Highly volatile trends and rising inflation expectations lurk behind the recent increase in the price of food and some raw materials. Independently of their nature, commodity prices are increasingly playing a crucial role in a world with fast-growing demand and still-unanswered fundamental questions about the sustainability of its economies. Highly volatile and soaring price patterns are creating economic uncertainty and, particularly when it comes to food prices, contributing to political instability in emerging countries. The soar in volatility of commodities prices such as oil and agricultural products comes at a critical moment when European and US economies are struggling to regain the ground lost as a result of the recent financial crisis.

Climbing prices are also causing mounting worries and anger on the part of both policy-makers and the public, for whom it is unthinkable that after the amount of resources dedicated to rescuing the financial system from its own troubles, they are now being squeezed by sheer spending cuts and soaring commodity prices. Prices, in effect, made an astonishing recovery in 2010, reaching pre-crisis peaks. Now, however, they are beginning to show some instability after a recent one-week drop by 11% at the beginning of May. Food crises have gradually become a widespread phenomenon, raising fears that growth in emerging markets may ultimately be hindered. For instance, food prices in India have soared by 18%, while the global price of wheat is 80% higher than last year. This situation is fomenting outrage in the poorest countries across the world, contributing to some countries’ strong political instability (e.g. Tunisia). The causes are multiple and should invite us to reflect on how we might restructure our economies to alleviate their adverse effects.

Despite the heterogeneity of commodities, several common factors explain how their prices and volatility are formed:

1. Trading practices (both physical and purely ‘financial’)
2. Financial markets access
3. Market abuse and surveillance
4. Competition and market structure
5. Sustainability of current supply and demand

These factors, some of which are not entirely new to these markets, do not necessarily affect all commodities with the same intensity, but they play a different role for different commodities.

Trading practices

On trading practices, there are generally two conflicting views about the surge in some commodity prices and volatility (the latter is an historical issue; see Cashin & McDermott, 2001), in particular on the role of the ‘financialisation’ of commodities, i.e. investments in commodity indexes and the role of dominant ‘net’ positions in derivatives markets (primarily futures and options). On the one hand, there are those who believe that the large increase in commodity prices has a positive correlation with investment in commodity indexes (Tang & Xiong, 2010). However, this view does not provide enough empirical evidence of an immediate link between soaring trade in commodity indexes and spot prices, while the meaningful linkage they show is with future prices. Nevertheless, this relationship showed negative values at the beginning of this century (Gorton & Rouwenhorst, 2006) and it may be explained by other underlying causes. In addition, a positive price correlation among commodities has consistently increased, especially in the last decade. The positive correlation, e.g. between energy and non-energy commodity prices may be explained by many other factors, such as the impact of transportation costs (linked to the oil price) on the price of non-energy commodities or exchange rates (the downward trend of the dollar against the euro, as well as currency revaluations in some emerging countries).

On the other hand, observers (e.g. Krugman, 2011) argue that commodities are in a boom-and-bust cycle, driven by the rapid growth of emerging countries and the fundamentals of the global economic system. The difference between supply and demand (net demand) determines the level of prices by reducing or increasing the stockpile levels, which ultimately affects spot prices. In particular, net demand has strong links with fundamentals and most of all with GDP growth, particularly in a world with low barriers to international trade.

The position seems more plausible, but it does not dig deeply enough into the complexity of the issue. The figure on the following page suggests that this link may be strong but it does not necessarily explain the entire trend, which can be split into three periods.
The first period, lasting until the internet bubble burst at the very beginning of the century, shows that the trend of commodity prices was led by a constant growth in western countries, with two abrupt breaks due to the Asian crisis in 1998 and the internet bubble in 2000-01. The second period is the so-called ‘financialisation’ period, with an astonishing growth in commodity prices, reflecting both an extraordinary expansion of credit markets and access to financial markets, and an unprecedented GDP growth in emerging markets as well as in some western countries. This period ends with another big drop in commodity prices due to the recent financial crisis. In 2008, prices have reached again the levels of the end of the first period. This situation fit with the classic boom-and-bust cycle.

Finally, we experienced in the last two years another astonishing upward race due to the recovery in western countries and the high growth in emerging markets, such as China, India and Brazil. However, prices are still below the 2008 peak and, in the first five months of 2011, the volatility of the Thomson Reuters-Jeffries index has doubled in comparison to the same period of 2010 (from 6.41 to 13.3). Price instability seems likely to remain as long as the economic global outlook does not stabilise. The possibility that commodities markets will suffer short boom-and-bust cycles is fairly high due to the complexity and instability of the current global long-term economic outlook.

Other factors that can affect stockpile levels and consequently spot commodity prices include price volatility, future prices, supply constraints, transportation costs, market settings and exogenous factors (e.g. wars, bad weather).

