

CES PAPERS – OPEN FORUM
2015-2016



THE MUTED VIBRANCY OF ROMAN CATHOLICISM
IN CONTEMPORARY PORTUGAL: CORPORAL WORKS
OF MERCY IN A TIME OF AUSTERITY

AUTHOR: PAUL CHRISTOPHER MANUEL

THE MINDA DE GUNZBURG

CENTER FOR EUROPEAN STUDIES
AT HARVARD UNIVERSITY



OPEN FORUM CES PAPER SERIES

The Series is designed to present work in progress by current and former Center affiliates and papers presented at Center's seminars and conferences. Any opinions expressed in the papers are those of the authors, and not of CES.

Editors:

Grzegorz Ekiert and Andrew Martin

Editorial Board:

Philippe Aghion

Peter Hall

Roberto Foa

Alison Frank

Torben Iversen

Maya Jasanoff

Jytte Klausen

Michele Lamont

Mary Lewis

Michael Rosen

Vivien Schmidt

Kathleen Thelen

Daniel Ziblatt

Kathrin Zippel

AUTHOR:

Paul Christopher Manuel

BIOGRAPHY:

Paul Christopher Manuel is an affiliate of the Minda de Gunzburg Center for European Studies at Harvard University and a professor of government in the School of Public Affairs at American University. He may be reached at pmanuel@american.edu.

ABSTRACT

This paper concerns the role and function of religious-based organizations in strengthening associational life. Taking Portugal as a case study, it asks whether the concept of muted vibrancy provides theoretical understanding to the role of Catholicism in contemporary Portuguese society. That is, how might a church in a newly consolidated democratic regime, in a time of economic crisis, with a past relationship to a fascist regime, and with declining numbers of adherents, contribute to the deepening of democracy?

THE MUTED VIBRANCY OF ROMAN CATHOLICISM IN CONTEMPORARY PORTUGAL: CORPORAL WORKS OF MERCY IN A TIME OF AUSTERITY

The consideration of religious variables in democratic transitions entered the scholarly discussion relatively late, but a number of important works over the past few years have considered the possibilities and obstacles religion presents to democratization.¹ Among other concerns, this literature examines how the work of religious interest associations might promote greater social capital, civic engagement, empowerment, and participation among the poor and other socially marginalized groups. This literature is consistent with the concept of muted vibrancy, and builds on both the social capital and democratic deepening approaches.

The concept of muted vibrancy carves out a promising research area for how religion and politics, or even faith and culture, may interact in a society historically dominated by one religion. This concept, derived from the work on Roman Catholicism in France (traditionally referred to as the eldest daughter of the Church) by a number of scholars, including historian René Rémond, the Jesuit philosopher Paul Valadier, and from a notable 2001 review article by historian Steven Englund in *Commonweal*, suggests that social scientists need to move beyond the lens normally applied to the question of Catholicism in contemporary Europe (i.e. it is a dying, anti-modern, anti-rational, conservative institution), and instead examine its on-going societal functions.² Valadier, for instance, examines the on-going relevance of Catholicism in modern French society in his 1999 book, *L'Eglise en Procès: Catholicisme et Société Moderne*.³ The Spanish Jesuit Gonzalo Vilagrán has asked similar questions about the church in Spanish society.⁴ This muted vibrancy approach seeks to provide a nuanced understanding of the contemporary role of lived religion in a given society, and therefore to avoid the pitfalls of a facile reading of the role of religion in the public square.

The literature on social capital is consistent with this concern. Putnam (1995) has argued that social capital, meaning “features of social life—networks, norms, and trust—that enable participants to act together more effectively to pursue shared objectives” is necessary to the promotion of a robust associational life in a democracy.⁵ Likewise, Schmitter (1995) suggests that “interest associations may be important (if subsidiary) sites at which the legitimacy of democracy is accorded ... therefore the long-term viability of a given democratic regime may come to depend on the configuration and behavior of such.” Stepan (2001:216) has noted as well that “democracy should not be considered consolidated in a country unless, among other things, there is an opportunity for the development of a robust and critical civil society.” Combined, there is a shared concern that social scientists examine “bottom-up” civic associations—religious or secular—in order to effectively assess how well such social groupings add to democratic processes, legitimacy, and stability.

For its part, the deepening democracy scholarship is particularly concerned with how social divisions may prove to be an obstacle for democratic consolidation. Fishman, (2010:259n6) argues that “the literature on the deepening of democracy emphasizes institutional factors in the opening—or closing—of spaces for effective participation by the poor and other socially subordinate sectors, but also examines social movements and social pressure from below.” If interest associations are to have a crucial legitimizing function in consolidating new democratic regimes, one of the critical questions involves how, exactly, they may accomplish that task. Archon Fung (2003) points to six contributions that civic associations make in the process of deepening democracy, including: the intrinsic good of association and freedom to associate; civic socialization and political education; popular resistance and checking power; interest representation; public deliberation and the public sphere; and direct governance.⁶ With these, Fung builds on Paul Hirst’s argument in *Associative Democracy* that “the state

should cede functions to such associations, and create the mechanisms of public finance whereby they can undertake them.” (Hirst: 1994) ⁷ To the degree that religious organizations might perform these functions, they may indeed be promoting democratic deepening.

The scholarship on religious-based interest associations specifically asks how these groups might deepen associational life in a newly consolidated democratic regime, and thereby facilitate and stabilize democratic regimes.⁸ For instance, Pazit Ben-Nun Bloom (2013) has recently found that “involvement in religious social networks has an independent positive effect on pro-democratic attitudes that is not accounted for by political interest and confidence in institutions.”⁹ Other research also supports these findings.¹⁰ A related concern of this scholarship is on how the charitable works of religious interest associations could serve as an important counter-weight to the dangers that unbridled free-market capitalism could pose to democratic equality. Casanova (2001:1049) argues that “religion may remind individuals and societies of the need to check and regulate those impersonal market mechanisms to ensure that they ... may become more responsible to human needs.”¹¹ Certainly, during times of economic upheavals, a political regime may need to rely on religious associations more fully than during normal periods to maintain social peace and bolster its governing coalition.

This paper concerns the role and function of religious-based organizations in strengthening associational life. Taking Portugal as a case-study, it asks whether the concept of muted vibrancy provides any theoretical understanding to the role of Catholicism in contemporary Portuguese society. That is, how might a church in a newly consolidated democratic regime, in a time of economic crisis, with a past relationship to a fascist regime, and with declining numbers of adherents, contribute to the deepening of democracy? The Portuguese case is complicated by the path of development of its civil society: independent interest organizations have historically been weak, and in the place of other civic associations, the Roman Catholic Church—and especially its many charitable

organizations—has traditionally been viewed as the embodiment of Portuguese civil society. In light of the fact that the Catholic Church has been experiencing a drop in adherents over the past thirty years, this paper also examines an apparent contradiction facing contemporary Portugal: since there are decreasing numbers of Catholics available to perform needed social services, what kinds of pressures would be placed on the secular welfare state if the Catholic associations were someday to close? Are Catholic third sector organizations indications of a muted vibrancy of Portuguese Catholicism, and do they contribute to a robust associational life in Portugal?

Five Key Questions

This paper will proceed by an examination of the following five key questions, three of which were developed by Jeffrey Haynes and Anja Henning (2011:3).¹² Grosso modo, they seek to identify the historical path, objectives, means, strategies, effects, and public perception of Catholic civic organizations in Portuguese society.

- (1) Path Development: What is the path of the church-state relationship in Portugal?
- (2) Objectives: What do Portuguese religious actors intend to achieve in the public agency?
- (3) Means and Strategies: How do religious actors operate in the Portuguese public square?
- (4) Effects: What are the consequences (intended or unintended) of religious actors’ political/public involvement?
- (5) Public Perception: How is Catholicism viewed by the Portuguese population?

Question One: Path Development

The path development of the Portuguese “religious marketplace,” following the concept developed by Ted Jelen and Clyde Wilcox (2002), has been dominated by a single religious tradition for the last

800 years. The issuance of the papal bull *Manifestis Probatum* started this historical path, when Pope Alexander III recognized Afonso Henriques as the first King of Portugal in 1179. This papal bull followed a string of military victories against the occupying Moorish forces who had ruled Portugal since 711, ultimately leading to the complete re-conquest of the national territory—known in Portugal as the *Reconquista*.

Manifestis Probatum was arguably the start of a close and formal relationship between Roman Catholicism and the Portuguese nation-state. Over the subsequent eight hundred years, church-state relations have revolved around the fact that the Pope named the first King. Some Portuguese like that fact, and others do not. This path set the terms of many subsequent problems for those interested in democratizing society and separating church and state, usually referred to as the clerical/anti-clerical divide. The Portuguese church-state cleavage may thus be traced to the very founding moment of the nation; this cleavage served as an important dividing line between Enlightenment-era reformers (younger, well-educated aristocrats) who promoted secular forms of political and societal authority relations, and the defenders of traditional forms of authority (the crown, the military, the aristocracy, and the Roman Catholic Church) who adhered to the divine right of rule. Centuries later, Pope Pius IX issued the Syllabus of Errors in 1864, which condemned the modern project, and called on good Catholics to resist. The battle lines were thus drawn, and would subsequently define church-state relations in 20th century Portugal. Accordingly, over the last one hundred years, there have been periods of clericalism and anti-clericalism; pro-church legislation and anti-church legislation; an embrace of church teachings and a rejection of the same; and a devotion to, and rejection of, of Catholic rituals, saints and teachings.

Since the first Portuguese republic was declared in 1910, Portugal has experienced three distinct phases of church-state relations. The anti-clerical Republican regime (1910-1926) sought to remove the church from the public square; the pro-clerical

fascist and corporatist Salazar regime (1926-1974) reintegrated the church into society but always kept it at arm's length from political power; and, in the time since the 25 April 1974 revolution (1974-present), contemporary Portugal has undergone a dramatic political, economic and cultural transformation. The new democratic regime has sought to regularize its relationship with the church, and also to support its good works, where possible.

Throughout all of this—democracy and fascism, war in Europe and with its African colonies, isolation from Europe and integration into Europe, clericalism and anti-clericalism—the legacy of *Manifestis Probatum* has arguably remained ingrained in the societal fabric of Portuguese society. This religious legacy functions as a sort of cognitive lock for many Portuguese, who cannot even envision a non-Catholic Portugal. It also serves as an ongoing source of ontological sustenance and continuity with the past. In addition, a fidelity to the Gospel and to the vision of Queen Leonor perhaps still fuels an ongoing societal mission to help those in need, predicated on corporal works of mercy.¹³

Changing Patterns of Religious Devotion in Portugal

The *Centro de Estudos e Sondagens de Opinião at the Universidade Católica Portuguesa* completed a survey in 2011 (in the midst of the austerity crisis) on devotional patterns and belief systems in Portugal. The results have identified a significant change in religious devotion patterns among the Portuguese. Whereas Roman Catholicism accounted for well over 90 percent of the total population at the start of the twentieth century, these new results suggest that the population of Portugal is not as Catholic as it used to be.¹⁴ Table 1 indicates that although Portugal remains a Roman Catholic majority-country, there is marked diversity in its contemporary religious marketplace. Of note, some 14 percent of the respondents indicated that they had no organized religion or were indifferent, agnostic, or atheist.

