft - would have been priority targets that we would have wanted information about." (68)

Thomson CSF and Brazil

102. In 1994, NSA intercepted phone calls between Thomson-CSF and Brazil concerning SIVAM, a $1.3 billion surveillance system for the Amazon rain forest. The company was alleged to have bribed members of the Brazilian government selection panel. The contract was awarded to the US Raytheon Corporation - who announced afterwards that "the Department of Commerce worked very hard in support of U.S. industry on this project". (69) Raytheon also provide maintenance and engineering services to NSA's ECHELON satellite interception station at Sugar Grove.

Airbus Industrie and Saudi Arabia

103. According to a well-informed 1995 press report : "from a commercial communications satellite, NSA lifted all the faxes and phone calls between the European consortium Airbus, the Saudi national airline and the Saudi government. The agency found that Airbus agents were offering bribes to a Saudi official. It passed the information to U.S. officials pressuring the bid of Boeing Co and McDonnell Douglas Corp., which triumphed last year in the $6 billion competition." (70)

International trade negotiations

104. Many other accounts have been published by reputable journalists and some firsthand witnesses citing frequent occasions on which the US government has utilised Comint for national commercial purposes. These include targeting data about the emission standards of Japanese vehicles; (71) 1995 trade negotiations the import of Japanese luxury cars; (72) French participation in the GATT trade negotiations in 1993; the Asian-Pacific Economic Conference (APEC), 1997.

Targeting host nations

105. The issue of whether the United States utilises communications intelligence facilities such as Menwith Hill or Bad Aibling to attack host nations' communications also arises. The available evidence suggests that such conduct may normally be avoided. According to former National Security Council official Howard Teicher, the US government would not direct NSA to spy on a host governments such as Britain:

"[B]ut I would never say never in this business because, at the end of the day, national interests are national interests ... sometimes our interests diverge. So never say never - especially in this business"
6. Comint capabilities after 2000

Developments in technology

106. Since the mid-1990s, communications intelligence agencies have faced substantial difficulties in maintaining global access to communications systems. These difficulties will increase during and after 2000. The major reason is the shift in telecommunications to high capacity optical fibre networks. Physical access to cables is required for interception. Unless a fibre network lies within or passes through a collaborating state, effective interception is practical only by tampering with optoelectronic repeaters (when installed). This limitation is likely to place many foreign land-based high capacity optical fibre networks beyond reach. The physical size of equipment needed to process traffic, together with power, communications and recording systems, makes clandestine activity impractical and risky.

107. Even where access is readily available (such as to COMSATs), the proliferation of new systems will limit collection activities, partly because budgetary constraint will restrict new deployments, and partly because some systems (for example, Iridium) cannot be accessed by presently available systems.

108. In the past 15 years the substantial technological lead in computers and information technology once enjoyed by Comint organisations has all but disappeared. Their principal computer systems are bought "off the shelf" and are the equal of or even inferior to those used by first rank industrial and academic organisations. They differ only in being "TEMPEST shielded", preventing them emitting radio signals which could be used to analyse Sigint activity.

109. Communications intelligence organisations recognise that the long war against civil and commercial cryptography has been lost. A thriving academic and industrial community is skilled in cryptography and cryptology. The Internet and the global marketplace have created a free flow in information, systems and software. NSA has failed in its mission to perpetuate access by pretending that "key escrow" and like systems were intended to support law enforcement (as opposed to Comint) requirements.

110. Future trends in Comint are likely to include limits on investment in Comint collection from space; greater use of human agents to plant collection devices or obtain codes than in the past; and an intensified effort to attack foreign computer systems, using the Internet and other means (in particular, to gain access to protected files or communications before they are encrypted).

111. Attempts to restrict cryptography have nevertheless delayed the large-scale introduction of effective cryptographic security systems. The reduced cost of computational power has also enabled Comint agencies to deploy fast and sophisticated processing and sorting tools.

112. Recent remarks to CIA veterans by the head of staff of the US House of Representatives Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, ex CIA officer John Millis illustrate how NSA views the same issues:

"Signals intelligence is in a crisis. ... Over the last fifty years ... In the past, technology has been the friend of NSA, but in the last four or five years technology has moved from being the friend to being the enemy of Sigint.

