

Commission of the European Communities

food – science and techniques

Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food

(28th series)



Report EUR 14452 EN

Commission of the European Communities

food - science and techniques

Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food

(28th series)

Report on infant formulae claimed to be 'hypoallergenic' or 'hypoantigenic'

(Opinion expressed on 9 December 1991)

Second Addendum concerning the essential requirements of infant formulae and follow-up milks based on cows' milk proteins and the minimal requirements for soya-based infant formulae and follow-up milks

(Opinion expressed on 9 December 1991)

Report on foods for particular nutritional uses whose sodium content has been modified Low sodium foods and salt substitutes

(Opinion expressed on 9 December 1991)

Directorate-General Internal Market and Industrial Affairs

Published by the COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES Directorate-General XIII Telecommunications, Information Market and Exploitation of Research L-2920 Luxembourg

LEGAL NOTICE

.

Neither the Commission of the European Communities nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission is responsible for the use which might be made of the following information

Cataloguing data can be found at the end of this publication

Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 1993 ISBN 92-826-6597-6 © ECSC-EEC-EAEC, Brussels • Luxembourg, 1993 Printed in Belgium

Table of contents

| ne Scientific Committee for Food on infant formulae claimed to be enic" or "hypoantigenic" | e 1 |
|--|--|
| of reference ction ons ents consulted considerations sions and recommendations ces | 1 1 2 2 2 11 14 15 |
| endum to the Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food the essential requirements of infant formulae and follow-up milks ws' milk proteins and the minimal requirements for soya-based lae and follow-up milks | 23 |
| of reference ction ons ents consulted ic background sions ces | 23 23 24 24 24 26 27 |
| e Scientific Committee for Food on foods for particular uses whose sodium content has been modified – foods and salt substitutes | 29 |
| f reference sodium in Europe le for low salt intakes dified products w of standards for low sodium products e for the recommendations ons of the categories ces Sodium and salt in processed foods of common use in Europe | 29 29 30 30 31 31 31 32 34 |
| | enic" or "hypoantigenic" of reference ction ons ents consulted considerations sions and recommendations ccs endum to the Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food the essential requirements of infant formulae and follow-up milks ws' milk proteins and the minimal requirements for soya-based ulae and follow-up milks of reference ction ons ents consulted ic background ions ccs e Scientific Committee for Food on foods for particular ises whose sodium content has been modified – foods and salt substitutes f reference sodium in Europe e for low salt intakes lified products w of standards for low sodium products e for the recommendations ons of the categories ces |

Membership of the Scientific Committee for Food

J. CARBALLO A. CARERE G. ELTON (Vice-Chairman) M. FERREIRA A. FERRO-LUZZI M. GIBNEY I. KNUDSEN K. NETTER A. NOIRFALISE G. PASCAL J. PONZ-MARIN J. REY A. SOMOGYI J. STEADMAN A. TRICHOPOULOU C. VAN DER HEIJDEN (Chairman) R. WENNIG (Vice-Chairman)

Consultores emeriti

P. ELIAS A. LAFONTAINE E. POULSEN R. TRUHAUT

For his valuable and kind assistance to the "Report on infant formulae claimed to be 'hypoallergenic' or 'hypoantigenic'", the Scientific Committee for Food wishes to thank:

S. STROBEL The Hospital for Sick Children, London

Report of the Scientific Committee for Food on infant formulae claimed to be "hypoallergenic" or "hypoantigenic"

(Opinion expressed on 9 December 1991)

Terms of Reference

To advise on the minimum requirements of infant formulae based on protein hydrolysates presented as "hypoallergenic" or "hypoantigenic" products.

Introduction

- 1. Over the last years, the Committee adopted two reports on the minimum requirements of infant formulae and follow-up formulae based on cows' milk proteins ¹ and soya proteins ². These reports have been supplemented by two addenda listing the nutritional substances which may be used in the preparation of these products ^{3,4}.
- 2. Products based on high degree protein hydrolysates from different sources (primarily casein and/or lactoserum proteins) have been available for more than 40 years. They were and still are used mainly to treat various digestive disorders resulting from external pancreatic insufficiency, short bowel syndrome, enteropathies of various etiologies and proven allergy to cows' milk proteins. These products, which obviously belong to the category of "dietetic food for special medical use", are generally subjected to other modifications, especially in regard to their carbohydrate and fat content, in order to increase their efficacy in the treatment of gastrointestinal disorders and to prevent malnutrition 5-10.
- 3. Besides these classical semi-elemental diets for therapeutic use, new products based on hydrolysed proteins, presented as "hypoallergenic" or "hypoantigenic" formulae have been on the market since 1987 ¹¹⁻¹³. According to manufacturers, at present, they are sold in at least five EC member states, the USA and Canada ¹⁴. They correspond to a more or less high degree hydrolysate. Due to the technological procedures used, these formulae have an at least 100 fold reduction of the major antigenic content compared with standard adapted milk formulae. This report deals with the above mentioned products and the conditions warranting the claims "hypoallergenic" or "hypoantigenic".

Definitions

- 4. The definitions and conversion factors used in the reports cited above 1.2 and in the first Report of the Scientific Committee for Food on the essential requirements for weaning foods 15 also apply in this report.
- 5. On the other hand, in order to avoid confusions as regards semantics, the following definitions have been adopted for this report:

| Antigen: | A substance that can induce an immune response. | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Allergen: | An antigen capable of inducing an allergic response. | | |
| Allergy: | Pathological clinical reaction after exposure to an allergen in persons already sensitized by a previous contact, irrespective of the underlying immunological mechanism. | | |
| Atopy: | Constitutional anomaly consisting of a hyperreactivity to environmental substances, connected with an altered IgE regulation. | | |
| Anaphylaxis: | Immediate hypersensitivity reactions (Type I of Gell and Coombs classification) involving the interaction of an antigen with IgE. | | |
| Tolerance: | Desirable clinical (and immunological) hypo-responsiveness after a food, bacterial or viral antigen are repeatedly administered via the oral route. | | |
| Food intolerance: | Undesirable reaction to a certain food or a specific ingredient of variable etiology (enzymatic deficiency, toxic, infectious, etc.) but where there is no known relationship with immunity. | | |
| Food allergy: | Clinical hypersensitivity reaction involving all arms of the immune system (humoral, IgE, IgG, IgA, etc.) and/or cellular mechanisms. | | |
| At risk infant: | Infants of one or two allergic parents and/or whose IgE level in cord blood exceeds 0.7 U/ml . | | |

Documents consulted

6. In drafting this report, the Committee considered the data in the literature, the opinions adopted by the Committee on Nutrition of the European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition (ESPGAN) ¹⁶, the report of the Sub-Committee on Nutrition and Allergic Disease of the American Academy of Pediatrics ¹⁷ and the information provided by the Association of Dietetic Foods Industries of the EEC (IDACE), especially concerning the characteristics of products now on the market, the results of current experiences and conditions which allow the claim of "hypoallergenicity".

General Considerations

7. All dietary proteins, and especially cows' milk proteins, can cause various clinical disorders affecting virtually all organ systems. These can develop in the digestive tract in acute or chronic forms, in the respiratory system (asthma, spasmodic rhinitis), in the

skin (urticaria, atopic eczema, contact dermatitis) and include anaphylactic shocks, all of which are recognized allergic symptoms ^{18,19}.

- 8. Allergy is one of the most significant morbidity factors in industrialized countries, affecting 20 to 35 % of the population ^{20,21}. The prevalence of food allergy itself varies according to statistics between 0.3 to almost 50 %. However, these extreme values are not realistic ²² and it is more reasonable to consider the prevalence of milk allergy as being around 2 to 7 % ²³⁻²⁵.
- 9. The risk of developing atopic disorders is approximately two times greater for infants where one parent or one brother or sister suffers from an allergy, compared with the normal population, and this risk is three times higher if both parents have allergic diseases ²⁰. Moreover, the risk of developing an atopic disease is much higher in infants in which the total IgE level in the cord blood is above 0.7 kU/L, compared with children in which the IgE level is below this value (52 % versus 13 %). The IgE level in cord blood is most frequently elevated in newborns with a family history of allergy 20,26. Moreover, the risk of developing atopic disorders is around 3 in 4 (75%) for infants with an allergic family history and an elevated cord blood IgE level, while it is only 1 in 30 for infants without increased IgE level and no family history of allergy 27. It is important to consider that around 3 % of infants are born to two atopic parents and that almost 10 % of newborns have an elevated IgE level at birth ²⁸. And finally, despite contrasting opinions 29, it seems to be established that the frequency of certain atopic disorders, especially asthma and allergic rhinitis, are increasing in industrialized countries 30.31, though, at the same time, it has not been shown that the frequency of other allergic food disorders is also increasing 19.
- 10. Oral ingestion of dietary proteins normally induces a condition of clinical tolerance. Without this fundamental phenomenon all feeding would quickly become impossible. This "non-response" when a food antigen is repeatedly administered must be distinguished from an active immunization process, in which cellular reactions take place and specific antibodies are produced in the presence of antigens and which, in a way, is the "normal" response of the organism to any antigenic attack. The characteristics of this tolerance acquisition process have been primarily studied in mice ^{32,33}. The type of reaction is related to the time of first antigen encounter. The early administration of ovalbumin to young mice induced sensitization, which was not observed when the same quantity of ovalbumin was given later. However, the chronic exposure to antigens after initial immunization modulates the immune response. Repeated doses seem to be more effective than a single ingestion for suppressing the hypersensitivity reaction. Repeated antigen feeds given to sensitized animals can induce a "secondary tolerance"³³. Of course, it is difficult to extrapolate from baby mice to infants due to the differences in their digestive and immune-related developments. Nevertheless, it is conceivable that the digestive and immunological immaturity of newborns, as in baby mice, favors the stimulation of T-helper cells, so preventing the induction of tolerance by T-suppressor cells ^{33,34}. Within the framework of this hypothesis, it might be beneficial not to feed very young infants the highly antigenic proteins (e.g. cows' milk proteins) 35.
- 11. Generally speaking, it is accepted that during the first weeks of life, infants are at a greater risk of developing food allergy and the early exposure to cows' milk increases this risk, not only with regard to allergic reactions to cows' milk proteins but also the development of allergy to other foods ³⁶. In a prospective study, it was shown that almost all of the infants diagnosed as having an allergy to cows' milk in the first year had received a cows' milk formula before the first month of life, and more than half before the age of one week ³⁷. Allergy to cows' milk proteins is observed rarely in infants who have been exclusively breast-fed during more than the first three months of life. A retrospective study of infants who developed an allergy to cows' milk revealed that although they were breast-fed up to the first three months, they had in fact received a

quantity of cows' milk equivalent to 0.4 - 3 g of β -lactoglobulin during the first days after birth ²⁵. However this information is contrasted by results of another randomized prospective study, in which the introduction of cows'milk proteins to infants during the first days after birth followed by breast-feeding, significantly reduced the incidence of allergic diseases at 18 months, compared with those not given cows' milk during the first days after birth. However, this difference was only observed when families at high risk, with two first degree relatives with atopy ³⁸ were considered. The latter results are even more surprising considering that, in this connection, it is undoubtedly more dangerous to give cows' milk to newborns occasionally than regularly ²⁵.

- 12. The theory that prolonged breast-feeding protects infants from the risk of subsequently developing asthma, eczema or other atopic diseases has long been controversial ^{18,39,40}. Ever since a constantly cited study was published in 1936 ⁴¹ which showed that, in a population of 20,000 infants, breast-feeding reduced the incidence of eczema by 7 times compared with artificial feeding, it has never been convincingly demonstrated that breast-feeding has a protective effect against atopy in a normal non-selected infant population. On the other hand, results from prevention studies in infants at risk (family history of allergy and/or elevated cord blood IgE level) are contradictory, supporting or rejecting the assertion that breast-feeding and a delayed dietary diversification reduce the risk of food allergy ⁴⁰. Some studies have even suggested an increase in the incidence of atopic diseases in infants exclusively breast-feed ¹⁸.
- 13. However, most of these are not randomized studies, thus creating biases especially with regard to recruitment of families. Moreover, they were not well controlled for a series of confounding factors, especially the age at which other foods besides milk are introduced, exposure to tobacco, air pollution, presence of pet animals (dogs, cats, etc.) and other environment-related variables ⁴⁰. In reality, mothers that breast-feed their infants over a longer period generally diversify the diet of their infants more progressively, less frequently request others to look after their infants and on the average smoke less than those mothers artificially feeding their infants. All of these factors may reduce the risk of sensitization ^{40,42}. Usually, these studies also have other weaknesses, especially the collection of *a posteriori* data, the absence of immunological investigations (e.g. the determination of specific IgE), inaccuracy regarding the duration of breast-feeding, the small sample size and the high number of dropouts ^{18,40,43}. Nevertheless, if the major problem of these studies is the absence of random assignment to one group or another breast-feeding or artificial feeding – it must be recognized that, considering the advantages of breast-feeding, random sampling can pose ethical problems and may only be justifiable today in premature infants. In their case, an increased risk of allergic reactions can be balanced against the demonstrated advantages of using low birth weight infant formulae 44.
- 14. Since the decision whether to breast-feed an infant or not must be left up to the mother, it is essential to ensure that the groups are as comparable as possible regarding the identified confounding variables such as family history of allergy and the infants' IgE level at birth ⁴⁵. In studies where these precautions have been taken, exclusive breast-feeding during the first 4 6 months after birth and delayed introduction of other food significantly reduced the incidence of atopic diseases, especially eczema, and of the gastro-intestinal symptoms attributed to cows' milk up to the age of 12 to 36 months in infants at risk ^{26,44,45-47}.
- 15. The protective effect of breast-feeding against eczema may be even more powerful if mothers exclude substances considered "allergenic" from their diet, especially milk products, eggs, peanuts and soya. In families at risk, a randomized trial revealed that at the age of 18 months, eczema was two times less frequent and less severe in breast-fed babies whose mothers followed an exclusion diet than in those whose mothers did not

modify their diet during the nursing period ⁴⁵. In another randomized study, the strict exclusion of milk, eggs and peanuts from the mother's diet during the last three months of pregnancy and during breast-feeding, the use of a casein hydrolysate to supplement breast-feeding or to replace it, if necessary, for up to 6 months of age and the exclusion of a number of common food products up to the age of 2 years, helped to significantly reduce the incidence of food allergic diseases such as atopic dermatitis, urticaria and gastro-intestinal disorders. On the other hand, the prevalence of allergic rhinitis and asthma was not modified by this dietetic manipulation ⁴⁸. However, no such favourable results were observed in other studies ⁴⁹.