Table 1: Religious Affiliation in Portugal, 2011

Questions	% of Population
Belief in God, but without a religion	4.6
Indifferent	3.2
Agnostic	2.2
Atheist	4.1
Roman Catholic	79.5
Evangelical Christian	2.2
Other Protestant	0.2
Orthodox Christian	0.5
Muslim	0.3
Jehovah's Witnesses	1.3
Other Christian	0.3
Other Non-Christian (including Jewish)	0.4 (Jewish 0.1)
No response/ don't know	0.6

SOURCE: *Centro de Estudos e Sondagens de Opinião* and *Centro de Estudos de Religiões e Culturas, Universidade Católica Portuguesa*, coordinated by Alfredo Teixeira with the support of the Portuguese Bishop's Conference (*Conferência Episcopal Portuguesa*). Jewish statistics are from: <https://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/jsource/Judaism/jewpop.html>

Table 2 shows some movement away from Roman Catholicism in Portugal. Since 1999, those self-identifying as Roman Catholic have dropped by 7 percent, and those self-identifying as without religion have increased by almost the same percent. One could deduce from these results that those leaving the Catholic faith are not joining another confession, and that they simply consider themselves as persons without religion.

Table 2: Categories of Religious Positions Among Believers (in percentages)

Categories	1999	2011	Change
Roman Catholic	86.9	79.5	- 7.4
Other Religions	2.7	5.7	+ 3.0
Without Religion	8.2	14.2	+ 6.0
Don't Know/No Response	2.2	0.6	- 1.6
Total	100	100	

SOURCE: *Centro de Estudos e Sondagens de Opinião* and *Centro de Estudos de Religiões e Culturas, Universidade Católica Portuguesa*, coordinated by Alfredo Teixeira with the support of the Portuguese Bishop's Conference (*Conferência Episcopal Portuguesa*).

Table 3 focuses on the 79.5 percent of the Portuguese population self-identifying as Roman Catholic (reported in Table 1), in terms of how they practice their faith, by region. The results show that nationally, 49.2 percent of Roman Catholics regularly practice their faith, 40.6 percent do so occasionally, and 20 percent never do so. In other words, out of a population of approximately 10 million people, about 7.9 million claim Catholicism; according to this author’s calculations, about 4 million Portuguese are irregular or non-practicing Catholics who are hedging their spiritualbets, so to speak; and around 3.9 million Portuguese regularly practice their Catholic faith.

Table 3 also shows some significant regional distinctions in the practice of Portuguese Catholicism: regular religious practice is stronger in the north (56 percent regular participation) and in the Center (56.2 percent) than in the south, in Alentejo (43.7 percent) and the Algarve (26 percent). 37 percent of those living in the urban area of Lisbon and its environs regularly practice their Catholic faith.

Table 3: Religious Practices of Roman Catholics in Portugal, 2011 (in percentages)

Catholics, according to practice	North	Center	Lisbon and Environs	Alentejo	Algarve	National Total
(Aggregate data based on question “How often do you attend mass”)						
1. Nominal Catholic (never)	8.9	6.9	13.3	11.9	20.0	20
2. Occasionally Practicing Catholic (1-2 times per year)	22.2	19.8	32.0	27.8	36.0	25.2
3. Irregularly Practicing Catholic (up to 11 times per year)	12.9	17.1	17.7	16.6	18.0	15.4
4. Regularly Practicing Catholic (1-2 times per month)	14.8	16.7	12.9	15.9	7.0	14.5
5. Observant Catholic (all Sundays and holy days, more than once per week)	29.0	28.0	15.1	17.9	10.0	23.7
6. Devout Catholic (deeply involved in the life of the parish)	12.2	11.5	9.0	9.9	9.0	11.0

SOURCE: *Centro de Estudos e Sondagens de Opinião* and *Centro de Estudos de Religiões e Culturas*, *Universidade Católica Portuguesa*, coordinated by Alfredo Teixeira with the support of the Portuguese Bishop’s Conference (*Conferência Episcopal Portuguesa*).

Although national numbers indicate that Portugal remains a Catholic-majority country, they also reveal Portugal to be a Catholic-minority practicing country. Summing up the findings:

- A significant majority of Portuguese (79%) self-identify as Roman Catholic, but this number has been dropping over the last thirty years
- A significant minority of Portuguese regularly practice their faith (approximately 3.9 million people)
- Portuguese Catholicism is practiced with greater frequency in the center and northern parts of the country than in the south or in the greater Lisbon metropolitan areas.

These numbers demonstrate that the Catholic Church in Portugal is not the religious monopoly it used to be; they also suggest that the impression that the Catholic Church is in rapid decline in Portuguese society may be overstated. There is a decline in the overall numbers, but the fact that four million people regularly practice their faith suggests an ongoing vibrancy of Portuguese Catholicism. To that point, the Jesuit priest Hermínio Rico tells this author that many young people in Portugal regularly attend mass, “not in every parish, of course, but in many—those which adapt to a younger audience.” In Rico’s view, Catholicism in Portugal is more vibrant than elsewhere in Europe, including Spain.¹⁵

The Complicated Historical Path of the Santa Casa da Misericórdia de Lisboa

One province where one can see a vibrantly muted Catholic life in Portugal lies in the many charitable organizations. Father Lino Maia, president of the Confederação Nacional das Instituições de Solidariedade - CNIS (National Confederation of Solidarity Institutions) tells the author that the CNIS has about 2,850 associated institutions nationally, including Misericórdias and Mutualidades. Arguably, the most visible Catholic-inspired charitable organization in Portugal is the Santa Casa da Misericórdia de Lisboa. Other Santa Casa da Misericórdia institutions were subsequently created throughout the Portuguese-speaking world. More recently, Portuguese

immigrants in France founded the Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Paris in 1994. The unifying and trans-historical mission of the Santa Casa da Misericórdia—and indeed of all of the Catholic charitable organizations—is predicated in the Christian Gospel; namely, to provide needed assistance to the vulnerable and the marginalized and to improve individual lives, social relations, institutions, and collective projects. Or, in theological terms, believers are required to perform corporal works of mercy to those in need.¹⁷

However, the close church-state relationship during much of Portugal’s history complicates efforts today to separate Catholic-associated charitable societies from secular state-run ones. The Portuguese state—in the person of Queen Leonor—created the nonprofit in 1498, which causes much confusion today.¹⁸ That is, Queen Leonor combined what we now would differentiate as state services and religious services into one entity with the creation of the Santa Casa da Misericórdia de Lisboa in 1498 (the same year Vasco da Gama reached India). What may have made sense under a late 15th century Catholic monarch creates conceptual confusion under a 21st century secular democratic regime: the Misericórdia de Lisboa has been on a twisted and complicated church-state institutional path for the last 500 years, fraught with definitional problems.

Let’s start with definitions. To be classified as a religious organization, a group ordinarily needs to function as an independent agency from the government. If an organization relies on state funding, one can reasonably ask where the public/private boundaries lie. In Portugal, most of the private groups have cooperation agreements with the government, normally under the statute of Instituições Particulares de Solidariedade Social - IPSS (Private Institutions of Social Solidarity). Religious-based organizations are classified as Pessoas Coletivas Religiosas (Register of Legal Religious Persons), as established by Decreto-Lei n.º 134/2003.¹⁹

What is the Misericórdia, exactly? Is it a third sector organization, a governmental one, or some unique combination that only makes sense in a Portuguese historical context? It could certainly be argued that the Santa Casa da Misericórdia de Lis-

boa is not a third sector institution, because it is not fully private and independent of government. The Santa Casa da Misericórdia de Lisboa is independent from the rest of the Misericórdias, and is funded by the state lottery. To this point, Lino Maia explains:

The Misericórdia of Lisbon is not the third sector, but it is in an institutional relationship (it is state-owned). Beyond the Misericórdia of Lisbon there are over 380 Misericórdias nationally. Between 1500 and the present, there has been a widespread idea among the Portuguese that in every county (and city), there should be a Misericórdia. All these Misericórdias in Portugal are canonical structures linked to the Catholic Church (under the Cardinal of Lisbon) and thus are the third sector.²⁰

Rui Branco, following the seminal 1979 article on corporatism by Collier and Collier, suggests that one could argue the Santa Casa da Misericórdia de Lisboa is a strange form of a third sector organization. That is, in their article, Collier and Collier nuance state-civil society relations based on a continuum that extends from full autonomy (not the case of the Santa Casa da Misericórdia de Lisboa) to some sort of “corporatism” within the framework of inducements and constraints (closer to the case of the Misericórdia de Lisboa).²¹ As a semi-public body, it is not fully independent from government for its governance, but still maintains a religious-based, and not a secular, mission.²² A quick glance at its website (under mission and values) reveals its commitment to corporal works of mercy—not something the secular government usually talks about. So, at the very least, one could say that the Santa Casa da Misericórdia de Lisboa is a Catholic historical residue in a secular state—not unlike the enigma of a Christian cross sitting atop the secular state-owned Panthéon in Paris.²³

The twisted path of church-state relations in Portugal has led to the current situation, in which the functions of the state and the Misericórdia have overlapped, and continue to be obfuscated by historical traditions and other factors. The Santa Casa da Misericórdia de Lisboa is a singular case: it has delegated public functions, enjoys a semi-public status, and

receives almost the full amount of the national lottery to fund its activities. Silvia Ferreira argues that:

The Misericórdia de Lisboa is a special type of organization, distinguishable from other Misericórdias. It has a semi-public status (the borders include members of the public sector, and the provedor (director) is chosen by the government). It receives almost the full amount of the national lottery and it has delegated public functions. With that money it is in charge of social assistance in the region of Lisbon and it makes agreements with other nonprofits for the delivery of social services. It plays in Lisbon the role that the social security administration has in the remaining parts of the country. This special status corresponds to the framework these kinds of organizations had before the Portuguese revolution, and is almost unique.²⁴

Likewise, Hermínio Rico points out that, “There are many IPSS in Portugal. Misericórdias are just one kind—the older ones—but they all work through contracts with the State Social Security, in which they receive from the state (the welfare system) a certain amount for each of the benefactors of their service. Thus, the state finances private providers of social services. In the end, it’s only the management of the funds that is private, not the source of the funds.”²⁵

Indeed, all of the Misericórdias claim a mission based in corporal works of mercy—which are at the foundation of 20th century Catholic social teaching. The desire to help those in need harmonizes with the core objectives of the secular welfare state, but these are still two distinct institutions. The Santa Casa da Misericórdia de Lisboa is the oldest one, and the one most closely associated with the government. The União da Misericórdias—responsible for the rest of the country—is significantly more independent of the government, but still relies on state support.

