Vital Speeches of the Day

REG. U. S. PAT. OFF.

VOL. XXVII

JULY 15, 1961

No. 19

Men And Nations Must Learn To Control Themselves

THE VALUE OF COMMON INSTITUTIONS

By JEAN MONNET, President of the Action Committee for a United States of Europe Delivered at Dartmouth College Commencement, Hanover, N. H., June 11, 1961

R. PRESIDENT and Trustees, Members of the Graduating Class of 1961, Ladies, Gentlemen, my dear friends: I say "My dear friends" as if I had known you a long time. But must the friendship that binds men always be a product of the past? I do not think so.

I think that the inescapable forces which are moulding the future bind us far more closely than memories of the past.

This century has probably changed the manner of life more for everyone of us than all the thousands of years of man's progress put together.

In the past, men were largely at the mercy of nature. Today, in our industrial countries of the Western world and elsewhere, we are acquiring an unprecedented mastery over nature. Natural resources are no longer a limitation now that we control more and more forms of energy and can use raw materials in more and more ways.

We are entering the age of abundance where work, as we know it, will only be one of many human activities.

For the first time, in your country and now in our countries, we are witnessing the emergence of a truly mass society marked by mass consumption, mass education and even mass culture.

We are moving, in the West, from a society where privilege was part of nature to one where the enjoyment of human rights and human dignity are common to all. Unfortunately two-thirds of mankind has not yet shared in this process.

And now on the very eve of creating unprecedented conditions of abundance, we are suddenly faced with the consequences of our extraordinary mastery over the physical forces of nature.

Modern medicine is steadily increasing our prospects of life, so that the population of the world is increasing fantastically fast. Your own country, which had 76 million people in 1900 and has 180 million today, will probably reach 300 million in the year 2000-a fourfold increase. You can afford this, But we all know what terrible pressures on resources the growth of population is creating in Asia. There, the number of people will have multiplied five times in a century, from 850 million in 1900 to almost 4 billion in the year 2000. This revolution is creating new explosive pressures of all kinds in the world.

At the same time, science is repeatedly creating new powers of destruction: a few hydrogen bombs can wipe out people by the million and wreck the largest nations. This faces us with the greatest threat humanity has ever had to deal with.

The issue today is no longer peace or war, but the triumph or destruction of civilized life.

We cannot assume that we shall avoid such destruction. We have only to look back on the last fifty years to see how constant the risk of upheaval has become. No region of the world has escaped violence. One third of mankind has become communist, another third has obtained independence from colonialism, and even among the remaining third, nearly all countries have undergone revolutions or wars.

True, atomic bombs have made nuclear war so catastrophic that I am convinced no country wishes to resort to it. But I am equally convinced that we are at the mercy of an error of judgment or a technical break-down, the source of which no man may ever know.

In short, if men are beginning to dominate nature, their control over their political relations between themselves has failed to progress with the needs of the times.

Men are gradually freeing themselves from outside controls and in the process, learning that, henceforth, their main problem is freely to accept to control themselves.

So, my friends, you may either enjoy the extraordinary

POLICY OF VITAL SPEECHES

The publishers of Vital Speeches believe that the important addresses of the recognized leaders of public opinion constitute the best expressions of contem-porary thought in America, and that it is extremely important for the welfare of the nation that these speeches be permanently recorded and disseminated. The publishers have no axe to grind. Vital Speeches will be found authentic and constructive. It is the policy of the publishers to cover both sides of public questions and to print all speeches in full. Where it is necessary to condense a speech for reasons of unusual length or the use of extraneous matter, it will be so stated when printing. They feel that it is only in the unedited and unexpurgated speech that the reader can best obtain the views of the speaker. This material gives the reader the best thought of the best minds on current national problems. It also offers the student of public speaking examples of the effective speech of today of those who have attained leadership in the fields of economics, politics, education, sociology, government, criminology, finance, busi-ness, taxation, health, law, labor, etc. Thus the student of public speaking obtains the finest text book material with a sound knowledge of public questions. Subscribers are urged to call the Editor's attention to any speeches which have impressed them as outstanding so that copies may be secured for review. VITAL SPEECHES OF THE DAY is published twice a month by the City News Publishing Co., (Inc. 1911), 33 W. 42nd St., New York 36, N. Y. Telephone LOngacre 5-4040. Thomas F. Daly, Jr., President and Treasurer, M. P. Daly, Vice-President, K. D. Hoey, Secretary.

