



COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION Brussels, 10 January 2008

Interinstitutional File: 2007/0289 (CNS) 5177/08 ADD 1

SPG 1 WTO 3

#### PROPOSAL

from:	European Commission
dated:	21 December 2007
Subject:	Proposal for a Council Regulation applying a scheme of generalised tariff preferences for the period from 1 January 2009 to 31 December 2011 and amending Regulations (EC) No 552/97, No 1933/2006 and Commission Regulations (EC) No 964/2007 and No 1100/2006 - Commission Staff Working Document - Impact Assessment Report

Delegations will find attached a proposal from the Commission, submitted under a covering letter from Mr Jordi AYET PUIGARNAU, Director, to Mr Javier SOLANA, Secretary-General/High Representative.

Encl.: SEC(2007) 1726

COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES



Brussels, 21.12.2007 SEC(2007) 1726

#### COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT

Accompanying document to the

Council Regulation applying a scheme of generalised tariff preferences for the years 2009-2011

Impact Assessment Report

{COM(2007) 857 final} {SEC(2007) 1727}

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	Executive Summary	. 3
2.	Procedural issues and consultation of interested parties	. 4
2.1.	Procedural issues:	. 4
2.2.	Consultations of interested parties	. 5
2.3.	Consultation with the Impact Assessment Board	. 5
3.	EU right to act	. 5
4.	Introduction	. 6
5.	Validity of the GSP objective and its implementing modalities	. 6
5.1.	The objective of the GSP	. 6
5.2.	Contribution of the GSP to the wider aims of the EU's development policy	. 7
5.3.	GSP implementing instruments and their impact	. 8
6.	Conclusions	14
7.	GSP LEXICON	15
ANNEX	X I: Statistical Data	18
ANNEX	X II: Consultation Report	42

#### IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT

#### **1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This impact assessment concerns the revision of the Council Regulation (EC) No 980/2005 of 27 June 2005 applying a scheme of generalised tariff preferences (GSP). The scheme of generalised tariff preferences is one of the key instruments to assist developing countries to reduce poverty by helping them to generate revenue through international trade.

Council Regulation 980/2005 applying the GSP entered into force on the 1 January 2006 and expires on 31 December 2008. It will be followed by a further GSP Regulation to enable the continuation of the scheme.

On the 7 June 2004, following a wide and substantial debate, the Commission adopted a "Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament and the Economic and Social Committee, on the function of the Communicy's generalised system of preferences for the ten year period from 2006-2015." The Communication indicated the basic objective and the new implementing instruments of the GSP schemes which would apply in the years 2006-2015, with the view to provide greater continuity and stabilise the GSP scheme, thereby making it more attractive to the beneficiary countries.

This impact assessment seeks to demonstrate that the original chief objective of the GSP scheme to contribute to the eradication of poverty and the promotion of sustainable development and good governance in the developing countries is still valid and remains applicable in the context of the preparation of the new draft GSP Council Regulation for the years 2009-2011. It also includes an assessment of the functioning of the various GSP implementation instruments set out in the June 2004 Commission Communication and incorporated first in the GSP Regulation 980/2005. These instruments were designed to help attain the GSP objective and were introduced on 1 January 2006. The assessment of the first year of their application shows that the different instruments have begun to serve the set objective; but the short period of application does not yet allow definitive conclusions to be drawn, and does not support further modifications of the scheme at this time.

Considering that the general objective of the GSP remains valid and that the implementation provisions for the years 2006-2015, as expressed in the Communication, are still binding, the new GSP Regulation would be therefore only a technical revision of the currently applied scheme without modifications of its provisions.

Consequently the substance of the GSP scheme for the years 2009-2011 will remain unchanged. The adaptations to be introduced result from normal implementation of the scheme, such as the review of the graduation system or the assessment of countries' eligibility for the scheme and its sub-arrangements. They focus on routine updating of the wording of the GSP Regulation.

This approach to the revision of the GSP Regulation renders the scheme more stable and predictable, as advocated by the users of the scheme and as announced in the 2004 Commission Communication. It would offer greater accessibility to traders, assure predictability of trading conditions and facilitate management of the scheme. This would

beneficiary countries, traders and administrations. The aim is to integrate the beneficiary countries into the world trade system. For that they require a stable and predictable commercial and investment regime

This impact assessment has been prepared in accordance with the principle of 'proportionate analysis' as laid down in the Impact Assessment Guidelines of 15 June 2005 (section No5), which provides for a proportionate analysis in cases of a revision of existing EU legislation. The principal aim of this impact assessment is therefore to demonstrate, as outlined in section No 5 of the Impact Assessment Guidelines, that the existing GSP scheme's objective and its implementing instruments set out in the July 2004 Communication are still valid.

#### 2. **PROCEDURAL ISSUES AND CONSULTATION OF INTERESTED PARTIES**

#### 2.1. Procedural issues:

The GSP scheme's provisions are laid down in Council Regulation (EC) No 980/2005, which will expire on 31 December 2008. The new GSP Council Regulation, which will enable the continuation of the GSP scheme in the years 2009-2011, will enter into force on 1 January 2009.

In July 2004, the Commission adopted the Communication on the role of the GSP for the tenyear period 2006 to 2015<sup>1</sup> :"Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament and the Economic and Social Committee on the function of the Community's generalised system of preferences for the ten year period from 2006-2015". This Communication established the main objectives of the GSP until 2015, and the instruments to be used to achieve them.

The guidelines set out in the Communication are put into operation in the form of multiannual implementing regulations. The first of these was the GSP Regulation No. 980/2005, and the second will be the GSP Regulation for the years 2009-2011.

The GSP implementing regulations cover as well provisions referring to the special incentive arrangement to encourage sustainable development and good governance (the GSP+) and the special arrangement for least developed countries (EBA). The eligibility for GSP+ is updated regularly as part of each GSP implementing regulation. However, the list of beneficiaries for the GSP+ scheme applying in the years 2009-2011 - in contrast to the text of the GSP Regulation 2009-2011 itself – will be adopted only in December 2008 in order to allow for maximum time for potential beneficiaries to comply with eligibility criteria. As for the EBA scheme for least developed countries, in accordance with the provisions of art.30 of the GSP Regulation, it is not subject to review.

The July 2004 Communication was the result of a substantial review of the objective and instruments of the GSP scheme. It set out new functions for the GSP scheme. The substantially revised GSP was proposed to enter into force as from January 2006 and to last for ten years until 2015. It provided for regular technical reviews at intervals of three years.

1

COM(2004) 461 final.

The first three year period of application of the revised scheme will lapse on 31 December 2008. The next period will start on 1 January 2009.

However, in order to respond to requests for greater predictability and transparency of the scheme it is envisaged to adopt the GSP Regulation – which will apply the GSP scheme in the years 2009-2011 – one year in advance; that is to say, by the end of 2007. This would assure sufficient time for operators to learn well in advance about the trading conditions for the upcoming three years and facilitate the continuity of their trading activities.

#### 2.2. Consultations of interested parties

Interested parties have expressed their views and made their own observations and recommendations on the future of the GSP. Commission services have had many meetings with representatives of third countries and of trade associations. In addition, a civil society dialogue meeting was held on 8 May 2007 at which trade unions and various professional associations took the opportunity to express their views. Beneficiary countries of the GSP have been active in bringing forward their views. Consultations with Member States have also been conducted between January and June 2007 (informal trade ministers meetings; discussions in the 133 Committee with MS trade representatives and in the Council working party on GSP). The Council supported the Commission's approach to the technical review of the GSP Regulation.

The comments received through the consultation were taken into account in the review of the regulation. The main conclusions of the consultation can be summarised as follows:

The almost unanimous response regarding the review of the GSP scheme was that the scheme is very important for its users and that predictability and simplicity should be maintained. More substantial points have been made regarding rules of origin applied in the GSP and their envisaged reform. This reform is part of a general reform of preferential rules of origin applied by the EU, and is therefore subject to a separate evaluation and modification process. Another very important element raised was that it is important to assure that the additional preferences available under the special incentive arrangement for sustainable development and good governance are granted to those beneficiary countries which meet the eligibility criteria, and that the eligibility criteria should be clear and predictable.

Further consultations of industry and civil society will be undertaken before the revision of the scheme, which will apply for the years 2011-2015.

#### 2.3. Consultation with the Impact Assessment Board

The Impact Assessment Board recommendations, expressed in the opinion of 22 October 2007 have been reflected in the Report by introducing a Lexicon on the GSP terms that explains GSP technical language. Additional information has been added in point 5 of the Report in order to address the recommendation to explain further the impact and the role of the GSP in the overall EU development policy.

#### **3.** EU RIGHT TO ACT

The GSP Regulation defines autonomous preferential tariff measures on the basis of Article 133 EC, consequently the GSP scheme is a matter of exclusive Community competence.

#### 4. INTRODUCTION

The GSP is a trade arrangement through which the EU extends preferential access to its market to products originating in 179 developing countries. It is an autonomous trade instrument of the EU. It is implemented by a Council Regulation.

The GSP is an exception to the most-favoured nation principle under the GATT and it must, therefore, comply with GATT rules. These rules, as interpreted by the WTO Appellate Body in 2004, provide that a GSP scheme shall be "generalized, nonreciprocal and non-discriminatory". It needs to be designed to facilitate and promote trade of developing countries, and to respond positively to the development, financial and trade needs of developing countries.

The July 2004 Communication laid down the objective of the GSP scheme, and introduced a number of new implementing instruments for achieving this objective. The Communication introduced substantial changes of the GSP schemes applied up to that time.

Accordingly, for the GSP schemes applying from 2006 until 2015 the objective is that the GSP shall be one of the key instruments to assist developing countries to reduce poverty by helping them to generate revenue through international trade.

The means by which the objectives of the GSP are expected to be achieved are as follows:

- generous tariff rates in the access to the EU market should be maintained
- preferences should be targeted on countries that most need it
- the scheme should be simple and with an easy access
- graduation should be transparent and targeted on the prime beneficiaries
- a special incentive arrangement to encourage sustainable development and governance should be provided
- rules of origin should be reviewed
- temporary withdrawal instruments, safeguard measures antifraud measures should remain reinforced

The above elements of the GSP scheme were introduced for the first time by the Council Regulation (EC) No 980/2005, which entered into force on 1 January 2006.

#### 5. VALIDITY OF THE GSP OBJECTIVE AND ITS IMPLEMENTING MODALITIES

#### 5.1. The objective of the GSP

The primary and overarching objective of the EU's GSP scheme is to contribute to the eradication of poverty and the promotion of sustainable development and good governance.

Promotion of sustainable development constitutes an integral part of EU trade policy, as trade is one of the essential instruments which can support economic and social development through better access to the Community market. The GSP scheme, as an instrument of EU trade policy, also targets poverty eradication and good governance. This was confirmed by the June 2004 Commission Communication laying down the principal guidelines for the GSP schemes until 2015.

Combating global poverty and promotion of sustainable development remain valid objectives, and have become even more important in the context of an increasingly globalised and interdependent world.

This is why the EU's development strategy focuses on trade and on helping poor countries to improve their infrastructures, develop their productive potential and make their public administration and institutions more efficient. With this support, some will be able to grasp trade opportunities and secure more inward investment so as to broaden their economic base. This is essential in enabling countries to integrate into the global economy and achieve sustainable growth and development.

Developing countries, which are vulnerable due to a lack of diversification and insufficient integration into the international trading system, do not have the resources that would allow them to pursue sustainable development policies. Additional assistance must therefore continue to be provided to enable those countries to assume special burdens and responsibilities due to the implementation of international standards.

This is where the GSP scheme plays and will continue to play a role as an instrument of support and encouragement. Special preferences would promote further economic growth, and thereby the need for sustainable development could be addressed.

The GSP objective continues therefore to be valid and should be also the principal goal for the GSP Regulation which will apply in the years 2009-2011.

Furthermore the GSP implementing provisions, as laid down in the July 2004 Communication, have been put into operation for the first time as from 1 January 2006. Although their application period is too short to draw definitive conclusions, the trends resulting from their practical application indicate that the instruments support the objective and remain relevant for the application of the next GSP Regulation 2009-2011.

#### 5.2. Contribution of the GSP to the wider aims of the EU's development policy.

In the 2005 EU Joint Development Policy Statement on 'The European Consensus on Development' the EU expressed a commitment to strengthen Policy Coherence for Development (PCD). It committed to pursue PCD objectives in the areas of Trade, Environment, Climate change, Security, Agriculture, Fisheries, Social dimension of globalization, Employment and decent work, Migration, Research and innovation, Information society, Transport and Energy. This PCD objective has also been reflected in the rules governing the EU's GSP scheme, as all listed policies, including Trade with its GSP instrument, can make an essential contribution in assisting developing countries' efforts in achieving the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs).

The goal of the EU's development policy and other policies, which can support it, is to encourage sustainable development that helps to eradicate poverty in developing countries and integrate these countries into the global economy. In addition to these economic and social objectives, there is a political plan: to help reinforce democracy and the rule of law, whilst promoting respect for human rights and basic freedoms.

Trade is becoming one of the most important drivers of economic growth in an increasingly globalised world. And that growth can create jobs across the developing world. One important instrument to support this growth, is the EU's GSP. It aims is threefold: to increase developing countries' export income, promote the industrialization of these countries and accelerate their economic growth. By means of the GSP, the EU assists to integrate developing countries into international trade and thus eradicate poverty, enhance economic and sustainable development as well as support good governance. This scheme has been progressively developed and adapted to include the possibility of applying incentive schemes based on environmental or social clauses, tariff modulation to reflect the "sensitivity" of the products concerned. The graduation mechanism serves to encourage the least developed countries to diversify their economies and their export structure being less dependant on few product lines. Moreover, greater selectivity has been applied, with countries that are now industrialized or oil-producing no longer needing the mechanism.

The EU's GSP can also offer positive incentives for meeting fundamental labour standards. The GSP has for several years offered the additional concessions for countries that respect for example core labour standards and which put more emphasis on sustainable development. Under the special subarrangemnt on sustainable development and good govebrnance ( the GSP+scheme), additional tariff preferences have been made available to vulnerable countries that have ratified the main international ILO and UN conventions on labour and human rights, and that have taken strides in environmental protection and good governance, including the fight against drug trafficking.

The EU's GSP is today the most generous and widely used of all developed countries GSP schemes. The EU became one of the most important trading partner for developing countries taking up 17% of their exports. The share of imports from developing countries in the total EU imports increased in the years 1999-2006 from 43% to 49%. From 1995 to 2005 developing countries' economies grew over 5%, which represents an almost two percentage point increase over the decade from 1985 to 1995.

#### 5.3. GSP implementing instruments and their impact

#### a) Generous tariff rates in the access to the EU market should be maintained

With the implementation of the new GSP scheme on 1 January 2006 approximately 250 new products of interest for developing countries were introduced into the GSP product list, comprising fish and prepared fruits and vegetables. Preferential margins (currently 3.5 percentage points for sensitive products and 100% for non-sensitive products) were maintained.

A standstill clause (in Article 7(3) of the GSP Regulation) envisaged that the treatment of sensitive products should remain at least as generous as that which had previously applied.

The GSP import statistics show that in the years 2000-2005 GSP preferential imports increased on average less than 1% per year. The increase between 2006 and 2005 amounted to

10% (Table1). Also, imports of products newly introduced into the GSP increased in 2006 by 19% (3.9 bln Euro) in comparison with 2005 (3.3 bln Euro) (see Table 2). Small and middle sized countries from Asia and South America benefited from the new preferences (for example: Vietnam, the Philippines, Sri Lanka, the Maldives, Ecuador, Colombia, El Salvador)

#### b) Target the GSP on the countries that most need it

The GSP focuses on the countries most in need, such as LDCs and the most vulnerable developing countries (small economies, land-locked countries, small island states, and low income countries) in order to help them play a greater role in international trade. These countries are unable to take advantage of economies of scale, or are beset by logistical problems. Their economies are not at all diversified.

The objective of concentrating GSP on countries most in need is managed by "graduating" the most competitive groups of products from certain beneficiaries. Given their high level of competitiveness, there is no further justification for these products to continue to benefit from a preferential tariff treatment for these countries.

The analyses of import data in the years 2001-2006 shows that GSP imports from LDC countries to the EU are still small (on average 3 bln Euro), but that the introduction of the new GSP scheme in 2006 contributed to raising imports by 35% (4.4 bln Euro) in comparison to the year 2005 (3.3 bln Euro). It was the highest yearly increase since 2001 (Table 3). Although the LDC's utilisation rates of GSP preferences are still not high (on average 42%), here also the new scheme contributed to positive results, as the utilisation rate for 2006 shows the highest increase since 2001 (by 10%) and amounted to 48%. It should be noted that the average use of various preferences by LDC countries when accessing the EU market is 70%, as LDC countries may choose between Cotonou or the EBA preferences when exporting to the EU. The EBA is more advantageous, but for many products that the LDCs export to the EU the preferences are the same. As the LDCs have been granted substantial preferences under COTONOU's predecessors - the Lomé Conventions - which date back to the mid 1970s and the EBA scheme was not introduced until 2001, the LDCs that are eligible for both schemes continue in many cases to use the COTONOU preferences. Looking at the overall use of EU preferences by LDCs, the rate is 70%, a figure which is significantly higher than if the utilisation rate of the EBA scheme alone is considered.