Setting aside the question of whether the Santa Casa da Misericórdia de Lisboa is a third sector organization, we can identify many other social service organizations in Portugal. Loosely following the useful categories developed by Andres Walliser and Sara Villanueva, we can identify three main types of Christian-based third sector organizations in Portugal.

- First, the policy implementation sector describes those legal organizations operating under a state-granted formal statute. In this category, the IPSS formally recognizes and supports the work of the organization in a specific area of need. This describes the Santa Casa da Misericórdia de Lisboa (Lisbon Holy House of Mercy) as well as the União das Misericórdias Portuguesa (Portuguese Union of Houses of Mercy); the Confederação Nacional das Instituições de Solidariedade Social - CNIS (National Confederation of Social Solidarity Institutions); the Associações de Solidariedade Social (Social Solidarity Associations); the União das Mutualidades Portuguesas (Union of Portuguese Mutual Societies); the Associações de Socorro Mútuo (League of Mutual Aid Associations) and the Centros Sociais e Paroquiais de Bem-Estar Social (Parochial Social Centers of Social Well-Being). Lino Maia reports that “relations between the leaders of the CNIS and the *Misericórdias* are very good, with regular meetings setting common strategy.”²⁶

- Second, the community sector describes those Catholic and other Christian organizations which are motivated by scripture to work directly with the most vulnerable, ordinarily outside of the state. These groups include *Cáritas Portuguesa*, *Sociedade de São Vicente de Paulo - SSV*, and the *Legião da Boa Vontade* (Good Will Legion).

- Third, the *philanthropic associations* sector, which has a policy-specific focus, has clear social aims, does not engage in economic activity, and is very reliant on donations. These groups—sometimes in conjunction with the state—seek to provide needed services and improve efficiencies in the delivery of goods in specific policy areas (including refugees, poverty, prisons, and many others). They include *O Serviço Jesuíta aos Refugiados - JRS* (Jesuit Refugee Service); *Obra Católica Portuguesa das Migrações* (Portuguese Catholic Work for Migrants); *Coordenação Nacional da Pastoral Penitenciária* (National Coordination for Prison Ministry); *Obra Nacional da Pastoral dos Ciganos* (National Pastoral Work for Gypsies); *Ajuda à Igreja que Sofre* (Help to a Suffering Church); *Terra dos Sonhos* (Field of Dreams, for children suf-

fering from incurable diseases) and many others.

These three categories show the complexity of faith-based third sector work in Portugal. Sonia Sousa has importantly observed that if the inquiry is limited to the *Misericórdias*, a substantial portion of social services institutions would be left out. In her view, “faith-based and privately-owned not-for-profit organizations account for the bulk of the social services in Portugal, more so than the *Misericórdias*. For example, there are about 2,500 institutions which are members of CNIS and another 1,800 non-CNIS members outside the sphere of *Misericórdias*.” Arguably, the long and twisted path of the church-state relationship in Portugal has led to the development of this wide array of social service third sector organizations, many of which are founded upon the principles of corporal works of mercy.

Question Two: Objectives

Let us now consider their objective: what do Catholic civic organizations hope to accomplish in the public square? This question brings us to what J. Von Essen has referred to as “the problem of goodness”—that is, determining whether these organizations are being altruistic for its own sake, or in expectation of some form of payment. At first glance, one form of “payment”—for lack of a better word—for religious-motivated people is eternal salvation, rooted in the corporal works of mercy. Theologically, performing good works alone does not replace a belief in Christ, but such actions do put into practice a love of Christ. There are several scriptural bases for this work. Consider, for example, John 10:3 37-38:

If I do not perform my Father’s works, do not believe me; but if I perform them, even if you do not believe me, believe the works, so that you may realize (and understand) that the Father is in me and I am in the Father.

And again in James 2:26:

For just as a body without a spirit is dead, so also faith without works is dead.

Scriptural passages such as these motivated Queen Leonor to launch the *Santa Casa da Misericórdia de Lisboa* in 1498. Simply put, corporal works of mercy—which are the center of Roman Catholic under-

standing of intrinsic good—place scripture into practical applications; modern Catholic social teachings spring up from these understandings. The societal footprint of the Misericórdia, Caritas Portugal, and other Catholic organizations (including orphanages and other child-care facilities, nursing homes, medical clinics, family counseling centers, hospitals, and others) reflects this notion of intrinsic good, and accounts for why these groups exist in the first place.

The Economic Crisis of 2008 and Refundação

The global financial crisis of 2008 brought renewed focus on the societal need of non-governmental Catholic-based civic associations.²⁹ In response to the economic crisis, the Portuguese government started a process referred to as rethinking, or *refundação*, of how the state provides services; that process eventually led the state to adopt several sharp policy changes, including reductions of public services, transfers, public investments, social pensions, and public employee salaries.³⁰ The government also changed some of its tax policies, including the elimination of some personal income tax credits and an increase of indirect taxes on basic goods.³¹ Many people were badly hurt by these measures. *Cáritas Portugal*—the official institution of the Portuguese Bishops' Conference—reports that demands for its services significantly increased, with almost a doubling in the numbers of families who were receiving support in 2011-2012. Similarly, Valentina Pop observes:

Five years since the beginning of the crisis in 2008, there is little or no growth, there are ongoing massive increases in unemployment, and millions of people are living in poverty ... The *Cáritas* report shows how these reforms translated into practice: people with disabilities and pensioners having to wait for months for their allowances and pensions because there is not enough personnel to process all the claims.³²

To provide additional service, the government launched the *Social Emergency Program in 2011 (Programa de Emergência Social - PES)*.³³ This program also attempted to increase the capacity of local non-profit organizations to deal with the crisis, and to alleviate the suffering of the affected groups.³⁴ Lino Maia reports that the government reached out to the CNIS as it developed this program, and that this association of third sector charitable organizations continues an

excellent working relationship with the government: The CNIS gave many contributions to the definition of the Social Emergency Program for 2011. It was indeed consulted in advance and was the main “construction” of the program. Cooperation between the government and the CNIS is very good; we have monthly meetings with three social ministries (Ministry of Solidarity Employment and Social Security, Ministry of Education, and Ministry of Health). The CNIS is continually consulted to give advice on all legal documents of interest to the sector and just got approved by the Council of Ministers as a Decree Law Cooperation. Not being a government organization and not having a party option (cooperate, and systematically dialogue with all parties), the CNIS feels very comfortable in the dialogue and cooperation with this government.³⁵

Clearly, the government understood that the austerity program would have significant human consequences, and that its own state-run welfare services would not be able to keep up with the demand. The role of the CNIS, along with Union of Misericórdias (which has about 380 members) and the Union of Mutual Societies (with about 90 members), was essential to maintaining some degree of social harmony during the implementation of the austerity program.³⁶

Anti-Austerity Protests

At first, the Portuguese seemed resigned to these austerity measures. In time, however, anti-austerity protests were organized—social protests not being seen in Portugal since the heady days of the 25 April 1974 revolution. Unlike the 1970s, however, some of these new groups were organized over social media.³⁷ One of the largest anti-austerity protests took place on 12 March 2011 when an estimated 300,000 thousand people, calling themselves the *Movimento 12 de Março* (12 March Movement) and the *Geração à Rasca* (Struggling Generation) protested in Oporto and in Lisbon. These protests have not yet reached a crisis level in the way we have seen recently in Greece, but they do pose a potential challenge for the government: an outraged civil society against its elected officials does not bode well for the on-going consolidation of democracy. To the degree that Catholic civic organizations are responding to the needs of those hurt by the austerity measures—and thus toning down some of the

anti-government and anti-system rhetoric of the protesters—one can say that the role and function they play in strengthening associational life indeed assists the larger deepening of Portuguese democracy.

Question Three: Means and Strategies

The question of means and strategies implies two interrelated concerns (1) the legal framework of how religious actors operate in the Portuguese public square and (2) their sources of income.

Legal Framework

The law of Religious Liberty of 2001 frames contemporary church-state relations in Portugal (Sousa de Brito: 2004). Among other provisions, it guarantees equal treatment for all confessions and the right of a religion to establish churches and run schools. Of note, Article 58 of the Law on Religious Liberty guarantees the Roman Catholic Church certain privileges not allowed to other confessions, because it left the Salazar-era 1940 Concordat between the Vatican and Portugal intact. That issue was remedied with the 2004 Concordat between the Vatican and Portugal, in which the Portuguese state affirmed the juridical position of the Catholic Church and its institutions, especially the church's jurisdiction in ecclesiastical matters, whereas the church recognized religious freedom in Portugal as a fundamental right for all people, and agreed to live within the democratic processes outlined in the constitution.

The four main legal documents governing how religious actors operate in the Portuguese public square are (1) the 1983 IPSS statute, (2) the 1992 *Despacho Normativo* (Legislative Order), (3) the 1996 Cooperation Agreement and (4) the 2003 *Registo de Pessoas Colectivas Religiosas* (Register of Collective Religious Persons).³⁸ Combined, these measures aim at bringing some balance and structure to the relationship between the government and third sector organizations that had previously been very confused and unclear—due in part to the complicated historical path of the church-state relationship.

