



Commission of the European Communities

food-science and techniques

**REPORTS OF THE SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE
FOR FOOD
(Eleventh series)**



Report
EUR 7421 EN

Commission of the European Communities

food-science and techniques

**REPORTS OF THE SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE
FOR FOOD
(Eleventh series)**

EUR 7421 EN

**Published by the
COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES**

**Directorate-General
Information Market and Innovation**

**Bâtiment Jean Monnet
LUXEMBOURG**

LEGAL NOTICE

Neither the Commission of the European Communities nor any person
acting on behalf of the Commission is responsible for the use which might
be made of the following information

This publication is also available in the following languages:

DA ISBN 92-825-2555-4
DE ISBN 92-825-2556-2
FR ISBN 92-825-2558-9
IT ISBN 92-825-2559-7
NL ISBN 92-825-2560-0

Cataloguing data can be found at the end of this publication

Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 1981

© ECSC — EEC — EAEC, Brussels • Luxembourg, 1981

Printed in Belgium

ISBN 92-825-2557-0

Catalogue number: CD-NR-81-001-EN-C

SUMMARY

	<u>Page</u>
Report of the Scientific Committee for Food on extraction solvents (opinion expressed 15 January 1981)	3
Report of the Scientific Committee for Food on sulphiting agents (opinion expressed 15 January 1981)	47

Composition of the Scientific Committee for Food

Messrs P. Elias (vice-chairman)

F. Fairweather

R. Franck

F. Hill

A. Lafontaine

A. Mariani

K. Netter

E. Poulsen (chairman)

J. Rey

V. Silano

R. Truhaut (vice-chairman)

G.J. Van Esch

B. Weedon

R. Wennig

REPORT OF THE SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE FOR FOOD ON EXTRACTION SOLVENTS

(Opinion expressed 15 January 1981)

TERMS OF REFERENCE

To advise primarily on extraction solvents, but where information on the use of a particular extraction solvent as a carrier solvent is provided, to take this into consideration in the safety assessment.

BACKGROUND

The Community's programme for harmonization of laws on food additives includes extraction solvents as a subject on which the Commission is requested to make proposals. Carrier solvents have been included already in a number of food additive Directives, but the provisions in these Directives are not always alike. As some carrier solvents may also be used as extraction solvents, and in order to align more closely the texts of the various Directives, the Commission has decided to review the whole question of solvents used for whatever purpose.

TECHNOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Solvents are used in food technology for a variety of purposes. For some solvents the pattern of use is clear cut, because they are used solely as extraction solvents or solely as carrier solvents. However, a large number of solvents do not have exclusively either one or other usage but serve dual functions. Solvents used for extraction purposes only are typified by the chlorinated hydrocarbons such as dichloromethane. They find their main application in the extraction of fats and oils, for defattening fish and other meals, and for the decaffeination of coffee and tea. They are chosen principally for their ability to dissolve selectively the desired food constituents, and their volatility, which promotes easier separation from the extracted material. Their presence in food is considered undesirable and they are removed from food, as far as is practicable, once they have fulfilled their function.

Carrier solvents have the potential to be used as extraction solvents since they are capable of dissolving food or some component of food. Their exclusive use extends to dissolving and dispersing a wide variety of food ingredients, for example nutrients, flavourings, anti-oxidants, emulsifiers, and other additives. Carrier solvents may occur at higher levels in food for two reasons; frequently no attempt is made to remove them, and some of these solvents are relatively non-volatile. Examples of carrier solvents are low-molecular aliphatic alcohols and certain esters.

The majority of solvents serve dual functions. For example, propan-2-ol is used as an extraction solvent in the preparation of fish protein concentrate and as a carrier solvent for food colours. Such dual function solvents may be used also in a consecutive fashion with the same food. A natural flavouring might be extracted into a solvent and the resulting extract, which includes the solvent, is then added to food. An example of such a process is the extraction of vanilla flavouring in propane-1,2-diol and the incorporation of the solution of the extract into food.

A number of solvents occur naturally in a wide variety of foods. Some others are foodstuffs which possess solvent properties, for example, water, fat and edible oils, wines and spirits.

SPECIFICATIONS

Criteria in a specification of purity of a solvent fall into two broad groups; those measuring properties inherent in the solvent and those specifically measuring impurities in the solvent. Solubility, relative density and distillation range are inherent physical characteristics, whereas residue on evaporation, lead, arsenic and acidity are examples of impurities. Where possible, quantitative limits should be specified.

Industrial grades and food grades of solvents often vary widely in the content of impurities. Food use is frequently a minor application, and food grade requirements may be given insufficient attention before use of a solvent in food processing and in selecting material for toxicological testing. Impurities and additives may not have the same volatility as the solvent, and may be retained in the extracted food after removal of the solvent. The possibility of reaction with food components needs consideration and evidence covering this possibility is needed where there is either data or other reasons to suspect such reaction.

For meaningful evaluation of the safety of the use of solvents in food information is required on (a) identity and content of the impurities, including those formed, acquired or concentrated on re-use, (b) the identity and content of stabilisers or other additives, and (c) residues of the solvent or of additives and impurities left in food as a result of its use.

Food grade specifications are available for most solvents considered in this report. Details are referenced in the data sheets which summarize the toxicological studies, oral as well as inhalational, requested by the Committee. Data sheets have not been published but have been made available to Government Departments and the EEC Advisory Committee for Foodstuffs. The references on which the data sheets were prepared for each individual substance are annexed to this report.

STABILISERS IN SOLVENTS

Several of the solvents, particularly the chlorinated hydrocarbons, contain stabilisers. The function of a stabiliser is essentially to ensure that the solvent does not undergo chemical change during storage and shipment, so that it reaches the user while still complying with the manufacturer's specification. Stabilisers are also added to prevent the formation of dangerous substances. For example, stabilisers prevent in chloroform oxidation to phosgene, and in diethylether the production of unstable and explosive peroxides. All stabilisers are believed to exert an antioxidant effect. However those in chlorinated hydrocarbon solvents also function as acid acceptors to prevent corrosion of equipment and storage vessels by any acids formed on storage.

If the difference between the boiling points of the stabiliser and solvent is large, with the stabiliser having the higher boiling point (e.g. thymol b.p. 280°C in trichlorethylene b.p. 87°C) then the stabiliser will concentrate in the food during evaporation of the solvent. In processes using recycling of solvents concentration of non-volatile impurities from solvent in the extract will only be of concern when a completely fresh batch of solvent is used.

Stabilisers used in solvents can be considered from the toxicological point of view to fall into two broad groups, substances currently permitted for food use as additives and those not previously considered. The first group includes citric acid, ethanol, methanol and butylated hydroxytoluene. There is no need to object to the use of these substances on the grounds of safety.

The second group of stabilisers includes thymol, triethylamine, pyrogallol and 2-methylbut-2-ene (amylene) but others might possibly be used by manufacturers in different countries. The use of these materials is likely to result in small residues in food but the implications of their presence require toxicological evaluation. Hence toxicological testing should be carried out on solvents containing these stabilisers. In general it is recommended that stabilisers from the first group of substances should be used as far as is possible in solvents for food use. The Committee recommends that a list of acceptable stabilisers be established and revised periodically.

TOXICOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

The toxicological issues raised by the use of solvents in food are threefold:

1. the toxicity of the solvent residues themselves;
2. the toxicity of impurities, additives and stabilisers, which may be left behind preferentially after removal of the solvent;
3. the potential for interaction of the solvent with food constituents.

As proposed by the Commission data from occupational or inhalational observations have been taken into consideration, where appropriate, by the Committee in arriving at its assessments. Where biological or toxicological data provide a more than ample margin of safety, or where considerations of the points listed above raise no concern, limitation of residues to the minimum levels attainable with appropriate technology appears to be an adequate safeguard for the health of the consumer. Where the toxicological data dictate limitations for reasons of safety, it is appropriate to establish an acceptable daily intake (ADI) or

discourage the use of the material. In those cases where the available information was adequate to support the conclusion of the continued use in food on a temporary basis, no hazard to health was considered to arise from this use, but during this time additional studies have been requested to permit a more precise re-evaluation to be made in the light of the forthcoming information. The Committee's assessments "acceptable", "temporarily acceptable" or "not acceptable" are to be regarded as toxicological evaluations and do not take into consideration other factors which might affect the ultimate legislative decision.

For the safety evaluation of some of the solvents e.g. propane, butane, isobutane, carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide the Committee did not require the provision of the whole spectrum of studies normally demanded for the safety assessment of food additives, set out in the Committee's guidelines of February 1980*

The Committee was aware of reports on the chemical reaction of solvents with nutrient components, on the possible loss of nutrients due to solvent extraction and on the reactions of solvents with food components giving rise to toxic compounds. Where such information was available, it was taken into consideration in the evaluation of the acceptability of the solvent.

The Committee has been asked to evaluate the safety of a number of fluorinated hydrocarbons. In the assessment of these compounds the Committee has not considered the environmental implications of the use of these solvents in food technology, but recognises that environmental considerations should take precedence over its own evaluations on this occasion.

A large number of solvents considered are esters of acids and alcohols which are common constituents of the body or known non-toxic metabolites. An important point in evaluating their safety was the availability of evidence of rapid and complete hydrolysis under in-vitro and in-vivo conditions simulating human digestion. In these cases the Committee evaluated the safety of these solvents without necessarily requiring the provision of all studies normally demanded for the evaluation of the safety of food additive (see guidelines).

The Committee draws attention to the use of foods possessing solvent properties as extraction solvents e.g. vegetable oils and fats. Since this particular application involves the continuous use of food as part of a recycling procedure, it is necessary to ensure that these foods do not as a result of processing technology accumulate impurities giving rise to adverse toxicological considerations. Any proposals for a Directive should take into account the need for controls to ensure that this usage does not result in consumption of unsafe foods e.g. due to the presence of peroxides and polymers in recycled fats.

For solvents whose use was considered temporarily acceptable by the Committee the stated residue limits are not based entirely on the toxicological data but on actual analytical data. The Committee considers it advisable to retain these residue limits in view of the temporary nature of the acceptance.

The Committee has been requested to evaluate the safety of a number of acids and bases, although these compounds are not used as extraction solvents in the sense of the report. The Committee has no objections to this use of acetic acid, lactic acid and sodium hydroxide.

The Committee has been requested to evaluate the safety of the following compounds on which, however, no data were submitted to enable this to be done: n-methyl pyrrolidone, methyl isobutylketone, di-isopropyl ether.

* Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food, Tenth Series, 1980 (EUR 6892)

ASSESSMENT OF INDIVIDUAL SOLVENTS

GASES USED AS EXTRACTION SOLVENTS

Propane

Although the level of propane residues in food as consumed were claimed to be less than 1 mg/kg, no analytical results were presented to the Committee. Toxicological data appropriate for establishing an ADI are absent but an ADI is unnecessary for this gas. A specification for foodgrade quality and residue data are needed. Meanwhile the Committee considers the use of this compound acceptable as extraction solvent.

Butane

Although the level of butane residues in food as consumed were claimed to be less than 1 mg/kg, no analytical results were presented to the Committee. Toxicological data appropriate for establishing an ADI are absent but an ADI is unnecessary for this gas. A specification for food grade quality and residue data are needed. Meanwhile the Committee considers the use of this compound acceptable as extraction solvent.

Isobutane

Although the level of isobutane residues in food as consumed are claimed to be less than 1 mg/kg, no analytical results were presented to the Committee. Toxicological data appropriate for establishing an ADI are absent but an ADI is unnecessary for this gas. A specification for food grade quality and residue data are needed. Meanwhile the Committee considers the use of this compound acceptable as extraction solvent.

Carbon dioxide (liquid and supercritical gas)

This compound is a natural metabolite and man is permanently exposed to carbon dioxide from the atmosphere, food and drink. Compared to this exposure the residues from its use as extraction solvent are insignificant. The establishment of an ADI for this compound is unnecessary. A specification for food grade material already exists. The Committee considers this compound acceptable as extraction solvent. The setting of residue levels is unnecessary.

Nitrous oxide

The pharmacological and pharmacokinetic properties of this gas are known from its large established use as anaesthetic. Although no residue data are available, these are likely to be so low as to present no hazard to the consumer. The Committee considers the establishment of an ADI unnecessary and its use as extraction solvent acceptable. The specification should exclude the presence of other oxides of nitrogen.

ALCOHOLS

Methanol

The available toxicological data are insufficient to establish an ADI but the Committee considers an ADI unnecessary because residues from its use as extraction solvent are minimal. The Committee was informed that residues of methanol from use as extraction solvent are of the order of 5-10 mg/kg food. Knowledge of its biochemical and metabolic behaviour in man permits the conclusion that no safety problems are likely to arise from its use as extraction solvent. The Committee recognizes that the natural occurrence of methanol may create difficulties in the analytical determination of methanol residues. The Committee considers the use of methanol acceptable as extraction solvent.

Ethanol

In evaluating the safety of this compound the Committee considered its use as extraction and as a carrier solvent. On the basis of the large amount of toxicological information available the Committee did not consider it necessary to specify an ADI. A food grade specification for ethanol is available. The Committee considered it unnecessary to set residue levels in food where ethanol is used as extraction or carrier solvent. The use of this compound as extraction solvent is acceptable to the Committee.

Propan-1-ol

The available toxicological information relates to metabolism studies, acute toxicity studies, and one short-term oral toxicity study in rats in which the liver was the only organ studied. The reported adverse effects in the long-term study in rats are not interpretable in the absence of essential details. The data are insufficient to establish a formal ADI. The Committee considers the use of this compound temporarily acceptable as extraction solvent, if residues from this use in food as consumed do not exceed 5 mg/kg. The Committee requires the results of an adequately performed 90-day study by the end of 1983. If this substance is to be used as a carrier solvent with residues in food greatly exceeding 5 mg/kg the Committee would require in accordance with its report on the general principles (see guidelines) the results of a long-term study in a rodent species to assess the safety of this use.

Propan-2-ol (Isopropanol)

The available toxicological information relates to metabolism, acute, short-term and reproduction oral studies in rats and a long-term oral study in rats. Another recent oral reproduction study of unusual design claimed to show adverse effects at low levels but the lack of specification of the compound tested makes this study difficult to interpret. The Committee established a temporary ADI of 1.5 mg/kg b.w. and wishes to see the results of an adequate single generation reproduction study by 1983. Meanwhile it considers the use of this compound temporarily acceptable as an extraction solvent.

Butan-1-ol

The available toxicological data relate to metabolism and short-term oral studies in rats. No long-term oral studies are available. The Committee was therefore unable to establish an ADI. Residues occur in food from use as extraction and carrier solvent as well as from natural occurrence, but adequate residue data are not available. The Committee considers the use of this compound temporarily acceptable as an extraction solvent provided the residues are limited to 30 mg/kg food. The Committee requires the provision of an adequate 90 day oral study in rats as well as information on residue levels by 1983.

Butan-2-ol

There are insufficient data to establish an ADI but the available two-generation reproduction study shows a definite no-adverse-effect level. No residue data are provided. The Committee considers the use of this compound temporarily acceptable as an extraction solvent provided residues from use as an extraction solvent in food as consumed do not exceed 30 mg/kg food. The provision of an adequate 90-day feeding study in rats as well as information on residue levels is required by 1983.

Tertiary butanol

The available data are insufficient to establish an ADI. Biochemically this solvent will behave like other tertiary carbinols which are generally not very reactive. The residues in food are minimal and are not a hazard to health. The Committee considers the use of this compound temporarily acceptable as an extraction solvent provided residues from use as an extraction solvent in food as consumed do not exceed 10 mg/kg food. The provision of an adequate 90-day feeding study in a rodent species is required by 1983.

Benzyl alcohol

The metabolism by man is well established. Although no specific toxicological studies are available it is acceptable to include benzyl alcohol in the group ADI of 5 mg/kg b.w. established for benzoic acid (representing total benzoates) by JECFA (1973). The Committee considers the use of this extraction solvent acceptable.