Subscription Rates: \$8.00 a year. Two years \$15.00. Single copies 40 cents. (Special group rates to students for period of 4 months; \$1.50 each for ten or more; \$1.25 each for 25 or more. All to be sent to one address). Back issues, if available, 50 cents a copy. Microfilm editions \$4.00 per volume. On orders Vital Speches is Indexed in The Reader's Guide to Periodical Literature. An annual Index is printed each November and distributed to all subscribers, Cumulative Index, Volumes 1-25, \$12.50 per copy. Volume Binders for Vital Speeches \$3.50. Vital Speeches will be found on file in thousands of public, college and high school libraries throughout the United States.

Entered as Second Class matter December 24, 1934, at the Post Office at New York, N. Y., and additional entry at Hanover, N. H., under the Act of March 3, 1879.

JEAN MONNET

privilege of having long years before you of a marvelous future in a world that your elders could never have hoped for, or the terrible prospect of witnessing the end of civilized society.

You will be able to play a part in settling this issue. On your contribution and that of those who, like you, in all the countries of the earth, are entering on a new life, depend the outcome for yourselves, for mankind and for the whole of civilization.

In this connection, I would like to pay a warm tribute to your president, John Dickey, for having instituted the "Great Issue" course which can greatly help to prepare you for the major decisions you will have to face in the years to come.

The main facts that emerge from what I have just said are that we are in a world of rapid change, in which men and nations must learn to control themselves in their relations with others.

To my mind, this can only be done through institutions.

Human nature does not change, but when people accept the same rules and the same institutions to make sure that they are applied, their behavior towards each other changes. This is the process of civilization itself.

You yourselves know the importance of institutions from your own history. The thirteen States would not have won the War of Independence had they tried to fight it separately. After the war, the Confederation was only a few years old when you found it necessary to draft a federal constitution to keep the Union together and make it effective.

Since the war, we in Europe have also learnt the need of common institutions.

After the war, it seemed the nations of Europe might be doomed to irretrievable decline. With Germany still occupied, everyone was in doubt as to the future relations between victors and vanquished. Had the traditional relations between France and Germany been maintained, their desire to dominate each other would have led to new disasters. Had either, driven by mistrust of her neighbor, been tempted to veer between East and West, that would have been the end of the free nations in Europe, and in consequence, of the West.

You must realize that we, in Europe, have had, and still have, a far greater problem than you. For when you began, you were basically the same people, with the same language and the same traditions; and you had just fought together in the common cause of independence. Europe, on the other hand, is made up of separate nations with different traditions, different languages and different civilizations; and the nation states have behind them a long past of mutual rivalries and attempts at domination.

Your people created institutions while they were all citizens of one nation. We in Europe, are engaged in the process of creating common institutions between states and people which have been opposed to each other for centuries.

What a contrast their history makes with the way you have grown in the last 170 years! Under your federal institutions, you have been able to develop the most industrialized society in the world; and to assimilate people from all the nations of Europe in the society and give them high and constantly growing standards of living. Thus, your continent has become a nation. During the same years, the European nations have developed their highly industrialized societies separately and often against one another, each nation producing deeply roored national administrations.

Common institutions were the only way to overcome these profound factors of divisions and give Europe the same chances of harmonious development America had. It is for these reasons that in 1950, when France decided to transform its relations with Germany, it proposed to pool what were then the two countries' basic resources, coal and steel, under common institutions open to any other free European countries willing to join them.

While the Coal and Steel Community in itself was a technical step, its new procedures, under common institutions, created a silent revolution in men's minds. France and Germany, in particular, have been reconciled after three great wars, in 1870, in 1914 and again in 1940. Think of the extraordinary change shown by the fact that, today, at French invitation, German troops train on French soil.

So, the progress towards unity is steadily gathering way. The Coal and Steel Community has made possible Euratom, the Common Market and economic union; now economic union, in turn, creates the demand for a political union and a common currency.