- 16. The effects of excluding food antigens from the mother's diet could be explained by the reduced passage of dietary substances into breast milk, such as β -lactoglobulin, bovine IgG, ovalbumin and wheat gliadin ^{25,50-54}. Various allergic disorders, including anaphylactic shock, have been observed in breast-fed infants where the mother consumed one of these antigens ⁵⁵. A correlation has been established between infantile colics and the consumption of cows' milk proteins by mothers ⁵⁶. However, many breast-fed infants suffering from eczema do not respond to maternal dietary manipulations ⁵⁷ and a "hypoallergenic" diet for pregnant or breast-feeding females is not without risks if it is not accompanied by dietetic advice and appropriate monitoring ⁵⁸.
- 17. Infant formulae based on soya proteins are suitable alternatives for cows' milk formulae in infants suffering from lactose intolerance or from galactosaemia. These formulae are also sometimes chosen by consumers who, for various reasons, do not wish to give their children products based on animal proteins ². On the other hand, they are often used in cases where there is suspicion or evidence of an allergy to cows' milk protein and for newborns and infants of families at risk, especially in the United States of America, where they represent almost 25 % of the infant-formula market ^{2,59,60}. However, there are very well documented reports of severe gastro-intestinal reactions in the form of vomiting, diarrhoea, weight loss, villous atrophy and even characteristic allergic symptoms as a result of feeding soya proteins. Moreover, intolerance associated with milk or soya proteins, or successive intolerance to these proteins, has been reported, especially when soya formulae have been prescribed for patients with acute gastroenteritis 2,60,61. In some studies, almost one third of the infants allergic to cows' milk also reacted to soya ^{19,62,63} and, irrespective of the antigenic properties of soya, soya formulae are not considered any less allergenic than milk formulae 64. The American Academy of Pediatrics has actually recommended that soya formulae should not be used to treat clinical allergic reactions to cows' milk proteins and/or to soya proteins 59 and, in line with the recommendations of ESPGAN 65, the Committee believes that less antigenic formulae (e.g. protein hydrolysates) should therefore be used in preference to soya formulae for infants who are allergic or who show signs of intolerance to dietary proteins ².
- 18. The possibility of preventing symptoms of allergy in families at risk and in the population at large through the use of soya formulae has also been called into question. Even extremely well controlled studies have not revealed any difference in the development of an allergy that could be related to whether infants were given cows' milk or soya formulae ^{45,66-69}, while a protective effect of breast-feeding ^{45,67-69} or casein hydrolysate ⁴⁵ has been confirmed in all of the studies except for one ⁶⁶. Therefore, no reference should be made to the possible protective role of soya formulae with regard to allergy, which the Committee has already emphasized in a previous report ². Moreover, it must be noted that it is more difficult to exclude soya products than milk, from the diet of infants and young children because of the fact that soya is often added to products which are not assumed to contain it such as bread, sausages, etc.
- 19. The therapeutic efficacy of semi-elemental diets for treating proven enteropathy cases caused by cows' milk proteins and for infants with anaphylactic reactions to milk

products or other dietary proteins has already been proven ^{35,59}. Most of the studies were carried out with high degree casein hydrolysates (Nutramigen[®] and Pregestimil[®], Mead Johnson) ^{7-9,48,70}, with peptide sizes not above 1200 daltons molecular weight and which normally do not sensitize guinea pigs or induce anaphylactic reactions in them ^{71,72} even if it has been shown that these hydrolysates sometimes contain peptides which are large enough to be immunogenic in animals ^{73,74} and provoke allergic reactions in infants hypersensitive to dietary proteins ^{55,74,75,76}. The good tolerance and efficacy of a lactoserum hydrolysate (Alfaré[®], Nestlé) have also been supported by a number of other publications ^{5,6,9,10} and anaphylactic reactions to this hydrolysate have only been rarely reported ^{6,77}. The published works on other high degree hydrolysed products based on casein (Alimentum[®], Ross) ⁷⁸⁻⁸⁰, lactoserum proteins (Pepti Junior[®], Nutricia) ⁸¹ or a mixture of soya proteins and beef collagen (Pregomin[®], Milupa) ¹⁰, recently introduced onto the market, only involve a few cases and have often only been published in the form of abstracts, even if some of these products are used routinely with success to treat infants allergic to cows' milk.

- 20. There is no randomized study which has addressed the preventive effects of a high degree hydrolysate with regard to atopy. The only recent study is one, already cited, in which Nutramigen[®] was used alone or as supplement to maternal feeding up to the age of 6 months, but the associated prolonged exclusion of cows' milk and of other highly antigenic products makes it difficult to determine which dietetic measure (i.e. single or combination), is responsible for the almost 40 % reduction in the prevalence of atopy at the age of 12 months ⁴⁸.
- 21. A number of *in vivo* and *in vitro* tests have been or are being carried out by companies (Milupa in Belgium, France and Germany; Nutricia in the Netherlands; Nutripharm in France) that market infant formulae presented as "hypoallergenic" or "hypoantigenic" based on high degree hydrolysed milk, soya or beef collagen proteins, alone or as mixtures, like products traditionally used to treat cases of enteropathy with sensitization to dietary proteins. Up to now, no study putting forward favourable or unfavourable effects of these formulae on the prophylaxis of atopic diseases in infants at risk has been published in an international, peer reviewed journal.
- 22. On the other hand, there is a series of studies on the effects of a lactoserum protein trypsin hydrolysate with a lower degree than that of other hypoallergenic formulae but whose allergenicity is reduced by a complementary heat treatment ⁸². All of the studies carried out with this product, sold in several EEC Member States under various Nestlé brand names and more recently in the United States and Canada (Good Start[®], Carnation) involved groups at risk. Hereafter in this report, this product is referred to as HA formula, for "hypoallergenic" formula.
- 23. It has been first shown that the early administration of this HA formula during the first 5 days after birth in high risk infants did not lead to any abnormal reactions when reintroduced after 4 to 6 months of exclusive breast-feeding ¹¹, while the inadvertent exposure to cows' milk under the same conditions induces sensitization in approximately one third of the infants ²⁵. Randomized and non-randomized preliminary studies have shown that there are practically no atopic symptoms at 4 months of age ¹² or that atopy is 3 times lower ⁸³ in infants fed a HA formula compared to those receiving classical infant formulae. In the Düsseldorf study (FRG), practically no difference was found at the age of 4 months between breast-fed infants whose mothers followed an exclusion diet and those fed since birth with a HA formula ⁸³. The retrospective analysis (data questionnaires) of several German studies revealed that the incidence of allergic symptoms at the age of 11 months in exclusively breast-fed infants was similar to that of infants exclusively fed with this HA formula during the first 5 days of life ⁸⁴. This was confirmed by a non-randomized study carried out in Italy involving more than 300

children. Here, it was reported that infants fed from birth with a HA formula, for whom the diversification of the diet had been delayed to the age of 6 months and who received a hypoallergenic diet up to the age of one year, had an cumulative incidence of allergy at the age of 1 and 2 years that was even lower than that of the breast-fed infant group, which in turn was 3 to 4 times lower than that of infants fed with cows' milk or soya formulae ⁴⁷. In the non-randomized Düsseldorf study, at the age of 6 months, infants fed with a HA formula also had an incidence of atopic symptoms which was about twice lower than that of breast-fed infants, partially confirming the results obtained in a reduced number of infants ⁸⁵. In this study, 32 % of the infants fed cows' milk formulae since birth developed atopic symptoms compared with 6.8 % in the HA-formula-fed group ⁸⁶.

- 24. Two randomized, double-blind prospective studies show the protective effects of HA formulae against atopy in infants at risk. In the Canadian study, 72 infants were recruited into each of the following groups: HA formula, conventional cows' milk formula, soya formula or exclusive breast-feeding during more than 4 months. While, in the HA formula group, only 5 infants (i.e. 7.4 %) developed atopic symptoms during the first 6 months of life, 24 (35.8 %) and 25 (36.7 %) respectively of the infants fed cows' milk or soya developed such symptoms, especially eczema; the severity of the eczema was however not affected in the group fed with a HA formula and there was no significant difference in the severity of the other clinical symptoms in the 4 groups studied ⁸⁷. In the first group the favourable effect of the HA formula has been confirmed at the ages of 1 year and 18 months, the incidence of eczema and other allergy symptoms being 50 % lower than in the groups fed cows' milk or soya; no difference was observed between breast-fed infants and those fed the HA formula 88. In the Brussels randomized study, 75 infants received one or two coded formulae, either a HA formula or a conventionally adapted formula during 6 months. The incidence of allergic symptoms was significantly reduced (p < 0.001) during this period if a hydrolysate was administered continuously (2/32 or 6.3 %) compared with the incidence in the group fed the adapted formula (14/35)or 40 %). At the age of 1 year, 7/32 (21.8 %) of the infants fed the hydrolysate developed atopic symptoms against 17/35 (48.6 %) in the group conventionally fed ⁸⁹.
- 25. As has already been mentioned, acute anaphylactic reactions have been reported in highly sensitized subjects given high degree casein hydrolysates ^{55,74-76} or lactoserum proteins ^{6,77} for therapeutic purposes. Similar reactions have also been described with HA formulae. In all of these cases, HA formulae were used for infants suffering from acute allergy to milk and/or to soya but who tolerated high degree casein or lactoserum protein hydrolysates ^{10,90-92}. This suggests that HA formulae might induce anaphylactic reactions more often than high degree hydrolysates in infants suffering from hypersensitivity to dietary proteins ¹⁹. It should be emphasized though that, according to manufacturers ⁸², this type of hydrolysates is not meant to be used in the treatment of these infants and that, to our knowledge, no acute accident has been reported when HA formulae were used as first line treatment.
- 26. "Hypoallergenic" infant formulae, whether high degree hydrolysates or HA formulae, have been used in Europe for many years. Hundreds of thousands of infants have been given them for several months and no unfavourable effects on their growth and development have been observed. Clinical files, prepared within the framework of an application for authorization to commercialize them in those EEC Member States where these products are sold, show that the weight and height, and head circumference of infants fed "hypoallergenic" formulae are comparable with those of breast-fed infants or those fed artificially with conventional formulae ^{13,93,94}.
- 27. Informations provided by IDACE and manufacturers indicate that the apparent digestibility, protein efficiency ratio (PER) and the net protein utilization (NPU) of

different "hypoallergenic" or "hypoantigenic" formulae sold today are on a par with or higher than those of casein. The heat treatments used – pasteurization, ultra-high temperature treatment (UHT) – do not differ radically from those applied to standard infant milks, and lysin blockage is not greater than 15 % in HA formulae in which the antigenicity of lactoserum protein hydrolysate is reduced by means of ultra-high temperature treatment, known to increase the production of Maillard reaction products ⁹⁵. On the other hand, whenever lysinoalanine, which can reduce the bioavailability of zinc, has been searched for ⁸², no notable quantity has ever been found in HA formulae. Its control however is not related to the production techniques used but related to the starting material since lysinoalanine is formed mainly from phosphoserine, present in casein but not in lactoserum (whey) proteins, and the production of HA formulae does not facilitate its formation.

- There is still very little information in the literature on the nutritional efficacy and 28. metabolic tolerance of "hypoallergenic" formulae in general. All data published are concerning HA formulae. No difference has been found between breast-fed infants. infants fed with adapted formulae and those fed with HA formulae, with regard to total plasma proteins, prealbumin, retinol binding protein (RBP), transferrin, creatinine, calcium, phosphorus and IgG 94. The total plasma amino-acid concentration was found to be similar in the three groups, at the age of 5 - 6 days and at the age of one month. The distribution of essential and non-essential amino-acids differs only slightly from one group to another, for an approximate 2-fold increase in the concentration of threonine in infants receiving lactoserum protein trypsin hydrolysate 93,94,96. With the exception of threonine, the plasma amino-acid profile of HA formulae is therefore very close to that of breast-fed infants. Considering the immaturity of threonine metabolic pathways at birth ⁹⁶, it should be investigated whether this increase in plasma levels is found at a later age. Be that as it may, threonine seems to be one of the less toxic essential amino-acids in rats and no toxic effect of elevated threonine levels in plasma has been observed in infants 96.
- 29. Experimental studies in 14 days old unweaned rats, which are an appropriate model for studying the absorption of calcium and trace elements in infants, have revealed that zinc, copper, manganese and calcium bioavailability values for HA formulae are similar to the values obtained with breast milk and much higher than those found for soya formulae 97, in which a low bioavailability can be attributed to the elevated phytate content in soya 98-¹⁰⁰. No difference was found between a HA formula and a casein-based high degree protein hydrolysate 97, although the zinc bioavailability was lower with non-adapted formulae (ratio lactalbumin/casein 20:80) than with formulae enriched for lactoserum proteins (60:40), the high degree of hydrolysis suppressing the inhibitory effect connected with the chelation of zinc with casein itself and with the high-molecular weight phosphopeptides formed during its digestion 98-100. On the other hand, the binding of calcium with phosphopeptide derivatives of β -case would favour its bioavailability by keeping it in solution, as proven by the slightly higher calcium retention with a nonadapted formula in rhesus monkeys aged 7-8 months. In this model, no significant difference was observed between a HA formula and a formula with a high lactoserum protein content. The worst results were obtained with the soya formula and the best results with breast milk. The absolute quantities of calcium absorbed are nevertheless identical irrespective of the formula tested, including breast milk, which suggests that the present level of calcium and phosphorus supplements in standard or hydrolysed infant formulae is adequate for a normal skeletal mineralization ¹⁰¹.
- 30. Nothing indicates that the replacement of intact proteins by a hydrolysate or a mixture of amino-acids in rats modifies trophic or morphological parameters, the mucosa protein content or the enzymatic activity of the brush border ¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁴. The surface unit absorption of amino-acids and small peptides was reduced without knowing whether this means a modification of the resistance of the unstirred aqueous layers or an alteration in the

transport system of amino-acids and peptides ¹⁰⁴. In any case, it is likely that these findings are of low physiological significance. Observations made in piglets concerning organ development, digestive enzyme activity and amino-acid digestibility have not revealed any major differences between animals fed with cows' milk, a formula based on hydrolysed cows' milk proteins and isolated soya proteins. Thus, it is unlikely that the replacement of intact proteins by hydrolysed proteins in infant formulae might cause major digestive disorders ¹⁰⁵.