If we exclude from the value of total GSP imports for 2005 and 2006 the value of imports from the largest GSP exporters to the EU (those countries, from whom GSP imports to the EU surpass 1 bln Euro and which are bigger economies: India, Brazil, Bangladesh, Thailand, Vietnam, Indonesia, Pakistan, Malaysia, Saudi Arabia, Ukraine, China, Argentina, Russia, South Africa) it is evident that imports from the remaining 164 smaller GSP exporters increased from 10 bln Euro in 2005 to 10.6 in 2006, which constitutes an increase of 6% (Table 4).

However, the classification of GSP beneficiaries by biggest GSP import increases to the EU between 2005 and 2006 (Table 6) shows that the first 50 countries for which the largest import increases were recorded were primarily small economies and LDC countries. Below this group of 50 countries, only 4 were bigger economies. GSP imports from the remaining bigger economies either increased by less than 30% (in example: India by 14%, Brazil by 26% or even decreased (for China, South Africa, Russia). By contrast, in the case of 26 small economies increases of import figures to the EU of more than 100% were recorded.

Specifically for the textiles and clothing sector, (Section XI of the CN) – a sector in which the biggest GSP competitor on the EU market is graduated (China) – the largest increases of imports were those from small economies such as Fiji, Haiti, Tunisia, Niger, Afghanistan, and Sri Lanka (in relative terms not in total figures) – Table 7.

#### c) The scheme should be simple and with an easy access

Under the review of GSP which entered into force in January 2006, the GSP has been greatly simplified. Simplification has been achieved through the reduction in the number of arrangements. In particular, a single arrangement has been introduced in place of three separate types of special incentives applying under the previous scheme: those set up in order to encourage the protection of labour rights, to encourage protection of the environment and to combat illegal drug production and trafficking.

Thus, in place of the previous five schemes, there are at present three arrangements in total: the general arrangement, the special arrangement for least developed countries and an arrangement to encourage sustainable development and good governance.

The current GSP scheme also aims to achieve simplification by removing from the list of GSP beneficiaries those countries that enjoy preferential access to the Community market under the terms of an agreement, usually a free-trade agreement (FTA). No country should lose its GSP benefits for any particular product as a result of this process: GSP treatment GSP treatment previously received must be consolidated into the FTA in question. Consolidation takes place gradually, on the basis of bilateral arrangements with the GSP beneficiary country concerned. So far the process has been accomplished with Chile, it continues with Mexico and will be brought in into all ongoing FTA negotiations with GSP countries. The consolidation will improve the coherence of the Community commercial policy. The pace of consolidation depends however on the negotiation process of the FTA's.

The application of clear GSP provisions remains an important objective. The increase of GSP imports in 2006, which was above the average yearly increases in the years 2000-2005, indicates that the solutions that were introduced may have started to have an positive impact.

#### d) Graduation should be transparent and targeted on the prime beneficiaries

Since the implementation of the new GSP scheme in 2006 graduation is applied to groups of products from countries that are competitive on the Community market and no longer need the GSP to boost their exports to the EU.

Graduation from the GSP scheme is a sign that the GSP has successfully performed its function in relation to the countries and products in question. Thus graduation is very closely linked to the economic competitiveness of the beneficiary countries. Graduation gives the countries to which it is applied an incentive to do more to diversify their economies. For the other beneficiary countries, it means a greater share of the benefits of GSP.

Under the 2006 scheme essential changes have been introduced to the graduation arrangements in order to make them simpler. The previous criteria (share of preferential imports, development index and export-specialisation index) have been replaced with a single straightforward criterion: share of the Community market, expressed as a share of preferential imports. Goods are no longer broken down into "sectors", as was previously the rule for the purposes of graduation. Groups of products are defined by reference to the "sections" in the

Combined Nomenclature. As well as being simple, this system has the advantage that it graduates only groups of products from the biggest beneficiaries. Only the countries that were, on average, competitive for all the products in a section are graduated for these groups of products. Small beneficiaries, competitive for just a few products or for a group of products from a section, can not be graduated solely on the basis of those few products.

The newly introduced graduation provisions clearly meet the objective of the GSP graduation mechanism. There are currently 9 GSP beneficiaries (out of 178) which are graduated from the scheme for a specific product section or sections. The graduated countries are larger economies with GSP preferential imports into the EU ranging from 1.3 bln to more than 9.6 bln Euro in 2006 (Brazil, China, Algeria, Indonesia, India, Malaysia, Russia, Thailand, South Africa). Despite graduation, the level of imports into the EU of the graduated products originating in the named GSP beneficiaries has not been adversely affected. For the majority of cases total imports of these products originating from the graduated countries increased in comparison to 2005 (the increases ranged from 3% to 51%)-(Table 8). The exception was only for transport equipment from China and prepared foodstuffs from Brazil, where imports decreased respectively by 5% and 8%. Furthermore 5 of the graduated countries have already diversified their GSP imports into the EU, as their GSP preferential imports increased between 2005 and 2006 (the increases ranged from 14% to 45%).

In parallel it is worth noting that the GSP imports from all GSP beneficiaries subject to product section graduation increased in 2006 (Table 9).

A positive indications is also that in 2006 there were new GSP beneficiary countries, mainly small economies (like: Angola, Mozambique, Nigeria, Togo, Cap Verde Ethiopia etc.), which started to export to the EU, under GSP preferences, products from the graduated sections.

For some of the graduated product sections, some of the highest increases of GSP preferential imports to the EU were registered for small or poorest GSP beneficiary countries like: Ethiopia for Section IV, Tanzania, Congo, Gabon for Section IX, Costa Rica for Section XIII, Cambodia for Section XV, Cote d'Ivoire and Nepal for Section XVIII, ect.)

# e) A special incentive to encourage sustainable development and good governance should be part of the GSP scheme

Under the GSP schemes applying before 2006 three special incentive arrangements were established (the special arrangements to encourage protection of basic workers' rights, protection of the environment, and a special arrangement to combat illegal drug production and trafficking. The two special arrangements to encourage protection of basic workers' rights and protection of the environment (the "social" and "environmental" clauses) were little used in their previous form. Some beneficiary countries preferred not to have the content and implementation of their social legislation subjected to the rigours of scrutiny. The length and relative complexity of the evaluation procedures probably made the arrangements even less attractive. The environmental arrangement took an extremely limited approach to environmental protection; it applied only to tropical timber. And regarding the special arrangement to combat illegal drug production and trafficking, the Appellate Body criticized the lack of objective criteria for the inclusion or removal of beneficiary countries.

Therefore it was appropriate to move to a broader concept of sustainable development and governance. Multiple international conventions and declarations have acknowledged the link

between development and respect for basic human and labour rights, for the environment, and for the principles of good governance. A failure to honour these basic standards, which are specified in various international conventions, can lead to particular problems for developing countries. It was therefore appropriate to address these special development needs positively by granting additional GSP preferences to those developing countries which have taken on board the major international conventions in these areas.

The new sustainable development incentive (the GSP+) was created as a replacement for the previous incentives. The GSP+ encourages ratification and implementation of international conventions. The scheme is granted to beneficiaries which have taken on board the relevant international standards relating to sustainable development: including basic human rights conventions (conventions designed to uphold political and economic and social rights, to combat torture and discrimination on grounds of race and gender, and to protect women's and children's rights), labour rights conventions and certain conventions relating to environmental protection (e.g. conventions designed to combat trafficking in endangered species and to protect the ozone layer), as well as the various conventions relating to the fight against illegal drugs production and trafficking.

The relevant conventions are those with mechanisms that the relevant international organisations can use to regularly evaluate how effectively they have been implemented. The Commission takes account of these evaluations before deciding which of the applicant countries will be selected to benefit from the incentive schemes. In order to be considered, potential beneficiaries must submit an official application containing essential information in support of the application.

The incentive scheme includes a credible suspension clause that can be activated rapidly. In the event of a serious breach of international agreements, the decision to withdraw the regime can be invoked by the Commission, the Member States or the European Parliament. This triggers an investigation by the Commission which can lead to suspension of the additional benefits if it is established that countries have not honoured their commitments.

The simplification of GSP+ is assured by basing the eligibility criteria on the ratification and proper implementation of internationally agreed conventions. The GSP+ is easier to monitor because reliable evaluation/assessment mechanisms are available to monitor the implementation of theses conventions. It is also more transparent, because the monitoring and evaluation of compliance with the requirements of the scheme are based on the public reports and observations of the relevant international organisations.

Also at present, a number of developing countries continue to face particular problems in the globalised economy, such as the fight against drugs (to which the Community remains committed through a policy based on shared responsibility), or inadequate diversification of their economies. In accordance with the objective to concentrate the GSP on those countries that are most in need, the new GSP + scheme has been designed so that it will continue to respond positively to the special development needs of developing countries in a manner consistent with the WTO Enabling Clause. These additional preferences are open to all developing countries which face similar development needs.

There are currently 15 countries, which benefit from the GSP+:

- from Latin America: four ANDEAN countries (Bolivia, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru); six Central America countries (Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua, Panama) and Venezuela;
- from Eastern Europe (Moldova, Georgia)
- from Asia (Sri Lanka, Mongolia).

Almost two years after these countries began to benefit from the GSP+, the status of ratification is the following:

- With the ratification by El Salvador of ILO conventions 87 and 98 in September 2006, all the beneficiaries have now ratified all required human rights and labour conventions.
- Some countries which had not ratified the conventions on protection of environment and on good governance (part B conventions) are doing so. In July 2005 none of the 15 GSP+ beneficiary countries had ratified all of the 11 part B conventions; by July 2007 seven countries (Bolivia, Ecuador, Sri Lanka, Moldova, Mongolia, Nicaragua, Panama, Peru) have ratified all part B conventions; six countries (Colombia, Guatemala, Honduras, El Salvador and Venezuela) have ratified all but one convention, while Georgia has yet to ratify two conventions and Costa Rica three conventions).

The governments of GSP+ countries are undertaking the effort to progressively meet the GSP+ criteria on convention ratification.

As far as effective implementation is concerned, the reports of UN and ILO monitoring bodies reveal a mixed picture. The provisions of the GSP+ regulation envisage that all beneficiary countries will make further efforts to improve implementation before the next review of this scheme in 2008.

The UN and ILO specialised monitoring bodies acknowledge the difficulties the countries concerned are faced with. Shortcomings are however pointed out. The monitoring bodies note with interest various measures taken by the governments. They propose adequate solutions and encourage these countries to continue with their internal reform processes.

Bearing in mind the constraints as well as the evaluations made by the relevant monitoring bodies, it can be concluded that the GSP+ beneficiaries are making efforts towards the effective implementation of the conventions.

These are good developments insofar as they confirm that the GSP+ has begun to operate as an incentive to contribute to fostering human and labour rights, sustainable development and good governance.

Also the statistical analyses of GSP+ import figures confirm that GSP+ preferential imports increased by 15% between 2005 and 2006, whereas the average yearly increase in the past 5 years was 10%. Also the utilisation rate of GSP+ preferences rose from 74% to 77% (Table 10). Preferential GSP+ imports into the EU from 12 out of 15 GSP + beneficiary countries increased on average by 28.5%, and 9 out of the 15 GSP+ countries moved upwards in the ranking of biggest GSP exporters to the EU (mostly Moldova, Nicaragua and Bolivia). (Table 11).

#### f) Improve rules of origin

The Commission Communication on the GSP schemes for the years 2006-2015 envisaged also a review of the rules of origin applied within the GSP. The work on the review is currently carried out under the auspices of DG TAXUD and in close cooperation with other services. The review of GSP rules of origin can be carried out independently of the work on the GSP Regulation, because rules of origin for the GSP are set out in the separate Commission Regulation No 2454/93 implementing the Community Customs Code. The aim would be however that the new, revised rules of origin enter into force in parallel to the new GSP scheme (on 1 January 2009).

# g) Reinforce the temporary withdrawal instruments, safeguard measures and antifraud measures

The GSP applied since January 2006 with a graduation mechanism targeted on the most competitive countries means that most beneficiaries are granted preferences without any restrictions other than compliance with the relevant GSP rules. The GSP temporary withdrawal provisions and the safeguard clause have therefore been redefined as from January 2006 so as to take account of this new situation. Even though they remain an instrument to be used in exceptional circumstances only, these provisions have been simplified in order to make them more credible and effective. The new provisions have already demonstrated their effectiveness, as very recently they allowed the European Commission to assure proper application of the GSP by temporary suspending the GSP benefits for a country which violated the GSP rules (Belarus). It is for this practical reason that the Commission proposes to maintain these rules.

Considering the first positive indications for the possible results of the GSP scheme, applied since 2006 and taking into account the fact that on the basis of results of the first year of application of the new GSP scheme it is not possible to establish the overall trend of its effectiveness, it is recommended not to change the provisions of the scheme as part of the current review.

Consequently the basic provisions of the GSP Regulation are proposed to remain unchanged and have been reviewed only technically to ease the reading of the GSP Regulation and to facilitate the application of the scheme.

The technical modifications introduced are resulting from the regular implementation of the scheme, like the review of the graduation system or the assessment of the countries' eligibility for the scheme and its sub- arrangements. They concern the regular update of the wording of the GSP Regulation while the substance of the Regulation and its impacts remain unchanged.

#### 6. CONCLUSIONS

Trade is a powerful engine for economic growth and some countries use trade as a means to fight poverty. While trade alone cannot solve development problems, openness to trade and support for supply capacity are important elements in any coherent development strategy.

The existing EC market access regime is already quite favourable to developing countries. Nevertheless, developing countries still face a number of obstacles. As a consequence, the poorest countries' share in international trade remains marginal. To facilitate their integration into the world economy, the EU – alongside other initiatives such as the support for a successful outcome of the Doha Development Agenda, the offering of Economic Partnership Agreements or the Aid for Trade initiative – substantially modified the GSP scheme in January 2006 in order to adapt it to the objectives of EU development policy.

The chief objective of EU development policy is to reduce poverty worldwide in the context of sustainable development. The EU development policy takes the view that the fundamental objective of poverty reduction is closely associated with the complementary aims of promotion of good governance and respect for human rights, these being shared values underpinning the Union. The fight against poverty also implies achieving a balance between activities aimed at human development, at the protection of natural resources, and at economic growth and wealth creation to benefit poor people. The GSP objective and its implementing provisions remain consistent with this approach and have begun to show their effectiveness.

With the reforms introduced in January 2006, the GSP system provides more stability, predictability and trading opportunities for its users. Furthermore, additional preferences are provided to countries that have ratified and effectively implement key international conventions on sustainable development, labour rights and good governance.

In addition, the Commission is presently in the process of revising its preferential rules of origin with a view to making them simpler, more transparent and easier to use, thereby promoting development and preventing circumvention.

After the first year of application of the new GSP scheme, introduced in January 2006, the GSP objectives set out in the July 2004 Commission Communication have shown themselves to be valid; and the newly introduced GSP provisions have begun to serve these objectives. However a one year long application period does not permit a conclusive assessment. It is therefore valid to keep the current provisions of the GSP scheme unchanged in order to allow the users of the scheme to adapt, and thereby to draw the benefits which the GSP scheme is designed to deliver.

#### 7. **GSP LEXICON**

#### **Combined Nomenclature (CN):**

The CN is a method for designating goods and merchandise which was established to meet, at one and the same time, the requirements both of the Common Customs Tariff and of the external trade statistics of the Community. The CN is also used in intra-Community trade statistics.

The CN is comprised of the Harmonized System (HS) nomenclature with further Community subdivisions. The Harmonized system is run by the World Customs Organisation (WCO). This systematic list of commodities forms the basis for international trade negotiations, and is applied by most trading nations.

Each CN subheading has an eight digit code number. (For instance: 0902100000 is the code for Green tea (not fermented) in immediate packing of a content not exceeding 3 kg) The ninth and tenth digits represent Taric subheadings.

When declared to customs in the Community, goods must generally be classified according to the Combined Nomenclature. Imported and exported goods have to be declared stating under which subheading of the nomenclature they fall. This determines which rate of customs duty applies and how the goods are treated for statistical purposes.

#### **Product Section**

The classification of goods in the CN is divided in sections, chapters and sub chapters. There are 21 different sections that include all the possible exportable goods. Section I refers to live animals and animal, section II vegetable products...etc, etc.