The IPSS statute of 1983 was the first step. Maria Barroco notes that this statute granted legal recognition to third sector organizations which advance societal justice and solidarity, and was a first attempt at harmonizing church-state relations in this area. (1997:66).³⁹ The 1992 *Despacho Normativo* allowed the state to provide technical support and subsidies to third sector organizations, as well as

through the tax code (reimbursements, exemptions, abatements).⁴⁰ The ambitious 1996 Cooperation Agreement for Social Solidarity signed by the Lisbon government, the *Associação Nacional de Municípios* (National Associations of Municipal and Civil Parish Governments), the *Associação Nacional de Freguesias* (National Association of Local Parish Governments), representative bodies of IPSS members, the *Misericórdias*, and the Mutual Association members, provides the legal basis for the coordination of social service work at both national and local levels of government, as well as the relevant civil society organizations. (Barroco 1997:73). Finally, the *Registo de Pessoas Colectivas Religiosas*, a register of faith-based organizations, was formally created by Decreto-Lei nº. 134/2003 on June 28, 2003. Combined, these four steps have enabled Lisbon authorities to formally identify and regularize their relationship with religious third sector organizations and thereby to begin to bring some structure and logic to this relationship. With these developments, most works of third sector charity that were founded on a volunteer basis have now been framed within the IPSS structure, with access to public funding.⁴¹

Sources of Income

Given the terms of the 1996 Cooperation Agreement, many IPSS organizations now receive government subsidies. This arrangement is in harmony with Paul Hirst's argument that "the state should cede functions to such associations, and create the mechanisms of public finance whereby they can undertake them." The policy implementation sector groups Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Lisboa, União das Misericórdias Portuguesa, CNIS, União das Mutualidades Portuguesas and parochial social centers all receive substantial state support, working through contracts with the government which allocate funds for the benefactors of their services. Community sector groups such as Cáritas Portuguesa, Sociedade de São Vicente de Paulo and Legião da Boa Vontade receive some government support, and philanthropic associations typically work outside of governmental grants. A 2005 study by the European Union found earned income (fees and sales) to be the dominant source of civil society organization revenue in Portugal (48 percent), followed closely by public sector support (40 percent), and finally, private philanthropy (12 percent).⁴²

As these findings suggest, the church/state lines remain blurred. Catholic associations rely on state funding, and the state also relies on these institutions to deliver much needed social services at a cost less than it would be if the state performed all of this work by itself. In this regard, Rui Branco notes that “one of the takeaways of the literature on social assistance in Portugal is that the state’s direct effort is comparatively small, as it relies a great deal on the third sector ... another is that the welfare civil society, and within it the religious welfare civil society, is one of the best, if not the best, organized sectors in the Portuguese civil society, and also one of the largest.”⁴³

Question 4: Effects

Numerous studies have documented the important contributions of third sector organizations in Portugal.⁴⁴ The October 2014 publication *Impactes Económico e Social das IPSS* (Economic and Social Impact of the IPSS), by the Confederação Nacional das *Instituições de Solidariedade* (National Confederation of the Solidarity Institutions) details the economic and social benefits of religious-based third sector activities.⁴⁵ Among the findings, the report reveals that the combined work of the main legal forms of IPSS, including the *Santas Casas das Misericórdias*; *Centros Sociais e Paroquiais de Bem-Estar Social*; *Associações de Socorro Mútuo ou Mutualidades* and *Associações de Solidariedade Social*—the policy implementation sector—produced in the social economy in FY 2010, “36.8 % of production, 50.1 % of GVA (Gross Value Added), 63.4 % of employment, 42.6 % of earnings, 40.9 % of final consumption expenditure and 38.2 % of net borrowing of the social economy.” (*Impactes Económico e Social das IPSS*, 2014:200.) Similarly, *Cáritas Portugal* reports that in 2014 its *Fundo Social Solidário* (Social Solidarity Fund) supported 3,957 persons facing difficulties with issues like housing costs, health, education or jobs. Its ‘Prioridade às Crianças’ (all priority to children) program assisted 115 children in 2014.^{46 47}

In terms of gross numbers of Catholic-sponsored charitable organizations in Portugal, Miguel de Oliveira reports that as of 1994, there were 295 homes for the infirm, 26 hospitals, 42 outpatient departments and dispensaries, 201 child care centers, 795 social and parochial centers and 3897 Catholic civic associations.⁴⁸ These numbers have increased

over the last twenty years for both Catholic and non-religious third sector organizations. The Center for Civil Society reports that in 2010 there were 5,022 Private Institutions of Social Solidarity (IPSS). The European Union reports that as of September 2014, there were 5099 IPSS registered in the Portuguese social security, including 3309 associations, 1004 social and parochial centers, 234 foundations, 208 institutes of religious organizations, and 344 Misericórdias.

As these numbers indicate, the effects of the work by these sector organizations in Portuguese civil society are quite important.⁴⁹ Significant pressures would be placed on the welfare state if these groups did not exist. Miguel Glatzer has noted that “the state has an important financial interest in delivering social services through the IPSS,” given the significant cost savings of the delivery of these vital services. Agreeing, Manuel Morujão observes that “it’s impossible to imagine the welfare state without the work of the *Santas Casas da Misericórdia*. If the Misericórdias were to close, the welfare state would collapse.” Expanding on that notion, Morujão explains that in his view, “it would be extremely difficult to find alternatives. The Misericórdias have great structures, buildings, know-how, prepared personnel, strong tradition, and Christian inspiration to serve brothers and sisters, and this is significantly more than bureaucratic structures have to help people.”⁵⁰

Question Five: Public Perception

How are these good works perceived by the population? Returning to the surveys completed in 2011 during the austerity crisis by the Centro de Estudos e Sondagens de Opinião at the Universidade Católica Portuguesa, and presented in tables 4-9, we can find an overall appreciation of the role of Catholicism in contemporary Portuguese society. Given the long and pronounced presence of the Roman Catholic Church in Portuguese society, these questions are particularly revealing: they help us gauge a general feeling of whether the people think that the Catholic Church is an antiquated vestige of the fascist past, or whether it continues to play a vital role in contemporary Portuguese society.

Question on Poverty

Table 4 asks about the social work of Church, and asks if there would be more poverty in Portugal without the Catholic Church. The survey finds that 49.4 percent

of the Portuguese believe that there would be more poverty in Portugal without the Roman Catholic Church, with only 26.6 percent disagreeing. The 49 percent number approximates the number of practicing Roman Catholics in Portugal, but this result does seem to indicate a general appreciation for the charitable works of church-based organizations.

Table 4: Would there be more poverty if there were no Roman Catholic Church in Portugal?

	Percentage of respondents
Totally Agree	29.1
Partially Agree	20.3
Neither Agree nor disagree	13.7
Partially Disagree	8.1
Totally Disagree	18.7
Don't know/No response	10.0
Total	100.0

SOURCE: *Centro de Estudos e Sondagens de Opinião* and *Centro de Estudos de Religiões e Culturas, Universidade Católica Portuguesa*, coordinated by Alfredo Teixeira with the support of the Portuguese Bishop's Conference (*Conferência Episcopal Portuguesa*).

Tables 5 and 6 inquire about the ontological teachings of the church, and ask if there would be less hope in Portugal without the Roman Catholic Church. The results indicate an appreciation for both the corporal works of mercy sponsored by church organizations as well as for the hope contained in church teachings. Table 5 asks if people think there would be a lack of purpose in life without the Roman Catholic Church. A large percentage, 65.5 percent, agree that church teachings offer a purpose of life, with only 15.3 percent disagreeing. The strongest opinion was held by those who strongly agree with that statement, at 38.7 percent.

Table 5: Would many lack a purpose in life if there were no Roman Catholic Church in Portugal?

	Percentage of respondents
Totally Agree	38.7
Partially Agree	26.9
Neither Agree nor disagree	11.4
Partially Disagree	5.0
Totally Disagree	10.3
Don't know/No response	7.7
Total	100.0

SOURCE: *Centro de Estudos e Sondagens de Opinião* and *Centro de Estudos de Religiões e Culturas, Universidade Católica Portuguesa*, coordinated by Alfredo Teixeira with the support of the Portuguese Bishop's Conference (*Conferência Episcopal Portuguesa*).

Similarly, Table 6 finds that 66.9 percent think that people would die without hope if there were no Catholic church in Portugal. The strongest group, 44.2, totally agreed with the statement.

Table 6: Would many die without hope if there were no Roman Catholic Church in Portugal?

	Percentage of respondents
Totally Agree	44.2
Partially Agree	24.7
Neither Agree nor disagree	9.1
Partially Disagree	4.9
Totally Disagree	9.6
Don't know/No response	7.4
Total	100.0

SOURCE: *Centro de Estudos e Sondagens de Opinião* and *Centro de Estudos de Religiões e Culturas, Universidade Católica Portuguesa*, coordinated by Alfredo Teixeira with the support of the Portuguese Bishop's Conference (*Conferência Episcopal Portuguesa*).

Tables 7, 8 and 9 all ask variations of questions concerning whether Portuguese society would be freer without the presence of the Roman Catholic Church. The results are somewhat surprising: majorities of Portuguese do not find the church to be an obstacle to individual freedom.

Table 7 asks whether Portugal would have more progress without the Roman Catholic Church. Only 16.5 percent agree with that statement, with 50.2 percent disagreeing. Of note, the strongest responses in this survey came from those who totally disagree with the statement.

Table 7: Would there be more progress if there were no Roman Catholic Church in Portugal?

	Percentage of respondents
Totally Agree	6.5
Partially Agree	10.0
Neither Agree nor disagree	20.0
Partially Disagree	13.7
Totally Disagree	36.5
Don't know/No response	13.2
Total	100.0

SOURCE: *Centro de Estudos e Sondagens de Opinião & Centro de Estudos de Religiões e Culturas, Universidade Católica Portuguesa*, coordinated by Alfredo Teixeira with the support of the Portuguese Bishop's Conference (*Conferência Episcopal Portuguesa*).

Table 8 asks whether there would be more individual freedom in Portugal if there were no Roman Catholic Church. The results parallel the findings in Table 5: only 21 percent of the respondents agree with the statement, and 50.8 percent disagree. The strongest opinion was voiced by those who strongly disagree, at 37.2 percent.

Table 8: Would there be more individual freedom if there were no Roman Catholic Church in Portugal?

	Percentage of respondents
Totally Agree	8.7
Partially Agree	12.4
Neither Agree nor disagree	16.0
Partially Disagree	13.6
Totally Disagree	37.2
Don't know/No response	12.1
Total	100.0

SOURCE: *Centro de Estudos e Sondagens de Opinião* and *Centro de Estudos de Religiões e Culturas, Universidade Católica Portuguesa*, coordinated by Alfredo Teixeira with the support of the Portuguese Bishop's Conference (*Conferência Episcopal Portuguesa*).

Finally, Table 9 asks if people think that there would be more religious freedom if there were no Roman Catholic Church in Portugal; 46.6 percent disagree with that statement, and 25.6 percent agree. As is the case with the other tables, the largest percentage, 35.9 percent, totally disagrees with that statement.

Table 9: Would there be more religious freedom if there were no Roman Catholic Church in Portugal?

	Percentage of respondents
Totally Agree	13.4
Partially Agree	12.2
Neither Agree nor disagree	16.5
Partially Disagree	10.7
Totally Disagree	35.9
Don't know/No response	11.3
Total	100.0

SOURCE: *Centro de Estudos e Sondagens de Opinião* and *Centro de Estudos de Religiões e Culturas, Universidade Católica Portuguesa*, coordinated by Alfredo Teixeira with the support of the Portuguese Bishop's Conference (*Conferência Episcopal Portuguesa*).

These results clearly indicate a high appreciation of, and satisfaction with, the works of the Roman Catholic Church and its organizations in Portugal. Lino Maia explains:

Maybe because of the great involvement of the Catholic Church and its IPSS (in combating poverty), there is a general appreciation for the Catholic Church, which has helped people to forget some "sins" of the Church. It is generally recognized that those who have done more to ensure that problems are not so onerous for the Portuguese, particularly in this (austerity) crisis, have been the Church and its institutions—not only the canonical structures in the IPSS, but also the Vincentians and *Cáritas*.⁵¹

Summing up the findings:

- A majority of Portuguese find that the Catholic Church provides needed services to combat poverty
- A strong majority of Portuguese find that the Catholic Church provides ontological support (meaning and purpose of life)
- A plurality of Portuguese find that the Catholic Church does not impede personal or religious freedom.