- 31. The "hypoallergenic" or "hypoantigenic" character of a formula can only be demonstrated by appropriate clinical tests. These tests must be preceded by a complete set of preclinical tests combining physico-chemical and immuno-chemical methods, in order to:
 - 1) characterize the molecular properties of the hydrolysate to the extent that its residual antigenicity can be derived from these;
 - 2) assess the actual reduction of antigenicity compared with that of the proteins used, by *in vitro* as well as by *in vivo* tests 17,82,106.
- 32. In general, the narrower the molecular weight distribution of a protein hydrolysate, the greater seems the probability that it will have a highly reduced residual antigenicity and thus, be potentially hypoallergenic ¹⁷. However, sequences of 4 amino-acids have been recognized as immunogenic ¹⁹ and in practice, it is not possible to set an upper limit for the size of residual peptides, below which hypoallergenicity could be guaranteed, even if polypeptides with molecular weights lower than 5000 daltons are less immunogenic and oligopeptides are generally not ¹⁰⁷. Moreover, the techniques used do not permit to precisely define these molecular weights. The size of peptides obtained varies according to experimental conditions, so that a comparison of the hydrolysates among themselves is only possible if the analyses are carried out simultaneously under identical conditions ¹⁷.
- 33. Not all milk proteins have the same sensitivity to endopeptidases usually used to manufacture hydrolysates, i.e. trypsin and pancreatin. Alpha (α) and beta (β) caseins, β -lactoglobulin (LG) and α -lactalbumin (LA) are very sensitive to trypsin. A high degree hydrolysis of these proteins practically abolishes their capacity to sensitize (orally) or to provoke a reaction (intravenously) in guinea pigs sensitized to the starting proteins under discussion. On the other hand, immunoglobulins are more resistant and serum-albumin (BSA) is only slightly attacked, but they can be very rapidly denatured at ultra-high temperatures 95,97.
- 34. The sensitivity of proteins to heat varies according to the structure of the proteins. Lactoserum proteins, especially β -LG, are more sensitive than caseins. Nevertheless, even for lactoserum proteins, the temperatures to be reached and the heating time required to significantly reduce antigenicity can, owing to the Maillard reaction, unacceptably alter the nutritional value of products ^{108,109}. On the other hand, epitopes can appear which are not present in natural proteins ⁸². Therefore, heat treatment alone cannot be used to produce good quality hypoallergenic formulae but it can be used together with selective hydrolysis to give more acceptable and tasteful products than high degree hydrolysates ^{82,95,107}. Finally, neither the degree of hydrolysis nor the extent of heat treatment alone should be used as a basis for defining the hypoantigenicity of a formula. Informations on the processes used should be systematically communicated to the responsible controlling authority, if necessary confidentially.
- **35.** Several conditions should be fulfilled to ensure the hypoallergenicity of hydrolysed formulae. It is essential to check:

- 1) that the immunoreactive protein concentration is less than 1/100 of the protein content normally present in the starting materials used, and
- 2) that, using appropriate animal models, the oral administration of the formula does not induce sensitization (including anaphylactic shock or the development of antibodies detectable by passive cutaneous anaphylaxis tests) to major milk proteins, to other proteins present in the starting materials used or to residual peptides ^{14,106,110}.

The quasi-absence of native proteins can be assessed by several techniques, e.g. electrophoresis on polyacrylamide gel (SDS-PAGE), immuno-precipitation reactions on agarose gel (immuno-diffusion, immuno-rocket electrophoresis) and ELISA or radio-immunological (RIA) techniques, the latter being both able to detect quantities of β -LG of the order of 10 ng/ml, i.e. 100 times lower than *in vivo* methods ¹⁰⁶. The decisive element in all the techniques used to determine an interaction between a hydrolysate and a preformed antibody (ELISA, RIA, etc.) resides nevertheless in the quality of the antiserum, and even if today it is the manufacturers' responsibility to rigorously define the properties of the antiserums used for their controls, it is recommended that reference standards be developed which can be used to compare products with one another and to establish indisputable limits ¹⁷.

- 36. Although tests of provoked passive or active anaphylaxis in animals and very sensitive measurements of the residual antigenicity of formulae must be carried out before any tests are performed involving human subjects, these techniques cannot be used for batch controls. Therefore, manufacturers should be recommended to carry out a number of tests on each batch, in particular those used to check the absence of significant quantities of high molecular weight polypeptides (e.g. on polyacrylamide gel) and the absence of immuno-diffusion precipitation ^{17,82} or even more sensitive and faster methods still being developed. In addition, it should be remembered that most of the immunological tests cited above only study anaphylactic responses, and that the study of cellular immunity may reveal cross reactions which differ from those detected by antibodies ¹¹¹. Finally, the Committee believes that *in vivo* and *in vitro* analyses of residual immunogenicity must also involve the final product (ready for use) and not only one or two of the major protein constituents of the product.
- 37. Considering the incidence of food allergy in the population at large and the reduction in the frequency of these symptoms expected from an antigen reduced diet, it is virtually impossible to show that a "hypoallergenic" or "hypoantigenic" formula has preventive effects in a non-selected population. Nevertheless, the conditions under which clinical tests must be carried out cannot be dissociated from the indications proposed by the manufacturers and from the properties claimed for each type of formula.
- 38. In line with the recommendations made by the Subcommittee on Nutrition and Allergic Diseases of the American Academy of Pediatrics, the Committee believes that the names "hypoallergenic" or "hypoantigenic" or any equivalent names (e.g. "with reduced antigenic activity") can only be used if the respective infant formula is well tolerated by infants with hypersensitivity to dietary proteins and whose hypersensitivity to milk proteins or other proteins entering the composition of the hydrolysate has been confirmed within two months before the test 17. However, the Committee does not believe it justified from an ethical standpoint to use a double-blind placebo test for this demonstration, as recommended by the *ad hoc* Subcommittee mentioned above 17, but thinks that an open test is more appropriate in this case, provided that it involves a sufficient number of subjects, considering the fact that acute reactions are always possible in highly sensitized subjects, irrespective of the properties of the products and the manufacturing processes used (see points 19 and 25).

- **39.** In compliance with the provisions of article 6, paragraph 4 of Council Directive No 89/398/EEC, the labelling of these formulae and their presentation must not contain claims for any preventive or therapeutic properties. The claim the Committee recommends to be added to Annex IV of the Commission Directive of 14 May 1991 on infant formulae and follow-up formulae should only be authorized for formulae fulfilling the criteria stated in the annex of the present document. In addition to mandatory information and to the claim mentioned above, the labelling could also include usual contra-indications, especially for infants with hypersensitivity to proteins contained in the starting material of the product, and possible contra-indications regarding the combination with other foods (milk products, cereals, baby food in jars, etc.) ¹¹⁰.
- **40.** In compliance with the provisions of article 6 paragraph 2 of the above mentioned directive, the technical files and all documents intended for medical experts could nevertheless mention the preventive properties of these products, provided that these have been properly established, be it to prevent transitorily or possibly permanently some atopic symptoms (eczema, asthma, spasmodic rhinitis, etc.) or more simply to prevent digestive symptoms resulting from an allergy to cows' milk proteins ¹¹⁰. In this regard, the tests must have been carried out on infants at risk fed during at least 4-6 months with the respective formula, using a double-blind, randomized protocol including a standard infant formula ¹⁷.
- **41.** Except for the modifications introduced during the formulation of the product and its production in order to guarantee its hypoantigenicity, infant formulae claimed to have "hypoallergenic" or "hypoantigenic" properties should satisfy all of the criteria of essential composition listed in Annex I of the directive on infant formulae and follow-up formulae. Their nutritional efficacy should also be demonstrated in all cases by a longitudinal study on weight and height development over at least 3 months, involving at least 20 babies born at full term and aged less than 1 month at the beginning of the study. Finally, the Committee believes that besides all the indispensable information on the properties of the hydrolysate and the procedures used (enzymes, molecular weight distribution, percentage of blocked lysin, PER, NPU, etc.), the information should be complemented, if necessary, by data on the plasma levels of albumin and short half-life proteins (prealbumin, RBP, transferrin), and on the plasma amino-acid profile.

Conclusions and recommendations

- 42. Exclusive breast-feeding in infants at risk during 4-6 months and a delayed introduction of foods other than milk significantly reduces the incidence of atopic symptoms, especially eczema, and of gastro-intestinal symptoms attributed to cows' milk, at least up to the age of 12 to 36 months.
- **43.** Soya formulae cannot be considered less allergenic than milk formulae. In the absence of maternal milk, less antigenic formulae (e.g. protein hydrolysates) should be used for infants at risk, allergic infants and those showing clinical signs of intolerance to dietary proteins.
- 44. The therapeutic efficacy of high degree hydrolysates in cases of enteropathy caused by cows' milk proteins, and in infants showing anaphylactic reactions to milk products or to other dietary proteins has been well established. However, this does not completely exclude the possibility of anaphylactic reactions in highly sensitized infants receiving casein-based or lactoserum protein-based hydrolysates for therapeutic purposes.

- **45.** The incidence of intolerance to dietary proteins is also significantly reduced in infants receiving a low degree lactoserum (whey) protein hydrolysate when its allergenicity has been reduced by an additional heat treatment. These HA formulae too can induce anaphylactic reactions in hypersensitive infants, perhaps more often than high degree hydrolysates, but, according to the manufacturers, they are not intended for therapeutic use in these infants and no adverse reactions have been reported when these formulae were used in the first instance or for a prophylactic indication.
- **46.** The protective effect of HA formulae with regard to atopy has been demonstrated by prospective double-blind studies with infants at risk. The incidence of eczema and other allergic symptoms is similar as in breast-fed infants and two times less than in infants fed with cows' milk or soya infant formulae; this reduction continues at least up to the age of 12 to 18 months. Comparable results have been obtained with a high degree casein hydrolysate. This randomized study where the hydrolysate was used alone or as a supplement to breast milk up to the age of 6 months, a strict exclusion of various antigens from the mother's diet during the end of pregnancy and lactation periods and a delayed introduction of a number of common foods into the diet of the infants up to the age of 2 years is difficult to interpret because of the additional dietary modifications.
- 47. Infant formulae claimed to be "hypoallergenic" or "hypoantigenic" or those "with reduced antigen or allergen content" have been used in several Member States for years and no undesirable effects on the growth or development of infants have been observed. Their indications, which are not the purpose of this report, remain to be discussed. Some people are of the opinion that they must be reserved for infants at risk and/or for infants with clinical intolerance symptoms, and others believe that they are contra-indicated for infants suffering from acute reactions to their constituting proteins and that their main indication is prophylactic. Considering the information at its disposal, the Committee believes that it is premature to make any recommendation on this matter, as the indications of the products undoubtedly differ, depending on the raw materials and the processes used. The Committee also believes that, no matter what results are obtained with these products, the provisions of the WHO Code on the marketing of breast milk substitutes must be strictly respected, and that public authorities must ensure that commercial practices and the information provided to medical and health professionals do not in any way discourage mothers from breast-feeding their infants.
- **48.** In any case, the Committee considers that manufacturers should guarantee that such products satisfy the following criteria to be able to claim an "hypoallergenic" or "with reduced allergen content" or "hypoantigenic" or "with reduced antigen content" status:
 - the proteins must have been treated in such a way that the immunoreactive protein amount, measured with the appropriate methods (SDS-PAGE, immuno-diffusion, ELISA, RIA, or any other method with equivalent sensitivity), is lower than 1 % of that of nitrogen-containing substances in the formula;
 - the criteria relating to protein given in the Annex of this report must be fulfilled. In addition, these formulae must satisfy all other relevant criteria regarding the essential composition of infant formulae as stipulated in Commission Directive 91/321/EEC on infant formulae and follow-on formulae;
 - proof of the absence of any sensitizing character should be supported, before any clinical test, by a series of tests on laboratory animals under conditions where provocation tests show anaphylactic reactions in animals having received orally (or via other appropriate routes) the intact proteins from which the formula is derived;
 - the clinical tests must have proven their tolerance in more than 90 % (confidence interval 95 %) of the infants suffering from a hypersensitivity to proteins from which the hydrolysate is made, unless the labelling and presentation of these formulae clearly mention that they are contra-indicated for such cases.

- **49.** Their nutritional efficacy must have been demonstrated by means of clinical tests carried out according to recognized adequate protocols.
- **50.** The labelling and presentation of these formulae should not mention any preventive or therapeutic properties. The technical files and documents intended for medical and health professionals can however mention their preventive properties, provided that these have been established by randomized, double-blind studies using the respective formula.
- 51. The manufacturers must keep at the disposal of the responsible authority all relevant information concerning the properties of the hydrolysate and the processes used.

Annex

Protein requirements for formulae manufactured from hydrolysed proteins

 Minimum
 Maximum

 0.56 g/100 kJ
 0.7 g/100 kJ

(2.25 g/100 kcal) (3 g/100 kcal)

- The chemical index shall be equal to at least 80% of that of the reference protein (breast milk, as defined in Annex VI of Commission Directive 91/321/EEC).
- For an equal energy value the formula must contain an available quantity of each essential and semi-essential amino-acid at least equal to that contained in the reference protein (breast milk, as defined in Annex V of Commission Directive 91/321/EEC).
- The protein efficiency coefficient (PER) and net protein utilization (NPU) must be at least equal to those of casein.
- The taurine content shall be equal to at least 10 µmoles/100 kJ (42 µmoles/100 kcal) and the L-carnitine content shall be equal to at least 1.8 µmoles/100 kJ (7.5 µmoles/100 kcal).