#### **TARIC**

TARIC (acronym for "Tarif Intégré de la Communauté) - The Integrated Tariff of the Community. TARIC is an instrument which was created at the same time as the Combined Nomenclature (CN).

TARIC contains a nomenclature in the EU official languages with about 15,000 tariff lines. It shows all third country the preferential duty rates actually applicable as well as all commercial policy measures. TARIC comprises the Community legislation as published in the Official Journal. It constitutes an instrument for practical use and information but does not have a legal status in itself.

#### Preferential margin

Preferences granted under the GSP scheme give an advantage in the access to the EU market to products originating in a GSP beneficiary country over the competing products originating from countries that are not part of the GSP scheme. The preferential margin is the difference between the tariffs paid by the countries than do not have preferential access to the EU markets and those who benefit from prefrential tariffs on their entry into the European market.

#### **GSP SCHEME**

The GSP scheme is comprised of three arrangements:

- the <u>general arrangement</u> has a product-coverage of about 6 400 tariff lines, of which 2 500 are categorised as 'non-sensitive' products and 3 900 as 'sensitive' products.
- non-sensitive products enjoy duty-free access to the EU's market, while sensitive products benefit from a tariff reduction of 3,5 percentage points on the MFN tariff (for textiles/clothing, MFN minus 20 %);
- the <u>special arrangement for the least-developed countries (LDCs)</u> "Everything But Arms" - for the world's fifty poorest countries. All products - except arms and ammunition - are covered (about 7 300 dutiable tariff lines) and are given duty-free and quota-free access to the EU's market;
- the <u>special incentive arrangement for sustainable development and good governance</u> (the "GSP+"): for countries with specific development needs, i.e. "vulnerable" countries, the WTO ruled that it is possible to grant a specific GSP regime to countries faced with specific development needs. The beneficiary countries which

have made the commitment to align their human/labour rights, environmental and governance practices to internationally agreed standards can be considered as facing specific "development needs" and can be helped accordingly. There are fifteen beneficiary countries which satisfied the GSP+ criteria and which have been granted the regime. The product-coverage is virtually the same as for the general arrangement, with the sensitive products being granted duty-free access (i.e. zero duty for 6 400 tariff lines).

Criteria for a beneficiary country to be eligible for the GSP+ are:

- ratification and effective implementation of the 27 conventions annexed to the GSP regulation,
- not being classified as a high-income country by the World Bank,
- for the five largest sections of its GSP-covered exports to the EU to represent more than 75 % in value of its total GSP-covered imports into the EU, and
- for its GSP-covered imports into the EU to represent less than 1 % of the total GSP-covered imports into the EU.

The GSP+ beneficiary countries for the period from 1.1.2006 to 31.12.2008 are:

Bolivia, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Georgia, Guatemala, Honduras, Sri Lanka, Moldova, Mongolia, Nicaragua, Panama, Peru, El Salvador and Venezuela.

#### **Graduation of sections**

Some GSP beneficiary countries may reach, in case of exports to the EU of certain product sections, a level of competitiveness which ensures further growth even without preferential access to the EU market. Countries become competitive in the EU market for a given product section and no longer need the GSP to boost their exports to the EU for this product section. In this case the graduation mechanism is applied, which has as consequence, that imports of products originating in a GSP beneficiary country lose the benefit of GSP tariff preferences.

#### <u>ANNEX I</u>: <u>Statistical Data</u>

# Table 1

#### GSP Countries <u>All products</u>

	<u>Total</u> <u>Imports</u> <u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2000	360.661.069	96.145.256	47.111.203	49%
2001	1% 363.290.549	2% 98.537.660	6% 49.759.239	3% 50%
	-1%	3%	7%	4%
2002	361.439.529 5%	101.018.475	53.258.293 -8%	53%
2003	380.215.231	92.658.074	48.960.751	53%
2004	22% 464.987.750	-3%	-15% 41.410.194	-13% 46%
2004	27%	-1%	13%	4070
2005	588.429.962	89.402.610	46.796.081	52%
2006	17% 685.802.081	19% 106.133.726	10% 51.435.205	-7% 48%

# Table 2

#### GSP Countries <u>New Gsp products</u>

	<u>Total</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	3.061.834	715.076	309.466	43%
2002	-5%	8% 774.274	18% 365.090	9% 47%
	-3%	-9%	3%	13%
2003	2.819.616	705.839	376.902	53%
	-3%	-8%	-7%	1%
2004	2.742.120	652.182	352.364	54%
	20%	28%	38%	8%
2005	3.300.392	835.814	486.287	58%
	19%	364%	161%	-44%
2006	3.938.539	3.881.395	1.268.745	33%

#### LDC's Countries <u>All products</u>

	<u>Total</u> Imports_ X € 1,000_	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	14.113.812	6.387.621	2.313.034	36%
	-3%	0%	6%	7%
2002	13.750.895	6.368.601	2.458.470	39%
	-7%	0%	4%	4%
2003	12.842.961	6.342.961	2.545.201	40%
	9%	17%	23%	6%
2004	14.037.395	7.400.081	3.138.727	42%
	17%	2%	5%	3%
2005	16.451.042	7.570.679	3.303.553	44%
	4%	22%	35%	10%
2006	17.126.116	9.274.051	4.449.010	48%
		Ave	erage : 3.034.665	42%

#### Table 4

# GSP Countries without 14 biggest economies <u>All products</u>

	<u>Total</u> <u>Imports_</u> <u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	134.695.990	34.848.411	7.750.810	22%
2002	-5% 128.317.608	0% 34.945.379	4% 8.027.277	<sup>3%</sup> 23%
2003	1% 129.011.308	-11% 31.121.466	-12% 7.046.773	-1% 23%
2004	15% 148.476.747	10% 34.361.611	5% 7.403.749	-5% 22%
2005	29% 192.008.885	1%	36% 10.063.408	29%
	14%	23%	6%	-14%
2006	219.476.622	42.766.407	10.671.072	25%

		Preferential	Preferential	
		imports x €	imports x €	
	Countries	1.000 2005	1.000 2006	% 2006/2005
1		0,30	12.520,59	4173430%
2	Angola Bhutan	0,30	88,29	32600%
2	New Caledonia	0,27	57,51	9989%
4	Mozambique	172,26	8.242,05	4685%
4 5	Burundi	2,18	48,57	2128%
6	Burkina Fasso	167,26	2.677,42	1501%
7	Pakistan	170.052,84	2.298.079,86	1251%
8	Marshall (islands)	5,49	2.298.079,80 55,63	913%
9	Gambia	27,40	270,74	888%
10	Guam	3,58	34,65	868%
11	Togo	245,02	2.292,87	836%
12	Fiji (islands)	135,91	929,11	584%
13	Palaos	5,24	34,89	566%
14	Sierra Leone	579,06	3.029,35	423%
15	Papua New Guinea	101,95	495,12	425 <i>%</i> 386%
16	Malawi	2.258,42	10.485,85	364%
17	Ethiopia	4.732,59	19.636,56	304 %
18	Libyan (Jamahiriya Arab)	123.405,72	465.527,61	277%
19	Turkmenistan	16.633,10	61.367,20	269%
20	Bahamas	742,20	2.457,63	209 %
20	Niger	28,24	87,85	211%
21	Mali	46,61	134,79	189%
22	Iraq	27,95	66,94	139%
23 24	Jordan	1.721,86	4.107,53	139%
24 25	Zambia	4.898,52	11.139,47	139 %
23 26	Chile	34.801,11	77.953,67	127 %
20	Côte d'Ivoire	2.625,30	5.250,64	124 %
28	Benin	2.729,08	5.043,76	85%
20 29	Kenya	3.891,55	7.025,01	81%
30	Antigua and Barbuda	302,93	546,02	80%
31	Tunisia	25.938,50	45.930,68	77%
32	Afghanistan	901,07	1.567,00	74%
33	Swaziland	237,72	407,46	74%
34	Saudi Arabia	1.210.864,13	2.011.219,21	66%
35	The Netherlands Antilles	12.248,52	20.023,19	63%
36	Mexico	41.243,23	63.958,56	55%
30 37	Macao	11.268,06	17.404,28	54%
38	Sri Lanka	618.999,91	937.521,93	54 <i>%</i>
39	Bolivia	17.110,77	25.121,24	47%
40	Belize	199,39		46%
40 41	Malaysia	1.451.797,42	290,97 2.102.764,01	40 <i>%</i> 45%
41	Namibia	8.393,81	12.096,34	45% 44%
42 43		67.278,95	95.478,33	44% 42%
40	Panama	01.210,99	30.410,03	4270