Conclusion

How can a church in a newly consolidated democratic regime, in a time of economic crisis, with a past relationship to a fascist regime, and with declining numbers of adherents, contribute to the deepening of democracy? The concept of muted vibrancy is a particularly useful way to approach that question. It provides a nuanced understanding of the contemporary role of Catholicism in Portugal, and takes us past a facile reading of their recent legislative defeats on moral issues.

Indeed, although the Portuguese Catholic Church has been experiencing a drop in adherents over the past thirty years and has lost much influence in policy formation—as seen in the recent decisions by the Lisbon government to decriminalize abortion and legalize same-sex marriage—the Portuguese church remains vibrant. As the preceding dis-

ussion around our five key questions demonstrates, the social services provided by Catholic third sector organizations—whether they are part of the policy implementation sector, the community sector, or philanthropic associations—are greatly valued, and have contributed to a strengthening of Portuguese associational life, especially so during the recent austerity crisis.⁵² Father Lino Maia points out that “in Portugal there is a great sense of solidarity ... almost all identify with the Judeo-Christian culture, and we are well aware that we are “guards” of brothers and are called to “feed the hungry.”⁵³ Catholic social services—rooted in classic Christian understandings of the corporal works of mercy—have perhaps never been more in need. They are essential to combat poverty and also to help to build a social consensus based on communitarian values. As such, they have clearly helped to strengthen Portuguese associational life, especially so after the austerity crisis of 2008.⁵⁴

All of this brings us back to a fundamental contradiction in contemporary Portuguese society: although the numbers of baptized Catholics in Portugal participating in the life of their faith community has been decreasing over the past thirty years, perhaps due to the larger processes of secularization, the secular state in Lisbon still relies on the welfare services provided by those believing in corporal works of mercy. The twin processes of secularization and austerity have brought Portuguese Catholicism to this contradiction. Simply put, as the process of secularization tends to move people away from a daily spiritual reliance on organized religion, the politics of austerity requires more service to the poor by third sector organizations. Even though the good works of Catholic third sector organizations are clear signs of a vibrant, but perhaps muted, church, one must still wonder if the steady decline in the practice of Portuguese Catholicism may someday result in fewer numbers of Catholics able and willing to perform these services—thereby forcing the delivery of social services in Portugal onto a more secular path.

ENDNOTES

1. Gryzmala-Busse, "Comparative Politics," 421–42. Anna Gryzmala-Busse has recently argued that comparative politics needs to take religion more seriously, and this paper takes up her call.

2. Englund, "Muted Vibrancy of French Catholicism," 12–16. Englund notes, "Catholicism in France all too often sees the secular press reduce its entire doctrine and witness to the magisterium's stand on mores, moral individualism being the litmus test par excellence for one's modernity in France." Also see Rémond, *Le Christianisme en Accusation*; Valadier, *Agir en Politique: Decision Morale et Pluralisme Politique*; Valadier, *Anarchie Des Valeurs*; Valadier *Un Christianisme d'Avenir*; Gentil-Baichis et al, *Chrétiens, Tournez la Page*.

3. Valadier, *L'Eglise en Procès: Catholicisme et Société Moderne*. He wonders what kinds of religious values have survived the modern project.

4. Villagrán, *Public Theology in a Foreign Land*.

5. Putnam, "Strange Disappearance of Civic America."

6. Fung, "Associations and Democracy," 519–39. Hyeong-ki Kwong offers an opposing view that sometimes rich associational life may lead to fascism. Kwong, "Associations, Civic Norms, and Democracy," 135–66.

7. Hirst, *Associative Democracy*, 21.

8. Ben-Nun Bloom and Arikan, "Two-edged Sword," 249–76.

9. Ben-Nun Bloom and Arikan, "Religion and Support for Democracy," 375–97.

10. Anderson, "Does God Matter," 192–217; Barro, "Determinants of Democracy," 158–83; Barro and McCleary, "Religion and Economic Growth," 760–81; Ben-Nun Bloom, Zemach and Arian, "Religiosity and Democratic Performance," 25–51; Canetti-Nisim, "Effect of Religiosity," 377–98; Gill, "Religion and Comparative Politics," 117–38; Huntington, *Clash of Civilizations*; Inglehart and Welzel, *Modernization, Cultural Change, and Democracy*; Jelen, "Political Christianity," 692–714; Jelen and Wilcox, *Religion and Politics in Comparative Perspective*; Norris and Inglehart, *Sacred and Secular*; Leege, "Catholics and the Civic Order," 704–36; Müller, "Religiosity and Attitudes," 1–29; Philpott, "Catholic Wave," 32–46; Putnam, *Bowling Alone*; Roccas and Schwartz, "Church-State Relations," 356–75.

11. Casanova, "Civil Society and Religion," 1041–1080.

12. Haynes and Hennig, *Religious Actors in the Public Square*. Haynes and Hennig developed the questions on objectives, means and strategies, and effects.

ENDNOTES

13. Stepan, *Arguing Comparative Politics*, 221. Stepan notes that Portugal is the only member of the European Union that expressly prohibits political parties from the use of religious symbols. This law dates from the 1974-96 revolutionary period, and is mitigated by the fact that there is a de facto Christian Democratic Party - the CDS, or Centro Democrático Social - that is a member of international Christian Democratic organizations.

14. <http://www.newadvent.org/cathen/12297a.htm>

15. Hermínio Rico, email interview with author, 6 May 2015.

16. Lino Maia, email interview with author, 8 June 2015.

17. The corporal works of mercy are (1) to feed the hungry, (2) to give drink to the thirsty, (3) to clothe the naked, (4) to visit and ransom the captives, (5) to shelter the homeless, (6) to visit the sick, (7) to bury the dead. <http://www.sacredheartnorfolk.com/faith-formation/catholic-faith-resources/1-know-your-faith/works-of-mercy>. The Scriptural basis for the corporal works of mercy may be found in the Gospel of Matthew 25:31-45. “The Judgment of the Nations.*31” <http://www.usccb.org/bible/matthew/25>

18. http://www.scml.pt/pt-PT/scml/5_seculos_de_historia/seculos_xv_e_xvi/

19. Registo de Pessoas Colectivas Religiosas is governed under Decree Law 16/2001.

20. Lino Maia, email interview with author, 8 June 2015.

21. Collier and Berins Collier, “Inducements Versus Constraints,” 967–86.

22. https://www.ine.pt/xportal/xmain?xpid=INE&xpgid=ine_publicacoes&PUBLICACOESpub_boui=157543613&PUBLICACOESmodo=2

23. The Panthéon in the Latin Quarter in Paris was originally built as a Roman Catholic Church dedicated to St. Genevieve – the patroness of Paris. After many changes following the French revolution, it now functions as a secular mausoleum containing the remains of French heroes. However, a Christian cross remains atop the secular structure.

24. Silvia Ferreira, email interview with author, 5 May 2015.

25. Hermínio Rico, email interview with author, 6 May 2015.

26. Lino Maia, email interview with author, 8 June 2015.

27. Sonia Sousa, email interview with author, 11 May 2015.

ENDNOTES

28. Von Essen, “Religious Perspective of Volunteering,” 148.

29. Ascoli, Glatzer, and Sotiropulos, “Southern European Welfare,” 24. Miguel Glatzer points out that, “The global financial crisis has hit Portugal hard. After an initial period of stimulus, interest rates on government debt started to soar. Portugal passed austerity budgets in 2010 but was soon forced to seek an international bailout. In May 2011 the troika (the European Commission, the European Central Bank and the International Monetary Fund) approved a bailout package of 78 million Euros, the third after Greece and Ireland. Induced by tightened credit, reduced private sector demand, government austerity, and a slowdown in growth and slide into recession among most of its European trading partners, the Portuguese economy has seen increasingly rapid decline.”

30. <http://ecoseconomia.blogspot.com/2012/11/a-refundacao-do-estado-em-portugal.html> & <http://www.rtp.pt/noticias/index.php?article=599307&tm=9&layout=123&visual=61>

31. <http://www.etuc.org/portugal>; <https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/scr/2013/cr1306.pdf>

32. <https://euobserver.com/social/123643>; http://www.denederlandsegrondwet.nl/9353000/1/j9v-vihlf299q0sr/vjifqka22nzu?ctx=vi03gxem3fzg&tab=1&start_tab1=56 & http://www.caritas.eu/sites/default/files/caritascrisisreport_2014_en.pdf

33. http://www.mercadosocialarrendamento.msss.pt/programa_emergencia_social.jsp <http://www.iseg.ulisboa.pt/mkt/content/the-welfare-state-in-portugal-in-the-age-of-austerity/Papers/The%20Sovereign%20Debt%20Crisis%20in%20Portugal%20Past,%20Present%20and%20Future%20Perspectives.pdf>; http://www.nytimes.com/2011/01/16/magazine/16Europe-t.html?_r=0; http://www.bruegel.org/fileadmin/bruegel_files/Events/Event_materials/110913_S-game/lourtie20110913.pdf

34. http://www.scml.pt/pt-PT/areas_de_intervencao/acao_social/emergencia_e_apoio_a_insercao/; & <http://www.scmvc.pt/pages/258/> also <http://www.portugal.gov.pt/pt/os-ministerios/ministerio-da-solidariedade-e-seguranca-social/documentos-oficiais/20120704-pes.aspx>

35. Lino Maia, email interview with author, 8 June 2015.

36. Lino Maia, email interview with author, 8 June 2015. It is unclear to what extent the social emergency plan of the PDS-CDS government during the recent crisis was just purely a matter of policy choice as opposed to a policy legacy inherited from previous governments. It is certainly true that contrary to Spain and Greece, Portugal has a rich history of third sector involvement in policy-making via articulation agreements with the state.

37. The social media anti-austerity movement was led by Alexandre de Sousa Carvalho, João Labrincha, and Paula Gil.

ENDNOTES

38. Ascoli, Glatzer, and Sotiropulos, “Southern European Welfare,” 19. Miguel Glatzer has usefully observed that prior to the 1983 statute “the relationship of the state to civil society organizations in this field was ad hoc, unsystematic and based on a high level of discretion.”

39. Barroco, “Instituições Particulares de Solidariedade Social.”

40. Barroco, “Instituições Particulares de Solidariedade Social.” Barroco notes that the exact details of the subsidies, etc. are revisited and codified annually in agreements between the relevant ministry and the IPSS union.

41. Rui Branco, email interview with author, 5 May 2015. More recently, the government has sought to extend the role of these organizations. Rui Branco notes that, “one of the recent developments during the (austerity) crisis has been the expanding role of the third sector following a number of extended protocols and agreements since the present government took office in 2011; namely, the extension of third sector activities to school canteens or to funeral services. Actually, one bone of contention with the current socialist opposition is the will to roll back some of the added influence the welfare civil society has gained.”