Glycerol

This substance occurs naturally in fats and lipid complexes. The available toxicological evidence includes short- and long-term studies in rats as well as metabolic studies showing that glycerol participates in the normal carbohydrate metabolism. No essential toxicological difference exists between naturally derived and synthetically made glycerol. The specification of synthetic glycerols should contain a limit for the contamination by butanetriols. The Committee agrees with the JECFA evaluation (1976) that an ADI for man need not be specified. The Committee considers this substance acceptable for use as solvent for food.

Propan-1,2-diol

There are sufficient data available from oral toxicity studies, including long-term studies in rats and dogs, to establish an ADI of 0-25 mg/kg b.w. (JECFA 1973). The Committee agrees with the ADI established by JECFA and considers the use of this substance acceptable as solvent for food. Because of the information submitted on the extensive use of this substance in food technology the Committee recommended that the intake from all sources should be reviewed in relation to the established ADI.

HYDROCARBON SOLVENTS

Cyclohexane

Although the available toxicological data relating to prolonged oral exposure of animals or man are scanty, the metabolic and other data point to a low toxic potential. The early literature reports of haematological injury were attributable to benzene as contaminant. No residue data were provided. Any specification for this compound should include a limit for benzene and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. The available data do not permit the establishment of a formal ADI. The Committee considers the use of this substance temporarily acceptable as an extraction solvent but requires the provision of an adequate long-term study in a rodent and information on residue levels by 1985.

Light petroleum

This material is difficult to specify but comprises a mixture of saturated aliphatic hydrocarbons with a specified distillation range, containing up to 50% hexane and variable amounts of heptane. The metabolism of hexane may lead to neurotoxic derivatives. The available short-term oral studies in rats and dogs showed no deleterious effects. Hexane is moderately hepatotoxic on inhalational exposure. No data are available to establish an ADI. The Committee considers the use of this material temporarily acceptable for use as extraction solvent when used under conditions resulting in minimal residues provided the results of a long-term study on a specified light petroleum are available by 1985. A specification is needed with limits for unsaturated aliphatic hydrocarbons and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.

2-nitropropane

Appropriate metabolism, short-term and long-term oral toxicity studies are absent. Rats developed hepatocellular carcinomata when exposed for 6 months by inhalation and observed for another 6 months. Rabbits and cats showed haematological damage following inhalation due to conversion of the compound to nitrate, while liver damage after inhalation of high levels has been reported in rats, cats and men. The Committee considers this compound unacceptable as extraction solvent for food.

Toluene

Almost all available toxicological data refer to exposure by routes other than oral and are therefore of little relevance for a meaningful evaluation of this compound. No residue data were available. The existing data do not allow the establishment of an ADI. The Committee considers this compound unacceptable as extraction solvent for food.

ETHERS

Diethyl ether

Most of the available toxicological data refer to inhalational exposure and there is long experience from use in human anaesthesia. No oral toxicological data exist which would permit establishing an ADI. The specification should contain limits for named stabilisers. In view of the small residues likely to remain in food from the use of this substance as extraction solvent the Committee considers it unnecessary to establish an ADI and considers the use as extraction solvent acceptable.

Di-butyl ether

No relevant oral toxicological data are available on this compound nor any residue figures. The existing toxicological information is insufficient for a meaningful evaluation or establishment of an ADI. The Committee considers that this compound is not acceptable for use as extraction solvent for foods.

ALDEHYDES

Furfural

The limited toxicological data indicate that the compound is potentially hepatotoxic. The available information is insufficient to establish an ADI. The Committee does not consider this compound acceptable for use as extraction solvent for food until the result of a full toxicological examination becomes available (see guidelines).

KETONES

Acetone

Oral administration of massive doses to dogs and rabbits suggests nephrotoxic effects and there is some evidence of hepatotoxic and nephrotoxic effects in man following massive intoxication. The metabolism in man is well known. No toxicological data on oral administration are available to establish an ADI. The available oral short-term study in rats and mutagenicity studies have shown no adverse effects. The Committee recommends that the specification should include a limit of 10 ppm for mesityloxide. The Committee considers the use of this substance acceptable as extraction solvent for food provided residues are kept to 5 mg/kg food as consumed.

Methyl ethyl ketone

Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) occurs in traces in normal human urine. Its metabolism has been well studied and it has a low oral toxicity. There are no adequate oral long-term studies available for establishing an ADI. The compound appears to have no neuropathic properties but enhances neurotoxicity of other substances such as methylbutylketone (MBK) or n-hexane, if combined with the latter. The Committee considers the use of this substance temporarily acceptable as extraction solvent, provided the results of adequate long-term studies, reproduction studies, including embryotoxicity and mutagenicity studies are available by 1985. Special emphasis should be placed in animal studies on possible neurotoxicity aspects. The Committee recommends that the specification should limit the amount of hexane in MEK to 50 mg/kg. The combined use of MEK with MBK or n-hexane should be avoided.

Di-isopropyl ketone

No toxicological data are available on this compound nor any information on residues or specification. No meaningful evaluation is therefore possible. The Committee considers this compound not acceptable for use as extraction solvent for food.

HALOGENATED HYDROCARBON SOLVENTS

Chloroform

A large amount of data relating to several routes of exposure is available which shows that the compound is hepatotoxic and nephrotoxic to rodents at high levels of exposure. However a no-effect level for these toxic effects can be determined. There is also sufficient evidence that chloroform is carcinogenic in mice and one strain of rats following oral exposure. However, the neoplastic changes reported only occurred when excessive doses were used causing initial toxic damage. Chloroform is foetotoxic and not mutagenic in the bacterial systems tested. The Committee considers this substance unacceptable for use as extraction solvent in food.

Dichloromethane

The available data on metabolism indicate similarity of pattern whatever the route of administration. Both man and animals excrete most of the administered dose unchanged in the expired air, a small percentage being converted to carbon monoxide which binds to haemoglobin. A few short-term experiments on foods extracted with this solvent showed no toxicity from the minute residues of the solvent or from any potential reaction products with food components. The available short-term test using drinking water as vehicle showed hepatotoxicity in rats and mice at practically all levels tested. Cell transformation studies were negative but in-vitro mutagenicity tests in bacteria were positive. Inhalation studies showed questionable teratogenic effects in rats and mice. The available long-term studies in rats by inhalation were inadequate for meaningful evaluation but raised suspicions because of increased mammary tumour incidence. A long-term study in mice using i.p. administration pointed to a possible increase in lung adenomas. Long-term studies by gavage and in drinking water are either in progress or planned in rats and mice as well as repeat long-term inhalation studies in rats and mice.

The Committee considered that the available toxicological evidence was insufficient to establish an ADI but that meanwhile the use of this solvent was temporarily acceptable. In addition use should be such as to result in minimum residues and in any case not exceeding 10 mg/kg food as consumed, whatever the application, and provided material complying with a food grade specification and stated stabilisers is used. The Committee wishes to review the situation when the results of ongoing studies are available but not later than the end of 1983.

Trichloroethylene

There is a wealth of data on metabolism as well as short-term, long-term and mutagenicity studies. The substance has also been used for many years in human anaesthesia. Only the oral carcinogenicity study in mice in which large doses were used produced hepatocellular carcinoma and lung tumours in both sexes. Both oral carcinogenicity studies in rats are considered not adequate. Other more recent studies have identified carcinogenic/mutagenic stabilisers present in the samples tested which make the carcinogenicity findings questionable. Furthermore, additional inhalation studies in rats and mice and feeding studies using low levels have not shown any evidence of carcinogenicity.

The Committee considers the use of this substance temporarily acceptable as extraction solvent for food. The results of well conducted oral carcinogenicity studies are needed by 1985 as well as data on the levels and nature of residues present in extracted foods.

1,2-Dichloroethane

A considerable amount of data on the metabolism and oral short-term and long-term studies are available. The rat and mouse studies showing a carcinogenic effect were performed on technical grade material, in which any contaminants present were not determined. Another oral long-term study has not shown any carcinogenic effects and long-term inhalation studies in mice and rats were equally negative as regards carcinogenicity. The substance is mutagenic in the bacterial systems tested but a reproduction study showed no effects on offspring. No data on tissue accumulation are available. The evidence on carcinogenicity is conflicting. There is some evidence of interaction with certain food constituents to form toxic compounds, however under excessively severe conditions. The Committee considers this substance not acceptable for use as extraction solvent for food unless the results of well conducted carcinogenicity studies on food grade material become available for evaluation.

Carbon tetrachloride

The available data show that this substance is rapidly absorbed and has considerable hepatotoxicity. The available studies are not adequate for establishing an ADI. The oral long-term studies in rats, mice and hamsters, although not all adequate, show clearly that the substance produces benign liver tumours and hepatocellular carcinomas. The compound is also foetotoxic but non-mutagenic for bacteria. The Committee considers this substance unacceptable for use as extraction solvent for food.

Cis-1,2-dichloroethylene (acetylene dichloride)

No toxicological data are available but oral long-term studies in rats and mice are planned. No food grade specification of this solvent is available. The Committee considers this compound unacceptable for use as extraction solvent for food.

Trans-1,2-dichloroethylene (acetylene dichloride)

The available toxicological studies are scanty and include only one short-term inhalation study in rats. Oral long-term studies in rats and mice are planned. No food grade specification of this solvent is available. The Committee considers this compound unacceptable for use as extraction solvent for food.

Dichlorofluoromethane*

No data are available on the effects of oral administration of this compound. A meaningful evaluation is therefore not possible nor can an ADI be established. The Committee considers this compound not acceptable for use as extraction solvent for foods.

Dichlorodifluoromethane*

Data on the effect of oral administration are available from short-term studies in rats and dogs as well as from a long-term study in rats. Reproduction, teratogenicity and mutagenicity has also been investigated without revealing any adverse effects. Almost all of the ingested compound is excreted unchanged in expired air. The cardiovascular effects noted after inhalation exposure in various species are of no significance in relation to the safety of residues of the compound when used as extraction solvent for foods. An ADI of 0-15 mg/kg bodyweight for man was established by JECFA (1975) and the Committee agrees with this evaluation. The Committee considers this compound acceptable for use as solvent for food.

* see "Toxicological considerations"

Dichlorotetrafluoroethane^{*}

The available data on the effects of oral administration of this compound do not permit a meaningful evaluation of the establishment of an ADI. The acute toxicity of this compound appears to be low but the inhalation toxicity is greater than that of other halocarbons. The Committee considers this compound not acceptable for use as extraction solvent of food.

1,1,2-trichlorotrifluoroethane^{*}

No data are available on the effects of oral administration and therefore no meaningful evaluation of the safety of the compound can be made. The Committee considers this compound not acceptable for use as extraction solvent of food.

ESTERS

Methyl acetate (opinion expressed in March 1981)

This solvent is temporarily acceptable as an extraction solvent. An in vivo hydrolysis study should be provided by the end of 1981. Residues in food should not be higher, in molar terms, than those established for methanol.

Ethyl acetate

A metabolic study using ¹⁴C labelled substance has shown that the compound is rapidly hydrolysed in-vivo after oral administration into the constituent acid and alcohol which have well known metabolic fates. In-vitro hydrolysis has been demonstrated satisfactorily. The observed dermal irritancy and possible allergenicity is not relevant to the evaluation as an extraction solvent. No toxicological studies exist to establish an ADI but the metabolic data make it unnecessary for an ADI to be specified. The Committee considers this solvent acceptable for use in food.

Butyl acetate

A metabolic study using ¹⁴C labelled substance has shown rapid hydrolysis in-vivo after oral administration and elimination of the acid and alcohol by well known metabolic pathways. In-vitro hydrolysis was shown to be comparatively slow. Several short-term oral studies in rats are available pointing to a no-adverse-effect level of 600 mg/kg b.w. Oral short-term studies in mice point to a no-adverse-effect level of 1,000 mg/kg b.w. No toxic effects were seen in reproduction and teratology tests in rats and mice. The Committee established a temporary ADI of 0-6 mg/kg b.w. The Committee considers this substance temporarily acceptable for use as solvent for food and requires information on the levels of residues present in extracted food by 1983.

Ethyl lactate

Only an in-vitro hydrolysis study is available and there are no other oral studies in existence from which an ADI could be established. It is very likely that this substance will hydrolyse in-vivo similar to other closely related esters and on this assumption the constituent acid and alcohol would follow well established metabolic pathways. The available short-term study in rats was just sufficient to indicate the absence of any acute toxicity at the high dose level tested. The Committee considers the substance as temporarily included in the ADI for lactic acid provided adequate evidence of in-vivo hydrolysis becomes available by the end of 1981. On this basis the Committee considers this substance temporarily acceptable as solvent for food.

^{*}see "Toxicological considerations"

Benzyl benzoate

No oral toxicological data are available on this compound and in-vivo hydrolysis into its constituents has been proposed. The metabolic fate of both benzyl alcohol and benzoic acid is well established in man. The Committee has temporarily included this substance in the ADI of 0-5 mg/kg b.w. established in 1973 (JECFA) for all benzoate derived from food additive use, subject to provision of adequate confirmation of the in-vivo hydrolysis by the end of 1981. The Committee meanwhile considers this substance temporarily acceptable for use as solvent for food.

Triethyl citrate

This compound is hydrolysed in-vitro into its acid and alcohol moieties of well known metabolic fate in man. The available short-term studies in rat, cat and dog and one long-term study in rat, although not fully adequate by present day standards, allowed the establishment of a temporary ADI of 10 mg/kg b.w. by JECFA with which the Committee agrees. The compound was non-mutagenic in the microbial systems tested. The Committee considers this substance temporarily acceptable for use as solvent for food provided adequate evidence of in-vivo hydrolysis is furnished by the end of 1981.

Diethyl tartrate

No toxicological data are available. However, in the light of existing knowledge on the biological properties of tartrate esters, the Committee considers this compound temporarily acceptable for use as an extraction solvent for food but requires adequate data to be submitted by the end of 1983 on in-vivo hydrolysis as well as the results of a 90-day oral feeding study in rats. Because of the existence of an ADI for tartaric acid total residues of this compound from all uses should not exceed 100 mg/kg food.

Isopropyl myristate

There are no oral toxicity data available to make a meaningful evaluation or establish an ADI. The Committee considers this compound not acceptable for use as extraction solvent for foods.

Glycerol mono-, di- and tri-acetate

These glycerol esters, except for the tri-acetate, occur always as a mixture with glycerol. No biochemical or formal feeding studies are available on the glycerol mono- and di-acetates but the tri-acetate is rapidly hydrolysed in-vitro. The tri-acetate is metabolised efficiently by known metabolic pathways. No formal short- or long-term studies exist for the tri-acetate. Nevertheless the metabolic data permit the reasonable conclusion that all three acetates are likely to be readily hydrolysed into constituents of well known metabolic fate. The Committee agrees with the evaluation by JECFA (1979) that an ADI need not be specified and considers these compounds acceptable for use as solvents for food.

Glycerol tripalmitate

There are no oral toxicity data available to make a meaningful evaluation to establish an ADI. The Committee considers this compound temporarily acceptable for use as solvent for the extraction of food provided adequate information on in-vivo hydrolysis is submitted by the end of 1981.

Glycerol tributyrate

There are no oral toxicity data available to make a meaningful evaluation to establish an ADI. However this compound occurs naturally as a constituent of milk fat. The Committee considers the use of this compound acceptable as a solvent for the extraction of food provided the levels of residues comply with good manufacturing practice.

Diethylene glycol monoethyl ether (DGME)

A considerable amount of toxicological data is available on this compound. However, only the short-term studies are adequate and were carried out in several species. The long-term studies are not suitable for establishing an ADI and the available reproduction study is inadequate for meaningful evaluation. Since the evaluation by JECFA (1976) the additional data requested to permit meaningful evaluation have not been presented. The uncertainties regarding a no-effect level for nephrotoxicity and hepatotoxicity as well as effects on reproduction including teratogenicity and carcinogenicity remain unanswered. The Committee considers this compound not acceptable for use as extraction solvent for food, particularly as its non-volatility makes comparatively high levels of residues likely.