45         The Maldives         31.382,61         44.322,75         41%           46         Saint-Vincent-et them Grendaian         23,99         33,09         38%           47         Gabon         367,98         506,65         38%           48         The Congo (democratic Republic of)         2.030,73         2.787,35         37%           49         Yemen         63.465,46         86.486,20         36%           50         Moldova (Republic of)         136.485,59         185.720,36         38%           51         Bangladesh         2.64.80,38,85         3.566.426,65         35%           52         Cambodia         355.495,18         474.710,41         34%           53         Nigeria         34.172,30         43.948,84         29%           54         Nicaragua         21.873,20         28.411,13         30%           55         Uruguay         137.316,34         172.828,18         26%           54         Sudan         843,32         1.057,31         25%           59         Oman         52.403,93         64.124,96         22%           61         Peru         53.536,511         64.510,452         21%           62 <t< th=""><th>44</th><th>Argentina</th><th>1.086.668,99</th><th>1.536.933,70</th><th>41%</th></t<>	44	Argentina	1.086.668,99	1.536.933,70	41%
46         Saint-Vincent-et them Grendaian         23,99         33,09         38%           47         Gabon         367,98         506,65         38%           48         The Congo (democratic Republic of)         2.030,73         2.787,35         37%           49         Yemen         63.465,46         86.486,20         36%           50         Moldova (Republic of)         136.485,59         185.720,36         36%           51         Bangladesh         2.648.038,85         3566.426,65         35%           52         Cambodia         355.495,18         474.710,41         34%           53         Paraguay         14.323,88         18.995,08         33%           54         Nicaragua         21.873,20         28.411,13         30%           55         Nigeria         34.172,30         43.948,84         29%           56         Brazil         3.567.498,58         4.522.398,81         27%           57         Uruguay         137.316,34         172.828,18         26%           50         Oman         52.403,39         64.124,96         22%           60         Honduras         78.080,81         95.500,05         22%           61					
47         Gabon         367,98         506,65         38%           48         The Congo (democratic Republic of)         2.030,73         2.787,35         37%           49         Yemen         63.465,46         86.486,20         36%           50         Moldova (Republic of)         136.485,59         185.720,36         36%           51         Bangladesh         2.648.038,85         3.566.426,65         35%           52         Cambodia         355.495,18         474.710,41         34%           53         Paraguay         14.323,88         18.995,08         33%           54         Nicaragua         21.873,20         28.411,13         30%           56         Brazil         3.567.496,84         472.282,18         26%           50         Oman         52.403,39         64.124,96         22%           60         Honduras         78.080,81         95.500,05         21%           61         Peru         53.368,511         646.110,45         21%           62         Mongolia         9.810,15         11.856,92         21%           63         Surinam         119,96         144,60         21%           64         Ukraine	46	Saint-Vincent-et them Grendaian		33,09	38%
48         The Congo (democratic Republic of)         2.030,73         2.787,35         37%           49         Yemen         63.465,46         86.486,20         36%           50         Moldova (Republic of)         136.485,59         185.720,36         36%           51         Bangladesh         2.648.038,85         3.566.426,65         35%           52         Cambodia         355.495,18         474.710,41         34%           53         Paraguay         14.323,88         18.995,08         30%           54         Nicaragua         21.873,20         28.411,13         30%           55         Virgaragua         13.736,634         172.828,18         29%           56         Brazil         3.567.498,58         4.522.398,81         25%           50         Oman         52.403,93         64.124,96         22%           60         Honduras         78.080,81         95.500,05         21%           61         Peru         533.685,11         64.6110,45         21%           64         Ukraine         1.1420,52,99         1.732.475,09         20%           65         El Salvador         54.114,69         64.743,39         20%           66 <td>47</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	47				
49         Yemen         63.465,46         86.486,20         36%           50         Moldova (Republic of)         136.485,59         185.720,36         36%           51         Bangladesh         2.648.038,85         3.666.426,65         35%           52         Cambodia         3355.495,18         474.710,41         34%           53         Paraguay         14.323,88         18.995,08         33%           54         Nicaragua         21.873,20         28.411,13         30%           55         Nigeria         3.4172,30         43.948,84         29%           57         Uruguay         137.316,34         172.828,18         26%           50         Oman         52.403,93         64.124,96         22%           64         Peru         533.685,11         646.110,45         21%           65         Surinam         119,96         144,60         21%           64         Ukraine         1.442.052,99         1.732.475,09         20%           65         El Salvador         54.114,69         64.743,39         20%           66         Ghana         2.167,20         2.884,92,28         19%           68         Thailand	48	The Congo (democratic Republic of)			37%
50         Moldova (Republic of)         136.485,59         185.720,36         36%           51         Bangladesh         2.648.038,85         3.566.426,65         35%           52         Cambodia         355.495,18         474.710,41         34%           52         Paraguay         14.323,88         18.995,08         33%           54         Nicaragua         21.873,20         28.411,13         30%           55         Nigeria         3.4172,30         43.948,84         29%           56         Brazil         3.567.498,58         4.522.398,81         27%           70         Uruguay         137.316,34         172.828,18         28%           59         Oman         52.403,93         64.124,96         21%           61         Honduras         78.080,81         95.500,05         22%           60         Honduras         78.080,81         95.500,05         22%           61         Honduras         78.080,81         95.500,05         22%           63         Surinam         119,96         144.60         21%           64         Ukraine         1.442.052,99         1.732.475,09         20%           65         Belarus	49				36%
51       Bangladesh       2.648.038,85       3.566.426,65       35%         52       Cambodia       355.495,18       474.710,41       34%         53       Paraguay       14.323,88       18.995,08       33%         54       Nicaragua       21.873,20       28.411,13       30%         55       Nigeria       34.172,30       43.948,84       29%         56       Brazil       3.567.498,58       4.522.398,81       27%         57       Uruguay       137.316,34       172.828,18       26%         58       Sudan       843,32       1.057,31       25%         59       Oman       52.403,93       64.124,96       22%         60       Honduras       78.080,81       95.500.05       22%         61       Peru       533.685,11       646.110,45       21%         62       Mongolia       1.942052,99       1.732.475,09       20%         63       Surinam       11.996       144,60       21%         64       Ukraine       1.442.052,99       1.732.475,09       20%         65       El Salvador       54.114,69       64.743,39       20%         66       Ghana       2.167,20					
52       Cambodia       355.495,18       474.710,41       34%         53       Paraguay       14.323,88       18.995,08       33%         54       Nicaragua       21.873,20       28.411,13       30%         55       Nigeria       34.172,30       43.948,84       29%         56       Brazil       3.567.496,58       4.522.398,81       27%         57       Uruguay       137.316,34       172.828,18       26%         58       Sudan       843,32       1.057,31       25%         59       Oman       52.403,93       64.124,96       22%         64       Honduras       78.080,81       95.500,05       22%         64       Peru       533.685,11       646.110,45       21%         63       Surinam       119,96       144,60       21%         64       Ukraine       2.167,20       2.589,64       19%         65       Baland       2.612,381,60       3.107.573,63       19%         66       Ghana       2.167,20       2.881,934,82       18%         71       Costa Rica       344,728,44       407.138,63       18%         72       Egypt       167.456,03       197.659,4		· · · ·			
53         Paraguay         14.323,88         18.995,08         33%           54         Nicaragua         21.873,20         28.411,13         30%           55         Nigeria         3.567.498,58         4.522.398,81         27%           56         Brazil         3.567.498,58         4.522.398,81         27%           57         Uruguay         137.316,34         172.828,18         26%           58         Sudan         843,32         1.057,31         25%           59         Oman         52.403,93         64.124,96         22%           61         Honduras         78.080,81         95.500,05         22%           62         Mongolia         9.810,15         11.856,92         21%           63         Surinam         119,96         1.442,05,99         20%           64         Ukraine         2.167,20         2.589,64         19%           65         El Salvador         2.612.381,60         3.107.57,363         19%           68         Thailand         2.436.304,90         2.881.934,82         18%           71         Costa Rica         344.728,44         407.138,63         18%           74         Vietnam         2.565	52			474.710,41	34%
54         Nicaragua         21.873,20         28.411,13         30%           55         Nigeria         34.172,30         43.948,84         29%           56         Brazil         3.567,498,58         4.522,398,81         27%           57         Uruguay         137.316,34         172.828,18         26%           59         Oman         52.403,93         64.124,96         22%           60         Honduras         78.080,81         95.500,05         22%           61         Peru         533.685,11         646.110,45         21%           62         Mongolia         9.810,15         11.856,92         21%           63         Suninam         119,96         144,60         21%           64         Ukraine         1.442.052,99         1.732.475,09         20%           65         El Salvador         54.114,69         64.743,39         20%           66         Ghana         2.167,20         2.589,64         19%           76         Belarus         409.388,61         488.192,28         19%           76         Belarus         409.388,61         488.192,28         19%           76         Kacakhstan         2.265,978,80	53	Paraguay			33%
55         Nigeria         34.172,30         43.948,84         29%           66         Brazil         3.567.498,58         4.522.398,81         27%           57         Uruguay         137.316,34         172.828,18         26%           58         Sudan         843,32         1.067,31         25%           50         Oman         52.403,93         64.124,96         22%           60         Honduras         78.080,81         95.500,05         22%           61         Peru         533.685,11         646.110,45         21%           62         Mongolia         9.810,15         111.856,92         21%           63         Surinam         119,96         144,60         21%           64         Ukraine         1.442.052,99         1.732.475,09         20%           65         El Salvador         54.114,69         64.743,39         20%           66         Ghana         2.167,20         2.589,64         19%           67         Bélarus         409.388,61         488.192,28         19%           68         Thailand         2.612.381,60         3.107.573,63         19%           71         Costa Rica         344.728,44	54		21.873,20	28.411,13	30%
56         Brazil         3.567.498,58         4.522.398,81         27%           57         Uruguay         137.316,34         172.828,18         26%           58         Sudan         843,32         1.057,31         25%           59         Oman         52.403,93         64.124,96         22%           61         Honduras         78.080,81         95.500,05         22%           61         Peru         533.685,11         646.110,45         21%           62         Mongolia         9.810,15         11.856,92         21%           63         Surinam         119,96         144,60         21%           64         Ukraine         2.167,20         2.589,64         19%           65         El Salvador         54.114,69         64.743,39         20%           66         Ghana         2.167,20         2.589,64         19%           67         Bélarus         409.388,61         488.192,28         19%           71         Costa Rica         344.728,44         407.138,63         18%           72         Egypt         167.456,03         197.659,46         18%           73         Guatemala         114.404,22         134.3	55			43.948,84	29%
58         Sudan         843,32         1.057,31         25%           59         Oman         52.403,93         64.124,96         22%           60         Honduras         78.080,81         95.500,05         22%           61         Peru         533.685,11         646.110,45         21%           62         Mongolia         9.810,15         11.856,92         21%           63         Surinam         119,96         1.44,60         21%           64         Ukraine         1.442.052,99         1.732.475,09         20%           65         El Salvador         54.114,69         64.743,39         20%           66         Ghana         2.167,20         2.589,64         19%           67         Bélarus         409.388,61         488.192,28         19%           68         Thailand         2.612,381,60         3.107.573,63         19%           69         Senegal         8.117,30         9.610,54         18%           70         Indonesia         2.436.304,90         2.881.934,82         18%           71         Costa Rica         344.728,44         407.138,63         18%           72         Egypt         167.456,03	56				27%
58         Sudan         843,32         1.057,31         25%           59         Oman         52.403,93         64.124,96         22%           60         Honduras         78.080,81         95.500,05         22%           61         Peru         533.685,11         646.110,45         21%           62         Mongolia         9.810,15         11.856,92         21%           63         Surinam         119,96         1.44,60         21%           64         Ukraine         1.442.052,99         1.732.475,09         20%           65         El Salvador         54.114,69         64.743,39         20%           66         Ghana         2.167,20         2.589,64         19%           67         Bélarus         409.388,61         488.192,28         19%           68         Thailand         2.612,381,60         3.107.573,63         19%           69         Senegal         8.117,30         9.610,54         18%           70         Indonesia         2.436,304,90         2.881,934,82         18%           71         Costa Rica         344.728,44         407.138,63         18%           72         Egypt         167.456,03	57	Uruguay	137.316,34	172.828,18	26%
59         Oman         52.403,93         64.124,96         22%           60         Honduras         78.080,81         95.500,05         22%           61         Peru         533.685,11         646.110,45         21%           62         Mongolia         9.810,15         11.856,92         21%           63         Surinam         119,96         144,60         21%           64         Ukraine         1.442,052,99         1.732.475,09         20%           65         El Salvador         54.114,69         64.743,39         20%           66         Ghana         2.167,20         2.589,64         19%           68         Thailand         2.612.381,60         3.107.573,63         19%           69         Senegal         8.117,30         9.610,54         18%           71         Costa Rica         344.728,44         407.138,63         18%           72         Egypt         167.456,03         197.659,46         18%           73         Guatemala         114.404,22         134.398,64         17%           74         Vietnam         2.565.978,80         3.009.954,18         17%           75         Kazakhstan         452.534,74 </td <td>58</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25%</td>	58				25%
61       Peru       533.685,11       646.110,45       21%         62       Mongolia       9.810,15       11.856,92       21%         63       Surinam       119,96       144,60       21%         64       Ukraine       1.442.052,99       1.732.475,09       20%         65       El Salvador       54.114,69       64.743,39       20%         66       Ghana       2.167,20       2.589,64       19%         67       Belarus       409.388,61       488.192,28       19%         68       Thailand       2.612.381,60       3.107.573,63       19%         69       Senegal       8.117,30       9.610,54       18%         70       Indonesia       2.436.304,90       2.881.934,82       18%         71       Costa Rica       344.728,44       407.138,63       18%         72       Egypt       167.456,03       197.659,46       17%         74       Vietnam       2.565.978,80       3.009.954,18       17%         75       Kazakhstan       422.503,55       494.225,98       17%         74       Vietnam       2.565.978,40       3.070       16%         75       Kazakhstan       422.503	59	Oman	52.403,93		22%
61         Peru         533.685,11         646.110,45         21%           62         Mongolia         9.810,15         11.856,92         21%           63         Surinam         119,96         144,60         21%           64         Ukraine         1.442.052,99         1.732.475,09         20%           65         El Salvador         54.114,69         64.743,39         20%           66         Ghana         2.167,20         2.589,64         19%           67         Belarus         409,388,61         488.192,28         19%           68         Thailand         2.612.381,60         3.107.573,63         19%           69         Senegal         8.117,30         9.610,54         18%           70         Indonesia         2.436.304,90         2.881.934,82         18%           71         Costa Rica         344.728,44         407.138,63         18%           73         Guatemala         114.404,22         134.398,64         17%           74         Vietnam         2.565.978,80         3.009.954,18         17%           75         Kazakhstan         422.503,55         494.225,98         17%           74         Uzbekistan	60	Honduras			22%