42. Study on volunteering in the European Union. Country Report on Portugal (2005): http://ec.europa.eu/citizenship/pdf/national_report_pt_en.pdf

43. Rui Branco, email interview with author, 5 May 2015. See also Branco, “Sociedade Civil e Estado Providência.”

44. See the 2010 Satellite account for social economy Report, Instituto Nacional de Estatística: https://www.ine.pt/xportal/xmain?xpid=INE&xpgid=ine_publicacoes&PUBLICACOESpub_boui=157543613&PUBLICACOESmodo=2; The Social Network website Rede de Serviços e Equipamentos, Carta Social also has useful information: <http://www.cartasocial.pt/index2.php>

45. http://novo.cnis.pt/Cnis_Impactes_RFinal_revisto.pdf

46. http://www.caritas.eu/sites/default/files/caritascrisisreport_2015_en_final.pdf; <http://www.portugal.gov.pt/media/747090/programa%20emergencia%20social.pdf>; <http://www.portugal.gov.pt/pt/os-ministerios/ministerio-da-solidariedade-e-seguranca-social/documentos-oficiais/20110805-programa-emergencia-social.aspx>

47. Carvalho, “Quantifying the Third Sector” 588–610.

48. Oliveira, *História Eclesiástica de Portugal*, 280.

ENDNOTES

49. Manuel Morujão, email interview with author, 13 May 2015. See also <http://siresearch.eu/blog/volunteering-portugal>

50. Manuel Morujão, email interview with author, 13 May 2015.

51. Lino Maia, email interview with author, 8 June 2015.

52. Göçmen, “Role of Faith-Based Organizations,” 495-516. The same can be seen in France. Göçmen points out that in France, “The composition of the voluntary sector (especially in terms of cultural diversity) and its relations with the central and local governments changed as an outcome of the legislative reforms during the post-1980s period. Currently, secular voluntary associations such as Secours Populaire and Restaux du Coeur (est. 1983) fill an important gap in social welfare, especially in alleviating poverty and helping people in urgent situations.” The most important faith-based social welfare provider is Secours Catholique, a Catholic charity organization established in 1946. The role of the organization in social welfare increased after 2008 when it established partnerships with other institutions providing social services at the local level, and when it began to take part in the materialization of the R.S.A. (Solidarity Income of Activity.) Barou, “Faith-based Organizations,” 47–75. The Protestant churches working with the Federation Entraide Protestante (French Protestant Federation) represent the second largest religious community in France (Federation Entraide Protestante [FEP], 2012). The Federation Entraide Protestante and its member associations such as CIMADE, CAPS, and Diaconat Protestant, are active in helping the poor, the elderly, children, and the handicapped. They also support migrants and defend their human rights at the local level. (Barou:2011)

53. Lino Maia, email interview with author, 8 June 2015.

54. Rui Branco, email interview with author, 5 May 2015. Branco asks this very important question: “Will the crisis merely follow a path-dependent course, or will it have path-shifting consequences, upsetting the previous policy balance?”

REFERENCES

Anderson, John. 2004. "Does God Matter, and if so, Whose God? Religion and Democratization." *Democratization* 11 (4): 197–217.

_____. 2007. "The Catholic Contribution to Democratization's Third Wave: Altruism, Hegemony, or Self-Interest?" *Cambridge Review of International Affairs* 20 (3): 383–99.

Antunes, Manuel Luís. 1982. "Notas sobre organização e os meios de intervenção da Igreja Católica em Portugal: 1950-1980. *Análise Social* (72-73-74): 1141–154.

Appleby, Scott R. 2000. *The Ambivalence of the Sacred: Religion, Violence and Reconciliation*. New York: Rowman & Littlefield.

Ascoli, Ugo, Miguel Glatzer, and Dimitri A. Sotiropulos. 2014. "Southern European Welfare and Social Services: The Role of the Third Sector." Paper presented at the ISEG Welfare State in the Context of Austerity conference, Lisbon, May 9-10. Paper available at: <http://www.iseg.ulisboa.pt/mkt/content/the-welfare-state-in-portugal-in-the-age-of-austerity/Papers/Southern%20European%20Welfare%20and%20Social%20Services%20the%20Role%20of%20the%20Third%20Sector.pdf>

Badone, Ellen, ed. 1990. *Religious Orthodoxy and Popular Faith in European Society*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Barro, Robert. 1999. "Determinants of Democracy." *Journal of Political Economy* 107 (S6): 158–83.

Barro, Robert and Rachel M. McCleary. 2003. "Religion and Economic Growth Across Countries." *American Sociological Review* 68 (5): 760–81.

Barroco, Maria de Fátima. 1996. *Pessoas Colectivas e Solidariedade Social: Legislação e Diplomas Complementares*. Lisbon: Arco-Íris: Cosmos.

_____. "As Instituições Particulares de Solidariedade Social: Seu Enquadramento e Regime Jurídico," 1997. In *As Instituições Não-Lucrativas e a Acção Social em Portugal*, edited by Carlos Pestana Barros and José C. Gomes Santos, 59–74. Lisbon: Editoria Vulgata.

Barou, Jacques. 2011. "Faith-based Organizations and Social Exclusion in France." In *Faith-based Organisations and Social Exclusion in Greece, in France and in Portugal*, edited by Leonidas Oikonomakis, Jacques Barou, and Andres Walliser, 47–75. Leuven: Acco.

REFERENCES

Bedford-Strohm, Henrich. 2007. "An Open Church in an Open Society: Civil Society and an Element of Theological Ethics." In *Church and Civil Society: The Role of Christian Churches in the Emerging Countries of Argentina, Mexico, Nigeria and South Africa*, edited by Gerhard Kruij and Helmut Reifeld, 135–43. Berlin: Sankt Augustin.

Beit-Hallahmi, Benjamin and Michael Argyle. 1997. *The Psychology of Religious Behavior, Belief, and Experience*. London and New York: Routledge.

Bennett, Jeffrey S. 2012a. "Symbolic Inversion in the 1910 Republican Revolution in Portugal." *History and Anthropology* 233: 282–300.

_____. 2012b. *When the Sun Danced: Myth, Miracles and Modernity in Early Twentieth-Century Portugal*. Charlottesville: University of Virginia Press.

Ben-Nun Bloom, Pazit and Gizem Arikan. 2007. "Explaining the Political Ambivalence of Religion." *American Political Science Review* 101: 505–25.

Ben-Num Bloom, Pazit, Mina Zemach, and Asher Arian. 2011. "Religiosity and Democratic Performance Evaluation." *Democratization* 18 (1): 25–51.

Ben-Num Bloom, Pazit and Gizem Arikan. 2012. "A Two-edged Sword: The Differential Effect of Religious Belief and Religious Social Context on Attitudes towards Democracy." *Political Behavior* 34 (2): 249–76.

_____. 2013. "Religion and Support for Democracy: A Cross-National Test of the Mediating Mechanisms." *British Journal of Political Science* 43: 375–97.

Berger, Peter. 1990. *The Sacred Canopy: Elements of a Sociological Theory of Religion*. Boston: Anchor.

Birmingham, David. 1993. *A Concise History of Portugal*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Boxer, Charles R. 1978. *The Church Militant and Iberian Expansion 1440-1770*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Braga da Cruz, Manuel. 1978. "As Origens da Democracia Cristã em Portugal e o Salazarismo." *Análise Social* XIV (54): 265–78.

Branco, Rui and Tiago Fernandes. 2012. "Démocratisation et Société Civile. Leçons de la Experience Portugaise." *Pôle Sud* 37: 9–25.

REFERENCES

- Branco, Rui and Tiago Fernandes. 2014. "Processos de Democratização e Variedades de Sociedade Civil: as Novas Democracias Ibéricas, 1974-1990s." In *Pensar a República 1910-2010*, by Ana Paiva Morais et al., 143–69. Coimbra: Almedina.
- Branco, Rui. 2016. "Sociedade Civil e Estado Providência. Portugal em Perspectiva Comparada e Longitudinal," in Conceição Pequito Teixeira, editor., *Política Comparada. O Sistema Político Português numa Perspectiva Comparada*, Cascais, Principia.
- Brettell, Caroline. 1990. "The Priest and His People: The Contractual Basis for Religious Practice in Rural Portugal." In *Religious Orthodoxy and Popular Faith in European Society*, edited by Ellen Badone, 55–75. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Bruneau, Thomas. 1976. "Church and State in Portugal: Crisis of Cross and Sword." *Journal of Church and State* 18 (3): 463–90
- Buchanan, Tom, and Martin Conway. 1996. *Political Catholicism in Europe, 1918-1954*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Burns, Gene. 1992. *The Frontiers of Catholicism: The Politics of Ideology in a Liberal World*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Campos, Ana and Maria Manuela Rocha. 2013. "Corporativismo e Assistência Social: Natureza e Produção do Estatuto de Assistência Social." *Ler Histórica* 64: 11–30.
- Canetti-Nisim, Daphna. 2004. "The Effect of Religiosity on Endorsement of Democratic Values: The Mediating Influence of Authoritarianism." *Political Behavior* 26 (4): 377–98.
- Caniço, João, SJ. 1981. "The Church in Portugal." *Pro Mundi Vita Dossiers: Europe/North America Dossier No. 14* (July): 1–32.
- Cardoso, Manuel P. 1993. "The Churches in Portugal." *Expository Times* 104 (August): 323–28.
- Carvalho, Ana. 2010. "Quantifying the Third Sector in Portugal: An Overview and Evolution from 1997 to 2007." *Voluntas* 21: 588–610.
- Casanova, Jose. 2001. "Civil Society and Religion: Retrospective Reflections on Catholicism and Prospective Reflections on Islam Social Research." *Civil Society Revisited* 68 (4): 1041–1080.
- Catroga, Fernando. 2010. *Entre Deuses e Césarés. Secularização, Laicidade e Religião Civil*. 2nd ed. Coimbra: Almedina.

REFERENCES

“The Church and Revolution: Portugal. 1975. IDOC Bulletin 28 (Fall):13–15.

Clarke, Gerard. 2006. “Faith Matters: Faith-Based Organisations, Civil Society and International Development.” *Journal of International Development* 18 (6): 835–48.

_____. 2007. “Agents of Transformation? Donors, Faith-Based Organisations and International Development.” *Third World Quarterly* 28 (1): 77–96.

Collier, David and Ruth Berins Collier. 1979. “Inducements verses Constraints: Disaggregating ‘Corporatism.’” *The American Political Science Review* 73 (4): 967–86.

Conway, Martin. 1997. *Catholic Politics in Europe, 1918-1945*. New York: Routledge.
Costa-Pinto, António and Pedro Tavares de Almeida. 2000. “On Liberalism and the Emergence of Civil Society in Portugal.” In *Civil Society before Democracy: Lessons from Nineteenth-Century Europe*, by Nancy Bermeo and Philip Nord, 3–23. Oxford: Rowman and Littlefield.