The media of telecommunications is no longer Sigint-friendly. It used to be. When you were doing RF signals, anybody within range of that RF signal could receive it just as clearly as the intended recipient. We moved from that to microwaves, and people figured out a great way to harness that as well. Well, we're moving to media that are very difficult to get to.

Encryption is here and it's going to grow very rapidly. That is bad news for Sigint ... It is going to take a huge amount of money invested in new technologies to get access and to be able to
break out the information that we still need to get from Sigint”.

**Policy issues for the European Parliament**

1. The 1998 Parliamentary resolution on "Transatlantic relations/ECHELON system"[73] called for "protective measures concerning economic information and effective encryption". Providing such measures may be facilitated by developing an in-depth understanding of present and future Comint capabilities.

2. At the technical level, protective measures may best be focused on defeating hostile Comint activity by denying access or, where this is impractical or impossible, preventing processing of message content and associated traffic information by general use of cryptography.

3. As the SOGIS group within the Commission has recognised,[74] the contrasting interests of states is a complex issue. Larger states have made substantial investments in Comint capabilities. One member state is active in the UKUSA alliance, whilst others are either "third parties" to UKUSA or have made bilateral arrangements with NSA. Some of these arrangements were a legacy of the cold war; others are enduring. These issues create internal and international conflicts of interest. Technical solutions are not obvious. It should be possible to define a shared interest in implementing measures to defeat future external Comint activities directed against European states, their citizens and commercial activities.

4. A second area of apparent conflict concerns states' desires to provide communications interception for legitimate law enforcement purposes. The technical and legal processes involved in providing interception for law enforcement purpose differ fundamentally from those used in communications intelligence. Partly because of the lack of parliamentary and public awareness of Comint activities, this distinction is often glossed over, particularly by states that invest heavily in Comint. Any failure to distinguish between legitimate law enforcement interception requirements and interception for clandestine intelligence purposes raises grave issues for civil liberties. A clear boundary between law enforcement and "national security" interception activity is essential to the protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms.

5. At the present time, Internet browsers and other software used in almost every personal computer in Europe is deliberately disabled such that "secure" communications they send can, if collected, be read without difficulty by NSA. US manufacturers are compelled to make these arrangements under US export rules. A level playing field is important. Consideration could be given to a countermeasure whereby, if systems with disabled cryptographic systems are sold outside the United States, they should be required to conform to an "open standard" such that third parties and other nations may provide additional applications which restore the level of security to at least enjoyed by domestic US customers.

6. The work of ILETS has proceeded for 6 years without the involvement of parliaments, and in the absence of consultation with the industrial organisations whose vital interests their work affects. It is regrettable that, prior to the publication of this report, public information has not been available in states about the scope of the policy-making processes, inside and outside the EU, which have led to the formulation of existing and new law enforcement "user requirements". As a matter of urgency, the current policy-making process should be made open to public and parliamentary discussion in member states and in the EP, so that a proper balance may be struck between the security and privacy rights of citizens and commercial enterprises, the financial and technical interests of communications network operators and service providers, and the need to support law enforcement activities intended to suppress serious crime and terrorism.

**Technical annexe**
**Broadband (high capacity multi-channel) communications**

1. From 1950 until the early 1980s, high capacity multi-channel analogue communications systems were usually engineered using separate communications channels carried at different frequencies. The combined signal, which could include 2,000 or more speech channels, was a "multiplex". The resulting "frequency division multiplex" (FDM) signal was then carried on a much higher frequency, such as by a microwave radio signal.

2. Digital communications have almost universally taken over from analogue methods. The basic system of digital multi-channel communications is time division multiplexing (TDM). In a TDM telephony system, the individual conversational channels are first digitised. Information concerning each channel is then transmitted sequentially rather than simultaneously, with each link occupying successive time "slots".

3. Standards for digital communications evolved separately within Europe and North America. In the United States, the then dominant public network carrier (the Bell system, run by AT&T) established digital data standards. The basic building block, a T-1 link, carries the equivalent of 24 telephone channels at a rate of 1.544 Mbps. Higher capacity systems operate at greater data transmission rates. Thus, the highest transmission rate, T-5, carries the equivalent of 8,000 speech channels at a data rate of 560 Mbps.