Financial markets access

In the last two decades, access to financial markets has become easier and commodity buyers and suppliers are increasingly making use of markets to hedge short-term and long-term positions. In effect, the nature of commodities is fairly complex and their multi-faceted characteristics influence the way they are actually traded. For instance, non-storable commodities such as electricity need to have immediate access to both financial and spot markets. Electricity companies need to minimise peaks in demand and supply; thus when there is a drop in the demand, the company will need to find a buyer connected to the network able to absorb part of the supply in excess. The use of electricity exchanges and more generally of financial transactions to hedge critical exposures in the spot market for electricity has benefitted the market as a whole in terms of keeping the supply of energy stable over time to avoid unmanageable operational and infrastructural issues. As a result, the nature of hedging non-storable commodities positions is driven by the volatility of net demand at a specific point in time, rather than by long-term net position. This situation leads market participants to seek protection in the spot (exchange) and futures markets at a specific date (short-term oriented). For storable commodities, however, hedging positions are based on the uncertainty on levels of storage driven by the net demand and future prices on a wider time horizon (long-term oriented). The different nature gives market participants the possibility to manage their exposures over time and rely less on spot market transactions.
Growing uncertainty surrounding the economic outlook, evidenced by the rise in the price of commodities such as gold, has increased small and big producers and buyers’ needs to find protection through the use of sophisticated financial transactions, particularly in derivatives markets. These markets provide formidable means of hedging against price changes in the physical product markets. To be able to offer hedging products (thereby allowing ‘inter-temporal choice’) for all kinds of commodities and market participants, it is vital to be able to access liquid markets to provide a counterparty to hedges, but also to provide financial intermediaries (who most often provide this access) with the possibility to hedge their financial exposures and to design more customised products than plain vanilla exchange-traded futures and options. The role of investment funds was originally confined to indexes to contribute to the liquidity of markets (noise trading) that offer hedging solutions in competition with future exchanges (dealer markets). It remains unclear whether these activities are the origin of price volatility and spiking market trends. Price volatility in commodity markets has been historically high (Cashin & McDermott, 2001). However, in recent years, the growing uncertainty around the economic outlook, mainly stemming from the financial crisis, has boosted volatility even higher. Most notably, the daily standard deviation of a major commodity index (TRJ-CRB index) from 7.15 in 1994 skyrocketed to 74.22 in 2008 before settling down to a relatively more stable figure in 2009 (22.68). Uncertainty exerts a crucial influence on production levels and so prices.

Also monetary policies matter. There is currently a significant amount of liquidity (M2) in the economy, due to the uncertain global economic outlook and, more importantly, to the loose monetary policies (quantitative easing) implemented by central banks to contain the spillover effects of the financial crisis. This excess of liquidity is most likely putting strong upward pressure on prices and will gradually lead central banks to tighten their monetary policies by raising interest rates. In the eurozone, however, after the rate rise last March, the ongoing government debt crisis and the feeble economic growth may discourage interventions to cool prices, at least in the short run.

Market abuses and surveillance

The instability of price patterns also creates political and public resentment against undefined enemies, such as ‘market speculation’. Since markets would not be liquid with only trading restrictions, for example by short-selling bans, the consequence that market operators can require traders, at the beginning of the next trading day, to reduce their positions below a certain level. Other surveillance mechanisms should aim at: increasing market transparency; improving general access to market data information; sharing information; enhancing enforcement powers to fight market abuses and creating a sufficiently strong and uniform regime of sanctions for this kind of market abuses.

Competition and market structure

Other issues related to market structure – such as competition bottlenecks, supply constraints and exogenous shocks – can affect the ‘regular’ price trends for commodities, in particular for spot markets. Supply constraints, such as limited extraction activities due to environmental sustainability measures, can reduce the level of stockpiles and increase spot prices.

2 A financial market is liquid when it “is almost infinitely tight, which is not infinitely deep, and [...] resilient enough so that prices eventually tend to their underlying value” (Kyle, 1985, p. 1317). Three aspects emerge from this definition: i) tightness (which is the possibility to turn over a position at the fastest speed technically possible, when needed); ii) depth (which refers to the ability of the market to absorb quantities without having a large effect on price; it is usually not constant over time in some asset classes); and iii) resiliency, which is the speed to which prices return to their fundamental value after a move due to regular trading or – with more intensity – to external shocks.
prices. Higher spot prices may also be the result of the competitive design of a commodity market, e.g. the OPEC cartel’s efforts to determine the oil price by controlling oil extraction is a classic example. Other competitive bottlenecks may affect prices too, such as the use of reference price indexes that are the result of special agreements among a few big industry players in order to determine the final spot price. Yet, the bottleneck in the final distribution of the commodity, due to high freight costs or directly to anti-competitive practices, is another determinant of price formation in commodities spot markets. Likewise, exogenous factors are also a primary source of price changes. In 2010, weather and other exogenous shocks, such as war tensions and political instability in some Middle Eastern countries, have affected the production levels of large exporters. The weather-related supply shock for wheat, for instance, has significantly contributed to upward pressures on food prices (Roache, 2010).