References

- 1. First Report of the Scientific Committee for Food on the Essential Requirements of Infant Formulae and Follow-up Milks based on Cow's Milk Proteins (1983). Food Science and Techniques. Commission of the European Communities, Luxembourg: 14th series, EUR 8752.
- 2. First Report of the Scientific Committee for Food on the Minimum Requirements for Soya-based Infant Formulae and Follow-up Milks (1989). Food Science and Techniques. Commission of the European Communities, Luxembourg: 23th series, EUR 12536.
- 3. First Addendum to the Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food concerning the Essential Requirements of Infant Formulae and Follow-up Milks based on Cow's milk Proteins and the Minimum Requirements for Soya-based Infant Formulae and Follow-up Milks (1991). Food Science and Techniques. Commission of the European Communities, Luxembourg: 24th series, EUR 13140.
- 4. Second Addendum to the Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food concerning the Essential Requirements of Infant Formulae and Follow-up Milks based on Cows' milk Proteins and the Minimum Requirements for Soya-based Infant Formulae and Follow-up Milks. *Food Science and Techniques*. Commission of the European Communities, Luxembourg: 28th series.
- 5. Loeb H, Mozin MJ, (1983). Prevention of chronic diarrhea: nutritional implications. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. S328-34.
- 6. De Masi RV, Dragonetti A, Florino G *et al.*, (1985). Multiple food intolerance: dietary management with a new formula characterized by low allergenic activity and low osmolarity. *Riv Ital Pediatr*. **11**, 273-9.
- 7. Coedano A, Gastanaduy AS, Graham GG, (1988). Absorption and retention from an iso-osmolal casein hydrolysate infant formula. *Nutr Research* 8, 1353-62.
- 8. Galeano NF, Lepage C, Leroy C et al., (1988). Comparison of two special infant formulas designed for the treatment of protracted diarrhea. J Pediatr Gastrenterol Nutr. 7, 76-83.
- 9. Walker-Smith JA, Digeon B, Phillips AD, (1989). Evaluation of a casein and a whey hydrolysate for treatment of cow's-milk-sensitive enteropathy. *Eur J Pediatr.* 149, 68-71.
- 10. Bertele-Harms RM, Harms HK, (1989). Experience with hypoallergenic formulas in the treatment of food allergy in infancy. In: Harms HK, Wahn U, eds. Food allergy in infancy and childhood. Berlin, Springer Verlag, 177-87.
- 11. Gerke R, Reinhardt D, Schmidt E, (1988). Hypoallergenic formula: a feeding trial in newborn infants from atopic families. In: Schmidt E, ed. Food Allergy. Nestlé Nutrition Workshop Series. Vol 17. New-York, Raven Press Ltd, 209-13.

- 12. Vandenplas Y, Deneyer M, Sacre L, Loeb H, (1988). Preliminary data on a field study with a new hypo-allergic formula. *Eur J Pediatr.* 148, 274-7.
- 13. Merritt RJ, Carter M, Haight M, Eisenberg LD, (1990). Whey protein hydrolysate formula for infants with gastrointestinal intolerance to cow milk and soy protein in infant formulas. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 11, 78-82.
- 14. American Adademy of Pediatrics Committee on Nutrition (1989). Hypoallergenic infant formulas. *Pediatrics*. 83, 1068-9.
- 15. First report of the Scientific Committee for Food concerning the Essential Requirements for Weaning Food (1989). *Food-Science and Techniques* Commission of the European Communities, Luxembourg, 24th serie, EUR 13140.
- **16.** ESPGAN Committee on Nutrition. Comments on "allergen reduced" infant formulae based on protein hydrolysates (en préparation).
- 17. American Academy of Pediatrics, (1990). Subcommittee on Nutrition and Allergic Disease, Infant formulas and allergic disease.
- 18. Schmitz J, Bresson JL, (1988). L'allaitement au sein prévient-il la survenue ultérieure de manifestations d'allergie? Arch Fr Pediatr. 45, 81-4.
- **19.** Bindels JG, Verwimp J, (1990). Allergenic aspects of infant feeding. Nutricia Research Communications 2.
- 20. Bousquet J, Michel FB, (1989). Predictive value of blood immunoglobulin E in childhood allergy. In: Hamburger RN, ed. Food intolerance in infancy: allergology, immunology and gastroenterology. *Carnation Nutrition Education Series*. Vol. 1. New-York, Raven Press Ltd. 93-103.
- 21. Businco L, Cantani A, (1989). Epidemiology of childhood atopy. Allergologie 12, S171-5.
- 22. Wood CBS, (1986). How common is food allergy? Acta Paediatr Scand. suppl. 323, 76-83.
- 23. Bock SA, (1987). Prospective appraisal of complaints of adverse reactions to foods in children during the first 3 years of life. *Pediatrics*. 79, 683-8.
- 24. Forget P, Leclercq-Foucart J, D'Hondt C, Haid C, (1988). Intolérance au lait chez le nourrisson: étude réalisée dans la région liégeoise. *Rev Med Liège* 43, 257-60.
- 25. Høst A, Husby S, Østerballe O, (1988). A prospective study of cow's milk allergy in exclusively breast-fed infants. Incidence, pathogenetic role of early inadvertent exposure to cow's milk formula, and characterization of bovine milk protein in human milk. Acta Paediatr Scand. 77, 663-70.

- Chandra RK, Puri S, Cheema PS, (1985). Predictive value of cord blood IgE in the development of atopic disease and role of breast-feeding in its prevention. *Clin Allergy*. 15, 517-22.
- 27. Croner S, Kjellman NIM, Eriksson B, Roth A, (1982). IgE screening in 1701 newborn infants and the development of atopic desease during infancy. Arch Dis Child. 57, 364-8.
- 28. Kropf-Herwig G, Lau S, Weitzel H, Wahn U, (1988). Wie viele Neugeborene haben ein erhöhtes Atopie-Risiko? *Monatsschr. Kinderheilk.* 136, 443-7.
- **29.** Anderson HR, (1989). Is the prevalence of asthma changing ? Arch Dis child. **64**, 172-5.
- 30. Burney PGJ, Chinn S, Rona RJ, (1990). Has the prevalence of asthma increased in children? Evidence from the national study of health and growth 1973-86. Br Med J. 300, 1306-10.
- 31. Haahtela T, Lindholm J, Björkstén F et al., (1990). Prevalence of asthma in Finnish young men. Br Med J. 301, 266-8.
- **32.** Hanson DG, (1981). Ontogeny of orally induced tolerance to soluble proteins in mice. I. Priming and tolerance in newborns. *J Immunol.* **127**, 1518-24.
- 33. Strobel S, Ferguson A, (1984). Immune response to fed protein antigens in mice. 3. Systemic tolerance or priming is related to age at which antigen is first encountered. *Pediatr Res.* 18, 588-94.
- 34. Strobel S, Ferguson A, (1986). Modulation of intestinal and systemic immune responses to a fed protein antigen, in mice. Gut. 27, 829-37.
- 35. Société Française de Pédiatrie Comité de Nutrition (1988). Préparations diététiques hydrolysées pour l'allaitement du nourrisson et prévention de l'allergie. Arch Fr Pediatr. 45, 435-7.
- 36. Foucard T, (1985). Development of food allergies with special reference to cow's milk allergy. *Pediatrics*. 75 (suppl), 177-81.
- 37. Stinzting G, Zetterström R, (1979). Cow's milk allergy. Incidence and pathogenetic role of early exposure to cow's milk formula. Acta Paediatr Scand. 68, 383-7.
- 38. Lindfors A, Enocksson E, (1988). Development of atopic disease after early administration of cow milk formula. Allergy. 43, 11-6.
- **39.** Burr ML, (1983). Does infant feeding affect the risk of allergy? Arch Dis Child. **58**, 561-5.
- **40.** Zeiger RS, Heller S, Mellon M *et al.*, (1986). Effectiveness of dietary manipulation in the prevention of food allergy in infants. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 78, 224-38.

- 41. Grulee CG, Sanford HN, (1936). The influence of breast and artificial feeding on infantile eczema. J Pediatr. 9, 223-5.
- 42. Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, Shannon FT, (1990). Early solid feeding and recurrent childhood eczema: a 10-year longitudinal study. *Pediatrics*. 86, 541-6.
- **43.** Kramer MS, Moroz B, (1981). Do breast-feeding and delayed introduction of solid foods protect against subsequent atopic eczema? *J Pediatr.* **98**, 546-50.
- 44. Lucas A, Brooke OG, Morley R et al., (1990). Early diet of preterm infants and development of allergic or atopic disease: randomised prospective study. Br Med J. 300, 837-40.
- 45. Chandra RK, Puri S, Hamed A, (1989). Influence of maternal diet during lactation and use of formula feeds on development of atopic eczema in high risk infants. Br Med J. 315, 228-30.
- 46. Saarinen UM, Kajossari M, Backman A, Siimes MA, (1979). Prolonged breast-feeding as prophylaxis for atopic disease. *Lancet*. ii, 163-6.
- 47. Marini A, Agosti M, Motta G, Lusardi C, (1990). Prevenzione dietetica in neonati ad alto rischio atopico. Follow-up 0-36 mesi: valutazioni cliniche e di laboratorio. *Riv Ital Pediatr.* 16, 391-8.
- **48.** Zeiger RS, Heller S, Mellon MH *et al.*, (1989). Effect of combined maternal and infant food-allergen avoidance on development of atopy in early infancy: a randomized study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* **84**, 72-89.
- **49.** Lilja G, Dannaeus A, Foucard T *et al.*, (1989). Effects of maternal diet during late pregnancy and lactation on the development of atopic diseases in infants up to 18 months of age *in vivo* results. *Clin Exp Allergy*. **19**, 473-9.
- 50. Kilshaw PJ, Cant AJ, (1984). The passage of maternal dietary proteins into human breast milk. Int Archs Allergy Appl Immunol. 75, 8-15.
- **51.** Axelsson I, Jakobsson I, Lindberg T, Benediktsson B, (1986). Bovine lactoglobulin in the human milk. A longitudinal study during the whole lactation period. *Acta Paediatr Scand.* **75**, 702-7.
- 52. Troncone R, Scarcella A, Donatiello A et al. (1987). Passage of gliadin into human breast milk. Acta Paediatr Scand. 76, 453-6.
- 53. Høst A, Husby S, Hansen LG, Østerballe O, (1990). Bovine β-lacto-globulin in human milk from atopic and non-atopic mothers. Relationship to maternal intake of homogenized and unhomogenized milk. *Clin Exper Allergy*. 20, 383-7.
- 54. Clyne PS, Kulczycki A Jr, (1991). Human breast milk contains bovine IgG. Relationship to infant colic? *Pediatrics*. 87, 439-44.

- 55. Lifschitz CH, Hawkins HK, Guerra C, Byrd N, (1988). Anaphylactic shock due to cows' milk protein hypersensitivity in a breast-fed infant. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 7, 141-4.
- 56. Jakobsson I, Lindberg T, (1983). Cows' milk proteins cause infantile colic in breast-fed infants: a double-blind crossover study. *Pediatrics*. 71, 268-71.
- 57. Cant AJ, Bailes JA, Marsden RA, Hewitt D, (1986). Effect of maternal dietary exclusion on breast fed infants with eczema: two controlled studies. Br Med J. 293, 231-3.
- 58. Fälth-Magnusson K, Öman H, Kjellman NIM, (1987). Maternal abstention from cow milk and egg in allergy risk pregnancies. Effects on antibody production in the mother and the newborn. *Allergy*. 42, 64-73.
- **59.** American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Nutrition, (1983). Soy-protein formulas: recommendations for use in infant feeding. *Pediatrics*. **72**, 359-63.
- 60. Schmitz J, Rey F, Jos J, Rey J, (1976). Sensibilisation aux protéines du lait et du soja. Arch Fr Pediatr. 33, 719-21.
- 61. Witheraly SA, (1990). Soy formulas are not hypoallergenic. Am J Clin Nutr. 51, 705.
- 62. Jakobsson I, Lindberg T, (1979). A prospective study of cow's milk protein intolerance in Swedish infants. Acta Paediatr Scand. 68, 853-9.
- 63. Hill DH, Ford RPK, Shelton MJ, Hosking CS, (1984). Study of 100 infants and young children with cow's milk allergy. *Clin Rev Allergy*. 2, 125-42.
- 64. Anonymous, (1981). Exploring cow's milk and soy protein sensitivity in human infants. Nutr Rev. 39, 305-7.
- 65. ESPGAN Committee on Nutrition, (1990). Comment on the composition of soy-protein based infant and follow-up formulas. *Acta Paediatr Scand.* 79, 1001-5.
- 66. Kjellman NIM, Johansson SGO, (1979). Soy versus cows' milk in infants with a biparental history of atopic disease: development of atopic disease and immunoglobulins from birth to 4 years of age. *Clin Allergy*. 9, 347-58.
- 67. Gruskay FL, (1982). Comparison of breast, cow, and soy feedings in the prevention of onset of allergic disease. *Clin Pediatr.* 21, 486-91.
- **68.** Moore WJ, Midwinter RE, Morris AF *et al.* (1985).Infant feeding and subsequent risk of atopic eczema. *Arch Dis Child.* **60**, 722-6.
- **69.** Miskelly FG, Burr ML, Vaughan-Williams E et al. (1988). Infant feeding and allergy. Arch Dis Child. **63**, 388-93.