62         Mongolia         9.810,15         11.856,92         21%           63         Surinam         119,96         144,60         21%           64         Ukraine         1.442.052,99         1.732.475,09         20%           65         El Salvador         54.114,69         64.743,39         20%           66         Ghana         2.167,20         2.589,64         19%           67         Bélarus         409.388,61         488.192,28         19%           68         Thailand         2.612.381,60         3.107.573,63         19%           69         Senegal         8.117,30         9.610,54         18%           70         Indonesia         2.436.304,90         2.881.934,82         18%           71         Costa Rica         344.728,44         407.138,63         18%           72         Egypt         167.456,03         197.659,46         18%           73         Guatemala         114.404,22         134.398,64         17%           74         Vietnam         2.565.978,80         3.009.954,18         17%           74         Vietnam         2.565.978,80         3.009.954,18         17%           74         Uzbekistan	61				
63         Surinam         119,96         144,60         21%           64         Ukraine         1.442.052,99         1.732.475,09         20%           65         El Salvador         54.114,69         64.743,39         20%           66         Ghana         2.167,20         2.589,64         19%           67         Bélarus         409.388,61         488.192,28         19%           68         Thailand         2.612.381,60         3.107.573,63         19%           69         Senegal         8.117,30         9.610,54         18%           70         Indonesia         2.436.304,90         2.881.934,82         18%           71         Costa Rica         344.728,44         407.138,63         18%           72         Egypt         167.456,03         197.659,46         18%           73         Guatemala         114.404,22         134.398,64         17%           74         Vietnam         2.565.978,80         3.009.954,18         17%           74         Vietnam         2.565.978,80         3.009.954,18         17%           75         Kazakhstan         422.503,55         494.225,98         15%           70         Uzbekistan	62	Mongolia			21%
64         Ukraine         1.442.052,99         1.732.475,09         20%           65         El Salvador         54.114,69         64.743,39         20%           66         Ghana         2.167,20         2.589,64         19%           67         Bélarus         409.388,61         488.192,28         19%           68         Thailand         2.612.381,60         3.107.573,63         19%           68         Senegal         8.117,30         9.610,54         18%           70         Indonesia         2.436.304,90         2.881.934,82         18%           71         Costa Rica         344.728,44         407.138,63         18%           72         Egypt         167.456,03         197.659,46         18%           73         Guatemala         114.404,22         134.398,64         17%           74         Vietnam         2.565.978,80         3.009.954,18         17%           74         Kazakhstan         422.503,55         494.225,98         17%           74         Uzbekistan         55.534,74         64.290,05         16%           75         Kazakhstan         422.503         101.760,93         15%           79         St. Kitts a	63			144,60	21%
65       El Salvador       54.114,69       64.743,39       20%         66       Ghana       2.167,20       2.589,64       19%         67       Bélarus       409.388,61       488.192,28       19%         68       Thailand       2.612.381,60       3.107.573,63       19%         69       Senegal       8.117,30       9.610,54       18%         70       Indonesia       2.436.304,90       2.881.934,82       18%         71       Costa Rica       344.728,44       407.138,63       18%         72       Egypt       167.456,03       197.659,46       18%         73       Guatemala       114.404,22       134.398,64       17%         74       Vietnam       2.565.978,80       3.009.954,18       17%         75       Kazakhstan       422.503,55       494.225,98       17%         76       Ecuador       662.100,64       769.661,87       16%         77       Uzbekistan       5.514,74       64.290,05       15%         78       The Philippines       682.938,84       786.985,02       15%         79       St. Kitts and Nevis       26,68       30,70       15%         80       India <td>64</td> <td>Ukraine</td> <td></td> <td>1.732.475,09</td> <td>20%</td>	64	Ukraine		1.732.475,09	20%
66         Ghana         2.167,20         2.589,64         19%           67         Bélarus         409,388,61         488,192,28         19%           68         Thailand         2.612,381,60         3.107,573,63         19%           69         Senegal         8.117,30         9.610,54         18%           70         Indonesia         2.436,304,90         2.881,934,82         18%           71         Costa Rica         344,728,44         407,138,63         18%           72         Egypt         167,456,03         197,659,46         18%           73         Guatemala         114,404,22         134,398,64         17%           74         Vietnam         2.565,978,80         3.009,954,18         17%           75         Kazakhstan         422,503,55         494,225,98         17%           76         Ecuador         662,100,64         769,661,87         16%           78         The Philippines         682,938,84         786,985,02         15%           79         St. Kitts and Nevis         26,68         30,70         15%           80         India         8.478,128,71         9.668,342,69         14%           81         Madaga	65	El Salvador			20%
67         Bélarus         409.388,61         488.192,28         19%           68         Thailand         2.612.381,60         3.107.573,63         19%           69         Senegal         8.117,30         9.610,54         18%           70         Indonesia         2.436.304,90         2.881.934,82         18%           71         Costa Rica         344.728,44         407.138,63         18%           72         Egypt         167.456,03         197.659,46         18%           73         Guatemala         114.404,22         134.398,64         17%           74         Vietnam         2.565.978,80         3.009.954,18         17%           75         Kazakhstan         422.503,55         494.225,98         17%           76         Ecuador         662.100,64         769.661,87         16%           77         Uzbekistan         55.534,74         64.290,05         16%           78         The Philippines         682.938,84         786.985,02         15%           79         St. Kitts and Nevis         26,68         30,70         15%           81         Madagascar         5.521,49         6.292,16         14%           82         La	66	Ghana		2.589,64	19%
68         Thailand         2.612.381,60         3.107.573,63         19%           69         Senegal         8.117,30         9.610,54         18%           70         Indonesia         2.436.304,90         2.881.934,82         18%           71         Costa Rica         344.728,44         407.138,63         18%           72         Egypt         167.456,03         197.659,46         18%           73         Guatemala         114.404,22         134.398,64         17%           74         Vietnam         2.565.978,80         3.009.954,18         17%           75         Kazakhstan         422.503,55         494.225,98         17%           76         Ecuador         662.100,64         769.661,87         16%           77         Uzbekistan         55.534,74         64.290,05         16%           78         The Philippines         682.938,84         786.985,02         15%           78         The Philippines         19.5521,49         6.292,16         14%           81         Madagascar         5.521,49         6.292,16         14%           82         Lao (Republic of)         407.182,19         445.766,20         9%           96	67	Bélarus			19%
70       Indonesia       2.436.304,90       2.881.934,82       18%         71       Costa Rica       344.728,44       407.138,63       18%         72       Egypt       167.456,03       197.659,46       18%         73       Guatemala       114.404,22       134.398,64       17%         74       Vietnam       2.565.978,80       3.009.954,18       17%         75       Kazakhstan       422.503,55       494.225,98       17%         76       Ecuador       662.100,64       769.661,87       16%         77       Uzbekistan       55.534,74       64.290,05       16%         78       The Philippines       682.938,84       786.985,02       15%         79       St. Kitts and Nevis       26,68       30,70       15%         80       India       8.478.128,71       9.668.342,69       14%         81       Madagascar       5.521,49       6.292,16       14%         82       Lao (Republic democratic people's)       91.352,03       101.760,93       11%         83       Kyrgyzstan       2.762,21       3.064,12       11%         84       Iran (Islamic Republic of)       407.182,19       445.766,20       9% <td>68</td> <td>Thailand</td> <td>2.612.381,60</td> <td>3.107.573,63</td> <td>19%</td>	68	Thailand	2.612.381,60	3.107.573,63	19%
70       Indonesia       2.436.304,90       2.881.934,82       18%         71       Costa Rica       344.728,44       407.138,63       18%         72       Egypt       167.456,03       197.659,46       18%         73       Guatemala       114.404,22       134.398,64       17%         74       Vietnam       2.565.978,80       3.009.954,18       17%         75       Kazakhstan       422.503,55       494.225,98       17%         76       Ecuador       662.100,64       769.661,87       16%         77       Uzbekistan       55.534,74       64.290,05       16%         78       The Philippines       682.938,84       786.985,02       15%         79       St. Kitts and Nevis       26,68       30,70       15%         80       India       8.478.128,71       9.668.342,69       14%         81       Madagascar       5.521,49       6.292,16       14%         82       Lao (Republic democratic people's)       91.352,03       101.760,93       11%         83       Kyrgyzstan       2.762,21       3.064,12       11%         84       Iran (Islamic Republic of)       407.182,19       445.766,20       9% <td>69</td> <td>Senegal</td> <td>8.117,30</td> <td>9.610,54</td> <td>18%</td>	69	Senegal	8.117,30	9.610,54	18%
72Egypt167.456,03197.659,4618%73Guatemala114.404,22134.398,6417%74Vietnam2.565.978,803.009.954,1817%75Kazakhstan422.503,55494.225,9817%76Ecuador662.100,64769.661,8716%77Uzbekistan55.534,7464.290,0516%78The Philippines682.938,84786.985,0215%79St. Kitts and Nevis26,6830,7015%80India8.478.128,719.668.342,6914%81Madagascar5.521,496.292,1614%82Lao (Republic democratic people's)91.352,03101.760,9311%84Iran (Islamic Republic of)407.182,19445.766,209%85Dominican (Republic)3.651,403.990,229%86Morocco51.152,5455.875,929%87Haiti1.485,201.579,616%88Syrian (Arab Republic)11.271,3511.801,135%89Mauritania765,57777,552%90Colombia488.313,43485.722,67-1%91Nepal68.953,4468.456,60-1%	70				18%
72Egypt167.456,03197.659,4618%73Guatemala114.404,22134.398,6417%74Vietnam2.565.978,803.009.954,1817%75Kazakhstan422.503,55494.225,9817%76Ecuador662.100,64769.661,8716%77Uzbekistan55.534,7464.290,0516%78The Philippines682.938,84786.985,0215%79St. Kitts and Nevis26,6830,7015%80India8.478.128,719.668.342,6914%81Madagascar5.521,496.292,1614%82Lao (Republic democratic people's)91.352,03101.760,9311%84Iran (Islamic Republic of)407.182,19445.766,209%85Dominican (Republic)3.651,403.990,229%86Morocco51.152,5455.875,929%87Haiti1.485,201.579,616%88Syrian (Arab Republic)11.271,3511.801,135%89Mauritania765,57777,552%90Colombia488.313,43485.722,67-1%91Nepal68.953,4468.456,60-1%	71	Costa Rica	344.728,44	407.138,63	18%
73Guatemala114.404,22134.398,6417%74Vietnam2.565.978,803.009.954,1817%75Kazakhstan422.503,55494.225,9817%76Ecuador662.100,64769.661,8716%77Uzbekistan55.534,7464.290,0516%78The Philippines682.938,84786.985,0215%79St. Kitts and Nevis26,6830,7015%80India8.478.128,719.668.342,6914%81Madagascar5.521,496.292,1614%82Lao (Republic democratic people's)91.352,03101.760,9311%84Iran (Islamic Republic of)407.182,19445.766,209%85Dominican (Republic)3.651,403.990,229%86Morocco51.152,5455.875,929%87Haiti1.485,201.579,616%88Syrian (Arab Republic)11.271,3511.801,135%90Colombia488.313,43485.722,67-1%91Nepal68.953,4468.456,60-1%	72	Egypt	167.456,03		18%
75Kazakhstan422.503,55494.225,9817%76Ecuador662.100,64769.661,8716%77Uzbekistan55.534,7464.290,0516%78The Philippines682.938,84786.985,0215%79St. Kitts and Nevis26,6830,7015%80India8.478.128,719.668.342,6914%81Madagascar5.521,496.292,1614%82Lao (Republic democratic people's)91.352,03101.760,9311%83Kyrgyzstan2.762,213.064,1211%84Iran (Islamic Republic of)407.182,19445.766,209%85Dominican (Republic)3.651,403.990,229%86Morocco51.152,5455.875,929%87Haiti1.485,201.579,616%88Syrian (Arab Republic)11.271,3511.801,135%90Colombia488.313,43485.722,67-1%91Nepal68.953,4468.456,60-1%	73		114.404,22	134.398,64	17%
76Ecuador662.100,64769.661,8716%77Uzbekistan55.534,7464.290,0516%78The Philippines682.938,84786.985,0215%79St. Kitts and Nevis26,6830,7015%80India8.478.128,719.668.342,6914%81Madagascar5.521,496.292,1614%82Lao (Republic democratic people's)91.352,03101.760,9311%83Kyrgyzstan2.762,213.064,1211%84Iran (Islamic Republic of)407.182,19445.766,209%85Dominican (Republic)3.651,403.990,229%86Morocco51.152,5455.875,929%87Haiti1.485,201.579,616%88Syrian (Arab Republic)11.271,3511.801,135%90Colombia488.313,43485.722,67-1%91Nepal68.953,4468.456,60-1%	74	Vietnam	2.565.978,80	3.009.954,18	17%
76Ecuador662.100,64769.661,8716%77Uzbekistan55.534,7464.290,0516%78The Philippines682.938,84786.985,0215%79St. Kitts and Nevis26,6830,7015%80India8.478.128,719.668.342,6914%81Madagascar5.521,496.292,1614%82Lao (Republic democratic people's)91.352,03101.760,9311%83Kyrgyzstan2.762,213.064,1211%84Iran (Islamic Republic of)407.182,19445.766,209%85Dominican (Republic)3.651,403.990,229%86Morocco51.152,5455.875,929%87Haiti1.485,201.579,616%88Syrian (Arab Republic)11.271,3511.801,135%90Colombia488.313,43485.722,67-1%91Nepal68.953,4468.456,60-1%	75	Kazakhstan	422.503,55	494.225,98	17%
77Uzbekistan55.534,7464.290,0516%78The Philippines682.938,84786.985,0215%79St. Kitts and Nevis26,6830,7015%80India8.478.128,719.668.342,6914%81Madagascar5.521,496.292,1614%82Lao (Republic democratic people's)91.352,03101.760,9311%83Kyrgyzstan2.762,213.064,1211%84Iran (Islamic Republic of)407.182,19445.766,209%85Dominican (Republic)3.651,403.990,229%86Morocco51.152,5455.875,929%87Haiti1.485,201.579,616%88Syrian (Arab Republic)11.271,3511.801,135%90Colombia488.313,43485.722,67-1%91Nepal68.953,4468.456,60-1%	76	Ecuador	662.100,64		
79St. Kitts and Nevis26,6830,7015%80India8.478.128,719.668.342,6914%81Madagascar5.521,496.292,1614%82Lao (Republic democratic people's)91.352,03101.760,9311%83Kyrgyzstan2.762,213.064,1211%84Iran (Islamic Republic of)407.182,19445.766,209%5Dominican (Republic)3.651,403.990,229%86Morocco51.152,5455.875,929%87Haiti1.485,201.579,616%88Syrian (Arab Republic)11.271,3511.801,135%90Colombia488.313,43485.722,67-1%91Nepal68.953,4468.456,60-1%	77		55.534,74		16%
80India8.478.128,719.668.342,6914%81Madagascar5.521,496.292,1614%82Lao (Republic democratic people's)91.352,03101.760,9311%83Kyrgyzstan2.762,213.064,1211%84Iran (Islamic Republic of)407.182,19445.766,209%85Dominican (Republic)3.651,403.990,229%86Morocco51.152,5455.875,929%87Haiti1.485,201.579,616%88Syrian (Arab Republic)11.271,3511.801,135%90Colombia488.313,43485.722,67-1%91Nepal68.953,4468.456,60-1%	78	The Philippines	682.938,84	786.985,02	15%
81Madagascar5.521,496.292,1614%82Lao (Republic democratic people's)91.352,03101.760,9311%83Kyrgyzstan2.762,213.064,1211%84Iran (Islamic Republic of)407.182,19445.766,209%85Dominican (Republic)3.651,403.990,229%86Morocco51.152,5455.875,929%87Haiti1.485,201.579,616%88Syrian (Arab Republic)11.271,3511.801,135%90Colombia488.313,43485.722,67-1%91Nepal68.953,4468.456,60-1%	79	St. Kitts and Nevis	26,68	30,70	15%
81Madagascar5.521,496.292,1614%82Lao (Republic democratic people's)91.352,03101.760,9311%83Kyrgyzstan2.762,213.064,1211%84Iran (Islamic Republic of)407.182,19445.766,209%85Dominican (Republic)3.651,403.990,229%86Morocco51.152,5455.875,929%87Haiti1.485,201.579,616%88Syrian (Arab Republic)11.271,3511.801,135%90Colombia488.313,43485.722,67-1%91Nepal68.953,4468.456,60-1%	80	India	8.478.128,71	9.668.342,69	14%
82       Lao (Republic democratic people's)       91.352,03       101.760,93       11%         83       Kyrgyzstan       2.762,21       3.064,12       11%         84       Iran (Islamic Republic of)       407.182,19       445.766,20       9%         85       Dominican (Republic)       3.651,40       3.990,22       9%         86       Morocco       51.152,54       55.875,92       9%         87       Haiti       1.485,20       1.579,61       6%         88       Syrian (Arab Republic)       11.271,35       11.801,13       5%         90       Colombia       765,57       777,55       2%         91       Nepal       68.953,44       68.456,60       -1%	81	Madagascar	5.521,49		14%
84Iran (Islamic Republic of)407.182,19445.766,209%85Dominican (Republic)3.651,403.990,229%86Morocco51.152,5455.875,929%87Haiti1.485,201.579,616%88Syrian (Arab Republic)11.271,3511.801,135%89Mauritania765,57777,552%90Colombia488.313,43485.722,67-1%91Nepal68.953,4468.456,60-1%	82	Lao (Republic democratic people's)		101.760,93	11%
85         Dominican (Republic)         3.651,40         3.990,22         9%           86         Morocco         51.152,54         55.875,92         9%           87         Haiti         1.485,20         1.579,61         6%           88         Syrian (Arab Republic)         11.271,35         11.801,13         5%           89         Mauritania         765,57         777,55         2%           90         Colombia         488.313,43         485.722,67         -1%           91         Nepal         68.953,44         68.456,60         -1%	83	Kyrgyzstan	2.762,21	3.064,12	11%
86         Morocco         51.152,54         55.875,92         9%           87         Haiti         1.485,20         1.579,61         6%           88         Syrian (Arab Republic)         11.271,35         11.801,13         5%           89         Mauritania         765,57         777,55         2%           90         Colombia         488.313,43         485.722,67         -1%           91         Nepal         68.953,44         68.456,60         -1%	84	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	407.182,19	445.766,20	9%
87Haiti1.485,201.579,616%88Syrian (Arab Republic)11.271,3511.801,135%89Mauritania765,57777,552%90Colombia488.313,43485.722,67-1%91Nepal68.953,4468.456,60-1%	85	Dominican (Republic)	3.651,40	3.990,22	9%
88Syrian (Arab Republic)11.271,3511.801,135%89Mauritania765,57777,552%90Colombia488.313,43485.722,67-1%91Nepal68.953,4468.456,60-1%	86	Morocco	51.152,54	55.875,92	9%
89         Mauritania         765,57         777,55         2%           90         Colombia         488.313,43         485.722,67         -1%           91         Nepal         68.953,44         68.456,60         -1%	87	Haiti	1.485,20	1.579,61	6%
89         Mauritania         765,57         777,55         2%           90         Colombia         488.313,43         485.722,67         -1%           91         Nepal         68.953,44         68.456,60         -1%					
90Colombia488.313,43485.722,67-1%91Nepal68.953,4468.456,60-1%	89		765,57		2%
91 Nepal 68.953,44 68.456,60 -1%	90	Colombia		485.722,67	-1%
	91	Nepal	68.953,44		-1%
	92	Cuba	146.227,27	143.095,21	-2%