Acknowledgement

The Committee is grateful for the assistance given by:

Prof. P. Marquardt,
D 7800 FREIBURG/BR.
Laufenerstrasse 9,
Deutschland

REFERENCES

Propane

1. Patty, F.A., 1963, "Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology", Vol. II, Interscience, New York. p.1198.

Butane

1. Patty, F.A., 1963, "Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology" Vol. II, Interscience, New York. p.1198.
2. Shugaev, B.B., 1969, Archs. envir. Hlth., 18, p.878.

Isobutane

1. Friedman, S.A., Cammarato, M. and Aviado, D.M., 1973, Toxicology, 1, p.345.

Carbon Dioxide (liquid and supercritical gas)

1. European Pharmacopoeia, 1971, p.175.
2. Hubert, P. and Vitzthum, O.G., 1978, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 17, p.710.

Nitrous Oxide

1. FAO, 1979, Food and Nutrition Paper No. 7, p.46.

Methanol

1. DeFelice, A., Wilson, W. and Ambre, J., 1976, Toxicol. appl. Pharmacol., 38, p.631.
2. DiVincenzo, G.D. and Krasavage, W.J., 1974, Am. Ind. Hyg. Ass.J., 35, p.21.
3. Henson, E.V., 1960, J. Occup. Medicine, p.497.
4. Kimura, E.T., Ebert, D.M. and Dodge, P.W., 1971, Toxicol. appl. Pharmacol., 19, p.699.
5. Makar, A.B. and Tephly, T.R., 1976, Nature, 261, p.715.
6. Mardores, J., 1963, Physiological Pharmacology, Vol. 1, Academic Press, New York, 1963.
7. McMartin, K.E., Makar, A.B., Martin, A.G., Palec, M. and Tephly, T.R., 1975, Biochem. Med., 13, p.319.
8. Rushmer, R., Van Litters, R. and Franklin, D., 1963, Circulation, 27, p.118.
9. Siegers, C.-P., Strubelt, O. and Breining, H., 1974, Pharmacology, 12, p.296.

10. Toxicological Monographs, Fourteenth Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives; FAO Nutrition Meetings Report Series No. 48A; WHO/Food Add./70.39, 1970, p.105.

Ethanol

1. Badr, F.M. and Badr, R.S., 1975, Nature, 253, p.134.
2. Chauhan, P.S., Aravindakshan, M., Kumar, N.S. and Sundaram, K., 1980, Mutation Res., 79, p.263.
3. Conn, R.A., Jones, R.A., Jenkins, L.J. jr. and Siegel, J., 1970, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol., 16, p.646.
4. Di Luzio, N.R., 1961, Am. J. Clin. Nutrition, 9, p.442.
5. Gaillard, D., Chamoiseau, G. and Suschetet, M., 1976, Fd. Cosmet. Toxicol., 14, p.123.
6. Griffata, G., Baron, P. and Lowy, R., 1970, Information Bulletin, B.I.B.R.A., 9, p.317.
7. Kimura, E.T., Ebert, D.M. and Dodge, P.W., 1971, Toxicol. appl. Pharmacol., 19, p.699.
8. Korsten, M.A., Matsuzaki, S., Feinman, L. and Lieber, C.S., 1975, New Engl. J. Med., 292, p.386.
9. Lockner, D. and Ericson, U., 1973, Acta Haemat., 49, p.242.
10. Mann, L.I., Bhakthavathsalan, A., Liu, M. and Makowski, P., 1975, Am. J. Obstet., 122, p.837.
11. Mann, L.I., Bhakthavathsalan, A., Liu, M. and Makowski, P., 1975, Am. J. Obstet., 122, p.845.
12. Muller, G., Spassowski, M. and Henschler, D., 1975, Arch. Tox., 33, p.173.
13. Nomiyama, K. and Nomiyama, U., 1974, Int. Arch. Arbeitsmed., 32, p.75.
14. Osztovics, M., Igali, S., Antal, A. and Véghelyi, P., 1980, Mutation Res., 74 (3), p.247.
15. Pieper, W.A. and Skeen, M.J., 1973, Biochem. Pharmacol., 22, p.163.
16. Sardesai, V.M. and Thomas, C.C., Eds., 1969, Biochemical and Clinical Aspects of Alcohol Metabolism; Springfield, Illinois, p.93.
17. Toxicological Monographs, Fourteenth Report of the Joint FAO/ WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives; FAO Nutrition Meetings Report Series No. 48A; WHO/Food Add./70/39, 1970, p.99.
18. Tze, V.J. and Lee, M., 1975, Nature, 257, p.479.

Propan-1-ol

1. Abbondandolo, A. et al., in press.
2. Beaud, P. and Ramuz, A., 1978, Mit. für Lebensm. Hyg., 69, p.423.
3. Beaute, F., Clement, M., Nordmann, J. and Nordmann, R., 1979, Chem. Biol. Interact., 26, p.155.

4. Bikfalvi, I. and Pasztor, L., 1977, Szeszipar, 25, p.96.
5. Birkett, D.J., 1974, Clin. Exp. Pharm. Phys., 1, p.415.
6. Bonte, W., 1978, Blutalk., 15, p.392.
7. Bonte, W., 1979, Blutalk., 16, p.108.
8. DeFelice, A., Wilson, W. and Ambre, J., 1976, Tox. Appl. Pharm., 36, p.595.
9. Durwald, W. and Degen, W., 1956, Arch. Toxicol., 16, p.84.
10. Elhardt, W.P., 1931, Am. J. Physiol., 100, p.74.
11. Ivanetich, K.M., Lucas, S., Marsh, J.A. and Ziman, M.R., 1978, Drug. Metab. Dispos., 6, p.218.
12. Jaddou, H.A., Pavey, J.A. and Ianning, D.J., 1978, J. Dairy Res., 45, p.391.
13. McCrery, M.J. and Hunt, W.A., 1978, Neuroph., 17, p.451.
14. Monick, J.A., 1968, Alcohols, Chapman & Reinhold, N.Y.
15. Murphree, H.B., Greenberg, L.A. and Carrol, R.B., 1967, Fed. Proc., 26, p.1468.
16. Obe, G. and Ristow, H.J., 1977, Mutat. Res., 56, p.211.
17. Obe, G., Ristow, H.J. and Herha, J., 1977, Adv. Exp. Med. Biol., 85A, p.47.
18. Otsuka, K., Iki, I. and Yamashita, T., 1979, Hakko Kogaku Kaishri, 57, p.20.
19. Pestel, W. and Adam, H., 1978, Branntw., 118, p.404.
20. Purchase, I.F.H., 1969, S.A. Med. J., 43, p.795.
21. Rapp, A. and Avramov, I., 1977, J. Vinograd. Vinar., 11, p.5.
22. Schreier, P., Drawert, F. and Winkler, F., 1979, J. Agric. Food Chem., 27, p.365.
23. Shehata, M. and Saad, S., 1978, Pol. J. Pharm. Pharm., 30, p.35.
24. Sun, A.Y., 1976, Annals N.Y. Acad. Sci., 273, p.295.
25. Taylor, J.M., Jenner, P.M. and Jones, W.I., 1964, Tox. Appl. Pharm., 6, p.378.
26. Teshke, R., Hasumura, Y. and Lieber, C.S., 1974, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm., 60, p. 851.
27. Toxicological Monographs, Twenty-fifth Meeting Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, 1981, in press.
28. Uhlemann, E.R., Robberecht, P. and Gardner, J.D., 1979, Gastroent., 76, p.917.
29. Verschueren, K., 1977, Handbook of Environmental Data on Organic Chemicals. Van Nostrand Reinhold Comp.
30. Weisbrodt, N.W., Kienzle, M. and Cooke, A.R., 1973, Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med., 142, p.450.
31. Williams, R.T., 1959, Detoxication Mechanisms, Chapman and Hall, London.

32. Wolff, T., 1978, Int. Congr. Ser. Exc. Med., 440, p.196.
33. Yashuda, Y., Cabral, A.M. and Antonio, A., 1976, Pharmacol., 14, p.473.

Propan-2-ol (Isopropanol)

1. Antonova, V.I. and Salmina, Z.A., 1978, Gig. Sanit., 1, p.8.
2. Akhrem, A.A., Papova, E.M. and Metelitsa, D.I., 1978, Biokhimiya (Moscow), 43, p.1485.
3. Barashkov, S.G. and Elik, F.I., 1978, Khim.-Farm. Zh., 12, p.115.
4. Beaute, F., Clement, M., Nordmann, J. and Nordmann, R., 1977, Arch. Int. Physiol. Bioch., 85, p.931.
5. Beaute, F., Clement, M., Nordmann, J. and Nordmann, R., 1979, Chem. Biol. Interact., 26, p.155.
6. Burroughs, G.E. and Thomasime, J., 1976, Report 75-145-327, Formica Corp., Ohio.
7. Chapin, M.A., 1949, J. Maine Med. Ass., 40, p.288.
8. Ciuchta, H.P., Savell, G.M. and Spiker, R.C.Jr., 1979, Tox. Appl. Pharm., 49, p.347.
9. Coleman, R.L., Lund, E.D. and Shaw, P.E., 1972, J. Agric. Fd. Chem., 20, p. 100.
10. DiVincenzo, G.D. and Krasavage, W.J., 1974, Am. Ind. Hyg. Ass. J., 35, p.21.
11. Dubowski, K.M., 1976, Chim. Chem., 22, p.863.
12. Folland, D.S., Schaffner, W., Ginn, H.E., Crofford, O.B. and McMurray, D.R., 1976, JAMA, 236, p.1853.
13. Gunter, B.J., Ligo, R. and Ruhe, R., 1976, PB Report 273711
14. IARC, 1977, Vol. 15, p.223.
15. Ivanetich, K.M., Lucas, S., Marsh, J.A. and Ziman, M.R., 1978, Drug. Metab. Disp., 6, p.218.
16. Macinlyte, N., 1978, Gip. Tr. Proj. Zabol., 12, p.8.
17. McCreery, M.J. and Hunt, W.A., 1978, Neuropharm., 17, p.451.
18. McInnes, A., 1973, B.M.J., 1, 357.
19. Monick, J.A., 1968, Alcohols. Chapman & Reinhold, N.Y.
20. Novokovskaya, M.I., Klyukvina, T.D., Kirillova, N.N. and Shaposhnikov, Yn.K., 1978, Prom. Saint. Och. Gazov, 5, p.21.
21. Patty, F.A., 1963, Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology., J. Wiley & Sons, N.Y.
22. Pilotti, A., Ancker, K., Arrhenius, P. and Enzell, C., 1975, Tox., 5, p.49.
23. Pohl, J., 1922, Bioch. Z., 127, p.66
24. Powis, G., 1975, Bioch. J., 148, p.269

25. Rotter, N., Koller, W. and Kundi, M., 1977, Zentralbl. Bakt., B, 164, p.428.
26. Siebert, H., Siebert, G. and Bohn, G., 1972, Dtsch. Apoth.Z. 112, p.1040.
27. Toxicological Monographs, Fourteenth Report of Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, WHO/Food Add/70.39, 1970, p.114.
28. Traiger, G.J. and Plaa, G.L., 1972, J. Pharm. Exp. Ther., 183, p.481.
29. Traiger, G.J. and Plaa, G.L., 1973 Can. J. Phys. Pharm., 51, p.291.
30. Verschueren, K., 1977, Handbook of Environmental Data on Organic Chemicals, Van Nostrand Reinhold Comp.
31. Wewalka, G., Koller, M., Koller, W. and Stanek, G., 1977, Zentralbl. Bakt., B, 165, p.242.
32. Whright, U., 1978, Dev. Toxicol. Env. Sci., 4, p.93.
33. Wolff, T., 1978, Int. Congr. Ser. Exp. Med., 440, p.196.
34. Yashuda, Y., Cabral, A.M. and Antonio, A. 1976, Pharmacol., 14, p.473.
35. Zakhari, S. et al., 1977, Isopropanol and Ketones in the Environment, CRC Press, Oxford.

Butan-1-ol

1. Aitio, A., 1977, Res. Comm. Chem. Pathol. Pharmacol., 1, p.773.
2. Ashford, M.L.J. and Wann, K.T., 1979, J. Physiol., 295, p.86P.
3. Auty, R.M. and Branch, R.A., 1976, J. Pharm. Exp. Ther., 197, p.669.
4. Baikov, B.K., Gusev, M.I., Novikov, Y.V. and Yudina, T.V. 1973, Gig. Sanit., 2, p.13.
5. Baikov, B.K. and Khachaturyan, M.Kh., 1973, Gig. Sanit., 38, p.7.
6. Bikfalvi, I. and Pasztor, L., 1977, Szeszipar, 25, p.96.
7. Birkett, P.J., 1974, Clin. Exp. Pharm. Physiol., 1, p.415.
8. Bonte, W., 1978, Blutalkohol, 15, p.392.
9. Bonte, W., 1979, Blutalkohol, 16, p.108.
10. Bray, W.J. and Humphries, C., 1978, J. Sci. Food. Agric., 29, p.839.
11. Browning, E., 1965. Toxicity and Metabolism of Industrial Solvents, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
12. Burdenko, V.P. and Bagmetov, N.P., 1975, Tr. Volgogr. Gen. Med. Inst., 26, p.74.
13. Cater, B.R., Cook, M.W., Gangolli, S.D. and Grasso, P., 1977, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol., 41, p.609.
14. Cederbaum, A.I., Dicker, E. and Cohen, G., 1978, Biochem., 17, p.3058.
15. Cederbaum, A.I., Dicker, E., Rubin, E. and Cohen, G., 1979, Biochem., 18, p.1187.
16. Chang, S.S., Peterson, K.J. and Ho, C., 1978, J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc., 55, p.718.

17. Campere, A.L. and Griffith, W.L., 1978, Dev. Ind. Microbiol., 20, p.509.
18. De Felice, A., Wilson, W. and Ambre, J., 1976, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol., 36, p.515.
19. Di Vincenzo, G.D. and Hamilton, M.L., 1979, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol., 48, p.317.
20. Dmitriev, M.T. and Mishchikhin, V.A., 1979, Gig. Sanit., 6, p.45.
21. Dubinina, O.N. and Raksimov, G.G., 1976, Gig. Tr. Okhr., 9, p.100.
22. Dumont, J.P. and Adda, J., 1978, J. Agric. Food. Chem., 26, p.364.
23. Fisher, G.S., Legendre, M.G., Lovgren, N.V., Schuller, W.H. and Wells, J.A., 1979, J. Agric. Food Chem., 27, p.7.
24. Flath, R.A. and Takahashi, J.M., 1978, J. Agric. Food Chem., 26, p.835.
25. Flath, R.A., Forrey, R.R., John, J.O. and Chan, B.G., 1978, J. Agric. Food Chem., 26, p.1290.
26. Geppert, von E., Sturz, J., Haase, W. and Isselhard, W., 1976, Arzneim. Forsch., 26, p.1333.
27. Gukasyan, Zh.G., Barysheva, K.F., Saakyan, O.A. and Arustamyan, R.A., 1979, Met. Armni., 21, p.18.
28. Hastings, J.J.H., 1978, Econ. Microbiol., 2, p.31.
29. Hesketh, T.R., Keightley, C.A., Metcalfe, J.C. and Richards, C.D., 1978, J. Physiol., 278, p.5P.
30. Jaddou, H.A., Pavey, J.A. and Manning, D.J., 1978, J. Dairy Res., 45, p.391.
31. Jenner et al., 1964, Fd. Cosmet. Toxicol., 2, p.327.
32. Juliano, R.L. and Gagalang, E., 1979, J. Cell. Physiol., 98, p.483.
33. Kalekin, R.M. and Brichenko, V.S., 1972, Nauch. Tr., Irkutsk. Med. Inst., No. 115, p.22.
34. Khachaturyan, M., 1973, Vopr. Gig. Goroda, p.71.
35. Kudrewicz-Hubicka, Z., Kolaczkowska, M., Borzemksa, M. and Wesolowska, A., 1978, Pol. Tyg. Lek., 33, p.395.
36. MacGregor, D.C., Schonbaum, E. and Bigelow, W.G., 1964, Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol., 42, p.689.
37. Maickel, R.P. and McFadden, D.P., 1979, Res. Comm. Chem. Pat. Pharm., 26, p.75.
38. McCreery, M.J. and Hunt, W.A., 1978, Neuropharmacology, 17, p.451.
39. McLaughlin, J.Jr., Marliac, J.P., Verret, M.J., Mutchler, M.K. and Fitzhugh, O.G., 1964, Amer. Ind. Hyg. Ass. J., 25, p.282.
40. Meslar, H.W. and White, H.B., III., 1978, Anal. Biochem., 91, p.75.
41. Mikheev, M.I., Frolova, A.D. and Lyublina, E.I., 1978, Eksperim. Prom. Toksikol., p.11.
42. Monick, J.A., 1968, Alcohols: Their Chemistry, Properties and Manufacture. Chapman and Reinhold, N.Y.