- 70. Lothe L, Lindberg T, (1989). Cows' milk whey protein elicits symptoms of infantile colic in colicky formula-fed infants: a double-blind crossover study. *Pediatrics*. 83, 262-6.
- 71. McLaughlan P, Anderson KJ, Coombs RRA, (1981). On oral screening procedure to determine the sensitizing capacity of infant feeding formulae. *Clin Allergy*. 11, 311-8.
- 72. Knights RJ, (1985). Processing and evaluation of the antigenicity of protein hydrolysates. Clin Dis Pediatr Nutr. 4, 105-15.
- 73. Poulsen OM, Hau J, (1987). Letter to the Editor. Clin Allergy. 42, 158.
- 74. Seban A, Konijn AM, Freier S, (1977). Chemical and immunological properties of a protein hydrolysate formula. Am J Clin Nutr. 30, 840-6.
- 75. Saylor JD, Bahna SL, (1991). Anaphylaxis to casein hydrolysate formula. J Pediatr. 118, 71-4.
- 76. Bock SA, (1989). Probable allergic reaction to casein hydrolysate. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 84, 272.
- 77. Businco L, Cantani A, Longhi MA, Giampietro PG, (1989). Anaphylactic reactions to a cow's milk whey protein hydrolysate (Alfarée, Nestlé) in infants with cow's milk allergy. Ann Allergy. 62, 333-5.
- 78. Stoker TW, Hendricks KM, Walker WA, (1989). Patient response to a casein hydrolysate Alimentum. J Am Diet Assoc. 89, A 109.
- 79. Vanderhoof JA, Murray ND, Young RJ et al. (1989). A new casein hydrolysate formula for the treatment of milk protein colitis. *Pediatr Res.* 25, 127 A.
- 80. Sampson HA, Bernhisel-Broadbent J, Yang E, Scanlon SM, (1991). Safety of casein hydrolysate formula in children with cow milk allergy. *J Pediatr.* 118, 520-5.
- 81. Taylor CJ, Jenkins P, Manning D, (1988). Evaluation of a peptide formula (milk) in the management of infants with multiple GIT intolerance. *Clin Nutr.* 7, 183-90.
- 82. Guesry PR, Secretin MC, Jost R et al., (1989). Hypoallergenic formulas. In: Hamburger RN, ed. Food intolerance in infancy: allergology, immunology and gastroenterology. Carnation Nutrition Education Series. Vol 1. New-York, Raven Press Ltd, 253-65.
- 83. Schmidt E, Eden-Köhler J, (1989). International experience with a new hypoallergenic formula, Federal Republic of Germany. In: Hamburger RN, ed. Food intolerance in infancy: allergology, immunology and gastroenterology. *Carnation. Nutrition Education Series*. Vol 1. New-York, Raven Press Ltd, 279-81.
- 84. Exl MB, Müller-Teicher G, Reitmeier H, (1989). Retrospektive Erhebung der Atopie-Häufigkeit von allergiegefährdeten Säuglingen im 1. Lebensjahr nach 3 monatiger allergenarmer Anfangsernährung. Ein Erfahrungsbericht. *Extracta Paediatr.* 13, 42-53.

- 85. Eden-Köhler J, Schmidt E, (1989). Der Ernährungseinfluß auf die Allergie-Prophylaxe. Monatsschr Kinderheilk. 137, 510.
- 86. Eden-Köhler J, Schmidt E. Zur Allergieprophylaxe im Säuglingsalter durch hypoallergene Ernährung (to be published).
- 87. Chandra RK, Singh G, Shridhara B, (1989). Effect of feeding whey hydrolysate, soy and conventional cow milk formulas on incidence of atopic disease in high risk infants. Ann Allergy. 63, 102-6.
- 88. Chandra RK, Hamed A, (1991). Cumulative incidence of allergic disorders in high-risk infants fed whey hydrolysate, soy and conventional cow milk formulas. Ann Allergy. 67, 129-32.
- **89.** Vandenplas Y, Hauser B, Vandenborre C *et al.*. The effect of feeding a whey hydrolysate formula on the long term prophylaxis of atopic disease in high risk infants (to be published).
- **90.** Sarles J, (1988). Les aliments lactés hypoallergéniques: à qui sont-ils destinés ? Arch Fr Pediatr. 45, 373-4.
- 91. Bitner AF, Matos LA, Whisman BA, Goetz DW, (1990). Persistence of allergens in a hydrolysed whey formula. Ann Allergy. 64, 80A.
- 92. Ellis MH, Short JA, Heiner DC, (1991). Anaphylaxis after ingestion of a recently introduced hydrolysed whey protein formula. J Pediatr. 118, 74-7.
- 93. Rigo J, Senterre J, Salle B, Putet G, (1990). Nutritional efficiency of protein hydrolysate formulas in neonates: growth and plasma amino acid concentrations at 1 month of age. Acta Gastro-Enterol Belgica. 53, D5.
- 94. Salle BL, Rigo J, Cavero E *et al.*. Valeur nutritionnelle d'un lait hypoallergénique (to be published).
- 95. Jost R, Monti JC, Pahud JJ, (1987). Whey protein allergenicity and its reduction by technological means. Food Technol. 41, 118-21.
- 96. Rigo J, Verloes A, Senterre J, (1989). Plasma amino acid concentrations in term infants fed human milk, a whey-predominant formula, or a whey hydrolysate formula. J Pediatr. 115, 752-5.
- **97.** Lönnerdal B. Trace element bioavailability from infant formula based on a novel protein hydrolysate (to be published).
- 98. Lönnerdal B, Cederblad A, Davidsson L, Sandström B, (1984). The effect of individual components of soy formula and cow's milk formula on zinc bioavailability. Am J Clin Nutr. 40, 1064-70.
- 99. Anonymous, (1986). Zinc bioavailability of human and cow's milk. Nutr Rev. 44, 181-3.

- 100. Lönnerdal B, Bell JG, Hendrickx G et al., (1988). Effect of phytate removal on zinc absorption from soy formula. Am J Clin Nutr. 48, 1301-6.
- 101. Rudloff S, Lönnerdal B, (1990). Calcium retention from milk-based infant formulas, whey-hydrolysate formula, and human milk in weanling rhesus monkeys. Am J Dis child. 144, 360-3.
- 102. Vanderhoof JA, Grandjean CJ, Burkley KT, Antonson DL, (1984). Effect of casein versus casein hydrolysate on mucosal adaptation following massive bowel resection in infant rats. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 3, 262-7.
- Poullain MG, Cezard J-P, Marche C et al., (1989). Dietary whey protein and their peptides or amino acids: effects on the jejunal mucosa of starved rats. Am J Clin Nutr. 49, 71-6.
- 104. Ohkohchi N, Ando T, Ohi R, Mori S, (1990). Defined formula diets alter characteristics of the intestinal transport of amino acid and peptide in growing rats. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 10, 490-6.
- 105. Moughan PJ, Pedraza M, Smith WC et al., (1990). An evaluation with piglets of bovine milk, hydrolyzed bovine milk, and isolated soybean proteins included in infant milk formulas. I. Effect on organ development, digestive enzyme activities, and amino acid digestibility. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 10, 385-94.
- 106. Pahud JJ, Schwarz K, Granato D, (1988). Control of hypoallergenicity by animal models. In: Schmidt E, ed. Food allergy. Nestlé Nutrition Workshop Series. Vol 17. New-York, Raven Press Ltd, 199-207.
- 107. Jost R, (1988). Physicochemical treatment of food allergens: application to cow's milk proteins. In: Schmidt E, ed. Food Allergy. Nestlé Nutrition Workshop Series. Vol 17. New-York, Raven Press Ltd, 187-97.
- 108. Kilshaw PJ, Heppell LMJ, Ford JE, (1982). Effects of heat treatment of cow's milk and whey on the nutritional quality and antigenic properties. Arch Dis Child. 57, 842-7.
- 109. Heppell LMJ, Cant AJ, Kilshaw PJ, (1984). Reduction in the antigenicity of whey proteins by heat treatment: a possible strategy for producing a hypoallergenic infant milk formula. *Br J Nutr.* 51, 29-36.
- 110. Commission française interministérielle et interprofessionnelle d'étude des aliments destinés à une alimentation particulière. Avis du 15 novembre 1988 concernant les aliments de premier âge présentés comme hypoallergéniques, et notamment leur étiquetage et leur publicité. Bulletin Officiel de la Concurrence, de la Consommation et de la Répression des Fraudes, 2 février 1990, 40.
- 111. Strobel S, Fairclough LM, (1989). Whole cow's milk versus hydrolysed infant formulae: analysis of systemic immune responses and antigenic cross-reactivities. In: *Food allergy in infancy and childhood*. Harms HK, Wahn U, eds. Berlin, Springer Verlag, 157-65.

Second Addendum

(Opinion expressed on 9 December 1991)

to the Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food

concerning

the essential requirements of infant formulae and follow-up milks based on cows' milk proteins

(Opinion expressed on 27 April 1983)

and

the minimal requirements for soya-based infant formulae and follow-up milks.

(Opinion expressed on 9 December 1988)

Terms of reference

To advise on the addition of some nucleotides to the list of nutritional substances which may be added in the manufacture of infant formulae and follow-on formulae.

Introduction

1. The Committee has adopted up to now two reports on infant formulae and follow-on formulae based on cows' milk proteins and soya proteins ^{1,2}. It recently reconsidered its recommendations concerning the maximum level of vitamin D content of these products and approved a limited extension of the list of nutritional substances which may be added in their manufacture ³. The second addendum to the above mentioned reports concerns the addition to infant formulae and follow-on formulae of nucleotides normally present in human milk and which are semi-essential compounds, especially during the first months of life.

Definitions

2. The definitions adopted in the above mentioned reports ^{1,2} and in the first report of the Committee on the essential requirements for weaning foods ⁴ have been retained.

Documents consulted

3. In drafting this opinion the Committee considered relevant scientific publications and information provided by the Association of Dietetic Food Industries of the EEC (IDACE) in particular concerning the specifications and the purity criteria of nucleotides.

Scientific background

4. Nucleotides are the basic building blocks of the nucleic acids RNA and DNA. They are comprised of one or more phosphate groups, of a pentose, either ribose or deoxyribose and a nitrogenous base. The nitrogenous bases are either purines (adenine, guanine, hypoxanthine or xanthine) or pyrimidines (uracil, cytosine or thymidine). Nucleotides are involved in other cellular functions such as in energy transfer or as modulators of enzyme activity ⁵.

The purine and pyrimidine bases can be synthesized in the body from amino-acid precursors and can also be re-synthesized in the salvage pathway from catabolic intermediaries. The latter is the quantitatively more significant accounting for up to 90% of the purine bases. Certain tissues preferentially utilize the salvage pathway eg. the intestinal mucosa, bone marrow, hematopoietic cells such as leukocytes and erythrocytes. The *de novo* synthesis pathway and the salvage pathway are inversely related, the former being activated by the absence of nucleotides and the latter stimulated by their presence in the diet $^{6-8}$.

5. For certain cells such as lymphocytes or intestinal epithelial cells where growth and turnover can be rapid, dietary purines and pyrimidines can be considered as semiessential. The rat's small intestine lacks the *de novo* synthetic pathway and the level of gut RNA falls on purine and pyrimidine deficient diets ⁹. High density lipoproteins are increased in infants and experimental animals by nucleotide feeding and the elongation and desaturation of essential fatty acids are increased in neonatal infants and rats by dietary nucleotides ¹⁰.

Iron bioavailability is influenced by inosine monophosphate (IMP) which is derived from the catabolism of adenosine monophosphate (AMP) ^{11,12}. IMP also plays a role in the T-cell mediated immune response ¹³ and nucleotides may be components of human milk that contribute to the enhanced immunity of the breast-fed infant ¹⁴. In rats with 70% hepatectomy, liver regeneration is increased by intravenous administration of nucleotides. Intestinal recovery following diarrhoea may also be improved by dietary nucleotides ¹⁵.

- 6. Nucleoproteins, widely present in food, are digested by proteolytic enzymes to release nucleic acids. Pancreatic nucleases degrade nucleic acids into a mixture of mono-, di-, tri-, and polynucleotides. Intestinal alkaline phosphatase converts nucleotides to nucleosides releasing free phosphate, and nucleosidases convert nucleosides to the pentose and to free purine and pyrimidine bases ^{16,17}. Together, alkaline phosphatase, nucleotidases and nucleosidases generate a mixture of free purine and pyrimidine and nucleosides. It is in the latter form that they are absorbed by facilitated diffusion and by sodium dependent transporters ¹⁸.
- 7. Foods such as offal, sea-foods and dried legumes are especially rich in nucleotides. Cows' milk is low in nucleotides especially those based on cytosine and adenosine. It does, however, contain a high level of orotate. Both goat and sheep milk contain considerably more (four and five times respectively) nucleotide than cows' milk and colostrum contains more than mature breast milk ^{19,20}.
- 8. Human milk is richer in nucleotides in comparison to cows' milk. Taking into account the total nitrogen content, nucleotides represent in human milk a higher percentage than in ruminant milks. As much as 30% of the total nitrogen in human milk is nonprotein nitrogen (about 5% in cows' milk) and soluble nucleotides contribute as much as 20% of the nonprotein nitrogen. As in other species, the nucleotide content of human milk decreases with advancing lactation, except for IMP which increases. There is variability in the levels of specific nucleotides which can be attributed to the individuals *per se* and also to the methods of sample preparation and analysis. The Committee has thus considered only the values obtained with the more recent techniques using HPLC and enzymatic methods.
- 9. Cytidine and uridine nucleotides represent the most important fraction of the total nucleotides in human milk. Total uridine derivatives reach an average up to 5.4 μ mol/dl (range: 4.9 7.5) and total cytidine derivatives an average 3 μ mol/dl (range: 2.2 4.9). The average for adenosine derivatives is 2.9 μ mol/dl (range: 2.1 3.5) and that for guanosine derivatives is 0.8 μ mol/dl (range: 0.6 1.3) ²¹. AMP and CMP represent the majority of adenosine and cytidine nucleotides. Uridine monosphosphate (UMP) and guanosine monophosphate (GMP) are about 20 to 25% of total uridine and guanosine derivatives.

IMP is also present in human milk and no other inosine derivatives have been found. Orotate is practically absent in human milk.