4. Europe adopted a different framework for digital communications, based on standards originally agreed by the CEPT. The basic European standard digital link, E-1, carries 30 telephone channels at a data rate of 2 Mbps. Most European telecommunications systems are based on E-1 links or (as in North America), multiples thereof. The distinction is significant because most Comint processing equipment manufactured in the United States is designed to handle intercepted communications working to the European forms of digital communications.

5. Recent digital systems utilise synchronised signals carried by very high capacity optical fibres. Synchronising signals enables single channels to be easily extracted from high capacity links. The new system is known in the US as the synchronous optical network (SONET), although three equivalent definitions and labels are in use. (75)

**Communications intelligence equipment**

6. Dozens of US defence contractors, many located in Silicon Valley (California) or in the Maryland "Beltway" area near Washington, manufacture sophisticated Sigint equipment for NSA. Major US corporations, such as Lockheed Martin, Space Systems/Loral, TRW, Raytheon and Bendix are also contracted by NSA to operate major Sigint collection sites. A full report on their products and services is beyond the scope of this study. The state of the art in contemporary communications intelligence may usefully be demonstrated, however, by examining some of the Comint processing products of two specialist NSA niche suppliers: Applied Signal Technology Inc (AST), of Sunnyvale, California, and The IDEAS Operation of Columbia, Maryland (part of Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC)).(76)

7. Both companies include senior ex-NSA staff as directors. When not explicitly stated, their products can be identified as intended for Sigint by virtue of being "TEMPEST screened". AST states generally that its "equipment is used for signal reconnaissance of foreign telecommunications by the United States government". One leading cryptographer has aptly and engagingly described AST as a "one-stop ECHELON shop".

**Wideband extraction and signal analysis**

8. Wideband (or broadband) signals are normally intercepted from satellites or tapped cables in the form of multiplex microwave or high frequency signals. The first step in processing such signals for Comint purposes is "wideband extraction". An extensive range of Sigint equipment is manufactured for this purpose, enabling newly intercepted systems to be surveyed and analysed. These include transponder survey equipment which identify and classify satellite downlinks, demodulators, decoders, demultiplexers, microwave radio link analysers, link survey units, carrier analysis systems, and many other forms of hardware and software.

9. A newly intercepted communications satellite or data link can be analysed using the AST Model 196 "Transponder characterisation system". Once its basic communications structure has been analysed, the Model 195 "Wideband snapshot analyser", also known as SNAPPER, can record sample data from even the highest capacity systems, sufficient to analyse communications in minute detail. By the start of 1999, operating in conjunction with the Model
990 "Flexible Data Acquisition Unit", this systems was able to record, playback and analyse at data rates up to 2.488 Gbps (SONET OC-48). This is 16 times faster than the largest backbone links in general use on the Internet; larger than the telephony capacity of any current telecommunications satellite; and equivalent to 40,000 simultaneous telephone calls. It can be fitted with 48 Gbyte of memory (500-1000 times larger than found in an average personal computer), enabling relatively lengthy recordings of high-speed data links. The 2.5 Gbps capacity of a single SNAPPER unit exceeds the current daily maximum data rate found on a typical large Internet exchange.\(^{(77)}\)

10. Both AST and IDEAS offer a wide range of recorders, demultiplexers, scanners and processors, mostly designed to process European type (CEPT) E-1, E-3 (etc) signals at data rates of up to 160 Mbps. Signals may be recorded to banks of high-speed tape recorders, or into high capacity "RAID\(^{(78)}\) hard disk networks. Intercepted optical signals can be examined with the AST Model 257E "SONET analyser".

11. Once communications links have been analysed and broken down to their constituent parts, the next stage of Comint collection involves multi-channel processors which extract and filter messages and signals from the desired channels. There are three broad categories of interest: "voice grade channels", normally carrying telephony; fax communications; and analogue data modems. A wide selection of multi-channel Comint processors are available. Almost all of them separate voice, fax and data messages into distinct "streams" for downstream processing and analysis.

12. The AST Model 120 multi-channel processor - used by NSA in different configurations known as STARQUAKE, COBRA and COPPERHEAD - can handle 1,000 simultaneous voice channels and automatically extract fax, data and voice traffic. Model 128, larger still, can process 16 European E-3 channels (a data rate of 500 Mbps) and extract 480 channels of interest. The 1999 giant of AST's range, the Model 132 "Voice Channel Demultiplexer", can scan up to 56,700 communications channels, extracting more than 3,000 voice channels of interest. AST also provides Sigint equipment to intercept low capacity VSAT\(^{(79)}\) satellite services used by smaller businesses and domestic users. These systems can be intercepted by the AST Model 285 SCPS processor, which identifies and extracts up to 48 channels of interest, distinguished between voice, fax and data.