Cruz, Manuel Braga da. 1996-1997. “A Igreja na Transição Democrática Portuguesa.” *Lusitania Sacra* 8/9: 519–36.

Dekker, P., and L. Halman. 2003. *The Values of Volunteering: Cross-Cultural Perspectives*. New York: Kluwer Academic Plenum Publishers.

Djupe, P. A. and C. G. Gilbert. 2006. “The Resourceful Believer: Generating Civic Skills in Church.” *The Journal of Politics* 68 (1): 116–27.

Documentos Pastorais, 1967-1977. 1977. Lisbon: Conferência Episcopal Portuguesa.

Dorr, Donal. 1992. *Option for the Poor: A Hundred Years of Catholic Social Teaching*. New York: Maryknoll.

Driessen, Michael D. P. 2010. “Religion, State and Democracy: Analyzing Two Dimension of Church-State Arrangements.” *Politics and Religion* 3 (1): 55–80.

Driskell, Robyn, Elizabeth Embry, and Larry Lyon. 2008. “Faith and Politics: The Influence of Religious Beliefs on Political Participation.” *Social Sciences Quarterly* 89 (2): 294–314.

Eleições em Abril: Diário de Campanha. Lisbon: Libir Editions, 1975.

Englund, Steven. 2001. “The Muted Vibrancy of French Catholicism,” and “L’Eglise de France: The Church in a Post-Religious Age.” *Commonweal: A Review of Religion, Politics and Culture*, (May 18): 12–16.

REFERENCES

- Esping-Anderson, Gosta. 1990. *The Three Worlds of Welfare Capitalism*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Evers, Adalbert and Jean-Louis Laville. 2004. "Defining the Third Sector in Europe." In *The Third Sector in Europe*, edited by Adalbert Evers and Jean-Louis Laville, 11–42. Cheltenham, Gloucestershire: Edward Elgar Publishers.
- Felicidade, José da, ed. 1969. *Católicos e Política de Humberto Delgado a Marcelo Caetano*. Lisbon: Edição de autor.
- Fernandes, Tiago. "Rethinking Pathways to Democracy: Civil Society in Portugal and Spain, 1960s-2000s", *Democratization*, (2014): 1–31 <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/ref/10.1080/13510347.2014.901966>
- Figueirde, Antonio de. 1976. *Portugal: Fifty Years of Dictatorship*. New York: Holmes and Meier Publishers.
- Fishman, Robert. 2010. "Rethinking the Iberian Transformations: How Democratization Scenarios Shaped Labor Market Outcomes." *Studies in Comparative International Development* 45: 281–310.
- _____. 2011. "Democratic Practice after the Revolution: The Case of Portugal and Beyond." *Politics & Society* 39 (2): 233–67.
- Fishman, Robert, and Omar Lizardo. 2013. "How Macro-Historical Change Shapes Cultural Taste: Legacies of Democratization in Spain and Portugal." *American Sociological Review* 78 (2): 213–39.
- Franca, Luis de. 1981. *Comportamento Religioso da População Portuguesa*. Lisbon: Moraes Editors.
- Franco, Raquel Campos, et al. 2006. *The Portuguese Nonprofit Sector in Comparative Perspective*. Lisbon: Universidade Católica Portuguesa/Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Freire, Dulce. "Estado Corporativo em Acção: Sociedade Rural e Construção da Rede de Casas do Povo." In *Corporativismo, Fascismos, Estado Novo*, edited by Fernando Rosas and Álvaro Garrido, 273–301. Coimbra: Almedina, 2012.
- Freire, José Geraldes. 1976. *Resistência Católica ao Salazarismo-Marcelismo*. Oporto: Telos.
- Fung, Archon. 2003. "Associations and Democracy: Between Theories, Hopes and Realities." *Annual Review of Sociology* 29: 515–39.

REFERENCES

- Gallagher, Thomas. 1976. "Portugal." In *Political Catholicism in Europe, 1918-1965*, edited by Tom Buchanan and Martin Conway, 129–55. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- _____. 1983. *Portugal: A Twentieth Century Interpretation*. Manchester: University of Manchester Press.
- Georgel, Jacques. 1985. *O Salazarismo*. Lisbon: Publicações Dom Quixote.
- Gilbert, Neil. 2002. *Transformation of the Welfare State: The Silent Surrender of Public Responsibility*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Gill, Anthony. 2001. "Religion and Comparative Politics." *Annual Review of Political Science* 4 (June): 117–38.
- Gentil-Baichis, Yves de, Jean Delumeau, Marcel Gauchet, Danièle Hervieu-Léger, René Rémond, Paul Valadier. 2002. *Chrétiens, Tournez la Page*. Paris: Bayard.
- Glatzer, Miguel. "Fostering Civil Society: The Portuguese Welfare State and the Development of a Non-Profit Sector – from Growth to Euro Crisis." 2013. Paper prepared for SASE, Milan, June 27-29 2013.
- Göçmen, Ipek. 2013. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly* 42 (3): 495–516.
- Grzymala-Busse, Anna. 2012. "Why Comparative Politics Should Take Religion (More) Seriously." *Annual Review of Political Science* 15 (June): 421–42.
- Guibentif, Pierre. 1996. "The Transformation of the Portuguese Social Security System." *South European Society and Politics* 1 (3): 219–39.
- Guillén, Anna, S. Alvarez, and Pedro Adão e Silva. 2003. "Redesigning the Spanish and Portuguese Welfare States: The Impact of Accession into the European Union." *South European Society and Politics* 8 (1): 231–68.
- Hall, Peter and David Soskice, eds. 2001. *Varieties of Capitalism: The Institutional Foundations of Comparative Advantage*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Halman, Loek, and Paul Dekker, eds. 2003. *The Values of Volunteering: Cross-Cultural Perspectives*. New York: Springer.
- Hamann, Kerstin, and Paul Christopher Manuel. 1999. "Regime Changes and Civil Society in Twentieth-Century Portugal." *South European Society and Politics* 4 (1): 71–96.

REFERENCES

- Hammond, John L. 1988. *Building Popular Power: Workers' and Neighborhood Movements in the Portuguese Revolution*. New York: Monthly Review Press.
- Hanson, Eric O. 1987. *The Catholic Church in World Politics*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Hastings, Adrian. 1991. *Modern Catholicism: Vatican II and After*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Haynes, Jeffrey and Anja Henning. 2011. *Religious Actors in the Public Square: Means, Objectives and Effects*. New York: Routledge.
- Hespanha, Pedro, et al. 2000. *Entre o Estado e o Mercado: As Fragilidades das Instituições de Protecção Social em Portugal*. Coimbra: Quarteto.
- Higgs, David. 1979. "The Portuguese Church." In *Church and Society in Catholic Europe of the Eighteenth Century*, edited by William J. Callahan and David Higgs, 51–65. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hirst, Paul, 1994. *Associative Democracy: New Forms of Economic and Social Governance*. Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press.
- Howard, Marc Morje. 2003. *The Weakness of Civil Society in Post-Communist Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Huntington, Samuel. 1996. *The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order*. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Inglehart, Ronald. "Modernization and Volunteering." In *The Values of Volunteering: A Cross-Cultural Perspective*, edited by P. Dekker and L. Halman, 55–70. New York: Kluwer Academic, 2003.
- Inglehart, Ronald, and Christian Welzel. 2005. *Modernization, Cultural Change and Democracy: The Human Development Sequence*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jelen, Ted. 1992. "Political Christianity: A Contextual Analysis." *American Journal of Political Science* 36 (3): 692–714.
- Jelen, Ted, and M. Chandler. 1996. "Communalism, Associationalism, and the Politics of Lifestyle." *Review of Religious Research* 38 (2): 142–58.
- Jelen, Ted, and Clyde Wilcox. 2002. *Religion and Politics in Comparative Perspective: The One, the Few, and the Many*. Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press.

REFERENCES

Joaquim, Cláudia. 2014. *Uma Abordagem ao Terceiro Setor em Portugal. Uma Abordagem à Luz das Teorias. Das Instituições de Assistência Social às Instituições Particulares de Solidariedade Social*. Lisbon: ISCTE.

Kay, Hugo. 1970. *Salazar and Modern Portugal*. London: Eyre and Spottiswoode.

Kwong, Hyeong-ki. 2004. "Associations, Civic Norms, and Democracy: Revisiting the Italian Case." *Theory and Society* 33 (2): 135–66.

Leal, A. B. 1968. "Catholics, the Church and the Dictatorship." *Portuguese Colonial Bulletin* 7 (January): 398-401.

Leege, David. 1988. "Catholics and the Civic Order: Parish Participation, Politics and Civic Participation." *Review of Politics* 50 (4): 704-36.

Linz, Juan and Afred Stepan. 1996. *Problems of Democratic Transition and Consolidation: South America, Southern Europe, and Post-Communist Europe*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Lipset, Seymour Martin. 1959. "Some Social Requisites of Democracy: Economic Development and Political Legitimacy." *American Political Science Review* 53 (1): 69-105.

Manuel, Paul Christopher. 1995. *Uncertain Outcome: The Politics of the Portuguese Transition to Democracy*. Landover: University Press of America.

_____. 1996. *The Challenges of Democratic Consolidation in Portugal: Political, Economic and Military Issues*. Westport: Praeger.

_____. 2002. "Religion and Politics in Iberia: Clericalism, Anticlericalism and Democratization in Portugal and Spain." In *The One, the Few and the Many: Religion and Politics in Comparative Perspective*, edited by W. Clyde Wilcox and Ted Jelen, 71–96. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Manuel, Paul Christopher and Sebastián Royo. 2004. "Reconsiderando as Relações Económicas e a Cidadania Política na Nove Ibéria da Noa Europa: Algumas Lições do 15^o Aniversário da Adesão de Portugal e Espanha à União Europeia." In *Portugal, Espanha e a Integração Europeia: Um Balanço*, edited by Sebastián Royo. Lisbon: Instituto De Ciências Sociais, Universidade de Lisboa, 1–31.

Manuel, Paul Christopher, Lawrence C. Reardon, and Clyde Wilcox, eds. 2006. *The Catholic Church and the Nation-State: Comparative Perspectives*. Washington D.C.: Georgetown University Press.