Conclusions
The sharp and widespread increase in most commodity prices has alarmed the world and raised questions around the sustainability of our economies. The global demand for some commodities (e.g. oil) has reached unprecedented peaks. Fears that rising food and commodity prices can create severe political instability and violent internal and cross-border tensions have prompted leading policy-makers (e.g. the G20) to issue warnings about the future of the global economy. The reasons for this dramatic rise are multiple, and engaging in a witch-hunt benefits neither the market as a whole nor our economies. Solutions need to be more differentiated and oriented towards two factors: preventing price manipulation (through financial transactions and anti-competitive market structures) and fostering sustainability. Reducing the market conditions that can stimulate manipulative behaviour means not only intervening in financial markets to limit price manipulation through more active controls on dominant net positions but also creating competitive market settings where production becomes gradually less subject to manipulation by vested interests and cartels. Finally, more attention must be given to the elephant in the room. The uncontrolled growth of emerging markets, which may ultimately generate strong incentives to increase profits by wasting natural resources, if not properly managed, raises questions about the sustainability of some economic policies.

The future challenge will be not so much to capture the complexity of these variables in a sophisticated mathematical model to predict price movements but rather to hold all these variables together to design more efficient and sustainable policies for the future. Prices are ultimately only the warning signal of a more fundamental question that looms over the world’s economies.
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Prime brokerage and custody will never be the same

The alternatives directive (AIFM) has set the ground for a radical change in the structure and functioning of the prime brokerage industry, which business model encompassed depositary and custody services. Only one depositary per fund, separate from prime brokerage, is required [...] FORTHCOMING

NYSE Euronext - Deutsche Börse Merger: Let the dance go on!

ECMI Policy Brief 18, March 2011: Research Fellow Diego Valiante offers his insights into the motivations, potential synergies and implications of the proposed merger between NYSE Euronext and Deutsche Börse, which he sees as a continuation of the intricate series of dances begun two decades ago between exchanges worldwide and which now escalates at global level in the non-equity business. The author finds that the liberalization process and regulatory changes brought about by the financial crisis have revived this long-term process. Finally, Valiante points at the importance of following a dynamic approach in the merger test, which would induce the Commission to impose rigorous conditions to clear the deal. In an updated version, the Policy Brief also comments on the merits of the competing bid recently launched by NASDAQ OMX and ICE on NYSE Euronext.

MiFID 2.0: Casting New Light on Europe's Capital Markets

ECMI-CEPS Task Force Report, February 2011: An outstanding conclusion to the work of the task force set by ECMI and CEPS on the review of the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (MiFID), this report provides a generous set of findings drawn from current legislative proposals, academic literature, and market views. It identifies potential market failures and offers valid alternatives, while focusing on three core areas: transparency, market structure, and provision of investment services.

The forest of Basel III has too many trees

ECMI Commentary 28, February 2011: Senior Research Fellow Karel Lannoo surveys the radical shift in bank capital requirements confirmed by the new Basel III Accord, with its focus on more and better quality capital, especially for the large banks. He finds, however, that the new framework is becoming very complex, and asks the big question that emerges: how does one determine when a bank is effectively Basel III-compliant, as some will soon start to claim.

MiFID Implementation in the midst of the crisis

ECMI Research Report 6, February 2011: This report summarises the main results of a survey conducted by the European Capital Markets Institute (ECMI) during the period December 2009 - July 2010. The survey aims at investigating the actual implementation of the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (MiFID), two years after it came into force.

Third Country Rules for Alternative Investments: Passport flexibility comes at a price

ECMI Commentary 27, December 2010: In critically examining the rules applicable to third country managers and funds contained in the new EU Directive on Alternative Investment Fund Managers (AIFM), Mirzha de Manuel finds in an ECMI Commentary that the rules have gained in flexibility but that regulatory certainty and efficiency have suffered. A preview of this commentary was published by the Financial Times on 13 December 2010.

What reforms for the credit rating industry? A European perspective

ECMI Policy Brief 17, October 2010: Despite having singled out credit rating agencies (CRAs) early on in the financial crisis as needing more regulation, policy-makers in the EU seem not to be reassured by the measures that have been adopted in the meantime, and want to go further. This paper starts with an overview of the credit rating industry today. The second section analyses the use of credit ratings and shows how the authorities have created a captive or artificial market for CRAs.

Where does Europe stand on regulation of alternative investments? Dispelling Myths and Challenging Realities

ECMI Commentary 26, September 2010: Mirzha de Manuel and Diego Valiante report on the latest state of play in the negotiations over the Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive (AIFMD), an important body of EU legislation aimed at ensuring financial stability and greater transparency in areas that have so far remained mostly unregulated.