13. According to US government publications, an early Wideband Extraction system was installed at NSA's Vint Hill Farms field station in 1970, about the time that systematic COMSAT interception collection began. That station is now closed. US publications identify the NSA/CSS Regional Sigint Operations Centre at San Antonio, Texas, as a site currently providing a multi-channel Wideband Extraction service.

**Filtering, data processing, and facsimile analysis**

14. Once communications channels have been identified and signals of interest extracted, they are analysed further by sophisticated workstations using special purpose software. AST's ELVIRA Signals Analysis Workstation is typical of this type of Sigint equipment. This system, which can be used on a laptop computer in covert locations, surveys incoming channels and extracts standard Comint data, including technical specifications (STRUM) and information about call destinations (SRI, or signal related information). Selected communications are relayed to distant locations using NSA standard "Collected Signals Data Format" (CSDF).\(^{(80)}\)

15. High-speed data systems can also be passed to AST's TRAILMAPPER software system, which works at a data rate of up to 2.5 Gbps. It can interpret and analyse every type of telecommunications system, including European, American and optical standards. TRAILMAPPER appears to have been designed with a view to analysing ATM (asynchronous transfer mode) communications. ATM is a modern, high-capacity digital communications system. It is better suited than standard Internet connections to carrying multimedia traffic and to providing business with private networks (VPN, LAN or WAN). TRAILMAPPER will identify and characterise such business networks.

16. In the next stage downstream, intercepted signals are processed according to whether they are voice, fax or data. AST's "Data Workstation" is designed to categorise all aspects of data communications, including systems for handling e-mail or sending files on the Internet.\(^{(81)}\) Although the very latest modem systems (other than ISDN) are not included in its advertised specification, it is clear from published research that AST has developed the technology to intercept and process the latest data communications systems used by individuals and business to access the Internet.\(^{(82)}\) The Data Workstation can stored and automatically process 10,000 different recorded signals.

17. Fax messages are processed by AST's Fax Image Workstation. This is described as a "user friendly, interactive analysis tool for rapid examination images stored on disk. Although not mentioned in AST's literature, standard fax
pre-processing for Dictionary computers involves automatic "optical character recognition" (OCR) software. This turns the typescript into computer readable (and processable) text. The effectiveness of these systems makes fax-derived Comint an important collection subsystem. It has one drawback. OCR computer systems that can reliably recognise handwriting do not exist. No one knows how to design such a system. It follows that, perversely, hand-written fax messages may be a secure form of communication that can evade Dictionary surveillance criteria, provided always that the associated "signal related information" (calling and receiving fax numbers) have not been recognised as being of interest and directed to a Fax Image Workstation.

18. AST also make a "Pager Identification and Message Extraction" system which automatically collects and processes data from commercial paging systems. IDEAS offer a Video Teleconferencing Processor that can simultaneously view or record two simultaneous teleconferencing sessions. Sigint systems to intercept cellular mobile phone networks such as GSM are not advertised by AST or IDEAS, but are available from other US contractors. The specifications and ready availability of such systems indicate how industrialised and pervasive Comint has became. It has moved far from the era when (albeit erroneously), it was publicly associated only with monitoring diplomatic or military messages.

Traffic analysis, keyword recognition, text retrieval, and topic analysis

19. Traffic analysis is a method of obtaining intelligence from signal related information, such as the number dialled
on a telephone call, or the Calling Line Identification Data (CLID) which identifies the person making the call. Traffic analysis can be used where message content is not available, for example when encryption is used. By analysing calling patterns, networks of personal associations may be analysed and studied. This is a principal method of examining voice communications.

20. Whenever machine readable communications are available, keyword recognition is fundamental to Dictionary computers, and to the ECHELON system. The Dictionary function is straightforward. Its basic mode of operation is akin to web search engines. The differences are of substance and of scale. Dictionaries implement the tasking of their host station against the entire mass of collected communications, and automate the distribution of selected raw product.

