#### COMMENTARY

# Uninspired or indifferent? EU policy in the 2021 German election manifestos



### GERMANY (HTTPS://EPC.EU/EN/SEARCH?TAG=233) / COMMENTARY Sophie Pornschlegel , Alexandra Salomonsová

Date: 03/09/2021

With less than a month to go before the 2021 German federal election, the EPC scrutinised the election manifestos of the six political parties and compared their promises regarding EU policy. Which party has the most ambitious proposals for the EU? What are their perspectives on European integration and the future of Europe? Are there any changes from their promises in 2017?

The analysis and extensive table below provide an overview of what to expect from each party. It also reveals potential conflict lines in EU policy between the different parties – These being used. These being used.

View the table <u>here (PDF)</u> (https://epc.eu/content/PDF/2021

/German\_elections\_table\_v5.pdf) or below:

Almost all the German parties promote a pro-European agenda. Only the Alternative for Germany (AfD) advocates for a Europe of "sovereign nation-states", while the Left (Die Linke) criticises the EU's "neoliberal" approach. But there are also clear differences in the orientation and prioritisation of EU policy among the pro-European parties. Some, such as the Social Democrats (SPD), seem to view it mostly through a foreign policy lens. The Greens (Die Grünen), on the contrary, integrates EU policy into almost all other policy fields due to the close interlinkages between national and EU competences. However, on EU policies, the differences between the pro-European parties do not vary too much. This potentially strengthens the polarisation between pro- and anti-Europeans in the domestic debate.

#### A lack of vision for the future of the EU

Overall, there are few strategic ideas for the future of the EU. While all parties seem to agree on some form of further European integration, the proposals to improve and reform the EU remain rather vague. Only two parties propose a clear vision for the future: The Greens want to achieve a "European federal republic" in the long run, while the Liberals (FDP) strive towards a "European federal state".

All parties barring the Left and AfD mention the Conference on the Future of Europe (https://www.epc.eu/en/projects/Conference-on-the-Future-of-Europe~34cba0) as an opportunity to reform the EU. Many parties also mention institutional reforms, often asking for a stronger European Parliament. Two parties – the Liberals and the Left – even propose a new "European Constitutional Convention". While the Conservatives (CDU) and the Greens refer Franco-German cooperation (https://www.epc.eu to /en/publications/Rebooting-Franco-German-cooperation~2e0540), all other parties do not mention Germany's main partner in their manifestos. Generally, the election manifestos do not focus on how Germany can strengthen bilateral relations with its EU neighbours. Instead, the focus is on its relationships with Turkey, the UK and Eastern Partnership countries.

#### A similar focus on policy issues, but with little concrete proposals

All parties share a similar focus on policy issues, painting a picture of Germany's important topics in terms of EU policy. All the election manifestos mention the economy and the eurozone, migration and asylum, and foreign and defence policy. The priorities within those fields vary depending on the parties' ideological backgrounds.

This webstances the Kalls continities and the set of th

<u>/Solidarity-in-the-EU-More-hype-than-substance~412d8c</u>) in socio-economic, migration and climate change terms. The FDP focuses mostly on the EU economy, trade and digital affairs, while the Greens primarily mention the EU in the context of climate and the environment.

Some 'typically German' topics are also mentioned in relation to the EU, such as the proposal for an 'EU army' in the CDU, SPD and FDP election manifestos. The manifestos are surprisingly ambitious in terms of EU foreign and defence policy given the general context in which Germany remains reluctant to engage in military operations.

Several EU policy fields in the election manifestos contain few to no concrete proposals. Clear proposals for protecting the rule of law and fundamental rights fall short in all six programmes. Questions on how to overcome the divides in the EU – both in socioeconomic terms and on fundamental values – remain largely unaddressed.

Very few manifestos also mention the European Commission's main priorities: **the digital and climate transitions.** Only the Conservatives and the Greens refer to the European Green Deal and the SPD only once; never by the FDP, the Left and the AfD. On the digital transformation, the CDU, the FDP, the Greens and the SPD mention the Digital Markets Act and/or the Digital Services Act but do not propose much more.

#### No major shifts in policy since 2017, except on climate change

Compared to their 2017 manifestos, there are no major shifts in the positions of the FDP, the Left and AfD. The Conservatives focus slightly more on climate change and youth. The SDP also shifted from mainly focusing on social policies to the "socio-ecological transition". The Greens kept most of their 2017 EU policy priorities but also shifted their focus from the threat of right-wing populism to safeguarding European values.