Today, a uniting Europe can look to the future with renewed confidence. The Common Market, with 170 million people—and if, as I hope and believe, Britain and other countries soon join it, it will number greatly over 200 million commands resources that are comparable with yours and those of Russia.

Further, the Six countries of the Common Market, Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Luxembourg and Holland, have decided to go beyond the economic union they are creating and to define their foreign and other policies together. Europe today has the prospect of becoming, with the United States, Russia and China, one of the great forces fashioning tomorrow's world.

What is the lesson of these successes? first your success in building up the United States of America with consequences which have changed world history? and now, Europe's success in wresting a new future from a prospect which, at the end of the war, was as depressing as that of the Greek city states in decline.

The lesson, I think, is the extraordinary transforming power of common institutions.

Almost every time, since the war, that the countries of the West have tried to settle their problems separately, they have suffered reverses. But when they have moved together, they have opened up new opportunities for themselves.

The reason for this is that, today, all our major problems go beyond national frontiers. The issues raised by nuclear weapons, the underdeveloped areas, the monetary stability of our countries and even their trade policies, all require joint action by the West. What is necessary is to move towards a true Atlantic Community in which common institutions will be increasingly developed to meet common problems.

We must, naturally, move step by step towards such an immense objective. The pioneer work has already been undertaken by the unification of Europe. It is already creating the necessary ferment of change in the West as a whole.

Britain is gradually coming to the conclusion that it should join the general movement towards European unity and the Common Market. As for your country, the prospect of a strong, united Europe emerging in Europe from the traditional devisions of the Continent, has convinced it that a partnership between Europe and the United States is necessary and possible. The United States is already using the new Atlantic economic organization, the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, of which it is a member along with Canada and the European nations, as the vehicle of its increasing awareness of interdependence with Europe.

That we have begun to cooperate on these affairs at the Atlantic level is a great step forward. It is evident that we must soon go a good deal further towards an Atlantic Community.

The creation of a united Europe brings this nearer by making it possible for America and Europe to act as partners on an equal footing.

3,

I am convinced that ultimately, the United States too will delegate powers of effective action to common institutions, even on political questions.

Just as the United States in their own days found it necessary to unite, just as Europe is now in the process of uniting, so the West must move towards some kind of union.

This is not an end in itself. It is the beginning on the road to the more orderly world we must have if we are to escape destruction.

The partnership of Europe and the United States should create a new force for peace.

It will give the West the opportunity to deal on a new basis with the problems of the underdeveloped areas. For, just as our own societies would never have found their spiritual and political equilibrium if the internal problems of poverty had not been tackled, so the liberties which form the best part of the Western tradition could hardly survive a failure to overcome the international divisions between rich and poor and between black, yellow and white.

A partnership of Europe and America would also make it possible ultimately to overcome the differences between East and West. For what is the Soviet objective? It is to achieve a communist world as Mr. Khrushchev has told us many times. When this becomes so obviously impossible that nobody, even within a closed society, can any longer believe it, then Mr. Khrushchev or his successor will accept facts. The conditions will at last exist for turning so-called peaceful coexistence into genuine peace. At that time, real disarmament will become possible.

I believe that the crucial step is to make clear that the West is determined not only to complete the unification process, but also to build firmly the institutional foundations of that unity. As this determination appears clear, then the world will react to the trend. We must, therefore, take the first step quickly.

In the past, there has been no middle ground between the jungle law of nations, and the utopia of international concord. Today, the methods of unification developed in Europe show the way. As we can see from American and British reactions to European unity, one change on the road to collective responsibility brings another. The chain reaction has only begun. We are starting a process of continuous reform which can alter tomorrow's world more lastingly than the principles of revolution so wide-spread outside the West.

Naturally, progress will not go without danger: no great change is effected without effort and setbacks. In Europe, the movement to unity has overcome many such troubles and, in my opinion, is already irreversible.

In this connection, I would like to leave you by telling the story of a statesman who was once asked the secret of his success. He replied that in his youth, he had met God in the desert and that God had revealed to him the attitude that was essential to any great achievement. What God had said was this: "For me all things are means to my end—even the obstacles."