21. Advanced systems have been developed to perform very high speed sorting of large volumes of intercepted information. In the late 1980s, the manufacturers of the RHYOLITE Sigint satellites, TRW, designed and manufactured a Fast Data Finder (FDF) microchip for NSA. The FDF chip was declassified in 1972 and made available for commercial use by a spin-off company, Paracel. Since then Paracel has sold over 150 information filtering systems, many of them to the US government. Paracel describes its current FDF technology as the "fastest, most accurate adaptive filtering system in the world":

A single TextFinder application may involve trillions of bytes of textual archive and thousands of online users, or gigabytes of live data stream per day that are filtered against tens of thousands of complex interest profiles ... the TextFinder chip implements the most comprehensive character-string comparison functions of any text retrieval system in the world.

Devices like this are ideal for use in ECHELON and the Dictionary system.

22. A lower capacity system, the PRP-9800 Pattern Recognition Processor, is manufactured by IDEAS. This is a computer card which can be fitted to a standard PC. It can analyse data streams at up to 34 Mbps (the European E-3 standard), matching every single bit to more than 1000 pre-selected patterns.

23. Powerful though Dictionary methods and keyword search engines may be, however, they and their giant associated intelligence databases may soon seem archaic. Topic analysis is a more powerful and intuitive technique, and one that NSA is developing and promoting with confidence. Topic analysis enables Comint customers to ask their computers to "find me documents about subject X". X might be "Shakespeare in love" or "Arms to Iran".

24. In a standard US test used to evaluate topic analysis systems,(83) one task the analysis program is given is to find information about "Airbus subsidies". The traditional approach involves supplying the computer with the key terms, other relevant data, and synonyms. In this example, the designations A-300 or A-320 might be synonymous with "Airbus". The disadvantage of this approach is that it may find irrelevant intelligence (for example, reports about export subsidies to goods flown on an Airbus) and miss relevant material (for example a financial analysis of a company in the consortium which does not mention the Airbus product by name). Topic analysis overcomes this and is better matched to human intelligence.

25. The main detectable thrust of NSA research on topic analysis centres on a method called N-gram analysis. Developed inside NSA's Research group - responsible for Sigint automation - N-gram analysis is a fast, general method of sorting and retrieving machine-readable text according to language and/or topic. The N-gram system is claimed to work independently of the language used or the topic studied. NSA patented the method in 1995.(84)

26. To use N-gram analysis, the operator ignores keywords and defines the enquiry by providing the system with selected written documents concerning the topic of interest. The system determines what the topic is from the seed group of documents, and then calculates the probability that other documents cover the same topic. In 1994, NSA made its N-gram system available for commercial exploitation. NSA's research group claimed that it could be used on "very large data sets (millions of documents)", could be quickly implemented on any computer system and that it could operate effectively "in text containing a great many errors (typically 10-15% of all characters)".

27. According to former NSA Director William Studeman, "information management will be the single most important problem for the (US) Intelligence Community" in the future.(85) Explaining this point in 1992, he described the type of filtering involved in systems like ECHELON.
One [unidentified] intelligence collection system alone can generate a million inputs per half hour; filters throw away all but 6500 inputs; only 1,000 inputs meet forwarding criteria; 10 inputs are normally selected by analysts and only one report is produced. These are routine statistics for a number of intelligence collection and analysis systems which collect technical intelligence.

The "Data Workstation" Comint software system analyses up to 10,000 recorded messages, identifying Internet traffic, e-mail messages and attachments.

Speech recognition systems

28. For more than 40 years, NSA, ARPA, GCHQ and the British government Joint Speech Research Unit have conducted and sponsored research into speech recognition. Many press reports (and the previous STOA report) have suggested that such research has provided systems which can automatically select telephone communications of intelligence interest based on the use of particular "key words" by a speaker. If available, such systems would enable vastly more extensive Comint information to be gathered from telephone conversations than is available from other methods of analysis. The contention that telephone word-spotting systems are readily available appears to be supported by the recent availability of a string of low-cost software products resulting from this research. These products permit PC users to dictate to their computers instead of entering data through the keyboard. (86)

29. The problem is that for Comint applications, unlike personal computer dictation products, speech recognition systems have to operate in a multi-speaker, multi-language environment where numerous previously never heard speakers may each feature physiological differences, dialect variations, and speech traits. Commercial PC systems usually require one or more hours of training in order reliably to recognise a single speaker. Even then, such systems may mistranscribe 10% or more of the words spoken.