Distriction         1,12         0,30         1,12           96         Mauritius         2,617,88         2,266,91         -1,2%           96         Rwanda         82,67         72,41         -1,2%           96         Rwanda         2,617,88         2,266,91         -1,2%           96         Georgia         95,572,82         77,013,15         -1,9%           99         Uganda         2,441,33         1,900,88         -2,25%           100         Qatar         1,46,884,96         109,966,20         -2,5%           101         Lebanon         3,573,88         2,661,20         -2,6%           102         Venezuela         588,737,86         477,589,70         -2,68%           103         Bahrein         2,41,767,44         178,320,93         -2,6%           104         Lesotho         846,01         614,54         -2,7%           105         Cameroon         2,89,01         20,92,8         -3,8%           106         Dijbouti         3,75,70         260,80         -3,8%           107         Arkes Ca?quee, (islands)         1,83         1,19         -3,5%           108         Funeic Ca?quee, (islands)         1,83	93	Grenada	1,02	0,99	-3%
95         Mauritius         2.617,88         2.296,91         -1.2%           96         Rwanda         82,67         72,41         -1.2%           97         Kuwait         559,105,96         480,386,06         -1.4%           96         Georgia         95,572,82         77,013,15         -1.9%           99         Uganda         2.441,33         1.960,88         -20%           100         Catar         146,884,96         109,966,20         -26%           101         Lebanon         3.573,88         2.661,20         -26%           102         Venezuela         588,737,86         437,589,70         -26%           103         Bahrein         241,767,44         178,320,93         -26%           104         Lesotho         846,01         614,54         -27%           105         Cameroon         228,01         209,28         -28%           106         Dibouti         375,70         260,80         -31%           107         Armenia         181,895,48         122,567,40         -35%           118         South Africa         2.066,744,71         1391,302,62         -35%           118         South Africa         2.061,749,6					
96         Rwanda         82,67         72,41         -12%           97         Kuwait         559,105,96         480,386,06         -14%           98         Georgia         95,572,82         77.013,15         -14%           90         Uganda         2,441,33         1.960,88         -20%           100         Catar         146,884,96         109,966,20         -26%           101         Lebanon         3,573,88         437,589,70         -26%           102         Venezuela         588,737,86         437,589,70         -26%           103         Bahrein         241,767,44         178,320,93         -26%           104         Lesotho         446,01         614,54         -27%           105         Carmeroon         2299,01         209,28         -28%           106         Djibouti         375,70         260,80         -31%           107         Krake Claiques (islands)         1,83         1,19         -35%           108         Turcki Claiues (islands)         1,83         1,19         -35%           111         South Africa         2,066,749,65         18,374,15         -40%           128         Urnei Elarussalam					
97         Kuwait         559.105,96         480.386,06         -14%           98         Georgia         95.572,82         77.013,15         -19%           99         Uganda         2.441,33         1.960,88         -20%           100         Qatar         1.46.884,96         109.966,20         -25%           101         Lebanon         3.573,88         2.661,20         -26%           103         Bahrein         241.767,44         178.320,93         -26%           104         Lesotho         846,01         614,54         -27%           105         Cameroon         289,01         229,28         -28%           106         Djibouti         3375,70         260,80         -31%           107         Armenia         181.895,48         122.567,40         -33%           108         Azerbaijan         49.252,78         32.064,04         -35%           118         Gouth Africa         2.066.744,65         1.316.357,93         -36%           118         South Africa         2.066,749,65         1.316.357,93         -36%           118         Darusaia (Federation of)         2.156.654,47         1.391.302,62         -35%           114         <					
98         Georgia         95.572,82         77.013,15         -19%           99         Uganda         2.441,33         1.900,88         -20%           100         Catar         146.884,96         109.966,20         -26%           101         Lebanon         .573,88         2.661,20         -26%           102         Venezuela         588.737,86         437.589,70         -26%           104         Lesotho         846,01         614,54         -27%           105         Carmeroon         289,01         209,28         -28%           106         Djibouti         375,70         260,80         -31%           107         Armenia         181.895,48         122.567,40         -33%           108         Azerbaijan         49.252,78         32.064,04         -35%           109         Turks et Caïques (islands)         1,83         1,19         -35%           110         Russia (Federation of)         2.156.654,47         1.391.302,62         -35%           113         Tajikistan         30.495,05         18.374,15         -40%           114         Tanzania (Republic of)         4.807,89         2.840,91         -41%           114					
99         Uganda         2.441,33         1.960,88         -20%           100         Catar         146.884,96         109.966,20         -25%           101         Lebanon         3.573,88         2.661,20         -26%           103         Bahrein         241.767,44         178.320,93         -26%           104         Lesotho         846,01         614,54         -27%           105         Cameroon         289,01         209,28         -28%           106         Dibouti         375,70         260,80         -31%           107         Armenia         181.895,48         122.567,40         -33%           108         Azerbaijan         49.252,78         32.064,04         -35%           110         Russia (Federation of)         2.156.654,47         1.391.302,62         -35%           111         South Africa         2.066,749,65         1.316.357,93         -36%           113         Tajjikistan         30.495,05         18.374,15         -40%           114         Tanzania (Republic of)         4.807,89         2.840,91         -41%           113         Jajikistan         30.495,50         18.374,15         -40%           114					
100         Oatar         146.884,96         109.966,20         -25%           101         Lebanon         3.573,88         2.661,20         -26%           102         Venezuela         588.737,86         4375.589,70         -26%           103         Bahrein         241.767,44         178.320,93         -26%           104         Lesotho         241.767,44         178.320,93         -26%           104         Lesotho         241.767,44         178.320,93         -26%           106         Djibouti         3375,70         209.28         -28%           107         Armenia         181.895,48         122.567,40         -33%           108         Azerbaijan         49.252,78         32.064,04         -35%           101         Russia (Federation of)         2.156.654,47         1.391.302,62         -36%           113         South Africa         2.066.749,65         1.316.357,93         -36%           113         Brunéi Darussalam         426,13         264,14         -38%           113         Tajikistan         30.495,05         18.374,15         -40%           114         Brunéi Darussalam         426,13         264,14         -38%					
101         Lebanon         3.573,88         2.661,20         -26%           102         Wenezuela         588.737,86         437.589,70         -26%           103         Bahrein         241.767,44         178.320,93         -26%           104         Lesotho         846,01         614,54         -27%           105         Cameroon         289,01         209,28         -28%           106         Dijbouti         375,70         260,80         -31%           107         Armenia         181.895,48         122.567,40         -33%           108         Azerbaijan         49.252,78         32.064,04         -35%           101         Russia (Federation of)         2.156.654,47         1.391.302,62         -35%           111         South Africa         2.066.749,65         1.316.357,93         -36%           112         Brunéi Darussalam         426,13         264,14         -38%           114         Tanzania (Republic of)         4.807,89         2.840,91         -41%           113         Tajikistan         30.495,05         198,42         -55%           114         Tanzania (Republic of)         4.807,89         2.840,91         -41%					
102         Venezuela         588.737,66         437.589,70         -26%           103         Bahrein         241.767,44         178.320,93         -26%           104         Lesotho         846,01         614,54         -27%           105         Cameroon         289,01         209,28         -28%           106         Djibouti         375,70         260,80         -31%           107         Armenia         181.895,48         122.567,40         -33%           108         Azerbaijan         49.252,78         32.064,04         -35%           109         Turks et Caïques (islands)         1,83         1,19         -35%           101         Russia (Federation of)         2.156.654,47         1.391.302,62         -35%           111         South Africa         2.066.749,65         1.316.357,93         -36%           113         Tajikistan         30.495,05         18.374,15         -40%           114         Tanzania (Republic of)         4.807,69         2.840,91         -41%           115         Jamaica         1.294.710,98         615.157,93         -52%           117         Chad         53,34         22,03         -55%           116<					
103         Bahrein         241.767,44         178.320,93         -26%           104         Lesotho         846,01         614,54         -27%           105         Cameroon         289,01         209,28         -28%           106         Djibouti         375,70         260,80         -31%           107         Armenia         181.895,48         122.567,40         -33%           108         Azerbaijan         49.252,78         32.064,04         -35%           109         Turks et Carjues (islands)         1,83         1,19         -35%           110         Russia (Federation of)         2.156.654,47         1.391.302,62         -35%           111         South Africa         2.066.749,65         1.316.357,93         -36%           111         Datrica (Republic of)         4.807,89         2.840,91         -41%           114         Tanzania (Republic of)         4.807,89         1.817,93         -52%           111         Jamaica         350,95         198,42         -43%           112         Jamaica         350,95         198,42         -43%           114         Tanzania (Republic of)         4.839,500,88         1.618,57,93         -52%      <					
104         Lesotho         846,01         614,54         -27%           105         Cameroon         289,01         209,28         -28%           106         Djibouti         375,70         260,80         -31%           107         Armenia         181,895,48         122,567,40         -33%           108         Azerbaijan         49.252,78         32.064,04         -35%           109         Turks et Caïques (islands)         1,83         1,19         -35%           111         South Africa         2.066,749,65         1.316,357,93         -36%           112         Brunei Darussalam         426,13         2284,14         -38%           113         Tajikistan         30.495,05         18.374,15         -40%           114         Tanzania (Republic of)         4.807,89         2.840,91         -41%           115         Jamaica         350,95         198,42         -43%           116         United Arab Emirates         1.294,710,98         615,157,93         -52%           117         Chad         53,34         22,03         -55%           118         Saint-Pierre-et-Miquelon         61,64         23,91         -61%           120					
105         Cameroon         289,01         209,28         -28%           106         Djibouti         375,70         260,80         -31%           107         Armenia         181.895,48         122.567,40         -33%           108         Azerbaijan         49.252,78         32.064,04         -35%           108         Azerbaijan         49.252,78         32.064,04         -35%           101         Russia (Federation of)         2.156.654,47         1.391.302,62         -35%           111         South Africa         2.066.749,65         1.316.357,93         -36%           113         Tajikistan         30.495,05         18.374,15         -40%           113         Tajikistan         30.495,05         18.374,15         -40%           114         Tanzania (Republic of)         4.807,89         2.840,91         -41%           114         Jamaica         350,95         198,42         -43%           116         Mariaca         350,95         18,42         -43%           116         Mariaca         35,34         22,03         -5%           114         Tanzania (Republic of)         4.839,500,88         1.618,369,40         -67%           1					
106         Djibouti         375,70         260,80         -31%           107         Armenia         181.895,48         122.567,40         -33%           108         Azerbaijan         49.252,78         32.064,04         -35%           109         Turks et Caïques (islands)         1,83         1,19         -35%           101         Russia (Federation of)         2.156.654,47         1.391.302,62         -35%           111         South Africa         2.066.749,65         1.316.357,93         -36%           112         Brunéi Darussalam         426,13         264,14         -38%           113         Tajikistan         30.495,05         18.374,15         40%           114         Jamaica         350,95         198,42         43%           115         Jamaica         350,95         198,42         43%           116         United Arab Emirates         1.294,710,98         615.157,93         -52%           117         Chad         53,34         22.03         -59%           118         Saint-Pierre-et-Miquelon         61,64         23,91         -61%           120         Virgin British (islands)         251,76         93,03         -67%					
107         Armenia         181.895,48         122.567,40         -33%           108         Azerbaijan         49.252,78         32.064,04         -35%           109         Turks et Caïques (islands)         1,83         1,19         -35%           110         Russia (Federation of)         2.156.654,47         1.391.302,62         -35%           111         South Africa         2.066.749,65         1.316.357,93         -36%           112         Brunéi Darussalam         426,13         2.64,14         -38%           113         Tajikistan         30.495,05         18.374,15         -40%           114         Tanzania (Republic of)         4.807,89         2.840,91         -41%           115         Jamaica         350,95         198,42         -43%           116         United Arab Emirates         1.294.710,98         615.157,93         -52%           117         Chad         53,34         22,03         -56%           118         Saint-Pierre-et-Miquelon         61,64         23,91         -61%           119         Tokelau (islands)         251,76         93,03         -63%           120         Virgin British (islands)         77,68         22,90         -7					
108         Azerbaijan         49.252,78         32.064,04         -35%           109         Turks et Caïques (islands)         1,83         1,19         -35%           110         Russia (Federation of)         2.156.654,47         1.391.302,62         -36%           111         South Africa         2.066.749,65         1.316.357,93         -36%           112         Brunéi Darussalam         426,13         264,14         -38%           113         Tajikistan         30.495,05         18.374,15         -40%           114         Tanzania (Republic of)         4.807,89         2.840,91         -41%           115         Jamaica         350,95         198,42         -43%           116         United Arab Emirates         1.294.710,98         615.157,93         -52%           118         Saint-Pierre-et-Miquelon         61,64         23,91         -61%           119         Tokelau (islands)         251,76         93,03         -63%           120         Virgin British (islands)         251,76         93,03         -63%           121         British territory of the Indian Ocean         26,77         9,77         -64%           122         China (people's Republic of)         4.839		-			
109         Turks et Caïques (islands)         1,83         1,19         -35%           110         Russia (Federation of)         2.156.654,47         1.391.302,62         -35%           111         South Africa         2.066.749,65         1.316.357,93         -36%           111         Tajikistan         426,13         264.14         -38%           113         Tajikistan         30.495,05         18.374,15         -40%           114         Tanzania (Republic of)         4.807,89         2.840,91         -41%           115         Jamaica         350,95         198,42         -43%           116         United Arab Emirates         1.294.710,98         615.157,93         -52%           117         Chad         53,34         22,03         -59%           118         Saint-Pierre-et-Miquelon         61,64         23,91         -61%           119         Tokelau (islands)         251,76         93,03         -63%           120         Virgin British (islands)         271,76         93,03         -63%           121         British territory of the Indian Ocean         26,77         9,77         -64%           122         China (people's Republic of)         4.839.500,88					
110       Russia (Federation of)       2.156.654,47       1.391.302,62       -35%         111       South Africa       2.066.749,65       1.316.357,93       -36%         112       Brunéi Darussalam       426,13       264,14       -38%         113       Tajikistan       30.495,05       18.374,15       -40%         114       Tanzania (Republic of)       4.807,89       2.840,91       -41%         115       Jamaica       350,95       198,42       -43%         116       United Arab Emirates       1.294.710,98       615.157,93       -52%         117       Chad       53,34       22,03       -59%         118       Saint-Pierre-et-Miquelon       61,64       23,91       -61%         119       Tokelau (islands)       251,76       93,03       -63%         120       Virgin British (islands)       251,76       93,03       -66%         121       China (people's Republic of)       4.839,500,88       1.618,369,40       -67%         122       China (people's Republic of)       4.839,500,88       1.618,369,40       -67%         122       Zimbabwe       5.934,59       1.415,38       -76%         124       Comoros Islands (Islands)					
111         South Africa         2.066.749,65         1.316.357,93         -36%           112         Brunéi Darussalam         426,13         264,14         -38%           113         Tajikistan         30.495,05         18.374,15         -40%           114         Tanzania (Republic of)         4.807,89         2.840,91         -41%           115         Jamaica         350,95         198,42         -43%           116         United Arab Emirates         1.294.710,98         615.157,93         -52%           117         Chad         53,34         22,03         -59%           118         Saint-Pierre-et-Miquelon         61,64         23,91         -61%           119         Tockelau (islands)         251,76         93,03         -63%           120         Virgin British (islands)         251,76         93,03         -66%           121         British territory of the Indian Ocean         26,77         9,77         -64%           122         China (people's Republic of)         4.839.500,88         1.618.369,40         -67%           122         China (people's Republic of)         4.839.500,88         1.618.369,40         -67%           123         Liberia         162,32					
112         Brunéi Darussalam         426,13         264,14         -38%           113         Tajikistan         30.495,05         18.374,15         -40%           114         Tanzania (Republic of)         4.807,89         2.840,91         -41%           115         Jamaica         350,95         198,42         -43%           116         United Arab Emirates         1.294,710,98         615.157,93         -52%           117         Chad         53,34         22,03         -59%           118         Saint-Pierre-et-Miquelon         61,64         23,91         -61%           119         Tokelau (islands)         251,76         93,03         -63%           120         Virgin British (islands)         251,76         93,03         -66%           121         British territory of the Indian Ocean         26,77         9,77         -64%           122         China (people's Republic of)         4.839,500,88         1.618.369,40         -67%           123         Liberia         162,32         49,72         -69%           124         Comoros Islands (Islands)         77,68         22,90         -71%           125         Zimbabwe         5.934,59         1.415,38 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
113         Tajikistan         30.495,05         18.374,15         -40%           114         Tanzania (Republic of)         4.807,89         2.840,91         -41%           115         Jamaica         350,95         198,42         -43%           116         United Arab Emirates         1.294.710,98         615.157,93         -52%           117         Chad         53,34         22,03         -59%           118         Saint-Pierre-et-Miquelon         61,64         23,91         -61%           119         Tokelau (islands)         251,76         93,03         -63%           120         Virgin British (islands)         251,76         93,03         -66%           121         British territory of the Indian Ocean         26,77         9,77         -64%           122         China (people's Republic of)         4.839.500,88         1.618.369,40         -67%           122         Zimbabwe         5.934,59         1.415,38         -76%           124         Comoros Islands (Islands)         77,68         22,90         -71%           125         Zimbabwe         5.934,59         1.415,38         -86%           128         Aruba         47.050,34         5.479,56 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
114         Tanzania (Republic of)         4.807,89         2.840,91         -41%           115         Jamaica         350,95         198,42         -43%           116         United Arab Emirates         1.294,710,98         615.157,93         -52%           117         Chad         53,34         22,03         -59%           118         Saint-Pierre-et-Miquelon         61,64         23,91         -61%           119         Tokelau (islands)         251,76         93,03         -63%           120         Virgin British (islands)         251,76         93,03         -63%           121         British territory of the Indian Ocean         26,77         9,77         -64%           122         China (people's Republic of)         4.839.500,88         1.618.369,40         -67%           123         Liberia         162,32         49,72         -69%           124         Comoros Islands (Islands)         77,68         22,90         -71%           125         Zimbabwe         5.934,59         1.415,38         -76%           125         Algeria         47.050,34         5.479,56         -88%           129         Dominica         93,86         9,75         -90%					
115       Jamaica       350.95       198,42       -43%         116       United Arab Emirates       1.294.710,98       615.157,93       -52%         117       Chad       53,34       22,03       -59%         118       Saint-Pierre-et-Miquelon       61,64       23,91       -61%         119       Tokelau (islands)       146,88       54,71       -63%         120       Virgin British (islands)       251,76       93,03       -63%         121       British territory of the Indian Ocean       26,77       9,77       -64%         122       China (people's Republic of)       4.839,500,88       1.618.369,40       -67%         123       Liberia       162,32       49,72       -69%         124       Comoros Islands (Islands)       77,68       22,90       -71%         125       Zimbabwe       5.934,59       1.415,38       -76%         126       Algeria       47.128,13       7.783,33       -83%         127       French Polynesia       186,41       26,83       -86%         128       Aruba       47.050,34       5.479,56       -88%         129       Dominica       93,86       9,75       -90% <tr< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>		-			
116       United Arab Emirates       1.294.710,98       615.157,93       -52%         117       Chad       53,34       22,03       -59%         118       Saint-Pierre-et-Miquelon       61,64       23,91       -61%         119       Tokelau (islands)       146,88       54,71       -63%         120       Virgin British (islands)       251,76       93,03       -63%         121       British territory of the Indian Ocean       26,77       9,77       -64%         122       China (people's Republic of)       4.839.500,88       1.618.369,40       -67%         123       Liberia       162,32       49,72       -69%         124       Comoros Islands (Islands)       77,68       22,90       -71%         125       Zimbabwe       5.934,59       1.415,38       -76%         126       Algeria       47.128,13       7.783,33       -83%         127       French Polynesia       186,41       26,83       -86%         128       Aruba       47.050,34       5.479,56       -88%         129       Dominica       93,86       9,75       -90%         130       Barbados       35,99       3,62       -90%					
117         Chad         53,34         22,03         -59%           118         Saint-Pierre-et-Miquelon         61,64         23,91         -61%           119         Tokelau (islands)         146,88         54,71         -63%           120         Virgin British (islands)         251,76         93,03         -63%           121         British territory of the Indian Ocean         26,77         9,77         -64%           122         China (people's Republic of)         4.839.500,88         1.618.369,40         -67%           123         Liberia         162,32         49,72         -69%           124         Comoros Islands (Islands)         77,68         22,90         -71%           125         Zimbabwe         5.934,59         1.415,38         -76%           126         Algeria         47.128,13         7.783,33         -83%           127         French Polynesia         186,41         26,83         -86%           129         Dominica         93,86         9,75         -90%           130         Barbados         35,99         3,62         -90%           131         Guyana         198,41         17,29         -91%           132					
118         Saint-Pierre-et-Miquelon         61,64         23,91         -61%           119         Tokelau (islands)         146,88         54,71         -63%           120         Virgin British (islands)         251,76         93,03         -63%           121         British territory of the Indian Ocean         26,77         9,77         -64%           122         China (people's Republic of)         4.839.500,88         1.618.369,40         -67%           123         Liberia         162,32         49,72         -69%           124         Comoros Islands (Islands)         77,68         22,90         -71%           125         Zimbabwe         5.934,59         1.415,38         -76%           126         Algeria         47.128,13         7.783,33         -83%           127         French Polynesia         186,41         26,83         -86%           129         Dominica         93,86         9,75         -90%           130         Barbados         35,99         3,62         -90%           131         Guyana         198,41         17,29         -91%           132         The Solomon Islands         306,88         14,17         -95%					
119       Tokelau (islands)       146,88       54,71       -63%         120       Virgin British (islands)       251,76       93,03       -63%         121       British territory of the Indian Ocean       26,77       9,77       -64%         122       China (people's Republic of)       4.839.500,88       1.618.369,40       -67%         123       Liberia       162,32       49,72       -69%         124       Comoros Islands (Islands)       77,68       22,90       -71%         125       Zimbabwe       5.934,59       1.415,38       -76%         126       Algeria       47.128,13       7.783,33       -83%         127       French Polynesia       186,41       26,83       -86%         128       Aruba       47.050,34       5.479,56       -88%         129       Dominica       93,86       9,75       -90%         130       Barbados       35,99       3,62       -90%         131       Guyana       198,41       17,29       -91%         132       The Solomon Islands       306,88       14,17       -95%         133       Eritrea       52,26       0,55       -99%         134 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
120         Virgin British (islands)         251,76         93,03         -63%           121         British territory of the Indian Ocean         26,77         9,77         -64%           122         China (people's Republic of)         4.839.500,88         1.618.369,40         -67%           123         Liberia         162,32         49,72         -69%           124         Comoros Islands (Islands)         77,68         22,90         -71%           125         Zimbabwe         5.934,59         1.415,38         -76%           126         Algeria         47.128,13         7.783,33         -83%           127         French Polynesia         186,41         26,83         -86%           128         Aruba         47.050,34         5.479,56         -88%           129         Dominica         93,86         9,75         -90%           130         Barbados         35,99         3,62         -90%           131         Guyana         198,41         17,29         -91%           132         The Solomon Islands         306,88         14,17         -95%           133         Eritrea         52,26         0,55         -99%           134 <t< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		-			
121       British territory of the Indian Ocean       26,77       9,77       -64%         122       China (people's Republic of)       4.839.500,88       1.618.369,40       -67%         123       Liberia       162,32       49,72       -69%         124       Comoros Islands (Islands)       77,68       22,90       -71%         125       Zimbabwe       5.934,59       1.415,38       -76%         126       Algeria       47.128,13       7.783,33       -83%         127       French Polynesia       186,41       26,83       -86%         128       Aruba       47.050,34       5.479,56       -88%         129       Dominica       93,86       9,75       -90%         130       Barbados       35,99       3,62       -90%         131       Guyana       198,41       17,29       -91%         132       The Solomon Islands       306,88       14,17       -95%         133       Eritrea       52,26       0,55       -99%         134       Virgin of the United States (islands)       39.918,41       194,33       -100%         135       Gibraltar       8.496,70       0,73       -100%         136 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
122China (people's Republic of)4.839.500,881.618.369,40-67%123Liberia162,3249,72-69%124Comoros Islands (Islands)77,6822,90-71%125Zimbabwe5.934,591.415,38-76%126Algeria47.128,137.783,33-83%127French Polynesia186,4126,83-86%128Aruba47.050,345.479,56-88%129Dominica93,869,75-90%130Barbados35,993,62-90%131Guyana198,4117,29-91%132The Solomon Islands306,8814,17-95%133Eritrea52,260,55-99%134Virgin of the United States (islands)39.918,41194,33-100%135Gibraltar8.496,700,73-100%136Anguilla7,870,00-100%137Botswana164,250,00-100%138Bouvet (island)51,730,00-100%139Cocos (islands) (or Keeling islands)19,720,00-100%140Cook (islands)13,150,00-100%					
123         Liberia         162,32         49,72         -69%           124         Comoros Islands (Islands)         77,68         22,90         -71%           125         Zimbabwe         5.934,59         1.415,38         -76%           126         Algeria         47.128,13         7.783,33         -83%           127         French Polynesia         186,41         26,83         -86%           128         Aruba         47.050,34         5.479,56         -88%           129         Dominica         93,86         9,75         -90%           130         Barbados         35,99         3,62         -90%           131         Guyana         198,41         17,29         -91%           132         The Solomon Islands         306,88         14,17         -95%           133         Eritrea         52,26         0,55         -99%           134         Virgin of the United States (islands)         39.918,41         194,33         -100%           135         Gibraltar         8.496,70         0,73         -100%           136         Anguilla         7,87         0,00         -100%           137         Botswana         164,25				,	
124Comoros Islands (Islands)77,6822,90-71%125Zimbabwe5.934,591.415,38-76%126Algeria47.128,137.783,33-83%127French Polynesia186,4126,83-86%128Aruba47.050,345.479,56-88%129Dominica93,869,75-90%130Barbados35,993,62-90%131Guyana198,4117,29-91%132The Solomon Islands306,8814,17-95%133Eritrea52,260,55-99%134Virgin of the United States (islands)39.918,41194,33-100%135Gibraltar8.496,700,73-100%136Anguilla7,870,00-100%137Botswana164,250,00-100%138Bouvet (island)51,730,00-100%140Cook (islands) (or Keeling islands)19,720,00-100%					
125Zimbabwe5.934,591.415,38-76%126Algeria47.128,137.783,33-83%127French Polynesia186,4126,83-86%128Aruba47.050,345.479,56-88%129Dominica93,869,75-90%130Barbados35,993,62-90%131Guyana1198,4117,29-91%132The Solomon Islands306,8814,17-95%133Eritrea52,260,55-99%134Virgin of the United States (islands)39.918,41194,33-100%135Gibraltar8.496,700,73-100%136Anguilla7,870,00-100%137Botswana164,250,00-100%138Bouvet (island)51,730,00-100%139Cocos (islands) (or Keeling islands)19,720,00-100%140Cook (islands)13,150,00-100%	124	Comoros Islands (Islands)			-71%
126Algeria47.128,137.783,33-83%127French Polynesia186,4126,83-86%128Aruba47.050,345.479,56-88%129Dominica93,869,75-90%130Barbados35,993,62-90%131Guyana1198,4117,29-91%132The Solomon Islands306,8814,17-95%133Eritrea52,260,55-99%134Virgin of the United States (islands)39.918,41194,33-100%135Gibraltar8.496,700,73-100%136Anguilla7,870,00-100%137Botswana164,250,00-100%138Bouvet (island)51,730,00-100%139Cocos (islands) (or Keeling islands)19,720,00-100%140Cook (islands)13,150,00-100%					
127French Polynesia186,4126,83-86%128Aruba47.050,345.479,56-88%129Dominica93,869,75-90%130Barbados35,993,62-90%131Guyana198,4117,29-91%132The Solomon Islands306,8814,17-95%133Eritrea52,260,55-99%134Virgin of the United States (islands)39.918,41194,33-100%135Gibraltar8.496,700,73-100%136Anguilla7,870,00-100%138Bouvet (island)51,730,00-100%139Cocos (islands) (or Keeling islands)19,720,00-100%140Cook (islands)13,150,00-100%	126	Algeria			-83%
128Aruba47.050,345.479,56-88%129Dominica93,869,75-90%130Barbados35,993,62-90%131Guyana198,4117,29-91%132The Solomon Islands306,8814,17-95%133Eritrea52,260,55-99%134Virgin of the United States (islands)39.918,41194,33-100%135Gibraltar8.496,700,73-100%136Anguilla7,870,00-100%137Botswana164,250,00-100%138Bouvet (island)51,730,00-100%139Cocos (islands) (or Keeling islands)19,720,00-100%140Cook (islands)13,150,00-100%	127				-86%
130Barbados35,993,62-90%131Guyana198,4117,29-91%132The Solomon Islands306,8814,17-95%133Eritrea52,260,55-99%134Virgin of the United States (islands)39.918,41194,33-100%135Gibraltar8.496,700,73-100%136Anguilla7,870,00-100%137Botswana164,250,00-100%138Bouvet (island)51,730,00-100%139Cocos (islands) (or Keeling islands)19,720,00-100%140Cook (islands)13,150,00-100%	128	Aruba		5.479,56	-88%
131Guyana198,4117,29-91%132The Solomon Islands306,8814,17-95%133Eritrea52,260,55-99%134Virgin of the United States (islands)39.918,41194,33-100%135Gibraltar8.496,700,73-100%136Anguilla7,870,00-100%137Botswana164,250,00-100%138Bouvet (island)51,730,00-100%139Cocos (islands) (or Keeling islands)19,720,00-100%140Cook (islands)13,150,00-100%	129	Dominica	93,86	9,75	-90%
132The Solomon Islands306,8814,17-95%133Eritrea52,260,55-99%134Virgin of the United States (islands)39.918,41194,33-100%135Gibraltar8.496,700,73-100%136Anguilla7,870,00-100%137Botswana164,250,00-100%138Bouvet (island)51,730,00-100%139Cocos (islands) (or Keeling islands)19,720,00-100%140Cook (islands)13,150,00-100%	130	Barbados			-90%
133Eritrea52,260,55-99%134Virgin of the United States (islands)39.918,41194,33-100%135Gibraltar8.496,700,73-100%136Anguilla7,870,00-100%137Botswana164,250,00-100%138Bouvet (island)51,730,00-100%139Cocos (islands) (or Keeling islands)19,720,00-100%140Cook (islands)13,150,00-100%	131	Guyana	198,41	17,29	-91%
134Virgin of the United States (islands)39.918,41194,33-100%135Gibraltar8.496,700,73-100%136Anguilla7,870,00-100%137Botswana164,250,00-100%138Bouvet (island)51,730,00-100%139Cocos (islands) (or Keeling islands)19,720,00-100%140Cook (islands)13,150,00-100%	132	The Solomon Islands	306,88	14,17	-95%
135Gibraltar8.496,700,73-100%136Anguilla7,870,00-100%137Botswana164,250,00-100%138Bouvet (island)51,730,00-100%139Cocos (islands) (or Keeling islands)19,720,00-100%140Cook (islands)13,150,00-100%	133	Eritrea	52,26	0,55	-99%
136Anguilla7,870,00-100%137Botswana164,250,00-100%138Bouvet (island)51,730,00-100%139Cocos (islands) (or Keeling islands)19,720,00-100%140Cook (islands)13,150,00-100%	134	Virgin of the United States (islands)	39.918,41	194,33	-100%
137Botswana164,250,00-100%138Bouvet (island)51,730,00-100%139Cocos (islands) (or Keeling islands)19,720,00-100%140Cook (islands)13,150,00-100%	135			0,73	-100%
138         Bouvet (island)         51,73         0,00         -100%           139         Cocos (islands) (or Keeling islands)         19,72         0,00         -100%           140         Cook (islands)         13,15         0,00         -100%	136	Anguilla	7,87	0,00	-100%
139         Cocos (islands) (or Keeling islands)         19,72         0,00         -100%           140         Cook (islands)         13,15         0,00         -100%	137	Botswana	164,25	0,00	-100%
140         Cook (islands)         13,15         0,00         -100%	138	Bouvet (island)	51,73	0,00	-100%
	139	Cocos (islands) (or Keeling islands)	19,72	0,00	-100%
141         Guinea         46,24         0,00         -100%	140	Cook (islands)	13,15	0,00	-100%
	141	Guinea	46,24	0,00	-100%