- 10. Supplementation of infant formulae with nucleotides is authorized in Japan from 1965, in Spain from 1983 and in the United States from 1989. Only nucleotides in the form of monophosphate salts are used for supplementation, the total amount added being comprised between 2 and 5 mg/100 kcal in the marketed formulae. Considering the consumption of energy of young infants, the intake of nucleotides of those fed supplemented infant formulae is in the same order as that of breast-fed infants (11-18 mg/day)⁹.
- 11. Until now, the Committee, like JECFA, has allocated an ADI not specified for 5'ribonucleotides. Likewise the Committee has allocated an ADI not specified for specific nucleotides such as inosinic acid and its salts (E 630-633) and guanylic acid and its salts (E 626-629). Nevertheless studies on the effect of oral purines on serum and urinary uric acid of healthy hyperuricemic and gouty adult humans suggest that the purine derivatives

except those derived from guanine, increased serum uric acid concentrations 22 . So, several reports suggest that the maximum safe limit of ribonucleotides daily intake in the diet of adults would be 2 g, i.e. 30 mg/kg body weight 22 . The intake of nucleotides of breast-fed infants and of supplemented milk formulae is about 10 times less than the suggested maximum daily intake for adults.

Conclusions

- 12. There is not any sign of clinical deficiency which may be attributable to the lack of nucleotides in infant formulae. However it is well established that dietary nucleotides play a role in a number of physiological functions, namely lipid metabolism, immune response, tissue repair and possibly iron absorption. The exogenous supply of nucleotides may be important especially for those tissues with a high turnover.
- 13. Human milk has a specific nucleotide profile which differs from that of ruminant milks. The total nucleotides content in human milk ranges between 5 to 15 μ mol/dl, i.e. approximately 2 to 6 mg/100 kcal. Thus the Committee considers that if infant formulae are supplemented with nucleotides it is advisable to supplement in the same order of concentrations as found in mature human milk.
- 14. There are no long term studies for infants fed nucleotide supplemented milk formulae. However, those products have been used in the United States, Japan and Spain for years and no deleterious effect has been reported. Therefore the Committee does not have any theoretical reason to think about negative effects of those formulae, at least within the range of nucleotide concentrations used until now.
- 15. A large number of children are still fed with infant formulae after 4-6 months of age. The amount of nucleotides likely to be added to either infant formulae or follow-on formulae would represent a very small proportion of the total intake of purine and pyridine bases in a diversified feeding pattern. The Committee considers that, while there would be no benefit to adding nucleotides to follow-on formulae, there is no reason to believe that this would have negative consequences, provided they are added at the same levels recommended for infant formulae.
- 16. Although human milk contains at least 12 acid soluble nucleotides, the opinion of the Committee is to limit the list to the following nucleotide-5'monophosphates: CMP, UMP, AMP, GMP and IMP, which are hydrolysed in the intestine and absorbed as nucleosides. Only the sodium salts of those nucleotides, easily soluble in water, should be authorized. The total concentration of those nucleotides in the ready to use products should be in the same order of magnitude as in human milk and in any case less than 5 mg/100 kcal. The maximum limit for each nucleotide should be:

| CMP: | 2.5 | mg/100 kcal |
|------|------|-------------|
| UMP: | 1.75 | mg/100 kcal |
| AMP: | 1.5 | mg/100 kcal |
| GMP: | 0.5 | mg/100 kcal |
| IMP: | 1 | mg/100 kcal |

References

- 1. First Report of the Scientific Committee for Food on the Essential Requirements of Infant Formulae and Follow-up Milks Based on Cows' Milk Proteins, (1983). Food Science and Techniques. Commission of the European Communities. Luxembourg: 14th Series, EUR 8752, 9-32.
- First Report of the Scientific Committee for Food on the Minimum Requirements for Soya-based Infant Formulae and Follow-up Milks, (1989). Food - Science and Techniques. Commission of the European Communities. Luxembourg: 23rd Series, EUR 12536.
- 3. First Addendum to the Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food concerning the Essential Requirements of Infant Formulae and Follow-up Milks based on Cows' Milk Proteins and the Minimum Requirements for Soya-based Infant Formulae and Follow-up Milks, (1991). Food Science and Techniques. Commission of the European Communities. Luxembourg: 24th Series, EUR 13140.
- 4. First Report of the Scientific Committee for Food on the Essential Requirements for Weaning Foods, (1991). Food Science and Techniques. Commission of the European Communities. Luxembourg: 24th Series, EUR 13140.
- 5. Lehninger AI, (1982). Principles of Biochemistry. New York: Worth Publishers.
- 6. Gil A, (1989). Roles of dietary nucleotides in artificial nutrition. J Clin Nutr Gastroenterol. 49, 253-279.
- 7. LeLeiko NS, Bronstein AD, Munro HN, (1979). Effect of dietary purines on the *de* novo synthesis of purine nucleotides in the small intestinal mucosa. *Ped Res.* 13, 403.
- 8. Savaiano DA, Clifford AJ, (1981). Adenosine, the precursor of nucleic acids in intestinal cells unable to synthesize purines *de novo*. J Nutr. **111**, 1816-1822.
- 9. Uauy R, (1989). Dietary nucleotides and requirements in early life. Textbook of Gastroenterology and Nutrition in Infancy, Second edition, ed. by E. Lebenthal, Raven Press Ltd., New York, 265-280.
- 10. Gil A, Lozano E, DeLucchi C, Maldonado J, Molina JA, Pita ML, (1988). Changes in the fatty acid profiles of plasma lipid fractions induced by dietary nucleotides in infants born at term. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 42, 473-481.
- 11. McMillan JA, Oski FA, Lourie G, Tomarelli RM, Landau SA, (1977). Iron absorption from milk, simulated human milk and proprietary formulas. *Pediatrics*. 60, 986-1000.
- 12. Janas LM, Picciano MF, (1982). The nucleotide profile of human milk. Ped Res. 16, 659-662.

13. Rudolph FB, Kulkarni AD, Schandle VB, Van Buren CT, (1984). Involvement of dietary nucleotides in T-lymphocyte function. *Exp Med Biol.* 165, 175-178.

• •

- 14. Carver JD, Pimentel B, Cox WI, Barness LA, (1991). Dietary nucleotide effects upon immune function in infants. *Pediatrics*. 88, 359-63.
- 15. Núñez MC, Ayudarte MV, Morales D, Suárez MD, Gil A, (1990). Effect of dietary nucleotides on intestinal repair in rats with experimental chronic diarrhoea. J Parent Ent Nutr. 14, 598-604.
- 16. Henderson JF, Paterson ARP, (1973). Nucleotide metabolism: an introduction. New York, Academic Press.
- 17. Munro HN, (1984). Differences in metabolic handling of orally versus parenterally administered nutrients. In Green M, Green HL (eds): The role of the gastro-intestinal tract in nutrient delivery. New York, Academic Press, 183-196.
- 18. Jarvis SM, (1989). Nucleoside transport in animal cells Facilitated diffusion and sodium cotransporter systems. Annals of Nutr Met. 33 (n°14), 196.
- 19. Deutsch A, Mattsson S, (1960). Acid-soluble nucleotides of cow's milk and colostrum. Milk and dairy research. Report n°63, Alnarp, Sweden.
- 20. Gil A, Sánchez-Medina F, (1981). Acide-soluble nucleotides of cow's, goat's and sheep's milk at different stages of lactation. J Dairy Res. 48, 35-44.
- 21. Gil A, Sánchez-Medina F, (1982). Acide-soluble nucleotides of human milk at different stages of lactation. J Dairy Res. 49, 301-306.
- Clifford AJ, Riumallo JA, Young VR, Scrimshaw NS, (1976). Effect of oral purines on serum and urinary uric acid of normal, hyperuricemic and gouty humans. J Nutr. 106, 428-34.

Report of the Scientific Committee for Food on foods for particular nutritional uses whose sodium content has been modified

(Opinion expressed on 9 December 1991)

Low sodium foods and salt substitutes

Terms of reference

To advise on foods for particular nutritional uses whose sodium content has been modified.

1. Dietary sodium in Europe

- 1.1 The sodium which is present in the diet as eaten has three distinct origins. The first one is represented by the sodium naturally present in foods and drinking water. Natural sodium content rarely exceeds values of 100 mg per 100 grams or 100 kcal (420 kJ) (see Table 2). The second source of sodium is represented by the salt (NaCl) which is added to foods, and as sodium salts of food additives, during various stages of their industrial processing and/or manufacturing. These food additive sources account for less than 10% of total sodium intake ²². Processed foods tend therefore to be much richer in sodium than natural foods (see Table 1). The third source of dietary sodium is represented by the salt which is added to foods by the individual consumer during the domestic stages of preparation of the meals and/or at the table. This salt, being under the control of the individual consumer, is usually referred to as "discretionary" salt.
- 1.2 Total dietary sodium intake in the European region has been estimated to range between 3.5 and 5 g/day (9 to 12 g NaCl/day) ¹⁵. The proportion of total sodium contributed by discretionary salt is not firmly established, but recent evidence suggests it may range between 20 and 40% of total sodium intake ^{16,18}, although it has also been seen to drop down to only 2% ^{13,24}. This confirms that the major source of dietary intake of salt is currently from industrially processed foods ^{3,8,12}. The major sources of sodium are, for most countries, bread and other cereal and bakery products; the combined group of meats, fish, and eggs follows closely, and milk products come next (Tables 3 and 4).

2. Rationale for low salt intakes

- 2.1 Salt consumption, and sodium in particular, has been firmly linked to hypertension, both for its role in its pathogenesis and, even more so, for its treatment 7,9,10,21,25,28,29. While there is a continuing controversy about the exact nature of the sodium-hypertension link, a large body of scientific evidence is available to show that high salt intakes are a necessary but not sufficient condition for inducing essential hypertension in all individuals (the Salt Hypothesis) ⁵. On a population basis, it has been established that the habitual consumption of more than 6 g/day of salt is associated with an age-increase in blood pressure ¹⁵. As for the hypertensive patient, the evidence shows that the blood pressure of most hypertensive falls following the reduction of their salt intakes ^{2,4,14,19}. The benefits of lowering blood pressure are further magnified by the concurrent reduction of the dependence on hypotensive drugs, which may have undesirable side effects.
- 2.2 On the basis of the above mentioned scientific evidence authoritative bodies have recommended that the total amount of dietary salt be maintained at about 5-6 g/day 1,27. Such recommendations are addressed to the general public. However, it is recognized that genetically salt susceptible individuals and hypertensives will particularly benefit from low sodium diets, the salt content of which should range between 1 and 3 g/day.

3. Salt modified products

- 3.1 Individuals who wish or need to control the sodium content of their diet could do so by modifying certain habits and appropriately selecting common foodstuffs. Indeed the addition of salt during cooking or other meal preparation and at the table could be reduced. There are foodstuffs which naturally contain a low amount of sodium. Manufacturers, responding to expert recommendations and demand of the public, are marketing an ever increasing variety of common foodstuffs the sodium content of which is significantly less than in the past.
- **3.2** Dietetic products specially manufactured for the dietary management of certain conditions needing a well defined reduced Na content and clearly presented as such, comprise two distinct types:
 - a) sodium-modified foodstuffs to be consumed as such; and
 - b) sodium-modified salt substitutes which are added to foodstuffs during their preparation and/or at the table.

This report deals with these two types of products.

4. Overview of standards for low sodium products

4.1 Codex has established a standard for low sodium dietary foods and salt substitutes 6. It describes these foods as being intended for special dietary uses by reason of their low sodium content and contemplates two categories, the low sodium and the very low

sodium products. For both, a three pronged definition is used, namely that the food be processed without adding salt, that the final sodium content be no more than half the amount contained in the normal-salt version, and that it does not exceed the threshold of 120 mg (low sodium) and 40 mg (very low sodium) per 100 g final product as consumed.

- **4.2** The U.S. regulation for sodium labelling ²⁶ distinguishes four categories based on the sodium content per serving: sodium free (less than 5 mg), very low sodium (up to 35 mg), low sodium (up to 140 mg) and sodium reduced (with a 75% reduction over comparable normal product).
- 4.3 The regulation in the various EC member countries is very diverse and incomplete. In Italy an internal normative of the Ministry of Health is concerned with salt substitutes. Two types of salt substitutes are permitted, namely a low-sodium and an a-sodium salt substitute. No regulations exist for sodium modified foods. Spain, Germany, Belgium and France have specific regulations regarding sodium modified dietetic foods and salt, the amounts of sodium permitted and the various specifications varying modestly between countries. The UK, while not having specific regulations in this domain, has nevertheless clear recommendations. Most of these countries contemplate two categories of products, except for France and Belgium that specify the diverse sodium content for various food products, and the UK which considers three categories, sodium-reduced, low, and -free.

5. Rationale for the recommendations

- 5.1 In making its recommendations the Committee based its rationale on the principle that consumption of low sodium dietetic foods, exclusively or in combination with low sodium common foods, should permit individuals with, or susceptible to developing hypertension to have a sodium intake approximately half of the amount recommended for the general population (i.e. 0.4 1.2 g Na/day or 1-3 g NaCl/day).
- 5.2 In agreement with Codex the Committee considers that it is necessary to adopt as an essential requirement for these products, a substantial reduction in their sodium content with respect to their common foodstuffs counterparts. The Committee has also considered that this principle of reduction of sodium with respect to the normal counterpart is without meaning if these reference foodstuffs are naturally low in sodium (i.e. less than 100 mg/100 g or 100 kcal or 420 kJ). A lower limit for the sodium content of the latter seems therefore justified as an additional criterium.

6. Definition of the categories

6.1 The very low-sodium food definition applies to special dietary foods whose final total sodium content is less than 40 mg/100 g of the ready to consume product, and this final concentration is less than half the concentration present in its regular equivalent, whose initial sodium concentration must be higher than 100 mg sodium per 100 g or per 100 kcal (420 kJ), whichever of these is the lowest.