REFERENCES

- Manuel, Paul Christopher, Alynna Lyon, and Clyde Wilcox. 2013. *Religion and Politics in a Global Society: Comparative Perspectives from the Portuguese-Speaking World*. Lexington: Lanham.
- Manuel, Paul Christopher. 2014. "Portuguese Exceptionalism and the Return to Europe: the April 25, 1974 Coup and Democratization, 1974-2010." In *Portugal in the European Union: Assessing Twenty-Five Years of Integration Experience*, edited by Laura C. Ferreira-Pereira. New York: Routledge.
- Marques, A. H. 1976. *History of Portugal*. 2nd ed. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Martins, Oliveira. 1969. *A History of Iberian Civilization*. New York: Cooper Square Publishers.
- McLeod, Hugh. 1997. *Religion and the People of Western Europe, 1789-1989*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Meyer, Katherine, Daniel Tope, and Anne M. Price. 2008. "Religion and Support for Democracy: A Cross-national Examination." *Sociological Spectrum* 28: 625–53.
- Müller, Tim. 2009. "Religiosity and Attitudes towards the Involvement of Religious Leaders in Politics: A Multilevel Analysis of 55 Societies." *World Values Research* 2 (1): 1–29.
- Musick, Marc and John Wilson. 2008. *Volunteers: A Social Profile*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Neiheisel, Jacob R., Paul A. Djupe, and Anand E. Sokhey. 2008. "'Veni, Vidi, Disseri': Churches and the Promise of Democratic Deliberation." *American Politics Research* 20: 1–31.
- Norris, Pippa and Ronald Inglehart. 2004. *Sacred and Secular: Religion and Politics Worldwide*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Oliveria, P. Miguel de. 1994. *História Eclesiástica de Portugal*. Lisbon: Publicações Europa-America.
- Opello, Walter C. Jr. 1991. *Portugal: From Monarchy to Pluralist Democracy*. Boulder: Westview Press.
- Os Bispos e a Revolução de Abril. Lisbon: Ispagal, 1976.
- Philpott, Daniel. 2004. "The Catholic Wave." *Journal of Democracy* 15 (2): 32–46.

REFERENCES

_____. 2007. "Explaining the Political Ambivalence of Religion." *American Political Science Review* 101: 505–25.

Putnam, Robert. 1995. "Tuning In, Tuning Out: The Strange Disappearance of Civic America." *P.S.: Political Science and Politics* 28 (4): 1–20.

_____. 2000. *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. New York: Simon and Schuster.

Reis, Bruno Cardoso. 2001. "Fátima: a Recepção nos Diários Católicos (1917-1930)." *Análise Social* XXXVI (158/159): 249–99.

Rémond, Rene. 1999. *Religion and Society in Modern Europe*. Oxford: Blackwell.

_____. 2000. *Le Christianisme en Accusation*. Paris: Desclée de Brouwer.

_____. 2005. *Le Nouvel Anti-Christianisme: Entretiens avec Marc Leboucher*. Paris: Desclée de Brouwer.

Robinson, Richard. 1977. "The Religious Question and the Catholic Revival in Portugal, 1900-1930." *Journal of Contemporary History* 12 (April): 345–62.

Roccas, S. & Schwartz, S. H. (1997). Church-state relations and the associations of religiosity with values: A study of Catholics in six countries. *Cross-Cultural Research*, 31, 356-375.

Riding, Alan. 1991. "Pope Visits Fátima to Tender Thanks: Credits the Virgin Mary with Freeing East Europe and Saving his Life in '81." *New York Times*, May 14.

Riegelhaupt, Joyce. 1973. "Festas and Padres: The Organization of Religious Action in a Portuguese Parish." *American Anthropologist* 75: 835–52.

_____. 1982. "O Significado Religioso do Anticlericalismo Popular." *Análise Social* XVIII (72-73-74): 1213–229.

_____. 1984. "Popular Anti-clericalism and Religiosity in pre-1974 Portugal." In *Religion, Power and Protest in Local Communities: The Northern Shore of the Mediterranean*, edited by Eric R. Wolf, 93–114. Berlin: Mouton.

Robinson, Richard. 1977. "The Religious Question and Catholic Revival in Portugal." *Journal of Contemporary History* 12: (April): 345–62.

REFERENCES

_____. 1979. *Contemporary Portugal: A History*. London: Allen and Unwin.

Salamon, Lester M. and Wojciech Sokolowski. 2001. "Volunteering in Cross-National Perspective: Evidence From 24 Countries." Working Papers of the Johns Hopkins Comparative Nonprofit Sector Project, no. 40. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins Center for Civil Society Studies.

Salamon, Lester, Helmut Anheier, et al. 1999. *Global Civil Society: Dimensions of the Nonprofit Sector*. Baltimore: The John Hopkins Center for Civil Society Studies.

Salamon, Lester M., S. Wojciech Sokolowski, and Helmut K. Anheier. 2000. "Social Origins of Civil Society: An Overview." Working Papers of the Johns Hopkins Comparative Nonprofit Sector Project, no. 38. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins Center for Civil Society Studies.

Santos, Boaventura de Sousa. 1987. "O Estado, a Sociedade e as Políticas de Saúde." *Revista Crítica de Ciências Sociais* 23: 13–74.

Schmitter, Philippe. 1995. "The Irony of Modern Democracy and the Viability of Efforts to Reform its Practice." In *Associations and Democracy*, edited by E. O. Wright, 167–83. London and New York: Verso.

_____. 1995. "Organized Interests and Democratic Consolidation in Southern Europe." In *The Politics of Democratic Consolidation: Southern Europe in Comparative Perspective*, edited by Richard Gunther, Nikiforos Diamandouros, and Hans-Jurgen Puhle. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Silva, Pedro Adão. 2003. "Building a Welfare State in a Context of Austerity: The Portuguese Case." In *Portugal: Strategic Options in a European Context*, edited by Fátima Monteiro et al., 107–28. Boston: Lexington Books.

Simpson, Duncan A. H. 2009. "Salazar's Patriarch: Political Aspects in the Nomination of Manuel Gonçalves Cerejeira to the Patriarchate of Lisbon (April 1928-January 1930)." *Portuguese Studies* 25 (2): 131–50.

Soares, Mario. 1989. "Speech on Portuguese Anti-Semitism." *Christian Jewish Relations* 22 (Spring): 37–38.

Solsten, Eric, ed. 1994. *Portugal: A Country Study*. 2nd ed. Federal Research Division: Library of Congress.

REFERENCES

- Sousa de Brito, José de. 2006. "Covenantal and Non-Covenantal Cooperation of State and Religion in Portugal." In *Religion and Law in Dialogue: Covenantal and Non-Covenantal Cooperation between State and Religion in Europe: Proceedings of the Conference*, edited by Richard Puza and Norman Doe, 57. (Tübingen, 18-21 November 2004, European Consortium for Church-State Research.) Leuven: Peeters Publishers.
- Sousa Franco, António de. 1987. "A Igreja e o Poder em Portugal, 1974-1987." In *Portugal: O Sistema Político e Constitucional, 1974-1987*, edited by Mario Baptista Coelho, 405. Lisbon: Instituto de Ciências Sociais.
- Stepan, Alfred. 2001. *Arguing Comparative Politics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Teixeira, António. 1996. *As Instituições Particulares de Solidariedade Social: Aspectos da Evolução do seu Regime Jurídico*. Lisboa: DGAS.
- Unruh, H. R., and R. J. Sider. 2005. *Saving Souls, Serving Society: Understanding the Faith Factor in Church-based Ministry*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Valadier, Paul. 1980. *Agir en Politique: Decision Morale et Pluralisme Politique*. Paris: Editions du Cerf.
- _____. 1997. *Anarchie Des Valeurs*, Paris: Albin Michel.
- _____. 1999. *Un Christianisme d'Avenir: Pour Une Nouvelle Alliance entre Raison et Foi*. Paris: Seuil.
- _____. 1999. *L'Eglise en Procès: Catholicisme et Société. Moderne*. Paris: Flammarion.
- Viegas, José M. Leite, Sérgio Faria, and Susana Santos. 2010. "Envolvimento Associativo e Mobilização Cívica." In *A Qualidade da Democracia em Debate: Deliberação, Representação e Participação Políticas em Portugal e Espanha*, edited by José M. Leite Viegas, Susana Santos and Sérgio Faria, 157–80. Lisbon: Editora Mundos Sociais.
- Vilaça, Helena. 2001. "Identidades, Práticas e Crenças." In J. Machado Pais, M. Villaverde Cabral e Jorge Vala (Coords.), *Atitudes e Práticas Religiosas dos Portugueses*. Lisboa: ICS: 73-128.
- Vilaça, Helena. 2006. *Da Torre de Babel às Terras Prometidas — Pluralismo Religioso em Portugal*, Porto, Edições Afrontamento.
- Vilaça, Helena. 2010. "Pilgrims and Pilgrimages: Fátima, Santiago de Compostela and Taizé." *Nordic Journal of Religion and Society*, 23 (2): 137–155.

REFERENCES

- Vilaça, Helena. 2012. "Secularization and Religious Vitality of the Roman Catholic Church in a Southern European Country" in Gert Pickel, Olaf Müller, Detlef Pollack, *The Social Significance of Religion in an Enlarged Europe : Secularization, Individualization and Pluralization*, Ashgate, 2012: 77-94.
- Vilaça, Helena. 2014. "How the Portuguese Catholic Church is dealing with newcomers: the particular case of Eastern European Immigrants," in Helena Vilaça, Per Pettersson, Enzo Pace, *The Changing Soul of Europe: Religions and Migrations in Northern and Southern Europe*. Burlington, VT: Ashgate.
- Vilaça, Helena, & al. 2003. "Church Commitment and Some Consequences in Western and Central Europe." In Piedmont, R. & Modberg, D. (Eds.), *Research in the Social Scientific Study of Religion*, Leiden: Brill, Vol. 14: 129-159.
- Vilaça, Helena and Maria João Oliveira. 2012. "Portrait du Catholicisme au Portugal," in Perez-Agote, Alfonso. *Portraits du Catholicisme. Une Comparaison Européenne*, Rennes, Presses Universitaires de Rennes: 209-254.
- Villagrán, Gonzalo S.J. 2012. *Public Theology in a Foreign Land: A Proposal for Bringing Theology in Public into the Spanish Context*. Doctoral Dissertation. Directed by Thomas J. Massaro, S.J.; Readers David Hollenbach, S.J., James Keenan, S.J.; Examiner; Paul C. Manuel. Brighton, Massachusetts: Boston College School of Theology and Ministry.
- Von Essen, Johann. 2014. "A Religious Perspective in the Meaning of Volunteering." In *Religion and Volunteering: Complex, Contested and Ambiguous Relationships*, edited by Lesley Hustinx et al., 1–20. New York: Springer.
- Wheeler, Douglas. 1978. *Republican Portugal, A Political History*. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Wiarda, Howard. 1994. "Portugal: The Society and Its Environment." In *Portugal: A Country Study*. 2nd ed., edited by Eric Solsten, 63–112. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Williams, H. Fulford. 1953. "The Diocesan Rite of the Archdiocese of Braga, Portugal." *Journal of Ecclesiastical History* 4 (October): 123–38.
- Wilson, John. 2012. "Volunteerism Research: A Review Essay." *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly* 41: 176–212.