30. In PC dictation applications, the speaker can correct mistranscriptions and continually retrain the recognition system, making a moderate error rate acceptable. For use in Comint, where the interception system has no prior knowledge of what has been said (or even the language in use), and has to operate in the poorer signal environment of a telephone speech channel, such error rates are unachievable. Worse still, even moderate error rates can make a keyword recognition system worthless by generating both false positive outputs (words wrongly identified as keywords) and false negative outputs (missing genuine keywords).

31. This study has found no evidence that voice keyword recognition systems are currently operationally deployed, nor that they are yet sufficiently accurate to be worth using for intelligence purposes.
Continuous speech recognition

32. The fundamental technique in many speech recognition applications is a statistical method called Hidden Markov Modelling (HMM). HMM systems have been developed at many centres and are claimed academically to offer "good word spotting performance ... using very little or no acoustic speech training". The team which reported this result tested its system using data from the US Department of Defense "Switchboard Data", containing recordings of thousand of different US telephone conversations. On a limited test the probabilities of correctly detecting the occurrences of 22 keywords ranged from 45-68% on settings which allowed for 10 false positive results per keyword per hour. Thus if 1000 genuine keywords appeared during an hour's conversation, there would be at least 300 missed key words, plus 220 false alarms.

33. At about the same time, (February 1990), the Canadian Sigint organisation CSE awarded a Montreal-based computer research consultancy the first of a series of contracts to develop a Comint wordspotting system. The goal of the project was to develop a word-spotter that worked well even for noisy calls. Three years later, CRIM reported that "our experience has taught us that, regardless of the environmental conditions, wordspotting remains a difficult problem". The key problem, which is familiar to human listeners, is that a single word heard on its own can easily be misinterpreted, whereas in continuous speech the meaning may be deduced from surrounding words. CRIM concluded in 1993 that "it is probable that the most effective way of building a reliable wordspotter is to build a large vocabulary continuous speech recognition (CSR) system".

34. Continuous speech recognition software working in real time needs a powerful fast, processor. Because of the lack of training and the complex signal environment found in intercepted telephone calls, it is likely that even faster processors and better software than used in modern PCs would yield poorer results than are now provided by well-trained commercial systems. Significantly, an underlying problem is that voice keyword recognition is, as with machine-readable messages, an imperfect means to the more useful intelligence goal - topic spotting.

35. In 1993, having failed to build a workable wordspotter, CRIM suggesting "bypassing" the problem and attempting instead to develop a voice topic spotter. CRIM reported that "preliminary experiments reported at a recent meeting of American defense contractors ... indicate that this may in fact be an excellent approach to the problem". They offered to produce an "operational topic spotting" system by 1995. They did not succeed. Four years later, they were still experimenting on how to build a voice topic spotter. They received a further research contract. One method CRIM proposed was NSA's N-gram technique.

Speaker identification and other voice message selection techniques

36. In 1993, CRIM also undertook to supply CSE with an operational speaker identification module by March 1995. Nothing more was said about this project, suggesting that the target may have been met. In the same year, according to NSA documents, the IDEAS company supplied a "Voice Activity Detector and Analyser", Model TE464375-1, to NSA's offices inside GCHQ Cheltenham. The unit formed the centre of a 14-position computer driven voice monitoring system. This too may have been an early speaker identification system.

37. In 1995, widely quoted reports suggested that NSA speaker identification had been used to help capture the drug cartel leader Pablo Escobar. The reports bore strong resemblance to a novel by Tom Clancy, suggesting that the story may have owed more to Hollywood than high tech. In 1997, the Canadian CRE awarded a contract to another researcher to develop "new retrieval algorithms for speech characteristics used for speaker identification", suggesting this method was not by then a fully mature technology. According to Sigint staff familiar with the current use of Dictionary, it can be programmed to search to identify particular speakers on telephone channels. But speaker identification is still not a particularly reliable or effective Comint technique.