43. Moshlakova, L.A., Soldatchenkova, T.P. and Druzhinina, V.A., 1976, Gig. Aspekt Okhr., p.65.
44. Nizar, T.I., Khalil, M., Abd El-Galil, Abou-Zeid, A., El-Diwany, A.I. and Mohamad, Y., 1979, Indian Chem. Manuf., 17, p.5.
45. Novokovskaya, M.I., Klyukvina, T.D., Kirillova, N.N. and Shaposhnikov, Yu.K., 1978, Prom. Sanit. Ochistka Gazov., 5, p.21.
46. Obe, G., Ristow, M.J. and Herma, J., 1977, Adv. Exp. Med. Biol., 85, A, p.47.
47. Obe, G. and Ristow, M.J., 1977, Mutation Res., 55, p.211.
48. Patty, F.A., 1963, Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology. Vol. II, Interscience Publishers, N.Y.
49. Petrova, N.I. and Vishnevskii, A.A., 1972, Nauch. Tr., Irkutsh. Med. Inst., 115, p.102.
50. Pikciunas, I. and Sanatina, K.G., 1976, Aktual. Vopr. Okhr., p.146.
51. Postel, W. and Adam, L., 1978, Brantwein Wirt., 118, p.404.
52. Purchase, I.F.H., 1969, S.A. Medical J., 53, p.795.
53. Puskin, J.A. and Martin, T., 1978, Mol. Pharm., 14, p.454.
54. Rumyantsev, A.P., Ostroumova, N.A., Kustova, S.A., Lobanova, I.Ua., Tiunova, L.V., Chernikova, V.V. and Kolesnikov, P.A., 1976, Gig. Sanit., 11, p.12.
55. Rumyantsev, A.P., Geer, V.G., Ostroumova, N.A., Spirin, B.A. and Shakhidzhanyan, L.G., 1975, Gig. Sanit., 10, p.112.
56. Rumyantsev, A.P., Lobanova, I.Ya., Tiunova, L.V. and Chernikova, V.V., 1979, Toksikol. Sanit. Khim. Plastmass, 2, p.24.
57. Saad, S.F., 1976, IRCS Med. Sci. Libr. Compend., 4, p.499.
58. Sakagami, Y., Yokoyama, H., Kitanaka, E. and Ioku, M., 1977, Osaka-furitsu Koshu, 11, p.15.
59. Sanatina, K.G., 1973, Vopr. Epidemiol. Gig. Litov. SSR, p.183.
60. Savel'ev, A.I., Babanov, A.G., Skobei, N.A. and Troitskaya, I.A., 1975, Fiziol. Patol. p.59.
61. Schreier, P., Drawert, F. and Winkler, F., 1979, J. Agric. Food Chem., 27, p.365.
62. Shalaby, E.S., Danasory, M.El. and Massoud, A.A.E., 1973, J. Egypt. Med. Ass., 54, p.340.
63. Shehata, M. and Saad, S., 1978, Pol. J. Pharmacol. Pharm., 30, p.35.
64. Sitanov, V.S., Bandik, K.A., Enakaeva, V.G. and Baranova, R.K., 1979, Otkrytiya, Izobret., 38, p.90.
65. Stock, K. and Schmidt, M., 1978; Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol., 302, p.37.
66. Swords, G., Bobbio, P.A. and Hunter, G.L.K., 1978, J. Food Sci., 43, p.639.
67. Tavlinova, T.I. and Dovyborova, L.N., 1979, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., 22, p.972.

68. Teschke, R., Hasumura, Y. and Lieber, C.S., 1974, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 60, p.851.
69. Tressl, H., Friese, L., Fendesack, F. and Koeppler, H., 1978, J. Agric. Food Chem., 26, p.1426.
70. Van Den Berg, A.P., Noordhoek, J. and Koopmann-Kool, E., 1979a, Biochem. Pharmacol., 28, p.31.
71. Van Den Berg, A.P., Noordhoek, J. and Koopmann-Kool, E., 1979b, Biochem. Pharmacol., 28, p.37.
72. Vanderkooi, G., Chazotte, B. and Biethman, R., 1978, FEBS Letters, 90, p.21.
73. Velasquez, 1964, Med. Trabajo, 1, p.43.
74. Verschueren, K., 1977, Handbook of environmental data on organic chemicals. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company.
75. Wallgren, H., 1960, Acta Pharm. Tox., 16, p.217.
76. Walton, M.T. and Martin, J.L., 1979, Microb. Technol., 1, p.187.
77. Williams, R.T., 1959, Detoxication mechanisms. 2nd Ed., Chapman and Hall, London.
78. Winne, D., 1978, Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol., 301, p.175.
79. Woidich, H., Pfannhauser, W. and Eberhardt, R., 1978, Mitt. Bundeslehr-Ver. Wein-Obstbau, Klosterneuburg, 28, p.56.
80. Wolff, T., 1978, Excerpta Med., 440, p.196.
81. Zaikina, E.I., Terekhova, A.I., Chudov, L.N., Shatenshtein, A.I., Petrov, E.S., Shcherbak, V.P., Zakomyrdin, A.A., Simetskii, M.A. and Sokhadze, L.A., 1978, Otkrytiya, Izobret., 55, p.18.
82. Zaikov, Kh. and Bobev, G., 1978, Khig. Zdraveopaz., 21, p.141.
83. Yabumoto, K., Yamaguchi, M. and Jennings, W.G., 1978, Food Chem., 3, p.7.
84. Yajima, I., Yanai, T., Nakamura, M., Sakakibara, H. and Habu, T., 1978, Agric. Biol. Chem., 42, p.1229.
85. Yashuda, Y., Cabral, A.M. and Antonio, A., 1976, Pharmacology, 14, p.473.

Butan-2-ol

1. Abbondandolo, A., Bonatti, S., Corsi, C., Corti, G., Fiorio, R., Leporini, L., Mazzaccaro, A. and Nieri, R., 1981. The use of organic solvents in mutagenicity testing, Mut. Res., (in press).
2. Bartha, T., Gonczi, L. and Mollo, A., 1973, Egesz., 22, p.220.
3. Beaud, P. and Ramuz, A., 1978, Mitt. Geb. Lebens. Hyg., 69, p.423.
4. Bikfalvi, I. and Pasztor, L., 1977, Szeszipar, 25, p.96.
5. Bonte, W., 1978, Blutalkohol, 15, p.332.
6. Bonte, W., Decker, J. and Busse, J., 1978, Blutalkohol, 16, p.108.
7. Bring-Mann, G. and Kuehn, R., 1977, Z.Wasser Abw. Forsch., 10, p.161.

8. Dumont, J.P. and Adda, J., 1978, J. Agric. Food Chem., 26, p.364.
9. Fisher, G.S., Legendre, M.G., Lovgren, N.V., Schuller, W.H. and Wells, J.A., 1978, J. Agric. Food Chem., 27, p. 7.
10. Fregert, S., Groth, O., Gruyberger, B., Magnusson, B., Mobacken, H. and Rorsman, H., 1971, Acta Derm. Vener. Stockh., 51, p.271.
11. Fregert, S., Groth, O., Hjorth, N., Magnusson, B., Rorsman, H. and Ovrum, P., 1969, Acta Derm. Vener. Stockh., 49, p.493.
12. Hatch, L.F. and Matar, S., 1978, Hydro. Pro., 57, p.153.
13. Juhnke, I. and Luedemann, O., 1978, Z. Wasser Abw. Forsch., 11, p.161.
14. Lalasidis, G. and Sjoberg, L.B., 1978, J. Agric. Food Chem., 26, p.742.
15. Maicckel, R.P. and McFadden, D.P., 1979, Chem. Pathol. Pharmacol., 26, p.75.
16. McCreeny, M.J. and Hunt, W.A., 1978, Neuropharm., 17, p.451.
17. Monick, J.A., 1968, "Alcohols: their Chemistry, Properties and Manufacture", Reinhold Book, N.Y.
18. Munch, J.C. and Schwartze, E.W., 1925, J. Lab. Clin. Med., 10, p.985.
19. Olias Jimenez, J.M., Dobarganes Garcia, M.C., Gutierrez Rosales, F. and Gutierrez Gonzalez-Quijano, R., 1978, Grasas Aceites (Seville), 29, p.211.
20. Patty, F.A., 1963, Vol. II, Interscience Publishers New York, p.1445.
21. Pohl, J., 1908, Arch. Exp. Pathol. Pharmakol., (Suppl.), p.427.
22. Schreier, P., Drawert, F. and Winkler, F., 1979, J. Agric. Food Chem., 27, p.365.
23. Verschueren, K., 1977, Handbook of environmental data on organic chemicals. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, N.Y.
24. Wallgren, H., 1960, Acta Pharmacol. Toxicol., 16, p.217.
25. Williams, R.T., 1959, Detoxication mechanisms, Chapman and Hall, London.
26. Woidich, H., Pfannhauser, W. and Eberhardt, R., 1978, Mitt. Bundeslehr-Versuchsanst. Wein-Obstbau, Klosterneuburg, 28, p.36.
27. Yashuda, Y., Cabral, A.M. and Antonio, A., 1976, Pharmacology, 14, p.473.

Tertiary Butanol

1. Abbasov, A.Z., Panov, V.N. and Aliev, A.M., 1971, Gig. Sanit., 36, p.61.
2. Afzal, S.M.J. and Kesavan, P.C., 1979, Int. J. Radiat. Biol., 35, p.287.
3. Akhrem, A.A., Popova, E.M. and Metelitsa, O.I., 1978, Biokhimya (USSR), 43, p.1485.
4. Bellin, S.I. and Edmonds, H.L., Jr., 1976, Proc. West. Pharm. Soc., 19, p.351.
5. Bringmann, G. and Kuehn, R., 1978, Mitt. Int. Ver. Theor. Angew. Limnol., 21, p.275.
6. Derache, R., 1970, International Encyclopedia of Pharmacology on Therapeutics, sec. 20, Ed. J. Tremalières. Vol. 2, Pergamon Press.

7. Dreyfus, M., Vandenbunder, B. and Buch, H., 1978, FEBS Letters, 95, p.185.
8. Gillette, L.A., Miller, D.L. and Redmann, H.E., 1952, Ind. Wastes, 24, p.1397.
9. Maickel, R.P. and McFadden, D.P., 1973, Chem. Path. Pharmacol., 26, p.75.
10. McComb, J.A. and Goldstein, D.B., 1979, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 210, p.87.
11. McCreery, N.J. and Hunt, W.A., 1978, Neuropharm., 17, p.451.
12. Monick, J.A., 1968, Alcohols: their chemistry, properties and manufacture, Reinhold Book Corporation, New York.
13. Patty, F.A., 1963, Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, Vol. II, Interscience Publishers, N.Y., p.1449.
14. Ross, D.H., 1976, Annals N.Y. Acad. Sci., 275, p.280.
15. Sgaragli, G.P., Rizzotti Conti, M., Bencini, R., Della Corte, L. and Giotti, A., 1975, Boll. Soc. Ital. Biol. Sper., 51, p.1702.
16. Sugiyama, T., Miura, R. and Yamano, T., 1976, Adv. Exp. Med. Biol., 74, p.290.
17. Verschueren, K., 1977, Handbook of Environmental Data on Organic Chemicals. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company.
18. Wallgren, H., Nikander, P., Boguslawsky, P. and Linkola, J., 1974, Acta Physiol. Scand., 91, p.83.
19. Weisbrodt, N.W., Kienzle, M. and Cooke, A.R., 1973, Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med., 142, p.450.
20. Williams, R.T., 1959, Detoxication mechanisms. Eds. Chapman and Hall.
21. Wood, J.M. and Laverty, R., 1979, Pharmac. Biochem. Behav., 10, p.113.
22. Wood, J.M. and Laverty, R., 1976, Proc. Univ. Otago Med. Sch., 54, p.86.
23. Zamarakhina, L.E., 1973, Gig. Sanit., 38, p.72.
24. Yashuda, Y., Cabral, A.M. and Antonio, A., 1976, Pharmacology, 14, p.473.

Benzyl Alcohol

1. Ashford, M.L.J. and Wann, K.T., 1976, Physiol. Rev., 56, p.177.
2. Baker, N. and Huebotter, R.J., 1971, Life Sci., 10, p.1193.
3. Baltes, W. and Soechting, I., 1975, Z. Lebensm. Unters. Forsch., 169, p.9.
4. Bär, F.K. and Griepentrog, F., 1967, Medizin. Ernähr., 8, p.244.
5. Bray, H.G., Thorpe, W.V. and White, K., 1951, Biochem. J., 48, p.88.
6. Coulibaly, Y., Seck, Š. and Crouzet, J., 1979, Ann. Technol. Agric., 28, p.17.
7. Dawson, G.W., Jenning, A.L., Drozdowski, D. and Kider, E., 1977, J. Hazard. Mater., 14, p.303.
8. De Land, F.H., 1973, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol., 25, p.153.

9. Diack, S.L. and Lewis, H.B., 1928, J. Biol. Chem., 77, p.85.
10. Eichbaum, F.W. and Yasaka, W.J., 1976, Basic Res. Card., 71, p.355.
11. Freund, G., 1973, Life Sci., 13, p.345.
12. Gildmeister, E. and Hoffman, F., Die Ätherischen Öle., Vol. IIIb, Akademie Verlag, Berlin.
13. Graham, B.E. and Kuizenga, M.H., 1945, J. Pharmacol., 84, p.358.
14. Holmberg, B. and Malmfors, T., 1974, Environm. Res., 7, p.183.
15. Holmberg, B., Jakobson I. and Malmfors, T., 1974, Environ. Res., 7, p.193.
16. Hjorth, N., 1961, Eczematous allergy to balsams, Aarhuus Stift. Kunksgaard, Copenhagen, p.96.
17. Jenner et al., 1964, Fd. Cosmet. Toxicol., 2, p.327.
18. Kimura, E.T., Darby, T.D., Krauss, R.A. and Brondyk, H.D., 1971, Toxicol. App. Pharm., 18, p.60.
19. Motoyosh, K., Toyoshima, Y., Sato, M. and Yoshimura, M., 1979, Cos. Toil., 94, p.41.
20. Lagerholm, B., 1958, Acta Allerg. 12, p.295.
21. Maier, G.A. and Robinson, J.D., 1977, Bioderm. Pharm., 26, p.791.
22. McCreery, M.J. and Hunt, W.A., 1978, Neuropharmacology, 17, p.451.
23. Menczel, E. and Maibach, H.I., 1972, Acta Derm. Ven., 52, p.38.
24. Monick, J.A., 1968, "Alcohols: their Chemistry, Properties and Manufacture", Chapman - Reinhold, N.Y.
25. Novak, E., Stubbs, S.S., Sanborn, E.C. and Eustice, R.M., 1972, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol., 23, p.54.
26. Nunomura, N., Saski, M., Asao, Y. and Yokotsuka, T., 1976, Agric. Biol. Chem., 40, p.485.
27. Opdyke, D.L., 1973, Fd. Cosm. Toxicol., 11, p.1011.
28. Patty, F.A., 1963, Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, Vol. II, Interscience, N.Y., p.1473.
29. Pilotti, A., Ancker, K., Arrhenius, E. and Enzell, C., 1975, Toxicology, 5, p.49.
30. Puskin, J.A. and Martin, T., 1978, Mol. Pharmacol., 14, p.454.
31. Schafer, E.W., 1972, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol., 21, p.315.
32. Schmiedeberg, O., 1881, Arch. Exptl. Pathol. Pharmakol., 14, p.288.
33. Seizinger, E. and Dimitriades, D., 1972, Japca, 22, (7).
34. Senanayake, U.M., Lee, T.H. and Wills, R.B.H., 1978, J. Agric. Food Chem., 26, p.822.
35. Sharp, D.W., 1978, Toxicology, 9, p.261.
36. Snapper, I., Grünbaum, A. and Neuberg, J., 1923, Ned. Tijdschr. Geneesk., 1, p.426.