- 6.2 The low-sodium food definition applies to special dietary foods whose final total sodium content is less than 120 mg/100 g of the ready to consume product, and this final concentration is less than half the concentration present in its regular equivalent, whose initial sodium concentration must be higher than 100 mg sodium per 100 g or per 100 kcal (420 kJ), whichever of these is the lowest.
- 6.3 Salt substitutes are divided into 3 categories corresponding respectively to products whose sodium content is less than 12 g/100 g of the salt mixture (sodium-reduced salt substitute), or less than 120 mg/100 g of the salt mixture (low-sodium salt substitute), or less than 10 mg/100 g of the salt mixture (sodium-free salt substitute). In sodium-free salt substitutes, potassium is most commonly used to replace sodium. The potassium content in these products should not exceed 30 g/100 g of the salt mixture in view of its reduced organoleptic acceptability and the risk of hyperkaliaemia in subjects with impaired renal function 5,20,23.

References

- 1. Aho K et al. (1987). Cerebrovascular disease in the community: results of a WHO collaborative study. Bulletin of the World Health Organisation . 58(1), 113-130.
- 2. Beard TX et al. (1982). Randomized controlled trial of a no-added-sodium diet for mild hypertension. Lancet. 2, 455-458.
- 3. Beauchamp GK, Cowart BJ (1985). Congenital and experimental factors in the development of human flavour preferences. Appetite. 6, 357-372.
- 4. Beevers DG (1986). Should recommendations be made to reduce dietary sodium intakes? The case for recommendations. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 45, 263-266.
- 5. Catto GRD, Smith JAR (eds), (1981). Potassium Metabolism. In: Clinical Aspects of Renal Physiology. London, Bailliere Tindall.
- 6. Codex Alimentarius (1982). CAC/Vol IX-Ed.1, Stan 53-1981. FAO/WHO.
- 7. Dahl LK (1972). Salt and hypertension. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 25, 231-244.
- 8. Druce E (1986). Salt technology and dietary intake. Proc. Nutr. Soc. 45, 253-257.
- **9.** Fries HA (1976). Salt volume and the prevention of hypertension. *Circulation*. 589-595.
- 10. Gleibermann L (1973). Blood pressure and dietary salt in human populations. Ecology Food Nutr. 2, 143-156.

- 11. Greenfield H, Loong CY (1989). Sodium and potassium contents of low salt and unsalted foods and their regular equivalents. J. Food Composition and Analysis. 2, 245-259.
- 12. Greenfield H, Smith AM, Maples J & Wills RBH (1984). Contributions of food to sodium in the Australian food supply. *Hum. Nutr: Appl. Nutr.* **38** A, 203.
- 13. Holbrook JT, Patterson KY, Bodner JE et al. (1984). Sodium and potassium intake and balance in adults consuming self-selected diets. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 40, 786-793.
- 14. Holly TMP et al. (1982). Re-analysis of data in two Lancet papers on the effect of dietary sodium and potassium on blood pressure. Lancet. 2, 1384-1387.
- 15. Intersalt Cooperative Research Group (1988). Intersalt: an international study of electrolyte excretion and blood pressure. Results for 24 hour urinary sodium and potassium excretion. *BMJ*. 297, 319-328.
- 16. James WPT, Ralph A, Sanchez-Castillo CP (1987). The dominance of salt in manufactured food in the sodium intake of affluent societies. *Lancet.* 1, 426-429.
- 17. Jossens JV, Geboers J (1983). Salt and Hypertension. Preventive Medecine. 12, 53-59.
- 18. Leclercq C, Ferro-Luzzi A, (1991). Total and domestic consumption of salt and their determinants in three regions of Italy. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* (in press).
- **19.** MacGregor GA (1982). Double-blind randomized crossover trial of moderate potassium restriction in essential hypertension. *Lancet.* **1**, 351-355.
- 20. Mickelson O, Makdani D, Gill JL, Frank RL (1977). Sodium and potassium intakes and excretions of normal men consuming sodium chloride or a 1:1 mixture of sodium and potassium chlorides. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 30, 2033-2040.
- 21. Morgan T, Myers J, Carney S (1979). The evidence that salt is an important aetiological, if not the cause, of hypertension. *Clin. Sci.* 57, 459S-462S.
- 22. National Research Council (1990). *Recommended Dietary Allowances*. 10th edition. National Academy Press, Washington DC.
- 23. Riccardella D, Dwyer J (1985). Salt substitutes and medicinal potassium sources: Risks and benefits. J. Am. Diet. Assoc. 85, 471-474.
- 24. Sowers MF, Stumbo P (1986). A method to assess sodium intake in populations. *Research.* 86, 1196-1202.
- 25. Tobian L (1979). The relationship of salt to hypertension . Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 32, 2739-2748.
- 26. US Food Drug and Cosmetic Act, Apr. 18 (1984). FR 15534.
- 27. WHO (1990). Diet, Nutrition and the Prevention of Chronic Diseases. Technical Report Series 797, Geneva.
- 28. Law MR, Frost CD, Wald NJ (1991). III Analysis of data from trials of salt reduction. BMJ. 302, 819-824.
- Law MR, Frost CD, Wald NJ (1991). By how much does dietary salt reduction lower blood presure. I Analysis of observational data among populations. BMJ. 302, 811-815.

Table 1: Sodium and salt in processed foods of common use in Europe

Data expressed on the basis of net edible weight (mg/100 g) and of energy content (mg/100 kcal or mg/420 kJ)

| Data expressed on the dashs of het europe | as mg/100g | | as mg/100kcal or mg/420 kJ | | Category * Sodium density |
|---|------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|
| | | | | | Southin density |
| | Sodium | NaCl | Sodium | NaCl | |
| Brie cheese (27.9% fat) ^a | 1117 | 2840 | 310 | 790 | Н |
| Camembert (22.3% fat) a | 970 | 2467 | 320 | 820 | Н |
| Cheddar (32.2% fat) ^a | 675 | 1717 | 160 | 420 | Н |
| Parmesan ^a | 704 | 1790 | 180 | 450 | Н |
| Butter (salted) b | 750 | 1907 | 100 | 260 | MH |
| Margarine (standard) a | 101 | 257 | 10 | 30 | L |
| Corned beef a | 833 | 2118 | 550 | 1390 | Н |
| Fried bacon b | 1820 | 4628 | 370 | 930 | Н |
| Ham, canned ^a | 1200 | 3052 | 630 | 1600 | Н |
| Ham, raw, smoked dried ^a | 1400 | 3560 | 350 | 900 | Н |
| Pork pie b | 720 | 1831 | 190 | 490 | Н |
| Sausage roll b | 550 | 1399 | 110 | 290 | MH |
| Sausage (bockwurst) ^a | 700 | 1780 | 240 | 610 | Н |
| Herring, in tomato sauce a | 526 | 1338 | 250 | 640 | Н |
| Salmon, canned a | 540 | 1373 | 300 | 770 | Н |
| Salmon in oil a | 4070 | 10350 | 1410 | 3580 | Н |
| Sardine in oil a | 505 | 1284 | 210 | 540 | Н |
| Tuna in oil a | 361 | 918 | 120 | 300 | MH |
| Potato flakes, dried a | 160 | 407 | 50 | 130 | ML |
| Potato fried slices a | 450 | 1144 | 80 | 210 | MH |
| Potato fried sticks a | 720 | 1831 | 140 | 360 | H |
| Salad cream b | 840 | 2136 | 270 | 690 | H |
| Vegetable soup b | 500 | 1272 | 1350 | 3440 | H |
| Oxo cubes b | 10300 | 26193 | 4500 | 11440 | H |
| Asparagus, canned a | 355 | 903 | 3550 | 9030 | H |
| Spinach, canned a | 170 | 432 | 1420 | 3600 | H |
| Beans french, canned a | 275 | 699 | 4580 | 11660 | H |
| Pea, canned, drained a | 211 | 537 | 750 | 1920 | H |
| Tomato, canned a | 9 | 23 | 150 | 380 | H |
| Tomato juice a | 5 | 13 | 30 | 80 | Ĺ |
| Cornflour d | 52 | 132 | 10 | 40 | Ĺ |
| Pop Corn e | 1940 | 4933 | 430 | 1080 | Ĥ |
| Macaroni, raw d | 11 | 28 | traces | 1000 | L |
| Macaroni, canned, cheese sauce d | 560 | 1424 | 410 | 1030 | H |
| Ravioli, canned, tomato sauce d | 490 | 1246 | 700 | 1780 | H |
| Pizza d | 540 | 1373 | 220 | 550 | H |
| White bread (average) d | 520 | 1322 | 220 | 560 | H |
| Wholemeal bread (average) d | 550 | 1399 | 260 | 650 | H |
| Rusk (cracker) a | 263 | 669 | 70 | 180 | ML |
| Corn flakes d | 1110 | 2823 | 310 | 790 | H |
| Muesli Swiss style d | 380 | 966 | 100 | 270 | MH |
| Rice Krispies d | 1260 | 3204 | 340 | 870 | |
| Weetabix d | 370 | 941 | | | H |
| Digestive biscuits plain d | 600 | 1526 | 110 | 280 | MH |
| Semi sweet biscuits d | | 1043 | 130 | 320 | H |
| Sponge cake butter d | 410 | | 80 70 | 230 | MH |
| Fruit cake d | 360 | 915 | 70 | 190 | ML |
| Madeira cake d | 250 | 636 | 70 | 180 | ML |
| Teacakes d | 380 | 966 | 100 | 250 | MH |
| I CALAKES " | 270 | 687 | 90 | 230 | MH |

Table 2: Sodium and salt in natural/unprocessed foods of common use in Europe.

Data expressed on the basis of net edible weight (mg/100 g) and of energy content (mg/100 kcal or /420 kJ)

| | as mg/100 g | | as mg/100 kcal or mg/420 kJ | | Category * Sodium density |
|------------------------------------|-------------|------|--------------------------------|------|------------------------------|
| | Sodium | NaCl | Sodium | NaCl | , |
| Human Milk ^a | 16 | 41 | 23 | 57 | L |
| Cows' milk (3.5% fat) ^a | 48 | 122 | 72 | 182 | ML |
| Cream (30% fat) a | 34 | 86 | 10 | 30 | L |
| Egg (chicken) ^a | 144 | 366 | 86 | 219 | MH |
| Meat (meat only) a | 57 | 145 | 50 | 127 | ML |
| Herring, raw a | 117 | 298 | 53 | 134 | ML |
| Salmon, raw ^a | 51 | 130 | 25 | 60 | L |
| Sardine, raw ^a | 100 | 254 | 74 | 188 | ML |
| Tuna, fresh ^a | 43 | 109 | 18 | 45 | L |
| Apple b | 2 | 5 | 4 | 11 | L |
| Orange b | 2 3 | 8 | 9 | 22 | L |
| Potato a | 3 | 8 | 4 | 10 | L |
| Asparagus ^a | 4 | 10 | 29 | 73 | L |
| Beetroot c | 84 | 214 | 420 | 1068 | Н |
| Spinach ^a | 65 | 165 | 433 | 1102 | Н |
| Beans, fresh a | 2 | 5 | 5 | 14 | L |
| Tomato a | 6 | 15 | 35 | 90 | L |
| Rice b | 6 | 15 | 2 | 4 | L |
| Flour, whole b | 3 | 8 | 9 | 22 | L |

* Sodium density categories on the basis of NaCl mg/100 kcal:

| – L = | low | <110 mg/100 kcal |
|--------|-------------|---------------------|
| – ML = | medium low | 110-210 mg/100 kcal |
| – MH = | medium high | 210-310 mg/100 kcal |
| – H = | high | >310 mg/100 kcal. |

- Souci SW, Fachmann W & Kraut H, (1986). Food composition and nutrition tables 1986/87.
 3rd ed., Wissenschaftliche Verlagsgesellschaft mbH Stuttgart.
- b Paul AA & Southgate DAT, (1978). *McCance and Widdowson's The composition of foods*, 4th ed., London: HMSO.
- c Carnovale E & Miuccio F, (1990). *Tabelle di composizione degli alimenti*. Istituto Nazionale della Nutrizione. Roma.
- d Holland B, Unwin ID & Buss DH, (1988). Cereals and cereal products, The third suppl to McCance & Widdowson's The composition of foods, 4th ed., London, Royal Soc. Chem. & MAFF.
- e Watt BK, Merill AL, (1975). Composition of foods. *Agricultural Handbook n°8*. Agricultural Research Service USDA, Washington.

Table 3: Sodium content of total diet

(in grams/day/pro capita)

and percent contribution from specified food groups

| | Germany ¹ | Finland ² | Britain ³ | America ⁴ | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|
| | (as percent of total) | | | | |
| Cereal & cereal products | 34 | 20 | 40 | 29 | |
| Egg, meat & fish | 34 | 15 | 29 | 14**** | |
| Milk & milk products | 11 | 11 | 7** | 10 | |
| Fats | 1 | 10 | 16* | 6 | |
| Veg. & fruits | 4 | 1 | 6*** | 11 | |
| Sugar, candy, beverages & salt added in food prep. | 16 | 43 | - | 30 | |

(in grams/day/pro capita)

| Total 2.86 4 | .20 2 | 2.60 | 6.69 |
|--------------|-------|------|------|
|--------------|-------|------|------|

- * Included cheese, cream and ice cream
- ** Only milk
- *** Only vegetables and roots
- **** Excluded eggs
- 1 Docum. IDACE (1988).
- 2 Pietinen P, (1982). Estimating Sodium Intake from Food Consumption Data. Ann. Nutr. Metab. 26: 90-99.
- Bull BN, Buss DH, (1980). Contributions of foods to sodium intakes. Proc. Nutr. Soc. 39: 30A.
- 4 Shank FR, Kang Park Y, Harland BF, Vanderveen JE, Forbes AL and Prosky L, (1982). Perspective of Food and Drug Administration on dietary sodium. JADA, **80**: 29-35`.