38. In the absence of effective wordspotting or speaker identification techniques, NSA has sought alternative means of automatically analysing telephone communications. According NSA's classification guide, other techniques examined include Speech detection - detecting the presence or absence of speech activity; Speaker discrimination - techniques to distinguish between the speech of two or more speakers; and Readability estimation - techniques to determine the quality of speech signals. System descriptions must be classified "secret" if NSA "determines that they represent major advances over techniques known in the research community".
"Workfactor reduction": the subversion of cryptographic systems

39. From the 1940s to date, NSA has undermined the effectiveness of cryptographic systems made or used in Europe. The most important target of NSA activity was a prominent Swiss manufacturing company, Crypto AG. Crypto AG established a strong position as a supplier of code and cypher systems after the second world war. Many governments would not trust products offered for sale by major powers. In contrast, Swiss companies in this sector benefited from Switzerland's neutrality and image of integrity.

40. NSA arranged to rig encryption systems sold by Crypto AG, enabling UKUSA agencies to read the coded diplomatic and military traffic of more than 130 countries. NSA's covert intervention was arranged through the company's owner and founder Boris Hagelin, and involved periodic visits to Switzerland by US "consultants" working for NSA. One was Nora L Mackabee, a career NSA employee. A US newspaper obtained copies of confidential Crypto AG documents recording Ms Mackbee's attendance at discussion meetings in 1975 to design a new Crypto AG machine" (92).

41. The purpose of NSA's interventions were to ensure that while its coding systems should appear secure to other cryptologists, it was not secure. Each time a machine was used, its users would select a long numerical key, changed periodically. Naturally users wished to selected their own keys, unknown to NSA. If Crypto AG's machines were to appear strong to outside testers, then its coding system should work, and actually be strong. NSA's solution to this apparent conundrum was to design the machine so that it broadcast the key it was using to listeners. To prevent other listeners recognising what was happening, the key too had also to be sent in code - a different code, known only to NSA. Thus, every time NSA or GCHQ intercepted a message sent using these machines, they would first read their own coded part of the message, called the "hilfsinformationen" (help information field) and extract the key the target was using. They could then read the message itself as fast or even faster than the intended recipient(93).

42. The same technique was re-used in 1995, when NSA became concerned about cryptographic security systems being built into Internet and E-mail software by Microsoft, Netscape and Lotus. The companies agreed to adapt their software to reduce the level of security provided to users outside the United States. In the case of Lotus Notes, which includes a secure e-mail system, the built-in cryptographic system uses a 64 bit encryption key. This provides a medium level of security, which might at present only be broken by NSA in months or years.

43. Lotus built in an NSA "help information" trapdoor to its Notes system, as the Swedish government discovered to its embarrassment in 1997. By then, the system was in daily use for confidential mail by Swedish MPs, 15,000 tax agency staff and 400,000 to 500,000 citizens. Lotus Notes incorporates a "workfactor reduction field" (WRF) into all e-mails sent by non US users of the system. Like its predecessor the Crypto AG "help information field" this device reduces NSA's difficulty in reading European and other e-mail from an almost intractable problem to a few seconds work. The WRF broadcasts 24 of the 64 bits of the key used for each communication. The WRF is encoded, using a "public key" system which can only be read by NSA. Lotus, a subsidiary of IBM, admits this. The company told Svenska Dagbladet:

"The difference between the American Notes version and the export version lies in degrees of encryption. We deliver 64 bit keys to all customers, but 24 bits of those in the version that we deliver outside of the United States are deposited with the American government" (94).

44. Similar arrangements are built into all export versions of the web "browsers" manufactured by Microsoft and Netscape. Each uses a standard 128 bit key. In the export version, this key is not reduced in length. Instead, 88 bits of the key are broadcast with each message; 40 bits remain secret. It follows that almost every computer in Europe has, as a built-in standard feature, an NSA workfactor reduction system to enable NSA (alone) to break the user's code and read secure messages.

45. The use of powerful and effective encryption systems will increasingly restrict the ability of Comint agencies to process collected intelligence. "Moore's law" asserts that the cost of computational power halves every 18 months. This affects both the agencies and their targets. Cheap PCs can now efficiently perform complex mathematical calculations need for effective cryptography. In the absence of new discoveries in physics or mathematics Moore's law favours codemakers, not codebreakers.
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---

**Notes**

1. **UKUSA** refers to the 1947 United Kingdom - United States agreement on Signals intelligence. The nations of the UKUSA alliance are the United States (the "First Party"), United Kingdom, Canada, Australia and New Zealand (the "Second Parties").
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32. A specimen of the IVY BELLS tapping equipment is held in the former KGB museum in Moscow. It was used on a cable running from Moscow to a nearby scientific and technical institution.