37. Vanderkooi, G., Chazotte, B. and Biethman, R., 1978, Febs Letters, 90, p.21.
38. Verschueren, K., 1977, Handbook of Environmental Data on Organic Chemicals. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company.
39. Williams, R.T., 1959, Detoxication Mechanisms, Chapman and Hall.
40. Yasaka, W.J., Eichbaum, G.W. and Ogia, S., 1979, Cardiovascular Res., 13, p.711.

Glycerol

1. Borchgrevink, C.F. and Havel, R.J. 1963, Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med., 113, p.946.
2. Bovet, D., Cantore, G.A. and Guidetti, B., 1961, Gazz. Int. Med. Chir., 66, p.3021.
3. Bowesman, C., 1938, Br. J. Surg., 26, p.86.
4. Buckell, H. and Walsh, L., 1964, Lancet, 2, p.1151.
5. Cameron, G.R. and Finch., E.S., 1956, J. Pathol., 71, p.165.
6. Cantore, G.P., Guidetti, B. and Virno, M., 1966, Policlinico, 73, p.553.
7. Cantore, G.P., Guidetti, B. and Virno, M., 1965, J. Neurosurg., 21, p.278.
8. Cantore, G.P. Guidetti, B. and Virno, M., 1963, Excerpta Med. Int. Congr. Ser., 60, p.128.
9. Chahl, J.S. and Ottaway, J.H., 1971, Biochem. J., 125, p.73.
10. Churchill, P., Bidoni, A., Fleischmann, L. and Becker-McKenna, B., 1977, Am. J. Physiol., 233, p.247.
11. Deichmann, W., 1940, Ind. Med., 9, p.60.
12. Dominguez-Gil, A. and Cadorniga, R., 1971, Farmaco. Prat., 26, p.535.
13. Finch, E.S., 1957, J. Path. Bact., 73, p.69.
14. Havel, R.J., 1965, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., 131, p.91.
15. Hartwell, US Public Health Service Publication No. 149, 1951 and Supplement, 1957.
16. Johnson, J.D., Hurwitz, R. and Kretchmer, J. Nutr., 1971, 101, p.299.
17. Kiyoshi, K. and Ryuta, I., 1972, Toho Igakkai Zasshi, 19, p.415.
18. Krarup, N., Larsen, J.A. and Olsen, C., 1975, Acta Pharmacol. Toxicol., 37, p.1.
19. Kruhoffer, P. and Nissen, O.I., 1963, Acta Physiol. Scand., 59, p.283.
20. Maignon, F. and Grandelaude, C., 1930, C.R. Acad. Sci., 190, p.890.
21. Mandell, S., Taylor, J.M. and Kotsilimbas, D.G., 1966, J. Neurosurg., 24, p.984.
22. McCurdy, D.K., Schneider, B. and Schreie, H.G., 1966, Am.J. Ophthalmol., 61, p.1244.

23. Monick, J.A., 1968, Alcohols, Chapman and Reinhold, N.Y.
24. Papanicolaou, N., Callard, P., Bariety, J. and Milliez, P., 1975, Clin. Sci. Mol. Med., 49, p.507.
25. Pfeiffer, C. and Arnova, I., 1937, Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med., 37, p.467.
26. Pitter, P., 1976, Water Res., 10, p.231.
27. Pridybailo, N.D. and Sokolov, V.D., 1975, Tr. Vses. Nauchno- Issled. Tekhnol. Inst. Pitsevod, 39, p.195.
28. Rapatz, G. and Luyet, B., 1968, Giodynamica, 10, p.193.
29. Restive-Manfridi, M.L. and Pecori-Giraldi, J., 1967, Ann. Ophthalm. Clin. Ocul., 93, p.1195.
30. Sauer, F.D., Ergle, J.D. and Fisher, L.J., Can. J. Anim. Sci., 1973, 53, p.265.
31. Schübel, K., 1937, Sitzungsber. Phys. Med. Soz. zu Erlangen, p.263.
32. Schwarz, K. et al., 1962, Klin. Woch. Schr., 40, p.1183.
33. Senior, B. and Loridan, L., 1968, N. Engl. J. Med., 279, p.958.
34. Simon, I., 1915, Arch. Pharmacol. Sper., 20, p.120.
35. Slovitter, H.A., 1956, Am. J. Med. Sci., 231, p.437.
36. Smith, A.U., 1950, Lancet, 2, p.910.
37. Smyth, H.F., Seaton, J. and Fisher, L. 1941, J. Ind. Hyg. Tox., 23, p.259.
38. Solez, K., Kramer, E.C., Fox, J.A. and Heptinstall, R.H., 1974, Kidney Int., 6, p.24.
39. Solez, K., Altman, J., Rienhoff, H.Y., Riela, A.R., Finer, P.M. and Heptinstall, R.H., 1976, Kidney Int., 10, p.153.
40. Staples, R., Misher, A. and Wardell, J.jr., 1967, J. Pharm. Sci., 56, p.398.
41. Sugimoto, K., 1935, Arb. Dritten Abt. Anat. Inst. Kaiserl. Univ., Kyoto Ser. D, 5, p.154.
42. Torres, V.E., Stong, C.G., Romero, J.C. and Wilson, M.D., 1975, Kidney Int., 7, p.170.
43. Toxicological Monographs, Twentieth Report Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, WHO/Fd. Add. Ser/10, 1976, p.13.
44. Tourtellotte, W.W., Reinglass, J.L. and Newkirk, T.A., 1972, Clin. Pharmacol. Ther., 13, p.159.
45. Valeri, R. and Henderson, M.E., 1964, J.A.M.A., 188, p.1125.
46. Virno, M., Della Rocca, L., Pecori-Giraldi, J. and Cantore, G.P., 1966, Gazz. Int. Med. Chir., 71, p.1160.
47. Virno, M., Bucci, M.G. and Pecori-Giraldi, J., 1966, Am. J. Ophthalmol., 62, p.824.
48. Virno, M., Bucci, M.G., Pecori-Giraldi, J. and Cantore, G.P., 1965, Boll. ocul., 55, p.225.

49. Zilversmit, D.B. and McCandless, E.L., 1957, Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med., 95, p.755.
50. Zilversmit, D.B., Solkly, N.K., Trumbull, M.L. and McCandless, E.L., 1956, J. Lab. Clin. Med., 48, p.386.
51. Werb, R., Clark, W.F., Lindsay, R.M., Jones, E.O.P., Turnbull, D.I. and Linton, A.L., 1978, Clin. Sci. Mol. Med., 55, p.505.
52. Westfall, F.D., 1977, Agriculture, Forestry and Wildlife, 513 B.
53. Wilson, J., Clapp, M.J.L. and Conning, D.M., 1978, Br.J. Cancer, 38, p.250.
54. Winkler, B., Steel, R. and Altszuler, N., 1969, Am.J.Physiol., 216, p.191.
55. Wolf, H., Helichar, V. and Michaelis, R., 1968, Biol. Neonate, 12, p.162.

Propane-1,2-diol

1. Amma, M.R.P., Dani, H.M., Ahluwalia, P., Dhingra, A., Kaur, J., Kaur, C., Sharma, S., Jain, K., Singh, S. and Sareen, K., 1978, Lipids, 13, p.455.
2. Arulanatham, K. and Myron, G., 1978, J. Paed., 93, p.515.
3. Bartsch, W., Sponer, G., Dietmann, K. and Fuchs, G., 1976, Arzneim.-F., 26, p.1581.
4. Bayley, H.S., Slinger, S.J. and Summers, J.D., 1967, Poultry Sci., 46, p.19.
5. Bost, J. and Ruckebusch, Y., 1962, Therapie, 17, p.83.
6. Budden, R., Kuehl, U.G. and Bahlsen, J., 1979, Pharm. Ther., 5, p.467.
7. Curme, G.O.Jr. and Johnston, F., Eds., 1952, Glycols., Am. Chem. Soc. Monograph, Series 114.
8. Davies, R.E., Harper, K.H. and Kynoch, S.R., 1972, J. Soc. Cosm. Chem., 23, p.371.
9. Davis, D.J. and Jenner, P.M., 1959, Toxicol. appl. Pharmacol., 1, p.576.
10. Dean, M.E. and Beresford, H.S., 1974, Tox. Appl. Pharmacol., 28, p.44.
11. Dominguez-Gil, A. and Cadorniga, R., 1971, Farm. Ed. Prat., 26, p.535.
12. Farsund, T., 1978, Virch. Arch., B, 27, I-6.
13. Gebhardt, D.O.E., 1968, Teratology, 1, p.153.
14. Gorban, N.S. and Nechaeva, A.V., 1972, Vod. Kan. Gir. Soo., 15, p.72.
15. Hillman, M.G. and Schneider, W.C., 1975, J. Comp. Phys. Psy., 88, p.773.
16. Kenston, H.D., Mullins, M.D. and Pomerantz, L., 1939, Arch. Pathol., 27, p.447.
17. Konradova, V., Vavrova, V. and Janota, J., 1978, Fol. Morph., (Prague), 26, p.28.
18. Lehmann, K.B. and Flury, F., 1943, Toxicology and Hygiene of Industrial Solvents, Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, p.258.
19. Lipkan, G.N. and Petrenko, V.S., 1972, Fiz. Akt. Vesh., 4, p.125.

20. Lopatin, P.V., Safonov, V.P., Litvinova, T.P. and Yakimenko, L.M., 1972, Khim. Farm. Zh., 6, p.36.
21. Majewski, H.S., Klaverkamp, J.F. and Scott, D.P., 1978, Water Res., 12, p.217.
22. Martin, G. and Friberg, L.J., 1970, Pediat., 77, p.877.
23. McCreery, M.J. and Hunt, W.A., 1978, Neuroph., 17, p.451.
24. Miller, O.N. and Bazzano, G., 1965, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., 119, p.957.
25. Mogre, K.M., Amladi, S.R., Soman, P.D. and Sheth, U.K., 1977, J. Postgr. Med. (Bombay), 23, p.73.
26. Monick, J.A., 1968, Alcohols. Chapman and Reinholt.
27. Patty, F.A., 1963, Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, J. Wiley, N.Y.
28. Pevny, I. and Ulrich, M., 1975, Hautarzt, 26, p.252.
29. Razvi, F., Sharma, G. and Polasa, M., 1979, Arogya, 5, p.60.
30. Ruddick, J.A., 1972, Toxicol. appl. Pharmacol., 21, p.102.
31. Sakami, W., 1950, Fed. Proc., FASEB, 9, p.22.
32. Sakami, W., 1950, J. Biol. Chem., 187, p.369.
33. Sakami, W. and Welch, A.D., 1950, J. Biol. Chem., 187, p.379.
34. Shmidt, A.A., Chernysheva, D.A., Baskaeva, A.E., Arendaruk, A.A., Radchenko, L.M., Teplova, R.V. and Antokolskaya, M.Ya., 1973, Khle. Kond. Prom., 1, p.22.
35. Shore, R.N. and Shelley, W.B., 1974, Archs. Derm., 109, p.397.
36. Stenback, F. and Shubik, P., 1974, Toxic. Appl. Pharm., 30, p.7.
37. Thomas, J.F., Kesel, R. and Hodge, H.C., 1949, J. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol., 31, p.256.
38. Toshiyuki, M. and Kageaki, A., 1977, Sho. Eis. Zas., 18, p.466.
39. Toxicological Monographs, Seventeenth Report of Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. WHO/Food Add. Ser./5, 1974, p.491.
40. Verschueren, K., 1977, Handbook of Environmental Data on Organic Chemicals, Van Nostrand Reinholt Comp.
41. Waldo, D.R. and Schultz, L.H. J. Dairy. Sci., 1960, 43, p.496.
42. Wieham, C.A., McGuire, T.A., Mehlretter, C.L. and Palmer, H.H., 1973, Prod. Devel., 7, p.59.
43. Williams, R.T., 1959, Detoxication Mechanisms, Chapman & Hall.
44. Yasaka, W.J., Eichbaum, F.W. and Oga, S., 1979, Cardiov. Res., 13, p.711.
45. Zarolinski, J.F., Browne, R.K. and Posley, L.H., 1971, Toxicol. appl. Pharmacol., 19, p.573.

Cyclohexane

1. Browning, E., 1965, *Toxicity and Metabolism of Industrial Solvents*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, p.130.
2. Elliott, T.H., Parke, D.V. and Williams, R.T., 1959, *Biochem. J.*, 72, p.193.
3. Fabre, R., Truhaut, R. and Peron, M., 1952, *Arch. Malad. Profess.*, 13, p.437.
4. Kimura, E.T., Ebert, D.M. and Dodge, P.W., 1971, *Toxicol. appl. Pharmacol.*, 19, p.699.
5. Launoy, L. and Levy-Bruhl, M., 1920, *Compt. Rend. Soc. Biol.*, 83, p.215.
6. Lazarew, N.W., 1929, *Arch. Exptl. Pathol. Pharmakol.*, 143, p.223.
7. McCann, J. et al., 1975, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 72, (12), p.5153.
8. Mohn, G. and Philipp, E.M., 1974, *Hoppe-Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem.*, 355, p.564.
9. Pacault, A. and Carpenter, S., 1949, *Compt. Rend.*, 22, p.344.
10. Patty, F.A., 1963, *Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology*, Vol.11, p.1208.
11. Shell Chem. Cy., 1959, *Industrial Hygiene Bulletin, Safety Data Sheets* 57-82.
12. Treon, J.F., Crutchfield, W.E. and Kitzmiller, K.V., 1943, *J. Ind. Hyg.*, 25, p.199 and 323.
13. Verschueren, K., 1977, *Handbook of Environmental Data on Organic Chemicals*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Comp., London, p.204.
14. Viola, P.L., 1960, *Boll. Soc. Ital. Biol. Sper.*, 36, p.1960.
15. Williams, R.T., 1959, *Detoxication Mechanisms*, Chapman & Hall, London, p.114.

Light Petroleum

1. WHO, 1981, Report of the 25th Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee, Techn. Rep. Ser. (in press).
2. Bohlen, P., Schunegger, U.P. and Lauppi, E., 1973, *Toxicol. appl. Pharmacol.*, 25, p.242.
3. Nomiyama, K. and Nomiyama, H., 1974, *Int. Arch. Arbeitsmed.*, 32, p.75.
4. DiVincenzo, G.D. and Krasavage, W.J., 1974, *Am. ind. Hyg. Ass. J.*, 35, p.21.
5. Kramer, A., Staudinger, H. and Ullrich, V., 1974, *Chemico-Biol. Interactions*, 8, p.11.
6. Notten, W.R.F. and Henderson, P.Th., 1975, *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 24, p.127.
7. Kimura, E.T., Ebert, D.M. and Dodge, P.W., 1971, *Toxicol. app. Pharmacol.*, 19, p.699.
8. Herskowitz, A., Ishii, N. and Schaumburg, H., 1971, *New England J. of Med.*, 285, p.82.
9. Yamamura, Y., 1969, *Folia Psychiatr. Neurol. Jap.*, 23, p.45

10. Shirake, T., Tsuda, T., Terao, A. and Araki, S., 1974, J. Neurol. Sci., 21, p.101.
11. Spencer, P.S., Schaumburg, H.H., Raleigh, R.L. and Terhaar, C.J., 1975, Archs. Neurol., 32, p.219.
12. Williams, R.T. 1959, Detoxication Mechanisms, Chapman & Hall, London.