142	Mariannes of the North (Islands)	1,73	0,00	-100%
143	Mayotte	0,97	0,00	-100%
144	Nauru	10,75	0,00	-100%
145	Niue (island)	7,87	0,00	-100%
146	Norfolk (island)	0,51	0,00	-100%
147	Pitcairn	0,12	0,00	-100%
148	Seychelles	2.195,89	0,00	-100%
149	South Georgia and the Sandwich islands Southern	2.375,47	0,00	-100%
150	The Congo	281,49	0,00	-100%
151	Vanuatu	61,72	0,00	-100%
152	Walles and Futuna	3,10	0,00	-100%
153	Saint Helena	0,00	0,97	
154	Caïmanes (islands)	0,00	1,39	
155	Montserrat	0,00	2,02	
156	Somalia	0,00	2,06	
157	Timor-Leste	0,00	10,92	
158	Sao Tome and Principle	0,00	14,65	
159	Micronesia (federate States of)	0,00	16,29	
160	Cape Verde (Republic of)	0,00	23,75	
161	American Samoa	0,00	62,59	
162	Equatorial Guinea	0,00	1.566,49	

# Textiles

	Countries	Preferential imports x € 1.000 2005	Preferential imports x € 1.000 2006	% 2006/2005
1	Fiji (islands)	23,16	577,53	2393,7%
2	Tunisia	146,8399997	2850,14	1841,0%
3	Haiti	67,26	875,82	1202,1%
4	Bhutan	0,18	1,87	938,9%
5	El Salvador	2117,84	19206,96	806,9%
6	Marshall (islands)	2,99	26,03	770,6%
7	Mexico	966,3000004	6800,87	603,8%
8	Niger	13,29000004	76,5	475,6%
9	Kazakhstan	3632,69	10132,12	178,9%
10	Nicaragua	105,78	279,97	164,7%
11	Масао	1206,980001	2677,48	121,8%
12	Dominican (Republic)	24,52	52,72	115,0%
13	Kenya	31,32	63,04	101,3%
14	Madagascar	1591,03	3184,96	100,2%
15	Trinidad and Tobago	0,680000007	1,32	94,1%
16	Lebanon	304,4699999	583,426	91,6%
17	Azerbaijan	4,239999771	7,44	75,5%
18	Afghanistan	70,04000166	119,32	70,4%
19	Sri Lanka	327322,3162	552620,727	68,8%
20	Mozambique	77,28	127,98	65,6%

#### China <u>S-XVII - Transport equipment</u>

	<u>Total</u> <u>Imports</u> X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	1.536.021	842.648	715.306	85%
2002	-15% 1.309.654	2% 857.687	2% 732.467	1% 85%
	40%	15%	13%	-2%
2003	1.835.310	988.173	830.801	84%
• • • •	8%	45%	30%	-11%
2004	1.988.074	1.436.383	1.080.558	75%
	79%	43%	44%	0%
2005	3.566.729	2.053.033	1.550.953	76%
	-5%	0%	0%	0%
2006	3.400.203			

#### Brazil <u>S-IV - Prepared foodstuffs</u>

	<u>Total</u> <u>Imports</u> <u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	Utilisation <u>Rate</u>
2001	3.413.088	408	275	67%
	-2%	-53%	-31%	48%
2002	3.334.900	191	190	99%
	-2%	76%	37%	-22%
2003	3.281.518	336	261	78%
	16%	-59%	-47%	29%
2004	3.815.278	138	138	100%
	-2%	-98%	-99%	-13%
2005	3.725.793	2	2	87%
	-8%	0%	0%	0%
2006	3.418.128			

#### Indonesia S-III - Animal or vegetable oils, fats and waxes

	<u>Total</u> Imports_ X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	556.356 389	4		
2002	766.169 -129			
2003	673.102			
2004	841.344			
2005	876.456 <b>10</b> 9			
2006	966.992	-		

#### S-IX - Wood

	<u>Total</u> <u>Imports</u> X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Preferential</u> Imports <u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	797.069			
2002	-11% 710.349			
2003	-7% 661.527 1%			
2004	670.686 9%			
2005	733.145 <b>5%</b>			
2006	772.221			

# Malaysia S-III - Animal or vegetable oils, fats and waxes

	<u>Total</u> Imports_ X € 1,000		<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	497.201	12%			
2002	554.417	1270			
2003	632.488	7%			
2004	678.985				
2005	724.615	7% 4%			
2006	752.639	470			

#### Brazil <u>S-IX - Wood</u>

	<u>Total</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Preferential</u> <u>Imports</u> <u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	748.543	330.690	234.199	71%
2002	-8%	0%	-8%	-9%
2002	691.890 -1%	-9% -9%	214.343	65% -11%
2003	684.264	300.890	174.061	58%
	23%	-95%	-97%	-50%
2004	839.170	16.535	4.743	29%
	0%	0%	0%	0%
2005	841.983			
	3%			
2006	869.882			

# Algeria <u>S-V - Mineral products</u>

	<u>Total</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	10.904.347	1.056.715	146.418	14%
	-2%	27%	35%	7%
2002	10.654.720	1.340.312	198.313	15%
	-1%	-18%	-34%	-20%
2003	10.582.373	1.098.057	130.399	12%
	5%	-3%	-36%	-35%
2004	11.158.869	1.070.224	83.045	8%
	38%	7%	-49%	-52%
2005	15.357.495	1.144.365	42.522	4%
	11%	0%	0%	0%
2006	16.996.034			

#### China <u>S-VI - Products of chemical industries</u>

	<u>Total</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Preferential</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	2.897.132	666	242	36%
	3%	233%	670%	131%
2002	2.992.706	2.221	1.866	84%
	10%	136%	106%	-13%
2003	3.306.339	5.242	3.842	73%
	15%	-26%	-47%	-28%
2004	3.817.728	3.870	2.032	53%
	27%	80%	91%	6%
2005	4.849.480	6.947	3.878	56%
	19%	0%	0%	0%
2006	5.780.681			

#### S-VII - Plastics and rubber

	<u>Total</u> <u>Imports</u> X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	2.865.696	2.850.836	2.488.977	87%
	3%	3%	3%	0%
2002	2.946.752	2.931.853	2.556.261	87%
	7%	-12%	-16%	-5%
2003	3.153.412	2.594.255	2.147.518	83%
	12%	-100%	-100%	-68%
2004	3.517.814	8.278	2.220	27%
	26%	0%	0%	0%
2005	4.419.154			
	14%			
2006	5.050.731			

#### S-VII - Plastics and rubber

	<u>Total</u> Imports_ X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	Utilisation Rate
2001	2.865.696	2.850.836	2.488.977	87%
	3%	3%	3%	0%
2002	2.946.752 7%	2.931.853	2.556.261	87% -5%
2003	3.153.412	2.594.255	2.147.518	83%
	12%	-100%	-100%	-68%
2004	3.517.814	8.278	2.220	27%
	26%	0%	0%	0%
2005	4.419.154			
	14%			
2006	5.050.731			

#### S-VIII -Skins, leather, saddlery and harness...

	<u>Total</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	Utilisation Rate
2001	3.724.016	113.032	103.350	91%
2002	-1% 3.678.573	2% 115.087	3% 106.602	93% 1%
2003	-4% 3.522.238	-10% 103.293	-11% 94.942	-1% 92%
	13%	3%	1%	-2%
2004	3.973.470 16%	106.211 31%	95.424 32%	90% 0%
2005	4.607.530	139.327	125.792	90%
2006	<b>12%</b> 5.160.298	0%	0%	0%

# <u>S-IX - Wood</u>

	<u>Total</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	821.111	274.785	245.159	89%
2002	9%	13%	15%	2%
2002	895.349 11%	310.911	281.652	91% -7%
2003	992.101	351.465	296.002	84%
	27%	26%	19%	-6%
2004	1.262.745	444.499	352.986	79%
	30%	35%	32%	-2%
2005	1.639.548	599.075	467.492	78%
	23%	0%	0%	0%
2006	2.009.004			

#### <u>S-X - Pulp of wood and paper</u>

	<u>Total</u> Imports_ X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	628.806	483.589	400.858	83%
2002	4% 654.361	3% 496.424	2% 410.859	0% 83%
2003	17% 764.202	14% 565.272	<sup>5%</sup> 432.325	-8% 76%
2004	25% 955.330	0%	0%	0%
2005	30% 1.242.651			
2006	<b>18%</b> 1.471.268			