The 2021 election manifestos showcase differences in **economic matters**, especially in terms of burden-sharing and the future of the eurozone; **migration policy**, where views diverge between restrictive policies and human rights approaches; and **EU trade policy**, with the Conservatives, FDP and AfD continuing to advocate for free trade, while the SPD, Greens and especially the Left advocate for limits due to human rights and ecological considerations. Other potential conflicts regarding EU policy priorities are not easily detectable from the election manifestos, simply because many manifestos remain rather vague in terms of proposals.

Nevertheless, the parties' different prioritisation could complicate the coalition Thisegebiateonses WhilestByecontening have very website one jeiving somed proposed by fing Edd. climate policy, the Conservatives remain vague, which could mean that they oppose such an ambitious agenda. In addition, certain policy positions are already known to be at opposing ends without needing to be mentioned in the manifestos. For instance, energy policy is a point of contention – even if the debates on Nord Stream 2 are likely to play a less prominent role now that a deal has been negotiated with the US to allow its completion.

All in all, the party manifestos for this historical federal election after 16 years of Merkel are rather unconvincing in their takes on EU policy. Of course, the political parties will have to thrash out their policy positions when forming a coalition before said German coalition government can then negotiate its position on each policy issue with the 26 other EU member states. And yet, despite those obvious constraints, the manifestos do not reflect the importance of the EU for Germany. This is also visible in the election campaigns, where the EU plays little to no role.

## Sophie Pornschlegel is Project Leader of Connecting Europe and Senior Policy Analyst at the European Policy Centre.

## Alexandra Salomonsová is a former Project Assistant of Connecting Europe at the European Policy Centre.

*The European Policy Centre will contribute to the <u>analysis of Germany's EU policy</u> (<u>https://www.epc.eu/en/Projects/After-Merkel-A-power-vacuum-in-Europe-or-a-fresh-</u><u>start~40ef0c</u>) at this pivotal moment in German politics, with a series of Commentaries running from July to December 2021. It will feature views from various European capitals on post-Merkel expectations.* 

The support the European Policy Centre receives for its ongoing operations, or specifically for its publications, does not constitute an endorsement of their contents, which reflect the views of the authors only. Supporters and partners cannot be held responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.



	Maria Interprete Antonio Maria	Sectored Active additional Active and the sectored associate party		anna Arthur Antonion		an ann an Air				
	1227									
	1 fairs daries			No contract of Paperson in the second	Name of Second	N. 1010/ 1010 - 1010 1010 - 1010	1.1000 f. 1000 f. 1000 f.			
	1 harport									
	interin interin									
	Retroperior Internet Internet Internet Internet	Sectors of Sectors for a sec Charlest sector Distant sectors	Salasa da 20 Angeles da 10 Angeles da 10 Ang	Name of States	New In St Phase St (27)		and the second			
	11mmm		Surent das Chi Sura Langue Martin Sura Sura Langue Agencas	A control of the Berl Incodes of States and the States and the Sta	lanat ka Ur na Aragan Manata lang Manata lang Manata lang Anata lang					
	17eb prin	Nath Chronit e Saith Chronit e Saith Chronit Saith Chronit Saith Chronit Saith Chronit Saith Chronit Saith Chronit Saith Chronit Saith Chronit Saith Chronit e Saith Chronit e				92	Same Charles			
	4 prosecution and provide and		Angeles In Sector Sector Network Constant Sector Se				And the first terms between a protocol and the second second and the second second second and the second second second second second and the second second second second second second and the second second second second second second second and the second secon			
	"hore of						Annun adi Annun			
	1 mprovinger,			For plant information plants and a plant strategy of the strat			An emailed status and the second status and the second status which a second status which a second status and the second status and			
is website uses seeking. Duran	Tarran Hanta			×				concont to -	ookies bei	0.5.1
nis website uses cookies. By co	itinuir	ig to u	ise th	s web	sitë yo	ou are	giving	consent to c	UOKIES DEI	ng t

accept & close



(https://epc.eu/content/PDF/2021/German\_elections\_table\_v5.pdf)

*Photo credits: David GANNON / AFP* 

### ×

This website uses cookies. By continuing to use this website you are giving consent to cookies being used.

#### accept & close