2-Nitropropane

1. Bingham, E. and Robbins, A., 1980, NIOSH Publ. No. 80-192.
2. Browning E., 1965, Toxicity and Metabolism of Industrial Solvents. Elsevier, Amsterdam, p.284.
3. Busey, N.M., Ulrich, C.E. and Lewis, T.R., 1978, Tox. appl. Pharm., 45, Abstr. 154.
4. DHEW, 1977, Current Intelligence Bulletin 17.
5. Fishbein, L., 1977, Potential Industrial Carcinogens and Mutagens, EPA 560/5-77-005, p.311.
6. Gaultier, M. et al., 1964, Arch. Mal. Prof., 25, p.425.
7. Gleason M.N., Gosselin, R.E. and Hodge, H.C. 1963, Clinical Toxicology of commercial products, 2nd edn., Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, p.86.
8. Harris, S.J., Bond, G.P. and Niemeier, R.W., 1979, Tox. Appl. Pharm., 48, (1) part 2, Abstr. No. 69.
9. Hawley, G.G., 1971, The condensed Chemical Dictionary. 8th Edn., Van Nostrand Reinhold Comp., N.Y., p.625.
10. Sax, N.I., 1975, Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 4th Edn., Van Nostrand Reinhold Comp. N.Y. p.972.
11. Toxicological Monographs, Twenty-fifth Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, WHO Food Add., 1981, in press.
12. Verschueren, K., 1977, Handbook of environmental data on organic chemicals. Van Nostrand Reinhold Comp., N.Y., p.494.
13. Williams, R.T., 1959, Detoxication Mechanisms, Chapman & Hall, London.

Toluene

1. Banfer, W., 1961, Zentr. Arbeitsmed. Arbeitsschutz., 11, p.35.
2. Batchelor, J.J., 1927, Am. J. Hyg., 7, p.276.
3. Browning, E., 1965, Toxicity and Metabolism of Industrial Solvents, Elsevier, Amsterdam, p.66-76.
4. Carpenter, C.P., Shaffer, C.B., Weil, C.S. and Smyth, H.F., jr., 1944, J. Ind. Hyg., 26, p.69.
5. Collom, W.D. and Winek, C.L., 1970, Clin. Toxicol., 3, p.125.
6. DiVincenzo, G.D. and Krasavage, W.J., 1974, Am. Ind. Hyg. Ass. J., 35, p.21.

7. Dutkiewicz, T. and Tyras, H., 1968, Arch. Gewerbepath. Gewerbehyg., 24, p.253.
8. Fabre, R., Truhaut, R., Bernichan, J. and Loisillier, F., 1955, Arch. Mlad. Profess., 16, p.285.
9. Gontzea, I., Bistriceanu, E., Draghicesco, M. and Manea, M., 1968, Arch. Sci. Physiol., 22, p.397.
10. McLaughlin, J.Jr., Marliac, J.-P., Verrett, M.J., Mutchler, M.K. and Fitzhugh, G.O., 1964, Ind. Hyg. J., 25, p.282.
11. Nomiyama, K. and Nomiyama, H., 1974, Int. Arch. Arbeitsmed., 32, p.75.
12. Pagnotto, L.D. and Lieberman, L.M., 1967, Am. Ind. Hyg. Ass. J., 28, p.129.
13. Patty, F.A., 1963, Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, Vol. 2, Interscience, N.Y., p.1226.
14. Press, E. and Done, A.K., 1967, Pediatrics, Springfield, 39, p.611.
15. Reisin, E., Teicher, A., Jaffe, R. and Eliahou, H.E., 1975, Br.J. Ind. Med., 32, p.163.
16. Sato, A., Fujiwara, Y., Hirosawa, K., 1972, Jap. J. Industr. Hlth., 14, p.3.
17. Speck, B. and Moeschlin, S., 1968, Schweiz. med. Wschr., 98, p.1684.
18. Toxicological Monographs, Twenty-fifth Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, 1981, in press.
19. Williams, R.T., 1959, Detoxication Mechanisms, Chapman & Hall, p.194.

Diethyl ether

1. Bunker, J.P., 1962, Anaesth., 23, p.107.
2. DiVincenzo, G.D. and Krasavage, W.J., 1974, Am. Ind. Hyg. Ass. J., 35, p.21.
3. Haggard, H.W., 1924, J. Biol. Chem., 59, p.737.
4. Kimura, E.T., Ebert, D.M. and Dodge, P.W., 1971, Tox. appl. Pharm., 19, p.699.
5. Molitor, H., 1936, J. Pharm. Exp. Ther., 53, p.274.
6. Mörch, E.T., Aycrigg, J.B. and Berger, M.S., 1956, J. Pharm. Exp. Ther., 117, p.184.
7. Robbins, B.J., 1935, J. Pharm. Exp. Ther., 53, p.251.
8. Toxicological Monographs, Twenty-third Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, 1979, in press.
9. Williams, R.T., 1959, Detoxication Mechanisms, Chapman and Hall, London.

Dibutyl ether

1. Browning, E., 1965, Toxicity and Metabolism of Industrial Solvents, Elsevier, Amsterdam, p.506.

2. Drew, R.T., Patel, J.M. and Lin, Fan-Nan, 1978, Tox. appl. Pharm., 45, p.219.
3. Patty, F.A., 1963, Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, Vol. II, Wiley, N.Y., p.1664.
4. Silverman, L., Schulte, H.F. and First, W.W., 1946, J. Ind. Hyg. Tox., 28, p.262.
5. Smyth, H.F.jr. and Carpenter, C.P., 1948, J. Ind. Hyg. Tox., 30, p.1.
6. Smyth, H.F.jr., Carpenter, C.P., Weil, C.S. and Pozzani, U.C., 1954, Arch. Ind. Hyg., 10, p.61.
7. Verschueren, K., 1977, Handbook of Environmental Data on Organic Chemicals, Van Nostrand Reinhold Comp. p.150.

Furfural

1. Browning, E., 1965, Toxicity and Metabolism of Industrial Solvents, Elsevier, p.481.
2. Jenner et al., 1964, Fd. Cosm. Tox., 2, p.327.
3. Opdyke, D.L.J., 1978, Fd. Cosm. Tox., 16, (Suppl.), p.759.
4. Patty, F.A., 1963, Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, Vol. II, Wiley, N.Y.
5. Williams, R.T., 1959, Detoxication Mechanisms, Chapman and Hall, p.548.

Acetone

1. Abbondandolo, A., Bonotti, S., Corsi, C., Corti, G., Fiorio, R., Laporini, L., Mazzaccaro, A., Nieri, R., Barale, R. and Loprieno, N., 1981, The use of organic solvents in mutagenicity testing, Mut. Res., (in press).
2. Abshagen, U. and Rietbrock, N.N., 1969, Arch. Pharmak., 264, p.110.
3. Aitio, A., 1977, Chem. Path. Pharmac., 18, p.773.
4. Allred, P.M. and Strange, J.R., 1977, Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol., 6, p.483.
5. Anders, M.W., 1971, Rev. Pharmac., 11, p.37.
6. Anders, M.W., 1973, Fortschr. Arzneim., 17, p.11.
7. Anders, M.W., 1969, Archs. Biochem. Biophys., 126, p.269.
8. Andrejewski, S. and Paradowski, M., 1975, Pol. J. Pharmacol., 27, p.53.
9. Badre, R., Guillerm, R., Abran, N., Bourdin, M. and Dumas, C., 1978, Ann. Pharm. Fra., 36, p.443.
10. Bartolucci, G.B., De Rosa, E., Cocheo, V., Manno, M., De Zanche, L., Negrin, P. and Fardin, P., 1979, Cuoio, Pelli, Mater. Concianti, 55, p.363.
11. Beaugè, F., Clement, M., Nordmann, J. and Nordmann, R., 1977, Arch. Int. Phys. Bioch., 85, p.931.
12. Bluzat, R., Jonot, O., Lespinasse, G. and Sengè, J., 1979, Toxicology, 14, p.179.

13. Bondoli, A., Magolini, S., Marchetti, B., Mehraban, M., 1975, Acta Med. Rom., 13, p.227.
14. Bray, W.J., Humphries, C. and Ineriter, M.S., 1978, J. Sci. Food Agr., 29, (2) p.165.
15. Bringmann, G. and Kühne, R., 1976, Z. Wasser/Abwasser, 117, H.9.
16. Brugnone, F., Perbellini, L., Grigolini, L. and Apostoli, P., 1978, Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health, 42, p.51.
17. Caccurri, S., 1937, Biochim. e Terap. Sper., 24, p.168.
18. Campbell, D. and Moore, R.H., 1979, Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J., 40, p.904.
19. Cherry, C.P. and Glücksmann, A., 1965, Br.J.Cancer, 19, p.287.
20. Conkle, J.P., Camp, B.J. and Welch, B.E., 1975, Environ. Health, 30, p.290.
21. Darachuniene, J. and Vaitekuniene, D., 1973, Vopr. Epidemiol. Gig. Litov., p.156.
22. DiVincenzo, G.D., Yanno, F.J.V. and Astill, B.D., 1973, Am. Ind. Hyg. Ass. J., 34, p.329.
23. Dowden, B.F. and Bennett, H.J., 1965, JWPCF, 37, (9) p.1310.
24. Duenges, W. and Kiesel, K., 1979, Adv. Chromatogr., 14, p.697.
25. Eagle, J.L., Jr., 1973, Am. Ind. Hyg. Ass. J., 34, p.533.
26. Elkins, B., 1959, The chemistry of industrial toxicology, New York, J. Wiley, 2nd edition, p.349.
27. Folland, D.S., Schaffner, W., Ginn, H.E., Crofford, O.B. and McMurray, D.R., 1976, JAMA, 236, p.1853.
28. Frederick, J., McPherson, J.W., Bridges and Dennis, V.P., 1976, Biochem. J., 154, p.773.
29. Freeman, A.E., Weisburger, E.K., Weisburger, J.H., Wolford, R.G., Maryak, J.M. and Huebner, R.J., 1973, J. Nat. Canc. Inst., 51, p.799.
30. Geller, I., Ganse, E., Kaplan, H. and Hartmann, R.J., 1979, Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav., 11, p.401.
31. Goeke, G., 1977, Blutalkohol, 14, p.401.
32. Harris, L.C. and Jackson, R.H., 1952, Brit. Med. J., 2, p.1024.
33. Hastings, J.H., 1978, Econ. Microbiol., 2, p.31.
34. Hung, G.W.C., 1977, Microchem. J., 22, p.157.
35. Ikeda, M. and Hirayama, T., 1978, Scand.J. Work Environ. Health, 4, p.11.
36. Kami, T., 1977, J. Agr. Food Chem., 25, p.1295.
37. Kimura, E.T., Ebert, D.M. and Dodge, P.W., 1971, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol., 19, p.699.
38. Koehler, A.E., Windsor, E. and Hill, E., 1941, J. Biol. Chem., 140, p.811.
39. Laham, S., Potvin, M. and Schrader, K., 1979, Chemosphere, 8, p.79.

40. Majewski, H.S., Klaverkamp, J.F. and Scott, D.P., 1978, Water Res., 12, p.217.
41. Mazzullo, K., 1975, Oesterr. Z. Onkol., 2, p.49.
42. McDonald, I.A., Hackett, L.P. and Dusci, L.J., 1975, Clin. Chim. Acta, 63, p.235.
43. McLaughlin, J., 1964, Am. Ind. Hyg. Ass. J., 25, p.282.
44. Moshonas, M.G. and Shaw, P.E., 1979, J. Agr. Food Chem., 27, p.1337.
45. Nizar, T.I., Khalil, A.E.M., Abou-Zeid, A., El-Diwany, A.I. and Mohamad, M.Y., 1979, Indian Chem. Manuf., 17, (2) p.5.
46. Nomiyama, K. and Nomiyama, H., 1974, Int. Arch. Arbeitsmed., 32, p.85.
47. Papec, I., Henderson, M., Van Herrewege J., David, J. and Sogar, W., 1979, Biochem. Genetics, 17, p.553.
48. Patty, F.A., 1963, Industrial Hyg. Toxicol., Vol. II, p.1726.
49. Plishker, M.F., Thorpe, J.M. and Goldsmith, L.A., 1978, Arch. Biochem. Bioph., 191, p.49.
50. Powis, G. and Boobis, A.R., 1975, Bioch. Pharm., 24, p.424.
51. Powis, G., 1975, Biochem. J., 148, p.269.
52. Price, K.S., et al., 1974, IWPCF, 46, p. 1 and p.63.
53. Rhim, J.S., Park, D.K., Weisburger, E.K. and Weisburger, J.K., J. Nat. Canc. Inst., 52, p.1167.
54. Rothkopf, H., 1936, Z. ges. exp. Med., 99, P.464.
55. Sack, G., 1940, Arch. Gewerbepath. Gewerbehyg., 10, p.80.
56. Sakami, W. and Lafaye, J.M., 1951, Biol. Chem., 193, p.199.
57. Schwartz, L., 1898, Arch. Exptl. Pathol. Pharmakol., 40, p.168.
58. Scott, J.E., 1977, Analyst, 102, p.614.
59. Seizinger, E. and Dimitriated, D., 1972, JAPCA, 22, No. 1.
60. Seppäläinen, A.M., Husman, K. and Mortenson, C., 1978, Scand. J. Work Environ. Health, 4, p.304.
61. Shell Chemicals Co., 1969, Ind. Hyg. Bull. I.C., 69, p.10.
62. Smyth, M.F. Jr., 1962, Ind. Hyg. J., 23, p.95.
63. Smith, C.J., 1975, Lab. Pract., 24, p.9.
64. Spector, W.S., 1956, Handbook of Toxicology, Vol. I.
65. Spencer, P.S., Bischoff, M.C. and Schaumburg, H.H., 1978, Tox. Appl. Pharm., 44, p.17.
66. Stevens, J.T., McPhillips, J.J. and Stitzel, R.E., 1972, Tox. Appl. Pharm., 23, p.208.
67. Swenberg, J.A., Petzold, G.L. and Harbach, P.R., 1976, Biochem. Bioph. Res. Comm., 72, p.732.

68. Traiger, G.J. and Plaa, G.L., 1972, J. Pharm. Exp. Therap., 183, p.481.
69. Traiger, G.J. and Plaa, G.L., 1973, Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol., 51, p.291.
70. Traiger, G.J. and Plaa, G.L., 1974, Arch. Environ. Health, 28, p.276.
71. Vainio, H. and Härmänen, O., 1972, Xenobiotica, 2, p.259.
72. Vainio, H. and Zitting, A., 1978, Scand. J. Work Environ. Health, 4, p.47.
73. Valdiguie, P., 1935, Compt. Rend. Soc. Biol., 118, p.858.
74. Valtekuniene, D., 1973, Vopr. Epidemiol. Gig., p.141.
75. Van Duuren, B.L., Orris, L. and Nelson, N., 1965, J. Nat. Canc. Inst., 35, p.707.
76. Verschueren, K., 1977, Handbook of environmental data on organic chemicals, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company.
77. Vigliani, E.C. and Zurlo, N., 1955, Arch. Gewerbepath. Gewerbehyg., 13, p.528.
78. Zeller, H., 1964, Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch. Exp. Path. Pharmac., 247, p.359.
79. Zurlo, N. and Metrico, L., 1960, Med. lav., p.298.
80. Walker, N.E., 1967, Tox. Appl. Pharm., 10, p.290.
81. Walker, N.J. 1973, J. Dairy Sci., 40, p.29.
82. Wiberg, G.S., 1971, Clin. Toxicol., 4, p.99.
83. Williams R.T., 1959, Detoxication Mechanisms, Chapman and Hall, London.
84. Wolff, Th., 1978, Excerpta Med., 440, p.196.
85. Yoshikawa, K., Adaki, K., Halprin, K.M. and Levine, V., 1976, Br. J. Dermatol., 94, p.611.