33. TCP/IP. TCP/IP stands for Terminal Control Protocol/Internet Protocol. IP is the basic network layer of the Internet.

34. GCHQ website at http://www.gchq.gov.uk/technol.html

35. Personal communication from DERA. A Terabyte is one thousand Gigabytes, i.e., 1012 bytes.

36. Personal communication from John Young.


38. Ibid.


42. Letter from Lt Gen Lew Allen, Director of NSA to US Attorney General Elliot Richardson, 4 October 1973;
contained in the previous document.

43. Private communication.

44. World in Action, Granada TV.

45. This arrangements appears to be an attempt to comply with legal restrictions in the Interception of Communications Act 1985, which prohibit GCHQ from handling messages except those identified in government "certificates" which "describe the intercepted material which should be examined". The Act specifies that "so much of the intercepted material as is not certified by the certificate is not [to be] read, looked at or listened to by any person". It appears from this that, although all messages passing through the United Kingdom are intercepted and sent to GCHQ's London office, the organisation considers that by having British Telecom staff operate the Dictionary computer, it is still under the control of the telecommunications network operator unless and until it is selected by the Dictionary and passes from BT to GCHQ.

46. Private communications.


50. Ibid, Detachment 2, 544 Air Intelligence Group.

51. Information obtained by Bill Robinson, Conrad Grebel College, Waterloo, Ontario. CDF and CFS documents were obtained under the Freedom of Information Act, or published on the World Wide Web.

52. Career resume of Patrick D Duguay, published at: http://home.istar.ca/~pdduguay/resume.htm

53. CSE Financial Status Report, 1 March 1996, released under the Freedom of Information Act. Further details about "ECHELON" were not provided. It is therefore ambiguous as to whether the expenditure was intended for the ECHELON computer system, or for different functions (for example telecommunications or power services).


55. Twenty/Twenty, TV3 (New Zealand), October 1999.

56. Interview with David Herson, Head of Senior Officers' Group on Information Security, EU, by staff of Engineering Weekly (Denmark), 25 September 1996. Published at http://www.ing.dk/arkiv/berson.htm


60. ENFOPOL 19, 13 March 1999.


64. Ibid.

65. "Mixing business with spying; secret information is passed routinely to U.S.", Scott Shane, Baltimore Sun, 1 November 1996.


67. Private communication.

68. See note 62.


74. See note 56.

75. Equivalent communications may be known as Synchronous Transport Module (STM) signals within the Synchronous Digital Hierarchy (ITU standard); Synchronous Transport Signals (STS) within the US SONET system; or as Optical Carrier signals (OC).

76. The information about these Sigint systems has been drawn from open sources (only).

77. In April 1999, the peak data rate at MAE West was less than 1.9 Gbps.

78. Redundant Arrays of Inexpensive Disks.

79. Very Small Aperture Terminal; SCPC is Single Channel Per Carrier.


81. The Data Workstation processes TCP/IP, PP, SMTP, POP3, MIME, HDLC, X.25, V.100, and modem protocols up to and including V.42 (see glossary).

82. "Practical Blind Demodulators for high-order QAM signals", J R Treichler, M G Larimore and J C Harp, Proc IEEE, 86, 10, 1998, p1907. Mr Treichler is technical director of AST. The paper describes a system used to intercept multiple V.34 signals, extendable to the more recent protocols.

83. The tasks were set in the second Text Retrieval conference (TREC) organised by the ARPA and the US National
Institute of Science and Technology (NIST), Gaithersburg, Maryland. The 7th annual TREC conference took place in Maryland in 1999.

84."Method of retrieving documents that concern the same topic"; US Patent number 5418951, issued 23 May 1995; inventor, Marc Damashek; rights assigned to NSA.

85.Address to the Symposium on "National Security and National Competitiveness : Open Source Solutions" by Vice Admiral William Studeman, Deputy Director of Central Intelligence and former director of NSA, 1 December 1992, McLean, Virginia.

86.For example, IBM Via Voice, Dragon Naturally Speaking, Lemout and Hauspe Voice Xpress.


88.Centre de Recherche Informatique de Montreal.


90.Private communication.

91.NSA/CSS Classification Guide, NSA, revised 1 April 1983.

92."Rigging the game: Spy Sting", Tom Bowman, Scott Shane, Baltimore Sun, 10 December 1995.