Table 4: Consumption of sodium from specified food groups in Italy (mg/day/pro capita) and as percent of their contribution to total intake*.

| | | mg/d/pc | % |
|-----------------------------------|------------|---------|-------|
| Cereals & cereal products | | 833 | 28.5 |
| Milk & dairies | | 369 | 12.6 |
| Cured meats (hams, sausages, etc) | | 245 | 8.4 |
| Meat & fish | | 107 | 3.7 |
| Vegetables, fruits & pulses | | 98 | 3.3 |
| Eggs | | 33 | 1.1 |
| Beverages | | 20 | 0.7 |
| Ready meals | | 16 | 0.5 |
| Fats | | 16 | 0.5 |
| Processed fish | | 16 | 0.5 |
| Biscuits & cake | | 14 | 0.5 |
| Seasonings & sauces | | 6 | 0.2 |
| Baby foods | | 3 | 0.1 |
| Salt added in food preparation | | 1147 | 39.3 |
| | Total diet | 2922 | 100.0 |

* Cialfa F, Unpublished data on 10.000 households.

Table 5: Sodium content of regular and low sodium processed foods*

Na content mg/100 g

| | Regular | Low salt or sodium reduced | Saltfree or no added salt |
|-------------------|---------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Cereal products | | | |
| Whole wheat bread | 450 | 200 | 24 |
| Multigrain | 460 | 200 | # |
| Breakfast cereals | 190 | # | 14 |
| Crackers | 1000 | 540 | # |
| Crispbread | 530 | # | 62 |
| Spreads | | | |
| Butter | 700 | 340 | 19 |
| Margarine | 700 | 400 | 6 |
| Peanut butter | 500 | # | 18 |
| Snackfoods | | | |
| Cashewnuts | 400 | # | 18 |
| Peanuts | 500 | 240 | 10 |
| Potato chips | 400 | 360 ◊ | 26 |
| Soups | | | |
| Chicken | 380 | 200 | 100 |
| Chicken noodle | 450 | 240 | # |
| Tomato | 350 | 180 | 36 |
| Mushroom | 310 | 150 | |
| Canned vegetables | | | |
| Canned peas | 290 | # | 4 |
| Canned tomatoes | 280 | # | 15 |
| Tomato sauce | 1000 | # | 26 |
| Tomato paste | 530 | # | 40 |
| Tomato juice | 280 | # | 7 |
| Canned fish | | | |
| Pink salmon | 410 | # | 110 |
| Tuna | 400 | # | 82 |

* From Greenfield *et al.*, ¹¹

• Labelled as lightly salted

Not available.



For up-to-date information on European Community research...

Community Research & Development Information Service

CORDIS is the Community information service set up under the VALUE programme to give quick and easy access to information on European Community research programmes. It consists of an on-line service at present offered free-of-charge by the European Commission Host Organisation (ECHO) and a series of off-line products such as:

- CORDIS on CD-ROM;
- CORDIS Interface for Windows users;
- Multimedia Guide to European Science and Technology.

The on-line databases can be assessed either through a *menu-based interface* that makes CORDIS simple to use even if you are not familiar with on-line information services, or for experienced users through the standard easy to learn *Common Command Language (CCL)* method of extracting data.

CORDIS comprises at present eight databases:

- RTD-News: short announcements of Calls for Proposals, publications and events in the R&D field
- RTD-Programmes: details of all EC programmes in R&D and related areas
- RTD-Projects: containing over 17,000 entries on individual activities within the programmes
- RTD-Publications: bibliographic details and summaries of more than 57,000 scientific and technical publications arising from EC activities
- RTD-Results: provides valuable leads and hot tips on prototypes ready for industrial exploitation and areas of research ripe for collaboration
- RTD-Comdocuments: details of Commission communications to the Council of Ministers and the European Parliament on research topics
- RTD-Acronyms: explains the thousands of acronyms and abbreviations current in the Community research area
- RTD-Partners: helps bring organisations and research centres together for collaboration on project proposals, exploitation of results, or marketing agreements.

For more information on CORDIS registration forms, contact: CORDIS Customer Service European Commission Host Organisation BP 2373 L-1023 Luxembourg Tel.: (+352) 34 98 12 40 Fax: (+352) 34 98 12 48

If you are already an ECHO user, please indicate your customer number.

·

European Communities - Commission

EUR 14452 – Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food (28th series)

Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities

1993 – IV, 38 pp., num. tab., fig. – 21.0 \times 29.7 cm

Food - science and techniques series

ISBN 92-826-6597-6

Price (excluding VAT) in Luxembourg: ECU 7

The Scientific Committee for Food was established by Commission Decision 74/234/EEC of 16 April 1974 (OJ L 136, 20.5.1974, page 1) to advise the Commission on any problem relating to the protection of the health and safety of persons arising from the consumption of food, and in particular the composition of food, processes which are liable to modify food, the use of food additives and other processing aids as well as the presence of contaminants.

The members are independent persons, highly qualified in the fields associated with medicine, nutrition, toxicology, biology, chemistry, or other similar disciplines.

The Secretariat of the Committee is provided by the Directorate-General for Industry of the Commission. Recent Council directives require the Commission to consult the Committee on provisions which may have an effect on public health falling within the scope of these directives.

The present report deals with infant formulae claimed to be 'hypoallergenic' or 'hypoantigenic' (opinion expressed on 9 December 1991), a second addendum to the Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food concerning the essential requirements of infant formulae and follow-up milks based on cows' milk proteins and the minimal requirements for soya-based infant formulae and follow-up milks (opinion expressed on 9 December 1991), and a report on foods for particular nutritional uses whose sodium content has been modified — low sodium foods and salt substitutes (opinion expressed on 9 December 1991). ·

Venta y suscripciones • Salg og abonnement • Verkauf und Abonnement • Πωλήσεις και συνδρομές Sales and subscriptions . Vente et abonnements . Vendita e abbonamenti Verkoop en abonnementen • Venda e assinaturas

BELGIQUE / BELGIÉ

Moniteur beige / Belgisch Staatsblad

Rue de Louvein 42 / Leuvenseweg 42 B-1000 Bruxelles / B-1000 Brussel Tél. (02) 512 00 26 Fax (02) 511 01 84

Autres distributeurs / Overige verkooppunten

Librairie européenne/ Europese boekhandel

Rue de la Loi 244/Wetstraat 244 8-1040 Bruxelee / B-1040 Brussel Tel. (02) 231 04 35 Fax (02) 735 08 60

Jean De Lannov

Avenue du Rol 202 /Koningslaan 202 B-1060 Bruselles / B-1060 Brussel Tél. (02) 538 51 69 Télex 63220 UNBOOK B Fax (02) 538 06 41

Document delivery:

Credoc Rue de la Montagne 34 / Bergstraat 34 Bte 11 / Bus 11 8-1000 Bruxeles / 8-1000 Bruxesi Tel. (02) 511 69 41 Fax (02) 513 31 95

DANMARK

J. H. Schultz Information A/S Herstedvang 10-12 DK-2820 Albertslund Tif. 43 63 23 00 Fax (Sales) 43 63 19 69 Fax (Management) 43 63 19 49

DEUTSCHLAND

Bundesenzeiger Verlag Breite Straße 78-80 Postfach 10 05 34 D-50445 Kóln Tel. (02 21) 20 29-0 Telex ANZEIGER BONN 8 882 595 Pax 2 02 92 78

GREECE/EAAAAA

G.C. Eleftheroudakis SA International Bookstore Nikis Street 4 GR-10563 Athen Tel. (01) 322 63 23 Telex 219410 ELEF Fax 323 96 21

ESPAÑA

Boletin Oficial del Estado Trafalgar, 29 E-28071 Madrid Tel. (91) 538 22 95 Fax (91) 538 23 49

Mundi-Prensa Libros, SA Castelló, 37 E-28001 Madrid Tel. (91) 431 33 99 (Libros) 431 32 22 (Busenpoione 435 36 37 (Dirección) Télex 49370-MPLI-E Fax (91) 575 39 98

Sucursal:

Libreria Internacional AEDOS Consejo de Ciento, 391 8-06009 Barcelona Tel. (53) 469 34 92 Fax (93) 469 76 59

Llibreria de la Generalitat de Catalunya Rambia dels Estudis, 118 (Palau Moja) E-08002 Barcelona Tel. (R3) 302 68 35 302 64 62 Fax (93) 302 12 99

FRANCE

Journal officiel Service des publications des Communautés europée 26, rue Desaix F-75727 Para Ceclex 15 Tél. (1) 40 58 75 00 Fax (1) 40 58 77 00

RELAND

Government Supplies Agency 4-6 Harcourt Road Dublin 2 Tel. (1) 65 13 111 Fax (1) 47 80 645

ITALIA

Licosa SpA Via Duca di Galabria 1/1 Caletta postale 552 1-50125 Firenze Tel. (056) 64 54 15 Fax 64 12 57 Telex 570466 LICOSA I

GRAND-DUCHE DE LUXEMBOURG

Messageries du livre 5. rue Raiffeisen L-2411 Luxembourg Tál. 40 10 20 Fax 40 10 24 01

NEDERI AND

SDU Overheidsinformatie Externe Fondsen Postbus 20014 2500 EA 's-Gravenhage Tel. (070) 37 89 880 Fax (070) 34 75 778

PORTUGAL

Imprensa Nacional Casa da Moeda, EP Rua D. Francisco Manuel de Melo, 5 P-1092 Lisboa Codex. Tel. (01) 69 34 14

Distribuidora de Livros Bertrand, Ld."

Grupo Bertrand, SA Rua das Terras dos Vales, 4-A Apartado 37 P-2700 Amadora Codex Tel. (01) 49 59 050 Telex 15799 BERDIS Fax 49 60 255

UNITED KINGDOM

HMSO Books (Agency section) HMSO Publications Centre 51 Nine Elms Lan London SW8 5DR Tel. (071) 873 9090 Fax 873 8463 Telex 29 71 138

OSTERREICH

Manz'sche Verlags-und Universitätsbuchhandlung Kohimarkt 16 A-1014 Wien Tel. (0222) 531 61-0 Telex 112 500 BOX A Fax (0222) 531 61-339

SUOMI/FINLAND Aksteeminen Kirjakauppa

Keskuskatu 1 PO Box 128 SF-00101 Helsinki Tel. (0) 121 41 Fax (0) 121 44 41

NORGE

Narvesen Info Center Bertrand Narvesens ve 2 PO Box 6125 Etterstad N-0602 Oslo 6 Tel: (22) 57 33 00 Telex 79668 NIC N Fax (22) 68 19 01

SVERIGE

BTJ AB Traktorvägen 13 S-22100 Lund Tel. (046) 18 00 00 Fax (046) 18 01 25 30 79 47

SCHWEIZ / SUISSE / SVIZZERA

OSEC Stampfenbachstraile 85 CH-8035 Zunich Tel. (01) 365 54 49 Fax (01) 365 54 11

ČESKÁ REPUBLIKA

NIS ÓR

Havelkova 22 130 00 Prana 3 Tel. (2) 24 23 09 07 Fax (2) 242 21 484

MAGYARORSZÁG

Euro-Info-Service Club Szinet Gub Briget Margitsziget 1138 Budapest Tel./Fax 1 111 60 61 1 111 62 16

POLSKA

Business Foundation

ul. Krucza 38/42 00-512 Warszawa Tel. (22) 21 99 93, 626-26-82 International Fax&Phone (0-39) 12-00-77

ROMÁNIA

Euromedia 65, Strada Dionisie Lupu 70184 Bucuresti Tel./Fax 0 12 95 46

BALGARIJA

Europress Klasaica BK Ltd 66, bd Vitosha 1463 Sofia Tel./Fax 2 52 74 75

FILISSIA

COEC 9.60-letiya Oktyabrya Avenue 117312 Moscow Tel./Fax (095) 135 52 27

CYPRUS

Cyprus Chamber of Commerce and Industry Chamber Building **38 Grivas Dhiophis Ave** 3 Deligiongle Street PO Box 1455 NICOSIS Tel. (2) 449500/462312 Fax (2) 458630

MALTA

Miller distributors Ltd Scots House, M.A. Vassall street PO Box 272 Valletta Tel. 24 73 01/22 29 95 Fax 23 49 14

TÜRKIYE

Pres Gazete Kitap Dergi Pazarlama Değitim Ticaret ve sənəyi AŞ Nartbahçe Sokak N. 15

Istanbul-Cağalogiu Tel. (1) 520 92 96 - 526 55 66 Fax (1) 251 91 97 Telex 23822 DSVO-TR

ISPAEL

ROY International

PO Box 13086 41 Mishmar Hayarden Street Tal Aviv 61130 Tel. 3 648 60 39 Fax 3 644 60 39

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA / CANADA

UNIPUB

4611-F Astembly Drive Lanham, MD 20706-4391 Tel. Toll Free (800) 274 4899 Fax (301) 459 0056

CANADA

Subscriptions only Uniquement abonnements Renoul Publishing Co. Ltd

1294 Algorna Road Ottawa, Onterio K1B 3W8 Tel. (513) 741 43 33 Fax (513) 741 43 33 Fax (513) 741 54 39 Telex 0534783

AUSTRALIA

Hunter Publications 56A Gipps Street Collamond Collingwood Victoria 3066 Tel. (3) 417 5361 Fax (3) 419 7154

JAPAN

Kinokuniya Company Ltd 17-7 Shinjuku 3-Chome Shink.ku-ku Takyo 160-91 Tel. (03) 3439-0121

Journal Department PO Box 55 Chitose Tokyo 156 Tel. (03) 3438-0124

SOUTH-EAST ASIA

Legal Library Services Ltd STK Agency Robinson Road PO Box 1817 Singapore 9036

SOUTH AFRICA

Satto

5th Floor, Export House Cnr Maude & West Streets Sandton 2146 Tel. (011) 883-3737 Fax (011) 883-6569

AUTRES PAYS OTHER COUNTRIES ANDERE LÄNDER

Office des publications officielles des Communautés auropéennus

2 rue Mercier 2, rue Methor L-2985 Luxembourg 76, 499 28-1 Télex PUBOF LU 1324 b Fax 48 65 73/48 69 17

NOTICE TO THE READER

All scientific and technical reports published by the Commission of the European Communities are announced in the monthly periodical 'euro abstracts'. For subscription (1 year: ECU 118) please write to the address below. 5

Price (excluding VAT) in Luxembourg: ECU 7



OFFICE FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATIONS OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

L-2985 Luxembourg