Methyl ethyl ketone

1. Browning, E., 1965, Toxicity and Metabolism of Industrial Solvents, Elsevier, Amsterdam, p.421.
2. Couri, D. et al., 1977, Tox. Appl. Pharm., 41, p.285.
3. Dietz, F.K., Traiger, G.J. and Stella, V., 1979, Tox. Appl. Pharm., 48, (1) A155.
4. DiVincenzo, G.D., Kaplan, C.J. and Dedinas, J., 1976, Tox. appl. Pharm., 36, p.511.
5. Dyro, F.M., 1978, Clin. Tox., 13 (3), p.371.
6. Hayden, J.W., Comstock, E.G. and Comstock, E.S., 1976, Clin. Tox., 9 (2), p.169.
7. Hetland, L.B., Couri, D. and Abdel-Rahman, M.S., 1976, Tox. appl. Pharm., 37, p.11.
8. Kimura, E.T., Ebert, D.M. and Dodge, P.H., 1971, Toxicol. appl. Pharmacol., 19, p.699.

9. Kohli, R.P., et al., 1967, Ind. J. Med. Res., 55 (11), p.1221.
10. Leonardos, G., Kendall, D. and Barnard, N., 1969, J. Air Poll. Contr. Ass., 19 (2), p.91.
11. Nakahari and Mori, 1939, Proc. Imp. Acad. Japan, 15, p.278.
12. Nakahari and Mori, 1940, Proc. Imp. Acad. Japan, 34, p.143.
13. Opdyke, D.L.J., 1977, Food Cosm. Tox., 15, (6) p.627.
14. Patty, F.A., 1963, Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, Vol. 2, Interscience, N.Y., p.1731.
15. Price, K.S., Waggy, G.T. and Conway, R.A., 1974, J. Water Poll. Con. Fed., 46, (1), p.63.
16. Saida, K., Mendell, J.R. and Weiss, H.S., 1975, J. Neuronath. Exp. Neurol., 35, p.207.
17. Schwetz, B.A., Leong, B.K.J. and Gehring, P.J., 1974, Toxicol. appl. Pharmacol., 28, p.452.
18. Spencer, P.S. and Schaumburg, H.H., 1976, Toxicol. appl. Pharmacol., 37, p.301.
19. Traiger, G.J., Bruckner, J.V. and Cooke, P.M., 1975, Tox. appl. Pharm., 33, p.132.
20. Unilever Ltd., 1971, Unpublished Studies.
21. Verschueren, K., 1977, Handbook of Environmental Data on Organic Chemicals, Van Nostrand Reinhold Comp., N.Y., p.453.
22. Wesley-Horton, A. et al., 1965, Cancer Res., 25, p.1759.
23. Williams, R.T., 1959, Detoxication Mechanisms, Chapman & Hall, London, p.95.
24. Wurster, D.E. and Munies, R., 1965, J. Pharm. Sci., 54 (4), p.554.

Diisopropyl ketone

Chloroform

1. De Salva, S., Volpe, A., Leign, G. and Regan, T., 1975, Fd. Cosm. Tox., 13, p.529.
2. DiVicenzo, G.D. and Krasavage, W.J., 1974, Am. Ind. Hyg. Ass. J., 35, p.21.
3. Hellekant, 1964, Acta Pharm. Tox., 21, p.240.
4. IARC, 1979, Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans, 20, p.40.
5. Kutob, S.D. and Plaa, G.L., 1962, J. Pharmac., 135, p.245.
6. Plaa, G.L. and Larson, R.E., 1965, Tox. appl. Pharm., 7, p.37.

7. Reitz, R.H., Quast, J.F., Stott, W.T., Watanabe, P.G. and Gehring, P.J., 1980, Water chlorination, Ann Arbor Press, p. (in press).
8. Reynolds, E.S., 1972, Biochem. Pharmac., 21, p.2555.
9. Tardiff, R.G., 1976, 96th Ann. Conf. Amer. Water Works Ass., New Orleans.
10. Traiger, G.T. and Plaa, G.L., 1974, Archs. envir. Hlth., 28, p.276.

Dichloromethane

1. Astrand, I., Ovrum, P. and Carlsson, A., 1975, Scand. J. Work. Environ. Hlth., 1, p.78.
2. Ballantyne, B., Gazzard, M.F. and Swanston, D.W., 1976, Preview of "Toxicology" in BIBRA Information Bulletin, 15, p.277.
3. Bornschein, R.L., Hastings, L. and Manson, J.M., 1980, Toxic. Appl. Pharm., 52, p.29.
4. Committee of the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, 1973, Michigan's Occupational Health, 19 (1), p.3.
5. DiVincenzo, G.D., Yanno, F.J. and Astill, B.D., 1972, Am. ind. Hyg. Assoc. J., 33, p.125.
6. DiVincenzo, G.D. and Krasavage, W.J., 1974, Amer. ind. Hyg. Assoc.J., 35, p.21.
7. DiVincenzo, G.D. and Hamilton, M.L., 1975, Toxicol. appl. Pharmacol., 32, p.385.
8. Gamberale, F., Annwall, G. and Hultengren, M., 1975, Scand. J. Work. Environ. Hlth., 1, p.95.
9. Hardin, B.D. and Manson, J.M. 1980, Toxic. appl. Pharm., 52, p.22.
10. IARC, 1979, Vol. 20, 460.
11. Kimura, E.T. Ebert, D.M. and Dodge, 1971, P.W., Toxicol. appl. Pharmacol., 19, p.699.
12. Loyke, H.F., 1973, Archs. Path., 95, p.130.
13. Norporth, K., Witting, U., Springorum, M. and Witting, Ch., 1974, Int. Arch. Arbeitsmed., 33, p.315.
14. Ratney, R.S., Wegman, D.H. and Elkins, H.B., 1974, Arch. envir. Hlth., 28, p.223.
15. Reynolds, E.S., 1972, Biochem. Pharmac., 21, p.2555.
16. Roth, R.P., Drew, R.T. and Fouts, J.R., 1975, Toxicol. appl. Pharmacol. 33, p.427.
17. Schwetz, B.A., Leong, B.K.J. and Gehring, P.J., 1975, Toxicol. appl. Pharmacol., 32, p.84.
18. Stewart, R.D., Fisher, T.N., Hosko, M.J., Peterson, J.E., Baretta, E.D. and Dodd, H.C., 1972, Archs. envir. Hlth., 25, p.342.
19. Toxicological Monographs, Fourteenth Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives; FAO Nutrition Meetings Report Series No. 48A; WHO/Food Add./70.39, 1970, p.94.

20. Winneke, G. and Fondor, G.G., 1976, Occ. Hlth. Safet., 45, p.34.

Trichlorethylene

1. Bartsch, H., Malaveille, C., Barbin, A. and Planche, G., 1979, Arch. Toxicol., 41, p.249.
2. Van Duuren, B.L., Goldschmidt, M.B., Loewengart, G., Smith, A.C., Melchlorme, S., Seldman, I. and Roth, D., 1979, JNCI, 63, p.1433.
3. Fahrig, R., 1977, Arch. Toxicol., 38, p.87.
4. Henschler, D., Romen, W., Elassar, H.M., Reichert, D., Eder, E and Radwan, Z., 1980, Arch. Toxicol., 43, p.237.
5. IARC, 1979, Vol. 20, p.545.
6. Ismailov, A.S. and Ryskal, G.V., 1976, Uch.Zap.Min.vyssh., 1, p.6.
7. Konietzko, H., Haberlandt, W., Heilbronner, H., Reill, G. and Weichardt, H., 1978, Arch. Toxicol., 40, p.201.
8. Maltoni, C., 1979, Med. d. Lav., in press.
9. Waters, E.M., Gerstner, H.B. and Huff, E.J., 1977, J. Tox. Env. Hyg., 2, p.671.

1,2-Dichloroethane

1. Alumot, E., Nachtomi, E., Mandel, E., Holstein, P., Bondi, A. and Herzberg, M., 1976, Fd. Cosmet. Toxicol., 14, p.105.
2. Alumot, E., Meidler, M., Holstein, P. and Herzberg, M., 1976, Fd. Cosmet. Toxicol., 14, p.111.
3. Bahlman, L.J. et al., 1978, Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J., 39, A-35-43.
4. DiVincenzo, G.D. and Krasavage, W.J., 1974, Am. Ind. Hyg. Ass. J., 35, p.21.
5. Gruengerich, F.P., Crawford, W.M.Jr., Domoradzki, J.Y., MacDonald, T.L. and Watanabe, P.G., 1980, Tox. appl. Pharmac., 55, p.303.
6. IARC, 1979, Vol. 20, p.429.
7. Kemper, F.H., 1973, Unpublished Report.
8. Maltoni, C., 1978, Unpublished Report to EEC Working Group on Classification of Dangerous Substances.
9. National Cancer Institute, 1978, DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 78-1305.
10. Von Oettingen, W.R., 1955, Publ. Hlth. Serv. Publ., No. 414.
11. Rannug, U., Sundvall, A. and Ramel, C., 1978, Chem. Biol. Interact., 20, p.1.
12. Rannug, U. and Beije, B., 1979, Chem. Biol. Interact., 24, p.265.
13. Rannug, U., 1980, Mutation Res., 76, p.269.
14. Toxicological Monographs, Fourteenth Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives; FAO Nutrition Meetings Report Series No. 48A; WHO/Food add./70.39, 1970, p.91.

Carbon tetrachloride

1. Alumot, E., Nachtomi, E., Mandel, E., Holstein, P., Bondi, A. and Herzberg, M., 1976, Fd. Cosm. Tox., 14, p.105.
2. Cohen, 1957, Neuropath., 7, p.238.
3. Dambraus Kas and Cornish, 1970, Tox. Appl. Pharm., 17, p.83.
4. DiVicenzo, G.D. and Krasavage, W.J., 1974, Amer. Ind. Hyg. Ass. J., 35, p.21.
5. Gerhard et al., 1972, Virchows Arch. Abt. B. Zellpath., 10, p.184.
6. Glende, E.A., 1972, Biochem. Pharmac., 21, p.1697.
7. Herzberg, M., 1976, Fd. Cosm. Tox., 14, p.105.
8. IARC, 1979, Vol. 20, p.373.
9. Johnston, G.W. and Williams, A.O., 1966, Ir. J. med. Sci., 6, p.23.
10. Klaassen, C.D. and Plaa, G.L. 1967, Proc. Soc. exp. Biol. Med., 124, p.1163.
11. Maximchuk, A.J. and Rubinstein, D., 1961, Ann. Occup. Hyg., 4, p.49.
12. Plaa, G.L. and Larson, R.E., 1965, Tox. appl. Pharm., 7, p.37.
13. Prendergast, J.A., Jones, R.A., Jenkins, L.J. and Siegel, J., 1967, Tox. appl. Pharm., 10, p.270.
14. Reuber, M.D., 1970, JNCI, 45, p.1237.
15. Reuber, M.D., Glover, E.L. and Dove, L.F., 1969, Gerontologia, 15, p.7.
16. Taylor, H.W., Lijinsky, W., Netteheim, P. and Snyder, C.M., 1974, Cancer Res., 34, p.3391.
17. Wong, L.C.K. and DiStefano, V., 1966, Tox. appl. Pharm., 9, p.485.

Cis-1,2-dichloroethylene (acetylene dichloride)

Trans-1,2-dichloroethylene (acetylene dichloride)

1. Freundt, K.H., Liebaldt, G.P. and Lierberwith, E., 1976, Pers. Comm.

Dichlorodifluoromethane

1. Azar, A., Reinhardt, C.F., Maxfield, M.E., Smith, P.E. and Mullin, L.S., 1972, Am. Ind. Hyg. Ass. J., 33, p.207.
2. Azar, A., Trodiimowitz, H.J., Terrill, J.B. and Mullin, L.S., 1973, Am. Ind. Hyg. Ass. J., 34, p.102.
3. Clayton, J.W., 1966, Tox. Anesth. Proc. Res. Symp., 77.
4. Graford, L., 1969, Food Tech., 18 (4) 152.
5. Hoffmann, B., 1968, Aerosol. Rep., 7 (3) 108.

6. Marier, G., MacFarland, H., Wiberg, C.S., Buchwald, H. and Dussault, P., 1974, Can. Med. Ass. J., 111, p.39.
7. Quigley, A.D. and Sherman, H., 1966, Unpublished report No. 12-66 from Hackell Laboratory.
8. Smith, J.K. and Case, M.T., 1973, Toxicol. appl. Pharmacol., 26, p.438.
9. Toxicological Monographs, Nineteenth Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives: FAO Nutrition Meetings Report Series No. 55A; WHO Additives Series No. 8, 1975. p.58.

Dichlorofluoromethane

1. Aviado, D.M., 1974, Progr. Res., 18, 365.
2. Aviado, D.M. and Belej, M.A., 1974, Toxicology, 2, p.31.
3. Belej, M.A., Smith, D.G. and Aviado, D.M., 1974, Toxicology, 2, p.381.
4. Friedman et al., 1973, Toxicology, 1, p.345.

1,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethane

1. Patty, F.A. 1963, Industrial Hygiene & Toxicology, Vol. 11 Interscience.
2. Aviado, D.M., 1974, Progr. Res., 18, p.365.

1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane

1. Aviado, D.M. and Belej, M.A., 1974, Toxicology, 2, p.31.
2. Aviado, D.M. and Belej, M.A., 1975, Toxicology, 3, p.79.
3. Belej, M.A., Smith, D.G. and Aviado, D.M., 1974, Toxicology, 2, p.381.
4. Clayton, J.W., 1968, Toxicity of Anaesthetics, (Ed) R.B. Fink., Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, p.77.
5. DiVincenzo, G.D. and Krasavage, W.J., 1974, Amer. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J., 35, p.21.
6. Epstein, S.S. et al., 1967, Nature, 214, p.526.
7. Imbus, H.R. and Adkins, C., 1972, Arch. envirn. Hlth., 24, p.257.
8. Kubler, K., 1963, J. Soc. Cosmet. Chem., 14, p.263.
9. Michaelson and Huntsman, 1964, J. Med. Chem., 7, p.378.
10. Smith, J.K. and Case, M.T., 1973, Toxicol. appl. Pharmacol., 26, p.438.
11. Stopps, G.J. and McLaughlin, M., 1967, Amer. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J., 28, p.43.
12. Trochinowtz, H.J., Azar, A., Terrill, J.B. and Mullin, L.S., 1974, Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J., 35, p.632.

Methyl acetate

1. Opoyke, D.L.J., 1979, Fd. Cosmet. Toxicol., 17 (Suppl) p.859.

Ethyl acetate

1. Althoff, E., 1931, Z. Med. Beamte., 15, p.420.
2. Amer. Conf. Govern. Ind. Hyg., 1971, Documentation of Threshold Limit Values, 3rd edn.
3. Central Institute for Nutrition and Food Research 1974, Report R4319.
4. Central Institute for Nutrition and Food Research 1974, Report No. 4414.
5. Dixon, M. and Webb, E.C. (Eds.), 1964, Enzymes, Acad. Press, N.Y., p.218.
6. Gallaher, E.J. and Loomis, T.A., 1975, Tox. appl. Pharm., 34, 309.
7. Greenzaid, P. and Jencks, W.P., 1971, Bioch., 10, 1212.
8. Jordan, W.P.jr. and Dahl, M.V., 1971, Arch. Derm., 104, p.524.
9. Lehmann, K.N. and Flury, F., 1943, Toxicology and Hygiene of Industrial Solvents, Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore.
10. Nomiyama, K. and Nomiyama, H., 1974, Int. Arch. Arbeitsmed., 32, 85.
11. Von Oettingen, W.F., 1960, Arch. Ind. Hlth., 1960, 21, p.28.
12. Seth, P.K. and Srivastava, S.P., 1974, Bull. Env. Cont. Toxic., 12, 612.
13. Siegers, C.-P., Strubelt, O. and Breining, H., 1974, Pharmacology, 12, p.296.
14. Smyth, H.F. and Smyth, H.P.jr., 1928, J. Inc. Hyg. Toxicol., 10, p.261.
15. Toxicological Monographs, Eleventh Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives; FAO Nutrition Meetings Report Series No.44A WHO/Food Add./68.33, 1968, p.23.