#### <u>S-XI - Textiles</u>

	<u>Total</u> Imports	<u>Eligible</u> Imports	Preferential Imports	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
	<u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>X € 1,000</u>	<u></u>
2001	10.412.647	1.191.921	801.315	67%
2001	9%	13%	20%	6%
2002	11.360.730	1.343.195	960.150	71%
	9%	0%	0%	1%
2003	12.331.611	1.336.772	964.263	72%
	18%	27%	22%	-4%
2004	14.599.958	1.694.421	1.178.216	70%
	45%	22%	28%	4%
2005	21.097.811	2.075.649	1.503.251	72%
	12%	0%	0%	0%
2006	23.690.388			

#### S-XII - Footwear, headgear, umbrellas, feathers....

	<u>Total</u> Imports_ X € 1,000		<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	3.117.565	00/			
2002	3.129.477	0%			
2003	3.385.220	8% 17%			
2004	3.969.689	53%			
2005	6.060.441	12%			
2007	6760.001	12/0			

2006 6.768.891

#### S-XIII - Articles of stone, ceramic products and glass

	<u>Total</u> <u>Imports</u> <u>X € 1,000</u>		<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Preferential</u> Imports <u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	1.067.456	20/			
2002	1.092.798	2% 17%			
2003	1.279.707	39%			
2004	1.780.000	52%			
2005	2.698.044	18%			
2006	3.194.023	1070			

#### S-XIV - Pearls and precious metals

	<u>Total</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Preferential</u> Imports <u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	1.057.584	531.292	358.686	68%
	6%	15%	9%	-5%
2002	1.120.478	612.532	391.765	64%
	0%	6%	1%	-5%
2003	1.119.559	647.267	394.852	61%
	30%	36%	30%	-4%
2004	1.454.187	880.015	515.185	59%
	12%	0%	0%	0%
2005	1.628.255			
	30%			
2006	2.111.016			

#### S-XV - Base metals

	<u>Total</u> Imports	<u>Eligible</u> Imports	Preferential Imports	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
	<u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>X € 1,000</u>	<u></u>
2001	4.029.972	27.826	19.332	69%
	4%	30%	29%	-1%
2002	4.173.334	36.044	24.842	69%
	13%	37%	55%	13%
2003	4.698.336	49.446	38.563	78%
	43%	196%	229%	11%
2004	6.709.072	146.560	126.779	87%
	32%	4%	6%	1%
2005	8.830.684	152.703	134.023	88%
	51%	0%	0%	0%
2006	13.378.347			

#### S-XVI - Machinery and equipment

	<u>Total</u> <u>Imports</u> <u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Preferential</u> Imports <u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	26.756.604	11.986.910	7.845.426	65%
2002	15% 30.676.747	13% 13.580.259	24% 9.740.285	10% 72%
2002	28%	-7%	9.740.285	2%
2003	39.373.054	12.579.911	9.197.245	73%
	44%	-82%	-96%	-79%
2004	56.751.950	2.314.158	356.936	15%
	22%	0%	0%	0%
2005	69.398.322			
2006	<b>25%</b> 86.717.772			

#### S-XVIII - Optical, clocks and watches, musical equipment

	<u>Total</u> <u>Imports</u> X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	3.659.216	3.059.486	1.572.102	51%
2002	4.124.228 <sup>13%</sup>	<sup>8%</sup> 3.307.320	1.761.458 12%	4% 53%
2003	4.155.083	-17% 2.739.666	-16% 1.477.767	1% 54%
2004	14% 4.718.360	-74% 725.132	-90% 149.738	-62% 21%
	17%	0%	0%	2170
2005	5.535.322 <b>27%</b>			
2006	7.045.400			

#### S-XX - Miscellaneous

	<u>Total</u> <u>Imports</u> X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Preferential</u> <u>Imports</u> <u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	9.439.667	3.949	19	0%
2002	11% 10.460.090	0%	0%	0%
2003	12.052.871			
2004	13.400.073			
2005	16.850.643			
2006	<b>13%</b> 19.074.372			

#### India <u>S-XI - Textiles</u>

	<u>Total</u> Imports_ X € 1,000_	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	Utilisation <u>Rate</u>
2001	4.156.700	2.731.391	2.358.366	86%
2002	-4%	<sup>1%</sup>	4%	3%
	4.004.997	2.765.606	2.448.872	89%
2003	0%	2%	0%	-2%
	3.995.334	2.819.797	2.439.034	86%
2004	9%	10%	<sup>8%</sup>	-2%
	4.370.590	3.095.566	2.624.997	85%
2005	20%	26%	28%	2%
	5.250.337	3.886.814	3.355.942	86%
2005	<b>14%</b> 5.960.891	14% 4.446.579	15% 3.875.834	1% 87%

#### S-XIV - Pearls and precious metals

	<u>Total</u> <u>Imports</u> X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Preferential</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	1.420.254	253.842	227.817	90%
2002	9% 1.545.664	12% 283.849	12% 255.285	0% 90%
	-6%	1%	-2%	-3%
2003	1.454.473	286.566	249.861	87%
••••	14%	7%	9%	1%
2004	1.660.842	307.488	271.550	88%
2005	15% 1.901.881	17% 358.616	12% 305.085	-4% 85%
2006	<b>9%</b> 2.075.055	0%	0%	0%

#### Thailand <u>S-XIV - Pearls and precious metals</u>

<u>Total</u> <u>Imports</u> X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> <u>Imports</u> X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001 763.867			
2002 785.402			
2003 730.477			
2004 846.525			
2005 930.355 <b>10%</b>			
2006 1.024.867			

#### S-XVII - Transport equipment

	<u>Total</u> Imports	Eligible Imports	Preferential Imports	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
	<u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>X € 1,000</u>	
2001	1.158.155	1.116.327	966.799	87%
	-6%	-4%	3%	8%
2002	1.093.554	1.066.164	996.912	94%
	-16%	-31%	-41%	-15%
2003	913.255	736.384	583.229	79%
	-5%	12%	-2%	-12%
2004	865.252	823.552	572.890	70%
	27%	25%	33%	6%
2005	1.101.071	1.032.096	763.784	74%
	4%	0%	0%	0%
2006	1.141.338			

#### Russia S-VI - Products of chemical industries

	<u>Total</u> <u>Imports</u> <u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	1.951.788	685.986	386.362	56%
2002	-6% 1.836.665	-15% 584.019	-11% 343.942	5% 59%
2002	1.850.005		-20%	59% 18%
2003	2.028.744	392.629	273.797	70%
	36%	114%	65%	-23%
2004	2.761.045	840.013	451.725	54%
	27%	25%	35%	8%
2005	3.501.533	1.050.782	609.392	58%
	8%	0%	0%	0%
2006	3.781.964	-		

#### S-X - Pulp of wood and paper

	<u>Total</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Preferential</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	501.642	318.565	267.603	84%
	-13%	-63%	-62%	1%
2002	438.189	119.145	101.381	85%
	-11%	-1%	-16%	-15%
2003	390.472	118.261	85.215	72%
	23%	0%	0%	0%
2004	480.037			
	13%			
2005	542.563			
	3%			
2007	5 50,005			

#### S-XV - Base metals

2006

558.995

	<u>Total</u> Imports	<u>Eligible</u> Imports	<u>Preferential</u> Imports	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
	<u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>X € 1,000</u>	
2001	5.459.841	251.535	216.827	86%
	-10%	0%	0%	0%
2002	4.907.476	250.688	216.492	86%
	13%	190%	4%	-64%
2003	5.537.547	726.357	226.067	31%
	53%	23%	85%	50%
2004	8.491.938	896.435	418.498	47%
	12%	11%	65%	49%
2005	9.528.792	992.644	689.120	69%
	26%	0%	0%	0%
2006	12.009.755	0,0	0,0	0,0

#### South Africa <u>S-XVII - Transport equipment</u>

	<u>Total</u> <u>Imports</u> X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	Preferential Imports X € 1,000	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	980.006	879.221	627.872	71%
	14%	21%	26%	5%
2002	1.115.171	1.059.989	792.782	75%
	-18%	-76%	-80%	-16%
2003	910.388	259.120	162.014	63%
	-15%	160%	236%	29%
2004	776.327	673.021	544.687	81%
	1%	0%	-1%	-2%
2005	787.160	675.880	537.487	80%
	8%	0%	0%	0%
2006	851.663			

	Graduated sections	Total imports x € 1,000 2005	Total imports x € 1,000 2006	increase 2006/2005
3	Animal or vegetable fats, oils and waxes	3.520.179,69	4.341.565,91	23,3%
4	Prepared foodstuffs products	17.634.500,50	18.241.246,36	3,4%
5	Mineral products	202.990.285,92	247.261.369,05	21,8%
6	Products of the chemical industries	17.982.385,82	21.127.100,56	17,5%
7	Plastics and rubber	11.305.803,69	13.785.968,87	21,9%
8	Skins, leather and saddlery	7.828.649,91	8.833.600,54	12,8%
9	Wood	8.430.344,82	9.061.252,79	7,5%
10	Pulpe of wood and paper	4.504.347,05	5.097.664,39	13,2%
11	Textiles	47.642.743,79	53.655.987,79	12,6%
12	Footwear, headgear, umbrellas and feathers	11.106.232,60	12.308.549,45	10,8%
13	Articles of stone, glass and ceramic products	4.274.161,42	4.856.454,71	13,6%
14	Pearls and precious metals	17.645.641,33	19.249.980,65	9,1%
15	Base metals	37.997.667,26	55.967.652,23	47,3%
16	Machinery and mechanical appliances	112.628.643,75	129.175.624,47	14,7%
17	Transport equipment	23.157.780,44	16.262.284,56	-29,8%
	Optical, musering and musical instrucments,			
18	clocks	9.322.668,20	11.321.337,53	21,4%
20	Miscellaneous	21.471.033,59	23.850.194,20	11,1%
Total		559.443.069,78	654.397.834,06	17,0%

#### GSP+ All products

	<u>Total</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Eligible</u> Imports X € 1,000	<u>Preferential</u> Imports <u>X € 1,000</u>	<u>Utilisation</u> <u>Rate</u>
2001	13.020.441	4.059.991	2.774.779	68%
	9%	4%	8%	4%
2002	14.232.381	4.224.612	2.990.057	71%
	-8%	-17%	-14%	4%
2003	13.125.378	3.497.362	2.585.871	74%
	17%	8%	4%	-4%
2004	15.342.847	3.788.720	2.696.532	71%
	17%	36%	42%	4%
2005	17,969,919	5.151.335	3.831.297	74%
	25%	11%	15%	3%
2006	22.444.579	5.720.951	4.401.988	77%

# Table 11

All products			_		
GSP+ Countries	2005	2006			
Sri Lanka	618.999,91	937.521,93	51,5%		
Bolivia	17.110,77	25.121,24	46,8%		
Panama	67.278,95	95.478,33	41,9%		
Moldova (Republic of)	136.485,59	185.720,36	36,1%		
Nicaragua	21.873,20	28.411,13	29,9%		
Honduras	78.080,81	95.500,05	22,3%	average	28,5%
Peru	533.685,11	646.110,45	21,1%		
Mongolia	9.810,15	11.856,92	20,9%		
El Salvador	54.114,69	64.743,39	19,6%		
Costa Rica	344.728,44	407.138,63	18,1%		
Guatemala	114.404,22	134.398,64	17,5%		
Ecuador	662.100,64	769.661,87	16,2%		
Colombia	488.313,43	485.722,67	-0,5%		
Georgia	95.572,82	77.013,15	-19,4%		
Venezuela	588.737,86	437.589,70	-25,7%		

#### <u>ANNEX II</u>: <u>Consultation Report</u>

#### **CIVIL SOCIETY DIALOGUE MEETING**

#### On

#### New Scheme of Generalised Tariff Preferences 2009-2011

#### 8 May 2007

#### Lead Participants: Ms Iwona Idzikovska and Mr. Benny Berg Sorensen from DG Trade.

#### Introduction

**Ms. Idzikowska from DG Trade** described a new scheme of generalized tariff preferences for 2009-2011. It was in 1968 that the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) recommended the creation of a "Generalized System of Tariff Preferences" under which industrialised countries would grant autonomous trade preferences to all developing countries. In 1971, the GATT Contracting Parties adopted the so-called "enabling clause", creating the legal framework for the Generalized System of Tariff Preferences, and authorising developed countries to establish individual "Generalized Schemes of Tariff Preferences". The European Community was the first to implement a GSP scheme, in 1971. Since then, the GSP has changed considerably, in many respects. In the early days, there were different regulations for different products, and these regulations were adopted on a yearly basis. Nowadays, there is only one GSP regulation, for all products, for all arrangements and for a period of at least 3 years.

In order to update it on a regular basis and to adjust it to the changing environment of the multilateral trading system, the EU's GSP would be implemented following a cycle of ten years. The present cycle began in 2006 and will expire in 2015. The guidelines for the function of the EU's GSP schemes in the cycle 2006-2015 were laid down in the Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament and the Economic and Social Committee from 7 July 2004 on the function of the Community's generalised system of preferences for the ten year period from 2006-2015. The first GSP scheme of the present cycle, entered into force on 1 January 2006. It was laid down in Council Regulation (EC) No 980/2005 and would expire on the 31 December 2008.

The next scheme should enter into force on the 1 January 2009 and would apply until the end of 2011. Considering that the basic elements for the future GSP schemes had been settled until 2015 and that the concept of the GSP has recently been updated and accordingly redefined as from January 2006 to take into account the actual development needs and the WTO requirements, the Commission would propose only a technical revision of the currently applied scheme. A complete overhaul of the scheme was not envisaged.

#### **Discussion highlights:**

**Eurocommerce** stressed the importance of EU's GSP system and asked about the predictability and foreseeable timing of discussions. In addition, the representative asked which countries had been beneficiaries of the current GSP+ system and what the benefits are. **DG Trade** replied that there was an ongoing discussion with Member States. On timing and

benefits it was stressed that 15 countries had been beneficiaries of the current GSP+ scheme and those countries, which would be interested to benefit from the GSP+ under the following GSP Regulation should apply by autumn 2008. On utilization grade of the GSP scheme DG Trade mentioned that it remained stable, as in parallel the majority of GSP countries continues to benefit in the access to the EU market from other preferential arrangements with the EU.

**Foreign Trade Association** asked about the possibility to apply a higher preferential margin for textiles and clothing and about rules of origin. **The Commission** stressed high sensitivities in textiles sector and added that was open to zero duty in the Doha Round but under the clear condition that all countries could do it likewise. The specific safeguard clause applicable until 2008 on textiles originating from China had been invoked so far by several countries with an inherent risk of deflection of textiles exports into the EU market, hence the EU measures valid until end of 2007. On the rules of origin, the Commission replied that the idea of new rules of origin based on a value-added approach as expressed in the 2005 communication was being discussed during the current EPA negotiations but it was not foreseeable to change the negotiating mandate in 2007. Rather, improvements could be negotiated at a later stage. A major difficulty would be to define exact percentages or thresholds. Decisions on a value-added approach for rules of origin would be taken following a thorough impact assessment. A first candidate for application of modified rules could be the renewed GSP regulation as from 2009.

**European Importers and Agricultural Retailers** expressed their strong affinity to a system of government issued or controlled licences in developing countries. The representative also stated that a new system of value-added criteria seemed very complicated especially with respect to agricultural products. In their opinion the current system was simpler and working well so there was no need to replace it. In addition, representatives from the European Importers and Agricultural Retailers and the **European Starch Association** stressed that they favoured current the status quo on current rules of origin. In addition the representative from the European Starch Association asked if the Commission had an intention of adding new products to the GSP scheme. On registered exporters and value added system **the Commission** replied that various Directorates Generals were undertaking assessments in different product areas. On the list of products, the Commission replied that the objective was not to change the substance of the GSP scheme.

**European Food Traders Association** asked how and to what extent the Commission intended to take the ILO and environmental rules in the context of negotiations. **The Commission** replied that social instruments such as environmental and social standards in GSP+ were instruments of support and encouragement as opposed to a sanction approach. However, if a country systematically and seriously violated the standards the EU would become cautious and would act on the basis of monitoring results and the assessment of the relevant international organization.

**Eurocommerce** asked about the predictability of GSP+ system and what was in the Commission view the best choice for a trader among various existing schemes (i.e. GSP, MNF, GSP+ or ACP). He also asked about the cooperation between the Commission and Member States expressing his fear that discussion would cause delay in entering into force of the GSP scheme. **The Commission** replied that importers had right to be informed and that was why the new GSP Regulation was planned to be published well in advance. In addition, the Commission provided some degree of security by establishing a system for three years.

In conclusion, the Commission thanked participants for the discussion. Good note had been taken of the strong interest of participants in issues relating to rules of origin. A specific meeting on this topic could be organised if there was demand in this regard.