Ethyl lactate

1. Latven, A.R. and Molitor, H., 1939, J. Pharmacol. Expt. Therap., 65, p.89.
2. Leegwater and van Straten, 1979, Unpublished Report by C.B. Chemie Combinatie Amsterdam.
3. Lewin, L., Gifte und Vergiftungen, 1929, Fourth Ed., Springer, Berlin.
4. Toxicological Monographs, Twenty-third Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, WHO/Food Add., 1979, No. 14, p.41.
5. Yoshita, M. and Morimoto, A., 1970, Agric. Biol. Chem., 34, (5), p.684.

Butyl acetate

1. Ambrosio, L. and D'Arrigo, 1962, Folia Med., 45, p.525.
2. Bul'bin, L.A., 1968, Gig. Sanit., 33, (4), p.22.
3. DiVincenzo, G.D. and Krasavage, W.J., 1974, Amer. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J., 35, p.21.
4. General Foods Central Research, 1976-77. Unpublished report.

5. International Research and Development Corporation, 1977. Unpublished report.
6. McLaughlin, J.Jr., Marliac, J.-P., Verrett, M.J., Mutchler, M.K. and Fitzhugh, O.G., 1964, Ind. Hyg. J., 25, p.282.
7. Nelson, K.W., Ege, J.F., Ross, M. Woodman, L.E. and Silverman, L., 1943, J. Industr. Hyg., 25, p.282.
8. Patty, F.A., 1963, Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, II, New York, Interscience.
9. Petrovskaya, O.G. and Bul'bin, L.A., 1969, Gig. Nas. Mest., 8, p.120.
10. Sayers, R.T., Schrenk, H.H. and Patty, F.A., 1936, Publ. Hlth. Rep. Wash., 51, p.1229.
11. Scheufler, H., 1970, Biol. Rundsch., 14, (4), p.227.
12. Sporn, A., Schoebesch, O., Maren, V. and Runcanu, L., 1963, Igiena, 12, (5), p.437.

Benzyl benzoate

1. Dougherty, A., 1945, J. Am. Med. Ass., 127, p.88.
2. Graham, J.R., 1943, Br. Med. J., 1, p.413.
3. Gruber, C.M., 1953, J. Lab. Clin. Med., 9, p.15 and 92.
4. Hjorth, N., 1961, Eczematous Allergy to Balsams, Aarhuus Stiftsbogtrykkerie, Hunksgaard Copenhagen, p.44.
5. Mellanby, K., 1963, Scabies, Oxford War Manual, Oxford University Press.
6. Opdyke, D.L.J., 1973, Fd. Cosmet. Toxicol., 11, p.1015.
7. Patty, F.A., 1963, Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, Interscience, New York, p.1897.
8. Schwartz, L., Tulipan, L. and Birmingham, D.J., 1957, Occupational Diseases of the Skin, 3rd Ed., Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, p.949.
9. Williams, R.T., 1959, Detoxication Mechanisms, 2nd Ed., Chapman and Hall, London, p.320.

Triethyl citrate

1. Bruns, F.H. and Werners, H.P., 1962, Klin. Wochschr., 22, p.1169.
2. Opdyke, D.J.J., 1979, Fd. Cosmet. Toxicol., 17, p.389.
3. Patty, F.A., 1963, Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, Vol. 2, p.1882.
4. Toxicological Monographs, Twenty-third Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, WHO/Food Add., 1979, No. 14, p.93.

Diethyl tartrate

Isopropyl myristate

1. Fitzgerald, J.E., Kurtz, S.M., Schardein, J.L. and Kaump, D.M., 1968, Toxicol. appl. Pharmacol., 13, p.448.
2. Giles, A.J.jr. and Byron, W.R., 1969, Fd. Cosmet. Toxicol., 7, p.541.
3. Horton, A.W., Van Dreal, P.A. and Bingham, E.L., 1966, Advances in Biology of Skin. Vol. VII Carcinogenesis. Pergamon Press, Oxford, p.165.
4. Kligman, A.M., 1966, J. Invest. Derm., 47, p.393.
5. Opdyke, D.L.J., 1976, Fd. Cosmet. Toxicol., 14, p.323.
6. Parker, F.L. and James, G.W., 1978, J. Pharm. Pharmacol., 30, p.236.
7. Patty, F.A., 1963, Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, Vol. II, Interscience, N.Y., p.1874.
8. Schwartz, L., 1950, Ann. Allergy, 8, p.63.
9. Stenback, F. and Shubik, P., 1974, Tox. appl. Pharmacol., 30, p.7.
10. The Givaudanian, 1953, p.3.
11. Weitzel, G., 1951, Hoppe-Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem., 287, p.254.
12. Yanagi, M. and Onishi, G., 1971, J. Soc. Cosmet. Chem., 22, p.851.

Glycerol mono-, di- and triacetate

1. Chenowoth, M.B., Kandel, A., Johnson, L.B. and Bennett, D.R., 1951, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 102, p.31.
2. Patty, F.A., 1963, Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, Vol. II, 2nd ed., Interscience, New York, p.1868.
3. Toxicological Monographs, Nineteenth Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, WHO Food Additive Series No. 8, 1975, p.80.
4. Toxicological Monographs, Twentieth Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, WHO Food Additive Series No. 19, 1976, p.56.

Glycerol tripropionate

1. Patty, F.A., 1963, Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, Vol. II. Interscience, New York, p.1871.

Glycerol Tributyrate

1. Patty, F.A., 1963, Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, Volume II, Interscience, New York, p.1871.
2. Sax, N.I., 1975, Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 4th Edition, Van Nostrand Reinhold.

Diethylene glycol monoethyl ether

1. Brennaas, O., 1960, Nord. Med., 64, p.1291.
2. Browning, E.P. et al., 1939, J. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol., 21, p.173.
3. Butterworth, K.R., Gaunt, I.F. and Grasso, P., 1976, Information Bulletin, BIBRA., 15, p.112.
4. Carpenter, C.P., 1947, J. Am. Med. Assoc., 135, 880.
5. Cranch, A.G., Smyth, H.F.jr. and Carpenter, C.P., 1942, Arch. Dermatol. Syph., 45, p.553.
6. Von Oettingen, W.R. and Jirouch, E.A., 1931, J. Pharm. Expt. Ther., 42, p.355.
7. Opdyke, D.L.J., 1974, Fd. Cosmet. Toxicol., 12, p.517.
8. Smyth, H.F., Seaton, J. and Fischer, L., 1941, J. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol., 23, p.259.
9. Smyth, H.F.jr. and Carpenter, C.P., 1948, J. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol., 30, p.63.
10. Stenger, E.G., Aepli, L., Müller, D., Peheim, E.Z. and Thomann, P., 1941, Arzneimittel-Forsch., 21, p.880.
11. Toxicological Evaluations, Twentieth Report, Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, WHO Tech. Rep. Ser. No. 599, FAO Food and Nutr. Ser. No. 1, 1976, p.12 and 30.

REPORT OF THE SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE FOR FOOD ON SULPHITING AGENTS

(Opinion expressed 15 January 1981)

TERMS OF REFERENCE

To give an opinion on the effects on health due to the ingestion of sulphur dioxide and other sulphiting agents from foodstuffs.

BACKGROUND

The Commission is currently reviewing the technology associated with the use of sulphites in the production of wine and an appreciation of the safety of this practice forms an essential part of the review. The ingestion of sulphites from wine cannot be taken in isolation from its ingestion from other foods and so the Scientific Committee for Food was asked to advise on the safety in use of sulphur dioxide and other sulphites in foodstuffs in view of the extensive use of these substances.

CURRENT REVIEW

The Committee was provided with a number of extensive reports on the problem of the use of sulphiting agents in food and beverages. These included a report by Prof. Jaulmes¹ on the safety of these substances, a publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology (FASEB)², reports by F.W. Beech, C. Cantarelli, D. Jakob and P. Sudraud³ on the technological possibilities for reducing sulphur dioxide in wine, and a report by F. Custot⁴ setting out the legally permitted and actually detected levels of SO₂ in food within the EEC.

The Committee noted that sulphites were preservative agents for food with antiseptic and antifungal properties, known since antiquity, which have, however, a disagreeable taste and odour limiting their use and which irritate the mucosal surfaces of the body. Even small concentrations in the atmosphere are toxic on inhalation but this aspect, and the contribution to the total body burden of SO₂ from atmospheric intake has not been considered to be relevant in the context of the present evaluation.

Ingestion of sulphiting agents is generally at low levels but derives from their legally controlled uses in specified foods, non-alcoholic beverages, wines, beers and ciders. Sulphites also occur naturally as a by-product of fermentation. Recent estimates made available to the Committee quote daily intakes, as averages for the whole of Europe of 15 mg SO₂ = 0.25 mmol/person from food and non-alcoholic beverages, 40 mg SO₂ = 0.63 mmol/person if an additional 300 ml of wine is consumed, and 90 mg SO₂ = 1.41 mmol/person if 700 ml of wine is drunk daily. Sulphites are present in food and beverages usually in chemical combination with certain constituents. In some beverages they may also be present in the uncombined state. It is of interest that the estimates of intake from food and non-alcoholic beverages are closely similar to estimates made for the U.S. and Belgium.

Sulphites are chemically reactive and their biological importance relates particularly to their destructive effect on the vitamins, especially thiamin. Sulphites in food are partly oxidised during ingestion and digestion to sulphates. Sulphites also react during ingestion and after absorption with cellular and tissue fluid macromolecules in a reversible manner. Extremely rapid conversion to sulphates occurs in the tissues predominantly through the action of the enzyme sulphite oxidase. The latter is present predominantly in the liver, heart, kidneys, spleen, brain and lungs. The sulphates are finally eliminated rapidly in the urine. Sulphites are also formed endogenously from the metabolism of S-containing aminoacids. The average endogenous formation of sulphite in man is estimated to be about 1680 mg SO₂ = 26.25 mmol/day, all of which is eliminated as urinary sulphate.

The toxicity profile of sulphites has been examined in numerous investigations in laboratory animals and also in man^{1,2,3,6,7,8}. Large doses are acutely toxic but low doses, administered over prolonged periods or for the lifespan of experimental animals, have shown no specific adverse effects. No deleterious effects have been noted on reproduction nor is there any evidence for a teratogenic or carcinogenic potential. Shapiro⁸ has shown that large doses

produce weak mutagenic effects in some in vitro test systems but not under in vivo conditions. These findings are therefore unlikely to be relevant as a mutagenic risk for man from the ingestion of sulphites in food and beverages.

Sulphites perform several useful functions in food technologically including the suppression of unwanted fermentation, as antibacterial agents against microbiological contamination of food, as bleaching agents and antioxidants. These aspects, particularly the antimicrobial activities, need to be considered when a risk assessment for man is being made.

The more recent information presented to the Committee on the low level of intake of sulphites from all food and beverage sources, on the pathway and kinetics of the metabolism of sulphites in animals and man, on the high efficiency of the enzymatic detoxification systems, and on the considerable endogenous production of sulphites being some 20 to 40 fold the dietary intake, is of fundamental importance for the evaluation of the health hazard from ingested sulphites.

OPINION

The Committee noted that a numerical value for the ADI of ingested sulphite had been established by JECFA (1974)⁵. The Committee also considered the results of the study in pigs by Til⁶, in which the no-adverse-effect level was reported to be 0.23% SO₂ in the diet equivalent to 92 mg/kg b.w. (92 mg SO₂ = 1.44 mmol). The pig study is of particular relevance because this species is closer to man than the traditional rodent laboratory animals by virtue of the physiology of its gastro-intestinal tract. Taking the above information together with the knowledge of the efficient metabolism of the sulphite oxidase system in the mammalian tissues and the smallness of the dietary intake of sulphite compared to the daily endogenous production in man, would permit a more flexible approach in the consideration of the safety-in-use of sulphite as preserving agent in food and beverages.

The Committee concluded that for the great majority of the population no hazard to health would arise from the ingestion of sulphites at levels currently found in food and beverages. Nevertheless, the Committee wishes to reemphasize its previously stated opinion, applicable to all food additives, that their use should be restricted to the minimum level necessary technologically, particularly in foods which are an important source of thiamin.

The Committee is aware that congenital deficiency of hepatic sulphite oxidase has been described as a rare metabolic disorder in man. There are only few data on the normal development of this enzyme with age in various species, and on the factors controlling sulphite oxidase activity. Information on these aspects would be helpful in assessing any special risk factors which may apply to select subpopulations or to vulnerable sections of the population, in whom malnutrition has lead to marginal body reserves of thiamin.

REFERENCES

1. Jaulmes, P., (1979) Rapport présenté à la CEE.
2. FASEB (1976) Evaluation of the Health Aspects of Sulphiting Agents as Food Ingredients, SCOGS-15, Report to FDA of USA, Bethesda, USA.
3. Beech, F.W., Cantarelli, C., Jacob, D., and Sudraud, P., Techniques for reducing the sulphur dioxide content of wines; technological considerations and recommendations, EEC Study P.202.
4. Custot, F., (1979) Collecte de données analytiques concernant la teneur en anhydriude sulphureux des vins et de certaines denrées alimentaires, Juillet 1979.
5. JECFA (1974), Wld.Hlth.Org.tech., Rep.Ser., 1974, no. 539.
6. Til, H.P., (1970) Toxcologisch Onderzoek Naar de Werking von Sulfiet bij ratten, Varkens en Kwantels, Ph.D. Thesis submitted to Utrecht University.
7. Wucherpfennig, K., (1979) A propos de la toxicité de l'acide sulfureux, 4th session of the Expert Group O.I.V. Technologie du vin, Ball de O.I.V., 525, 12-25
8. Shapiro, R., (1977) Genetic effects of bisulphite (sulphur dioxide) Mutation Res., 39, 149-175.

Acknowledgements

The Committee is grateful for the assistance given by:

Prof. P. Jaulmes,
Professeur Honoraire à la Faculté de Pharmacie,
4 rue Donnat,
34000 MONTPELLIER,
France

and

Prof. L. Gatti,
Via Collalto Sabino 77,
I-00199 ROMA,
Italy

European Communities — Commission

EUR 7421 — Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food
(Eleventh series)

Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities

1981 — 49 pp. — 21 x 29.7 cm

Series: Food - Science and Techniques

DA, DE, EN, FR, IT, NL

ISBN 92-825-2557-0

Catalogue number: CD-NR-81-001-EN-C

Price (excluding VAT) in Luxembourg

ECU 3.63 BFR 150 IRL 2.50 UKL 2 USD 3.80

The Scientific Committee for Food was established by Commission Decision 74/234/EEC of 16 April 1974 (OJ L 136 of 20.5.1974, p. 1) to advise it on any problem relating to the protection of the health and safety of persons arising from the consumption of food, and in particular the composition of food, processes which are liable to modify food, the use of food additives and other processing aids as well as the presence of contaminants.

The members are independent persons, highly qualified in the fields associated with medicine, nutrition, toxicology, biology, chemistry, or other similar disciplines.

The present series relates to opinions on the effects on health due to the ingestion of sulphur dioxide and other sulphiting agents from foodstuffs, and extraction solvents.

NOTICE TO THE READER

All scientific and technical reports published by the Commission of the European Communities are announced in the monthly periodical "**euro-abstracts**". For subscription (1 year: BFR 2000) please write to the address below.

Price (excluding VAT) in Luxembourg

ECU 3.63

BFR 150

IRL 2.50

UKL 2

USD 3.80



OFFICE FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATIONS
OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

ISBN 92-825-2557-0

L-2985 Luxembourg

Catalogue number: CD-NR-81-001-EN-C